Investigating Deformation Styles of Salt Detachments Using Seismic Attribute Analysis: An Example from the Gulf of Mexico

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of Adelaide for an Honours Degree in Geology.

Nicholas Walter Eckert November 2014



INVESTIGATING DEFORMATION STYLES OF SALT DETACHMENTS USING SEISMIC ATTRIBUTE ANALYSIS: AN EXAMPLE FROM THE GULF OF MEXICO

DEFORMATION OF THE SALT DETACHMENT IN THE GULF OF MEXICO

ABSTRACT

The current deformation style of active salt detachments beneath delta and deep-water fold-thrust belts in the Gulf of Mexico is poorly constrained. The combination of seismic interpretation and seismic attribute analysis provides greater resolution for identifying fault patterns that are otherwise unresolved in conventional seismic amplitude displays. These techniques are applied to the Ship Shoal and North-West Gulf Coast 3D seismic volumes, and used to investigate the geometry of low signal amplitudes within six interpreted salt diapirs. The ridge enhancement filter and similarity attributes display a strong correlation with faults and fractures observed over a range of magnitudes, and identify structural relationships between salt diapirs and the surrounding sedimentary overburden. Conventional seismic amplitude displays show salt diapirs as ductile and homogenous structures. However, this study concludes that diapirs are likely to contain interbedded resistive sequences facilitating brittle shear. Deformation styles exhibited by active salt diapirs are broadly comparable to those observed in outcrops within exhumed detachment systems. Future application of seismic attribute analysis is needed to improve the understanding of deformation styles exhibited by similar submarine structures.

KEYWORDS

Salt tectonics, Gulf of Mexico, salt detachment, seismic attributes analysis, salt diapirs

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ab	stract	i
Ke	ywords	i
Lis	t of Figures and Tables	iii
1.	Introduction	1
2.	Background	2
3.	Methods	11
4.	Salt Diapirs Identified in the Gulf of Mexico	21
5.	Seismic Attribute Analysis	25
6.	Discussion	30
7.	Conclusions	39
Acknowledgments		41
References		42
Appendix A: Methodology		47

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1: a) Location map of the northern Gulf of Mexico basin illustrating the location	S
of the Ship Shoal and North-West Gulf Coast 3D seismic volumes (modifed from	
Hudec et al. 2013a). b) Generalised cross-section of the northern Gulf of Mexico basin	
displaying stratigraphy and structural elements. Stratigraphy within the northern Gulf	
consists of a succession of Jurassic through Holocene strata (modifed from Galloway	
2008)	3
Figure 2: Schematic model of a delta and deep-water fold-thrust belt demonstrating a	
90° rotation of maximum horizontal stress ($\sigma_{\rm H}$) from margin parallel to margin normal,	
respectively (King et al. 2009). Normal listric growth faults form due to extension at the	e
delta-top. Thin-skinned extension dominates the transitional zone accommodating salt	
and shale diapirism. Stacked thrust sheets with associated folds occur at the distal	
pinch-out of the detachment, forming the delta toe (modified from King and Backé	
2010)	5
Figure 3: Schematic illustration of salt velocity profiles. a) Poiseuille flow in a single	_
thick layer. b) Couette flow produced by overburden sliding. c) Combination of	
Poiseuille and Couette flow, displaying relative movement along resistive bounding	
layers. d) Poiseuille and Couette flow across multiple layers, where interbedded	
sequences form rigid planes (modified from Davison et al. 1996).	7
Figure 4: Three modes of salt diapirism and their characteristic structures. A reactive	′
diapir displaying a fan of normal faults along its flanks with associated flexure of	
sediments. An active diapir below a radial network of faults. A passive diapir displaying	α
near-vertical flank geometry and associated dragging of sediments (modified from	5
Jackson et al. 1994).	a
Figure 5: Crossline 2190 of the Ship Shoal seismic volume illustrating the distortion of	
amplitude velocities imposed by salt. The top layer of salt is identified by strong	
reflectivity	2
Figure 6: Interpretation areas Crosslines 4842 from the North-West Gulf Coast and	_
2190 from the Ship Shoal 3D seismic volume containing time-slices and interpreted	
horizons. A) Interpreted horizons representing the top salt layer and an upper	
sedimentary horizon, along with time-slices taken at 2732 and 3824 ms (North-West	
Gulf Coast seismic volume). B) Interpreted horizons representing the top salt layer and	
an upper sedimentary horizon, along with time-slices taken at 2172 and 2800 ms (Ship	
Shoal seismic volume)	1
Figure 7: Different seismic attributes displayed on a horizontal time-slice at 1940 ms	_
across the Ship Shoal 3D seismic volume in the Gulf of Mexico. a) Oblique view of	
time-slice 1940 intersecting crossline 2190 with interpreted structural features. b) Time slice at 1940 ms of dip-steered median filtered seismic, removing random noise and	-
enhancing laterally continuous seismic events by filtering along the structural dip. c)	
Dip- azimuth, computing the plane of best fit between neighbouring points and	
outputting the minimum (red) and maximum (blue) slope. d) Polar-dip, computing the	. 1
plane or line of best fit between neighbouring points and outputs the minimum (red) and	J
maximum (blue) magnitude of dip. e) Dip-steered minimum curvature, describing how	•
bent a surface is at a particular point, measures the curve perpendicular to the maximum	
curvature and outputs its value (yellow). f) Dip-steered maximum curvature, measuring	,
the maximum amount of bending orthogonal to the minimum curvature and outputs its	
value (yellow). g) Full-steered detailed similarity, a gently filtered attribute calculating	

