

Thermal and exhumation history of
the central Yorke Peninsula, southern
Gawler Craton

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of
Adelaide for an Honours Degree in Geology/Geophysics/Environmental
Geoscience

Christine Thompson
November 2013



THERMAL AND EXHUMATION HISTORY OF CENTRAL YORKE PENINSULA

ABSTRACT

The central Yorke Peninsula, South Australia, is a prospective area for iron-oxide-copper-gold mineralisation. However due to minimal exposure there is limited data on the metamorphic, deformation and cooling history on the Central Yorke Peninsula in southern Gawler Craton in southern Australia.

Here we use metamorphic zircon and monazite grains from drill holes in the Equis and Ranald prospects to determine the thermal history of the area. U-Pb geochronology suggests that central Yorke Peninsula underwent metamorphism during ca 1540 – 1480 Ma. Pressure – temperature (P-T) modelling suggests that the metamorphic conditions for this thermal event were high temperature/low pressure, amphibolite-granulite facies associated with normal to elevated geothermal gradients. The tectonothermal driver for this event is not clear, it can be suggested that a combination of extension and magmatism may have contributed to this thermal event. After the thermal event the central Yorke Peninsula underwent a period of extension and exhumation.

Exhumation and extension was most likely accommodated by the Pine Point Fault during ca 1500 – 1450 Ma and was likely to be associated with reactivation of major structures, brittle faulting and regional folding in the Gawler Craton.

Ca 1600 – 1570 Ma Hiltaba-age mineralisation has possibly been affected by the ca 1540 to 1480 thermal event in ways of remobilisation and concentration and following that was possibly redistributed along the Pine Point Fault during the ca 1500 – 1450 Ma extension and exhumation.

KEYWORDS

Tectonothermal history
MnNCKFMASHTO
Yorke Peninsula
Gawler Craton
Monazite
Metamorphic
Proterozoic

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Thermal and exhumation history of central Yorke Peninsula	1
Abstract.....	1
Keywords.....	1
List of Figures and Tables	4
Introduction	6
Sample selection.....	12
Petrology.....	13
EQDD008A-459.8	13
RDD003-555.2	15
Mineral Chemistry	17
.....	19
Pressure Temperature Paths	21
U-Pb Geochronology.....	25
Zircon geochronology.....	27
<i>RDD003-292.2</i>	27
Monazite Geochronology	28
<i>Equis</i>	28
EQDD008A-397.3	28
EQDD008A-438.4	28
EQDD008A-459.8	29
<i>Ranald</i>	31
RDD003-262	31
RDD003-267.3	31
RDD003-292.2	31
RDD003-309.2	32
.....	34
Biotite Ar/Ar Geochronology	34
Discussion.....	37
Geochronological Interpretation.....	37
<i>Equis</i>	37
Monazite geochronology	37
Biotite geochronology	37
<i>Ranald</i>	38
Interpreted metamorphic conditions	40
<i>Equis</i>	40
<i>Ranald</i>	40

Ca 1540 Ma to 1480 Ma thermal event	41
Exhumation history	44
Implications on mineralisation	46
Conclusion	47
Acknowledgments	49
References	50
Appendix A: Analytical technique for separation of Monazite and zircon grains and U-pb dating	54
Appendix B: Ar/Ar sample preparation and analytical technique	55
Appendix C: Zircon analysis results table	56
Appendix C: Zircon analysis results table - Continued	57
Appendix D: EQDD008A-397.3 Monazite analysis results table	58
.....	58
Appendix D: EQDD008A-397.3 Monazite analysis results table-continued	59
.....	59
Appendix E: EQDD008A-438.4 Monazite analysis results table	60
.....	60
Appendix E: EQDD008A-438.4 Monazite analysis results table - Continued	61
.....	61
Appendix F: EQDD008A-459.8 Monazite analysis results table	62
.....	62
Appendix G: RDD003-262 Monazite analysis results table	63
.....	63
Appendix H: RDD003-267.3 Monazite analysis results table	64
.....	64
Appendix I: RDD003-292.2 Monazite analysis results table	65
.....	65
Appendix J: RDD003-309.2 Monazite analysis results table	66
.....	66

