

In 1932 Banker society was, as I have said, an eight-acre one, like 10 out of the 16 which committee in the Geltos. By this I mean that in a basically subsistence economy it did not matter much whether a man had three hundred acres or thirty, or the Spanish average holding of ten. For even with the help of his family he could not very well utilize more than say ten. The rest were in effect surplus to requirements, with their produce largely collected, leaving necessarily clothed with operating debts and undergrowth and producing no wealth; and on the other hand, at least in the Geltos, liable to be assessed for the annual copra tax payable in kind.

Under these circumstances, especially with the gerontocratic political system we might to these lands the interests of the largest landowners and the generality of the people badly differed. But with the marketing targets from the Landholders Fund and annuities the has grown up a certain measure of economic regularity, since the worth of a family leaves for the first time directly based on the value of his lands. If we had small holding lands we would clearly own less than those with say ten, for although this was a limit to the value of lands we could collect and utilize there is no limit to the amount of money we can spend. But the inequalities are small and not sufficient to result in a restriction of society.

But, undoubtedly enough from their point of view, the large landowners led by the largest, Mr Rotan Tito, have fair at least the time of the 1931 constitution, if not before, become increasingly <sup>aristocratic</sup> affront to the whole basis on which Baslon society has, like the gullible society on which it is modelled, been hitherto based and, as the evidence adduced in this action shows, they are starting to achieve by force from England what they had not hitherto been able to achieve by democratic methods on Robi; a veritable restructuring of society in their favour.

If they win at the Baslon people will discover, in my estimation to their surprise, that what I may call a horizontally structured community has been turned on its end and converted into a hierarchically structured pyramid based solely on wealth, with Mr Rotan sitting on top and beneath him a decedent order of the less and yet less affluent until we reach the broad base consisting of the great mass of the unfortunate underprivileged Baslon people.

native reef rights recognized by custom - 33/138

Totally impracticable to assess amount of phosphate raised from each labourer's area -  
34/14

1 reply return of letter that H.C. will not re-lease his area (14.10.38) - 35/81.

B.R.C. made the stated complaint with Directors & R.C. of interest if a  
deadlock is reached - 35/106.

Return hunting petition to Sir H. Cooke with Soc & I. present (13.9.39) - 35/184.

Bombays done see Soc in Fiji & file S.O.S. accordingly Kennedy to advise the  
Admiral's Welfare Office (7.6.40) - 36/12.

Bombay tells S.O.S. that what is necessary - 2<sup>nd</sup> hand is not sufficient (15.7.40) - 36/14

H.C. to advise not suitable or for sale what other suitable & suitable should be found -  
write to Viceroy - Kennedy at end of article (15.7.40) - 36/16-20.

Kennedy instructed of H.C. for duty in Gilbert or Ellice (16.7.40) - 36/21

H.C. awaiting suitable Wadaya on sale - agricultural survey necessary (31.1.41) -  
36/73

Letter reft to Wadaya bought for £5,250 in 1933 - now probably worth much  
less - on sale if suitable offer made - 36/76-77.

Sweeney & Aguirre told off from buying Wadaya - H.C. to R.C. (21.1.41) - 36/83.

Patman's report on Wadaya (17.2.41) - soil suitable - rate about - approx 72 tons per a  
& at maximum likely 144 tons per a - 36/87-89.

H.C. to R.C. (18.6.41) - 2 large suitable - suggests Fanning or Bodington - 36/100

R.C. to H.C. (8.7.41) - F. S.L. not agreed to - Patman not up to survey - 36/102

B's still ethourte to buy valaya after every survey - ad that 10 dined  
not it & number of other ottawa (16.9.41) - 36/126.

Lever added by H.C. if fixed sell Rabi (20.9.41) - 36/128.

Lever fixed at £25,000 (1.10.41) - 36/138.

H.C. to R.C. demands Rabi & others to Badar to see both sides - 36/139  
<sup>(5.10.41)</sup>

H.C. reports 6 cuts off (5.10.41) - 36/140.