and outputting the best likeness (black), and least likeness (white), between adjacent traces by following the dips and local azimuths of the time-slice in all directions at every trace position. h) Full-steered background similarity, a heavily filtered attribute calculating and outputting the best likeness (black), and least likeness (white), between adjacent traces by following the dips and local azimuths of the time-slice in all directions at every trace position. i) Non-steered similarity, calculating and outputting the best likeness (black), and least likeness (white), between adjacent traces without dip-steering. j) Ridge enhancement filter, comparing in the time-slice domain three neighbouring similarity values in six different directions and outputs the largest ridge value (grev). 18 Figure 8: Different seismic attributes displayed on a horizontal time-slice at 2928 ms across the North-West Gulf Coast 3D seismic volume in the Gulf of Mexico, a) Oblique view of the intersection between time-slice 2928 and crossline 4570 with an interpreted salt diapir. b) Time-slice at 2928 ms of dip-steered median filtered amplitudes, removing random noise and enhancing lateral continuous seismic events by filtering along the structural dip. c) Dip- azimuth, computing the plane of best fit between neighbouring points and outputting the minimum (red) and maximum (blue) slope. d) Polar-dip, computing the plane or line of best fit between neighbouring points and outputs the minimum (red) and maximum (blue) magnitude of dip. e) Dip-steered minimum curvature, describing how bent a surface is at a particular point, measures the curve perpendicular to the maximum curvature and outputs its value (yellow). f) Dipsteered maximum curvature, measuring the maximum amount of bending orthogonal to the minimum curvature and outputs its value (vellow). g) Full-steered detailed similarity, a gently filtered attribute calculating and outputting the best likeness (black), and least likeness (white), between adjacent traces by following the dips and local azimuths of the time-slice in all directions at every trace position. h) Full-steered background similarity, a heavily filtered attribute calculating and outputting the best likeness (black), and least likeness (white), between adjacent traces by following the dips and local azimuths of the time-slice in all directions at every trace position. i) Nonsteered similarity, calculating and outputting the best likeness (black), and least likeness (white), between adjacent traces without dip steering. j) Ridge enhancement filter, comparing in the time-slice domain three neighbouring similarity values in six different Figure 9: Ridge enhancement filter attribute comparison applied to a horizontal timeslice taken at 2172 ms in the Ship Shoal 3D seismic volume. a) The original ridge Figure 10: Salt diapirs within the Ship Shoal and North-West Gulf Coast 3D seismic volumes. a) Crossline 2190 of the Ship Shoal 3D seismic volume depicting diapir 1 (SS1). b) Crossline 2190 of the Ship Shoal 3D seismic volume depicting diapir 2 (SS2). c) Crossline 2190 of the Ship Shoal 3D seismic volume depicting diapir 3 (SS3). d) Inline 2167 of the Ship Shoal 3D seismic volume depicting diapir 4 (SS4). e) Crossline 3112 of the Ship Shoal 3D seismic volume depicting diapir 5 (SS5). f) Crossline 4570 depicting diapir 6 (NW1) within the North-West Gulf Coast 3D seismic volume. 22 Figure 11: A horizontal time-slice of the Ship Shoal 3D seismic volume at 1272 ms. The time-slice is overlain with the ridge enhancement filter and similarity attribute. Rose diagrams illustrate strike orientations of attribute features within salt diapirs, and