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1 Geological map of the Gawler Craton showing IOCG deposits relating to the Hiltaba Event. The Yorke Peninsula is outlined and presented in Figure 2. Mineral Deposits are represented with stars. Modified after Daly <i>et al.</i> (1998).....	10
Figure 2 Geological map of central Yorke Peninsula showing significant IOCG deposits and the location of the Pine Point Fault structural corridor. Locations of drill holes EQDD008A (Equis) and RDD003 (Ranald) are shown as red stars. Modified after Conor <i>et al.</i> (2010)	11
Figure 3 Hand specimens of samples (a) EQDD008A-459.8; (b) RDD003-555.2. Localities shown in Fig 2.	13
Figure 4 Photomicrographs of sample EQDD008A-E459.8. Abbreviations used are: Ms: muscovite; Sil: fibrolitic sillimanite; Bt: biotite; Hem: haematite; Mt: magnetite; Qtz: quartz; Mc: microcline; Pl: plagioclase feldspar; Tur: tourmaline; Chl: chlorite; (a) Rounded opaques and quartz inclusions can be seen in the tourmaline, microcline and quartz grains. Fibrolitic sillimanite forming a pressure shadow on the edge of the tourmaline grain; (b) Coarse grained muscovite is replacing a fibrolitic sillimanite lens and growing across the foliation defined by the lens; (c) Reflected light photomicrograph showing magnetite being partially replaced by haematite. The second haematite grain is completely haematite with no way to distinguish whether this represents a primary haematite grain or a completely replaced magnetite grain; (d) Photomicrograph of biotite being partially to completely replaced by chlorite. Sample locations shown in Fig. 2.....	14
Figure 5 Photomicrographs of sample RDD003-555.2. Abbreviations used are: Ms: muscovite; Sil: fibrolitic sillimanite; Bt: biotite; Hem: haematite; Mt: magnetite; Qtz: quartz; Mc: microcline; Chl: chlorite; Crd: cordierite; (a) Rounded opaques, quartz and idioblastic biotite inclusions can be seen in the poikoblastic microcline grains. Fibrolitic sillimanite lens can be seen in the bottom right corner. Biotite altered to chlorite in top right corner; (b) Shows a fibrolitic sillimanite lens running through the centre of the photomicrograph. Elongate haematite grains are caught up in the fabric defined by the fibrolitic sillimanite lens; (c) Reflected light photomicrograph showing magnetite (dark grey) being partially replaced by haematite (bright grey). The second haematite grain is completely haematite with no way to distinguish whether this represents a primary haematite grain or a completely replaced magnetite grain; (d) Large cordierite grains are actively replacing fibrolitic sillimanite lens. Sample locations shown in Fig. 2.....	16
Figure 6 Pressure – Temperature (P-T) diagram for EQDD008A-459.8. Arrow represents interpreted P-T path. Mineral abbreviations are after Kretz (1983). Sample location shown in Figure 2	23
Figure 7 Pressure – Temperature (P-T) diagram of RDD003-555.2. Arrow represents interpreted P-T path. Abbreviations are after Kretz (1983). Sample location shown in Figure 2.....	24
Figure 8 (a) Cathodoluminescence image of a representative zircon grain from sample RDD003-292.2; (b) Transmitted light image of zircon population. Sample location shown in Figure 2	25
Figure 9 Back scattered electron (BSE) images of representative monazite grains from each sample analysed; (a) EQDD008A-397.3; (b) EQDD008A-438.4; (c) EQDD008A-459.8 Minor patchy zoning can be seen; (d) RDD003-267.3. Representative monazite grains from the 1477 ± 12 Ma age population. Minor patchy zoning can be seen in some grains; (e) RDD003-267.3. Representative monazites from the 1544 ± 9 Ma age population. Minor patchy zoning can be seen in some grains; (f) RDD003-262; (g)	