R.C. upto Badar definitely started in Rabi (14.10.41) - 36/150

Lever give 6 cuts off (23.10.41) - 36/151.

R.C. refers the winter Euston men no steel available on 5.12.41  
(1.12.41) - 36/158.

H.C. agrees with Badar done price as offr before (27.1.42)-36/160.

R.C. upto valaya still denied to sell Rabi (13.2.42) - 36/162

H.C. to R.C. papers say both as Rabi lost & can sell at profit if denied -  
assumes value of fixed asset to best possible determination in real value of  
Product Food of only £40,000 or Fixed Deposit in Sydney (4.3.42)  
- 36/164.

R.C. says Rabi & get majority B's done price both sides but B Committee of old  
men refused - bill not passed (10.3.42) - 36/166.

H.C. says all set by valaya that Rabi - Product Food cannot affect  
amounts (16.3.42) - 36/167.

R.C. says clear majority from large bill which 10 of 12 Committee (17.3.42)-36/168  
valaya turbines require £12,500 (11.4.42)-36/169.

- H.C. offers £5,000 for release - 36/171. Reinstated (29.5.42) - 179.
- Paki length (16.5.42) - 36/177.
- Leave to where seafarers (25.8.42) - 36/189.
- Petition goes back for B.s. side to spec - 37/2.
- My discussion with Sir Elliot re taking B.s. direct to Paki (8.5.45) - 37/19.
- Ditto (1.6.45) - 37/23.
- B.s. at Tarawa going to Paki (19.7.45) - 37/30.
- H.E.M. leaves Ballard for Suez by ship (21.8.45) - 37/32.

SIR KENNETH ROBERTS-WRAY

Guthrie considers terms reasonable (B.P.C. 11.2.47) - 39/20.

Verdict less extravagant (ditto)

Banks' entry (8.1.47) in discussion with Webbs of CO states that B.P.C. are pleased in negotiations to make a reasonable adjustment in terms offered in recognition of the reduction in the value of Aust £ between 1944 and 1947.

1940 Agreement

1947 Agreement

- (1) £175 per acre for surface  
 (2) 2d per ton to Pundit Fund  
 (3) 10d per ton to Property Trust Fund  
 (4) Pundit Fund to go up to £25,000.  
 (5) (2)-(4) to apply to all new land. Late, voted for local land office from £3 to £3.12.0

vote to make Pabri their headquarters and base

- (1) 318 out of 336 (or 94.6%) voted.  
 (2) For Pabri 270 (or 85%)  
 (3) against Pabri 48 (or 15%)

- (1) Barolans were not conducting new negotiations in 1947 but rather re-opening the terms of the 1940 Agreement. This was a very different scenario.  
 (2) Request to finalize an agreement was made by Barolans & not B.P.C.  
 (3) two unlikely envoys of G.O.T. mission in 1931 mentioned I agreed with G.O.T. now that we should keep out of negotiations.  
 (4) This was also strong desire of the Barolans - and their adviser.  
 (5) As a consequence my action was necessarily confined to sufficient talks with B.P.C. (S. Abbott & Gaze) at which I argued recently that 1947 terms should be not less generous than agreed in 1940, plus an addition in each case to compensate for any fall in the value of money.

## Clan Rights in Gilberts

- (1) Fishparks (notably Nein Peke and Nein Tabwanki)
- (2) Fishing rights (lagoon and reef)
- (3) Flotoman and Jetoman (te bua, te che, te on na te kau ae koro).
- (4) Patent rights in designs and compositions.
  - (a) Coral patterns
  - (b) Coral crocs
  - (c) House types
  - (d) Kite patterns
  - (e) Mat patterns
  - (f) Song and dance routines

Remember in Gilberts the maleda district was usually the political unit, and only on the smaller islands would one expect clan ownership to embrace the whole island.

Recognized Banlon land owners: Te alor Tati; te alor Telu; te alor Tara;  
te alor Karoule; te Iru; te alor Kamamra.

In Voshers' memorandum of 27.9.41 (36/134) it stated that the recognition of Banlon individual rights by payment of the interest on the royalties assessed on the estimated quantity of the phosphate yield from each land would go far towards settling the Banlon discontent with their treatment.

