# [Monitoring of Coal Seam Gas Depressurisation using Geophysical Methods]

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of Adelaide for an Honours Degree in Geophysics

Joseph Rugari November



2013

#### **MONITORING OF COAL SEAM GAS DEPRESSURISATION WITH GEOPHYSICS**

#### **GEOPHYSICAL MONITORING OF COAL SEAM GAS**

#### ABSTRACT

Coal seam gas has emerged as a major industry in Australia over little more than a decade. Resource production inevitably relies on the extraction of groundwater from coal seams to depressurise coal measures, and allow natural gas flow. Current groundwater monitoring of a coal seam gas project uses expensive borehole sampling programs that can only provide point information, and improved monitoring of water extraction is suggested for existing and future wells.

This paper is a first stage feasibility study for surface magnetotelluric, and surface selfpotential monitoring of a coal seam gas depressurisation event. The monitoring techniques used in this study directly measure fluid connectivity and dynamics to estimate the degree of porosity and permeability in a coal seam. In combination, the monitoring can provide both large scale and localised sub-surface fluid-flow modelling potential. The processes and its equipment are a practical, inexpensive and mobile solution for the expanding coal seam gas industry.

In this study synthetic modelling has been used with coal seam conditions, prototype selfpotential monitoring equipment is constructed, and various monitoring equipment are tested in the field. Synthetic modelling has provided encouraging results, showing that a depressurisation event based in a Surat Basin Walloon Measures, southern Queensland, Australia geological model could be successfully monitored using magnetotelluric and selfpotential methods. The prototype self-potential logger operated with a high level of precision, successfully mapping localised electrodes change of electric field at an aquifer pump test site; and the E-Logger instrument successfully recorded electric field data for magnetotelluric monitoring.

Overall, results present a great deal of potential for the combined effectiveness of magnetotelluric and self-potential monitoring methods in a coal seam gas depressurisation setting. Further studies, in particularly on-site depressurisation monitoring testing, is required to draw on more conclusive evidence.

### **KEYWORDS**

Coal Seam Gas, Groundwater, Magnetotellurics, Self-Potential.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Monitoring of Coal Seam Gas Depressurisation with Geophysics | 3  |
|--|----|
| Geophysical Monitoring of coal seam gas                      |    |
| Abstract   |    |
| Keywords   |    |
| List of Figures and Tables                                   | 5  |
| Introduction   | 8  |
| Background Theory  |    |
| Magnetotelluric Theory                                       |    |
| Self-Potential Theory  |    |
| Synthetic Modelling Studies                                  |    |
| Magnetotelluric Modelling                                    |    |
| Self- Potential Modelling                                    |    |
| Synthetic Modelling Results                                  |    |
| Magnetotelluric Modelling Results                            |    |
| Self-Potential Modelling Results                             |    |
| Field Instrumentation Studies                                |    |
| Survey and Site Analysis                                     |    |
| Magnetotelluric Field E-Logger                               |    |
| Magnetotelluric Field Logger Survey:                         |    |
| Self Potential Field Logger                                  |    |
| Specifications and Construction of SP Field Logger Model     |    |
| Self Potential Field Logger Survey:                          |    |
| Discussion   |    |
| Synthetic Data   |    |
| Magnetotelluric Modelling                                    |    |
| Self-Potential Modelling                                     | 41 |
| Equipment Survey   |    |
| Pb-PbCl <sub>2</sub> Electrode stability                     |    |
| Magnetotelluric E-Logger                                     |    |
| Self Potential Field Logger                                  |    |
| Conclusions  |    |
| References   |    |
|  |    |

#### LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1: Sketch of distribution of the ionic species in the pore space of a charges porous medium at equilibrium. Pore water is characterized by a volumetric charge density **QV**, corresponding to the charge of the diffuse layer per unit pore volume (in C m<sup>-3</sup>). The Stern layer is responsible for the excess surface conductivity  $\sum^{S}$  (in S) with respect to conductivity of the pore water  $\sigma f$ , while the diffuse layer is responsible for the excess surface conductivity  $\Sigma^{\rm D}$ . The Stern layer is located between the o-plane (mineral surface) and the d-plane, which is the inner plane of the electrical diffuse layer. The diffuse layer extends from the d-plane into Figure 2: Cross section of the Surat Basin subsurface setting with labelled features including: formations (Fm); sandstones (Sst); geological groups; aquitards; basement depth; groundwater flow zones; coal measures, and various bore types and their relative depths. A Walloon Coal measure CSG well is featured in the red zoomed window. The profile displays Figure 3: Surface design of MT stations for synthetic feasibility models Pre-depressurisation and Post-depressurisation. The design utilizes 49 monitoring stations (central MT13 overlaps central MT38) with 250 m spacing between stations. The straight profile design was used in favour of an evenly distributed grid to maximise data capture for a straight-line 2-D inversion Figure 4: a) A 1-D pre- depressurisation model of a typical Surat Basin, Walloon Coal measure sub-surface profile. b) a 2-D post-depressurisation model of a typical Surat Basin, Walloon Coal measure sub-surface profile. A body of increased resistivity (1000  $\Omega$ m) has developed centralised to the model below surface station MT13. The structure simulates a coal seams response to fluid extraction associated with a depressurisation event. The resistive coal seam is located at 500 m to 550 m depth, with a lateral extent of 1500 m. ......21 Figure 5: Flowchart for the simulation and inversion of the self potential data associated with ground water flow. Vector fields U and  $J_s$  denote the Darcy velocity and the source current density, respectively; and potentials h and  $\psi$  denote the hydraulic head and the electrical potential, respectively. In saturated conditions, the material properties entering the forward modelling are the hydraulic conductivity and electrical conductivity tensors, and the specific Figure 6: a) SP1 pre- depressurisation model of a typical Surat Basin, Walloon Coal measure sub-surface profile. The 5  $\Omega$ m 500 m to 550 m depth band represents the targeted coal measure resource (yellow) that will undergo depressurisation b) SP2 post-depressurisation model of a typical Surat Basin, Walloon Coal measure sub-surface profile. An increased 50  $\Omega$ m resistivity has developed at 500 m to 550 m simulating the coal seams (vellow) response Figure 7:a) 2D Inversion of pre-depressurisation input model for stations MT5 to MT21, west-east transect. b) 2D Inversion of post-depressurisation input model for stations MT5 to MT21, west-east transect. Highlighted in yellow is the true input model location of the increased resistivity (1000 $\Omega$ m) depressurised coal seam. Inversion settings were set to solve for the smoothest model inversion, including a uniform grid Laplacian operator, and Tau for Figure 8: Pseudosection of post-depressurisation model, stations M1-M25 in west-east transect. Highly resistive (1000 $\Omega$ m) coal seam structure at 500 m to 550 m depth is expected to produce measurable changes in TE & TM Rho at  $\sim 1$  s, and in TE & TM Phase at  $\sim 0.2$  s.

Inversion settings were set to solve for the smoothest model inversion, including a uniform Figure 9: Surface hydraulic head pressure (m) of SP1 (pre-depressurisation) and SP2 (postdepressurisation) models. Calculations were undertaken by 2D modelling code SP2DINV Figure 10:Surface Electric Potential (mV) of SP1 (pre-depressurisation) model with calculations undertaken by 2D modelling code SP2DINV (Soueid Ahmed et al. 2013) Figure 11: Surface Electrical Potential (mV) of SP2 (post-depressurisation) model with calculations undertaken by 2D modelling code SP2DINV (Soueid Ahmed et al. 2013) Figure 12: MT e-logger on-site monitoring of Adelaide Airport Aquifer Injection Scheme. Conducted from 13 to 16<sup>th</sup> September 2013. Testing was conducted in a heavily urbanised setting, within 1 km distance of Adelaide Airport. a) A ~2 s window time series of raw data from station 25. The time series gives a typical profile of the raw data obtained from all three stations. A high noise signal in north and south channels with an underlying harmonic oscillation and no correlating data. b) Station 1 amplitude spectrum with visible peaks of noise at the 4 Hz and 50 Hz frequencies. All three stations recorded similar overall amplitude Figure 13: MT e-logger on-site monitoring of Adelaide Airport Aquifer Injection Scheme. Conducted from 13 to 16<sup>th</sup> September 2013. Testing was conducted in a heavily urbanised setting, within 1 km distance of Adelaide Airport a) a ~1.5 s window time series of filtered data from station 25. Filtering effects applied include a 8 Hz highpass filter, and a 4 Hz width notch filter including harmonics at 50 Hz. The filtering effectively minimised noise, however caused a phase loss for the north and south stations b) A ~60 second unidentified patterned event recorded at station 25. The event was characterised by 1 s pulsations over 45 s, Figure 14: E-logger data collected at Brookfield Conservation Park, Blanchetown, South Australia. a) Amplitude spectrum of a survey with minimal correlated noise. A single outlier peak can be seen at ~50 Hz, however this does not affect data quality. This amplitude spectrum is typical of a successful data acquisition. b) Clean e-logger MT data, separated in Y (east- west) and X (north-east) stations. Minimal correlated sinusoidal noise was recorded, Figure 15: Photograph of prototype SP field logger model. The black casing (left) is a watertight hub (approximately 40 cm length) for non-water resistant logger controls. It contains the general purpose datalogger DataTaker DT8,5 where the logger program is commenced and halted. The datalogger also allows for live inspection of electrode values (in mV) to detect for electrode issues. Batteries are sealed within this box, and a solar panel is used to hold battery charge. Additional batteries can be plugged in externally via water tight connection. The adapted seismic cable (right) has a total length of 120 m, with yellow takeout measurement points for modified geophone connector electrodes (central). The electrode Figure 16: SP Logger's voltage difference to ground (mV). Electrodes E12 to E1 are plotted with a +10 mV increasing difference for comparison and enhanced visualisation of data. Adelaide University Prototype SP Field Logger testing, on-site of Adelaide Airport Aquifer Injection Scheme. Conducted from 13 to 16<sup>th</sup> September 2013. Testing was conducted during injection and extraction pumping of stormwater fluids to and from sub-surface aquifer at Figure 17: Adelaide University Prototype SP Field Logger testing, on-site of Adelaide Airport Aquifer Injection Scheme. Conducted from 13 to 16<sup>th</sup> September 2013. Testing was