RDD0003-309.2; (h) RDD003-292.2. Minor patchy zoning can be seen in some grains. Sample localities are shown in Figure 2.....	26
Figure 10 U-Pb results for RDD003-292.2 zircon grain analysis. Age shown as a weighted (Wtd) average; (a) Concordia diagram. Red circles indicate excluded from final analyses due to radiogenic lead loss; (b) probability density plot of total analyses showing two possible populations at 1498 ± 8 Ma and 1558 ± 7 Ma; (c)Weighted average plot of recorded analyses after analyses showing radiogenic lead loss were removed. Shows one population with a Wtd average of 1538 ± 13 Ma. Sample location shown in Figure 2.....	27
Figure 11 Results for Equis monazite samples presented as concordia plots and weighted average plots. Excluded data is represented as red circles in concordia plots. All ages quoted are $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ Ages (Ma) and are weighted (Wtd) averages; (a) EQDD008A-397.3 concordia plot showing one age population at 1518 ± 7 Ma; (b) EQDD008A-397.3 weighted average plot; (c) EQDD008A-438.3 concordia plot showing one age population at 1500 ± 7 Ma. Excluded analysis highlighted in red; (d) EQDD008A-438.4 weighted average; (e) EQDD008A-459.8 concordia plot showing one age population at 1495 ± 8 Ma; (f) EQDD008A-459.8 weighted average.....	30
Figure 12 Results for Ranald monazite samples presented as concordia plots and probability density curves. All ages quoted are $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ Ages (Ma) and are weighted (Wtd) averages; (a) RDD003-262 concordia plot with weight average age; (b) relative probability plot showing an asymmetric bell curve; (c) RDD003-267.3 concordia plot showing two age populations. Red concordia circles highlight the younger population at 1477 ± 12 Ma and the black circles highlighting the 1544 ± 9 Ma; (d) RDD003-267.3 relative probability curve showing two peaks which correspond to a younger and older age population; (e) RDD003-292.2 concordia plot. Excluded analysis highlighted in red; (f) RDD003-292.2 relative probability curve showing a trimodal age. The two smaller peaks were excluded from analysis for the main metamorphic event at 1509 ± 8 Ma; (g) RDD003-309.2 concordia plot. Red circles represent excluded data points. (h) probability density plot showing a bimodal age. Main age at 1489 ± 8 Ma. After removing the 2 lesser peaks, an asymmetric bell curve is recognised. Sample locality shown in Figure 2.....	33
Figure 13 Photomicrographs of biotite from samples (a) EQDD008A-397.3; (b) EQDD008A-459.8; (c) RDD003-292.2 and (d) RDD003-309.2	35
Figure 14 Biotite Ar/Ar geochronology results for EQDD008A-397.3, EQDD008A-459.8, RDD003-292.2 and RDD003-309.2. Location of Equis and Ranald drill holes shown in Figure 2.....	36
Figure 15 Schematic diagram showing age versus temperature for the Equis and Ranald areas.....	46
Table 1 List of samples, location, lithology and analytical methods used. Localities shown in Fig. 2. Mineral abbreviations after Kretz (1983)	12
Table 2 Representative mineral compositions from microprobe analyses for sample EQDD008A-459.8.....	19
Table 3 Representative mineral compositions from microprobe analyses for sample RDD003-555.2.	20
Table 4 Bulk Chemistry for all samples collected. * Donates samples selected for pressure temperature pseudosection calculation. Sample locations shown in Fig. 2.	22
Table 5 Titration analysis results for samples EQDD008A-459.8 and RDD003-555.2. Sample locations shown in Figure 2.....	23
Table 6 Summarised geochronological results from this study.....	37