Varker states in the same memorandum that the sum of £150 paid for the surface rights in the 1931 acquisition was 'by all standards considered to be many times the real value of the surface rights'.

Banadas told me that they had understood that when selling their lands under the original P & T Agreements they had understood that they were selling the topsoil (or soil) down to a fixed depth. This is borne out by their statements to Mr Eliot on 18 October 1913 that they had understood that the flume pipe would only be carried down to 3 feet. In other words they believed themselves to be parting with the surface rights only; and until restrictive machinery was introduced by the Company this was by and large correct.

In 1931 530 Banadas

April 1931 about 40 women planted trees.

Peter tells Fletcher in 1931 that Basden Farm may held in England and no account was given to them and expenses made for Farm see not known. They are of wood mostly.  
R.C. stated that they could get timber for Tramway & had been offered accordingly.

In 1931 recommended that in the absence of any clear written guarantee made surface mining rights the general benefit of the community should be the criterion.

Native Land Code Ordinance No. 3 of 1940  
Te Bobai or te Koboabu.

You will appreciate that social, economic and political structure of a society is not static but constantly developing - in my own lifetime, for example, the balance has moved from a centralized economy to a predominantly market economy.

The customs governing the inter-federal relations within that society must similarly change, and in particular the customs governing land tenure and inheritance, must similarly change if they are not to get out of kilter - to leave an embryo - in development.

Now with codification there is a real danger that land customs will become corrupted and thus a hindrance to development, and it was for this reason that when drafting the Native Lands Codification Ordinance, No 3 of 1940, I insisted a section providing that any community may change any land custom by a 2/3 majority expressed by referendum.

## Lands Customs

- (1) Special bullock train carrying India's first of B. 1931-2 + orth. degree + writing bullock of Gallatore.
- (2) Had advantage of G & above on Gallatore land owners.
- (3) Notably bad bullock of B. carts before because no one had exhibited bulls selected.
- (4) Blunders did not possess the necessary analytical aptitude to.... (how a land commission was conducted).
- (5) This case done so far Banda - 16 miles - 2,500 carts - 250 drovers.
- (6) Advantage everyone could have extremely less opening inheritance & conveyance to the  
<sup>excess</sup> bullock caravans / Disadvantage classification : No. 3 of 1940.
- (7) Gurkha's bullock
- (8) Carfalla's bullock - burden of partitioning Banda & under-surface rights
- (9) Banda under whom setting rods & surface rail to 3 ft. nothing later.
- (10) When institute reduced quarter of under-surface rights because of inheritance. Only bullock indiscriminately.
- (11) In Gallato's fortification etc to Banda under etc combined not divisible but valuable.
- (12) In Gallato owned by both & in Banda by bullets.
- (13) Bangalore treasury investigated & published. Requests all R.C & H.C as under-surface rights.
- (14) But structure of society not stable - Banda example - & owners giving into personal relations within society most i.e. close change. Non Rele
- (15) Before 1900 bullets offshoots - in 1931 (i) - (iv) - small extent - equilibrium structure.
- (16) Petaan against - still favoring two concepts. Large landowners v rest.
- (17) 1931 not 1967 & in turn B to be changed from inheritance to market economy. Need for upholders to ascertain how under-surface rights should be decided today.

First no C's authority of te bukoban did not mean violation of his  
lock of knowledge of B custom & rest of his being denied the exercise  
of such a power over B or also his right offset authority of  
under-surface rights by individual landowner ~~over~~ <sup>over</sup> ~~and~~ <sup>and</sup> ~~in~~ <sup>in</sup> ~~by~~ <sup>by</sup> registering the  
P & T deeds was merely ~~as~~ <sup>as</sup> a similar violation of privilege. The  
<sup>elder</sup> B members told me that when appealed by Echo they were given the  
opinion that they were selling the rights to take the water by  
on the surface and much of the typical as they could dig down to  
hit the aquifer then available. As referred to W. Elliot in  
1913 the was approximately 3 feet. It was of other exhaustive  
nature was introduced that they began to contend that the  
Cofay <sup>(under the soil)</sup> insisted that they had bought their lands over <sup>over</sup> ~~in~~ <sup>in</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~and~~ <sup>and</sup>  
<sup>(under the soil)</sup>, so soon ~~time~~ <sup>time</sup> as we all phrased it 'to the better of Bwabwa'.

