

Christopher Michael Bridge

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy,
Department of Politics & International Studies, School of Social Sciences, Faculty of Arts,
The University of Adelaide.

## Contents

Abstract	iv
Declaration	v
Acknowledgements	vi
Introduction	1
Chapter 1: Literature review	12
Introduction	12
Non-traditional actorness	13
Attributes of actorness	23
Theoretical approaches, empirical support	32
Conclusion	43
Chapter 2: Theoretical approach and methodology	45
Theoretical approach	45
Methodology	61
Chapter 3: Economic actorness.	75
Introduction	75
Case study 1: The euro crisis	77
Case study 2: The Chinese solar panels dispute	90
Conclusion	96
Chapter 4: Environmental actorness	98
Introduction	98
Case study 1: The Copenhagen climate change conference	99
Case study 2: The Durban climate change conference	108
Conclusion	112

Chapter 5: Military actorness	114
Introduction	114
Case study 1: The 2003 intervention in the DR Congo	115
Case study 2: The 2011 Libya intervention	121
Conclusion	130
Chapter 6: Normative actorness 1: democracy, human rights and the rule of law	132
Introduction	132
Case study 1: Hungary's backsliding	134
Case study 2: China's 2008 intervention in Tibet	141
Conclusion	150
Chapter 7: Normative actorness 2: norms of international relations	151
Introduction	151
Case study 1: The Iranian nuclear program	153
Case study 2: Russia's intervention in Ukraine	163
Conclusion	172
Chapter 8: Discussion	175
The explanatory power of the discursive theory	175
Comparison of the explanatory power of the three theories	184
Conclusion	201
Appendix: Details of the primary sources used in the discourse analysis sections	210
Primary source citations	212
References	222

## **Abstract**

Actorness in international affairs is traditionally held to be the preserve of states and based ultimately on the possession of military power. The EU challenges this assumption from two perspectives. Firstly, it is not a state and does not dispose directly over the conventional instruments of state power, but instead relies on cooperation among its member states. Secondly, the EU purports to be a different kind of actor, its power deriving from economic rather than military strength, and its approach to international relations based on the pursuit and transmission of certain norms of behaviour. To avoid a state-centric definition of actorness, this thesis focusses on the ability of the EU and its member states to reach consensus on external action issues, and uses this as the best measure of EU actorness. Existing theorizing on EU unity-formation is critiqued. Liberal intergovernmentalism assumes member states bargain over predetermined national interests that arise through a process of aggregation of sectoral economic interests, a shortcoming which is exposed in circumstances when economic outcomes are contested or difficult to predict, as is frequently the case for external action issues. Sociological institutionalism considers the socialisation of national political elites into common European norms of decision-making to be the driver of EU policy consensus-making, neglecting the significance of the Europeanization of national public spheres as a whole. To address these shortcomings an alternative theoretical approach is presented, derived from poststructuralism and discourse theory, which describes how EU policy unity is constrained by the interaction between domestic politics and public identity discourses at the member state level. This discourse-theoretical model is then tested on EU case studies representing a range of dimensions of actorness, including economic, environmental, military and normative actorness. The discourse-theoretical model is found to provide better explanations of the case studies than existing theories. The application of this model to the case studies yields a number of conclusions, including that EU actorness is hindered by the persistence of national constructions of economic questions, that EU actorness is often contingent on collaboration with the US, but that this is consistent with the key EU norm of multilateralism, and that the EU is potentially a more successful normative actor when pursuing norms of interstate relations, than regarding the norms of democracy, rule of law and respect for human rights.

## **Declaration**

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed	Date
~ 1811 0	2 4.00

Parts of chapter 7 were presented in an earlier form at the European Union Studies Association (EUSA) Conference, Boston, 2015 under the title 'EU normative power and the Iranian Nuclear program'.

Parts of chapter 6 were presented in a different form at the Critical Approaches to Discourse Analysis across Disciplines (CADAAD) Conference, Budapest, 2014 under the title 'Hungary's othering of the EU'.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to my principal supervisor, Prof. Clem Macintyre, for his gracious, good-humoured and expert supervision, his attention to detail when providing feedback on drafts, and above all for allowing me the freedom to explore that has made the writing of this thesis both rewarding and enjoyable. I would also like to thank my co-supervisor, Dr Czes Tubilewicz, for his encouragement and feedback throughout the process, but particularly in the final stages before submission. My gratitude is also owed to Dr John Walsh from the Department of Linguistics, University of Adelaide, who generously gave up his own time to introduce me to the delights of Systemic Functional Linguistics.

To Henry and Zsiga