One this was resolved it raised the question as to who  
owned those under-surface rights. Lands in the gullies, the so  
bukoban lands as opposed to hilly lands, were owned entirely  
by individuals except on the two <sup>if</sup> ~~if~~ <sup>if</sup> chiefdoms of Botwana - Dolo and  
Akara - Kani - Orumba. But most other form of tangible and  
intangible property, which total rights, were ~~not~~ considered as not  
easily divisible or too valuable for individual ownership.

In the gullies the great principle applied to such  
property as fishponds, larger and reef fishing rights, plots and  
streams, and total rights in designs and enterprises such as canals

fatters, cane casts, horse traps, kite fatters, not fatters and say and dance parties; and on Ocean Island by the Bo two most famous persons: water for drinking and selected for making fishhooks for catching fish. It should be desired here that the Bo could live without the fruits of the land, and in the 1870-74 drought and again in 1883 they did: but they could not live without water to drink and food to eat.

This property, of which in the Gilberts fatted, fishing rights and the standard species of redwood from N. W. America, staked properties or slaves, are the most important are mostly owned by beti or clans, and on Banaba by the bonlets which, for historical reasons connected with the conquest of the island by Rei Ange-ni-nalo and her confederates, had taken the place of the gathered clans.

The ownership of unde-surfed bay-sanger was not officially investigated by the Commission,<sup>①</sup> but it was investigated by me in consort with others of the L.C. and the results recorded in a paper published in 1932 which is readily available. It is also recorded in my note (attached) on 'The Ownership of Unde-Surfed Rights'. I have consistently argued since 1932 with Rendit Commissioners

<sup>①</sup> because the High Commission considered that all unde-surfed rights belonged to the Crown,

and late the High Commission that inter-island rights on Banks  
do not belong to the crown land the Ga possessed a  
custom which recognized such rights and defined their ownership.  
I had previously the same argument with Colby and Commissioner D'Y  
over the ownership of reef rights between high and low spring tides,  
that being finally recognized as clan and not crown property by  
an ordinance passed when I became R.C. after the war.

It will be appreciated, however, that the social, economic and  
political structure of a society is not static but constantly  
changing - in my own lifetime, for example, the Bo have  
progressed from a subsistence to a predominantly market economy.  
The customs governing the intra-social relations within that society  
must similarly change, and in particular those governing land tenure  
and inheritance, if they are not to get out of kilter - to  
become an anachronism - in development.

Prior to 1900 I suggest that the appropriate unit of  
ownership of Maafale inter-island rights would have been the  
Parlet (the local equivalent of the Gilbertese clan) <sup>(3)</sup>  
~~dealing of the Parlet even after 1900 the appropriate unit was~~, in  
a good Gilbertese analogy to real ownership exists in

the large pool or lagoon  
near Rikite on Nukunonu, investigated by me in 1930 and re-visited in  
a rough fashion in 1963 (ambille or repeat).

With the change to a money economy on Robe Island  
and the commercial changes in their economic and social organization  
it is, in my opinion, time for the Bo to decide, by  
referendum, how the under-surface rights should be divided today.

(3)

By 1900, the Bo decided the method of under-surface rights  
with members of the C.C. The landlets had been absorbed into  
four village groups, which were not land-holding units, and the  
general view was :-

(i) - (iv)

The only option ... do not collect it by export of any other.

Specie validity of birds entries due to late addition 1931-32

Hawks in Orth. Gellitree seem strong work Gellitree Gull's help  
no one before us knows birds collected recently & no one but him etc for this  
G has not analytical attitude to come in absent

L C lists to entries adduced & copies were & quoted from his & his notes

Prairie code & late final code. Banker 16 notes