

Variation in chain-length of leaf wax *n*-alkanes in plants and soils across Australia

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of
Adelaide for an Honours Degree in Geology.

Siân Howard
November 2014



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

VARIATION IN CHAIN-LENGTH OF LEAF WAX N-ALKANES IN PLANTS AND SOILS ACROSS AUSTRALIA

RUNNING TITLE

ACL of *n*-alkanes in plants and soils

ABSTRACT

Long chain *n*-alkanes are produced as part of leaf epicuticular wax and are ideal biomarkers for palaeoclimatology and palaeoecology due to their persistence in soils and sediments. Sedimentary records often show shifts in average chain-lengths (ACL) of *n*-alkanes, both across geologic time and modern-day climate gradients and this shift may be climate driven.

Australia spans a broad range of different climate conditions providing an ideal study area for investigating the relationship of ACL to climate. The Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Network (TERN) has developed a network of biodiversity monitoring plots (AusPlots and TREND) at which plant and soil samples are collected and made available to the research community. By analysing *n*-alkane ACL present in plants and soils collected from these sites and comparing with each site's respective climatic conditions, this study examines whether ACL of leaf wax *n*-alkanes varies systematically in modern plants and soils in relation to climate over a N-S transect of Australia.

Specifically, this study examines whether:

- (1) ACL in plants correlates with different climate variables.
- (2) ACL measured in soil represents a weighted average of the ACL of the dominant plant species at each site.
- (3) ACL signature in the soils correlates to different climate variables.

This study finds no relationship between the different climate variables to ACL of modern. Further, the weighted average of the dominant plant species ACL from each site

analysed is a poor predictor of the actual ACL present in the soils. In contrast to ACL from plants, the ACL from the soils shows a strong relationship with temperature and aridity measures. Soils may correlate better with climate because they integrate a long-term average of highly variable ACL values from all contributing organisms. This study supports climate as a driver of ACL in sediments across space and time.

KEYWORDS

VARIATION, N-ALKANE, SOILS, PLANTS, CLIMATE, PALAEOCLIMATE, AUSTRALIA, ACL, BIOMARKERS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Variation in chain-length of leaf wax <i>n</i> -alkanes in plants and soils across Australia	i
Running Title	i
Abstract	i
Keywords	ii
List of Figures	2
List of Tables	3
Introduction	1
Climate and ecological setting	6
Methods	7
Selection of samples	7
Climate data	9
Preparation of plant samples	12
Preparation of soil samples	12
Short column chromatography and GCMS analysis	13
Calculations	14
Results	16
Discussion	27
Plant ACL response to climate	27
Predicted soil ACL versus actual soil ACL	29
Soil ACL response to climate	31
Conclusions	34
Acknowledgments	35
References	35
Appendix A: Extended Methodology	39
Appendix B: Additional Data	67

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Structural diagram of an nC_{31} straight chain <i>n</i> -alkane, <i>n</i> -Hentriacontane ($C_{31}H_{64}$), a common <i>n</i> -alkane found in the cuticular waxes of most higher plant species.	2
Figure 2: (a) Mean annual precipitation (MAP) versus mean annual temperature (MAT), (b) MAP versus moisture index (MI), and (c) MI versus MAT of selected sites.....	8
Figure 3: Location maps of selected Ausplots sites (black pins) provided by TERN, across Australia including the TREND sites located in the southern half of South Australia. (A) Shows where the selected sites sit with respect to mean annual rainfall and (B) shows where the selected sites sit with respect to the mean annual temperature. Climate data based on a standard 30-year climatology (1961-1990) and reproduced with permission from Bureau of Meteorology (© Commonwealth of Australia).	10
Figure 4: Two chromatograms of the GC results for two soils. NTAGFU0040, at the top, shows a high CPI=6.07 and NTAFIN0022 at the bottom has a CPI=1.1. NTAFIN0022 has a normal distribution of chain lengths and does not show a clear odd-over-even predominance of chain lengths as would be expected for a higher plant <i>n</i> -alkane source.	15
Figure 5: Plots of plant ACL against MAT (a), MAP (b), annual MI (c), lowest quarter mean MI (d), radiation (e), driest month precipitation (f) and maximum month vapour pressure deficit (g). From this data there appears to be no direct relationship between ACL and the above climate variables.....	19
Figure 6: Predicted Soil ACL calculated from the weighted average of the top three dominant plant species at each site versus the actual ACL of the soils. The dashed line represents the 1:1 line. Most data points fall below this 1:1 line, showing that actual ACL is lower than predicted ACL. The slope of the trendline is much lower than 1.	20
Figure 7: Plots demonstrating the relationship between actual soil ACL and MAT (a), MAP (b), annual MI (c), lowest quarter mean MI (d), highest period radiation (e), driest month precipitation (f) and vapour pressure deficit (g). Maps of the location of sites (black dots) with respect to the various climate variables reproduced with permission from CSIRO (Williams et al. 2012) and the Fenner School of Environment and Society at ANU. Regression lines are displayed for significant ($p < 0.05$) relationships.	24
Figure 8: Plot of actual soil ACL (CPI>1.5) with respect to latitude.....	24
Figure 9: Plot showing the relationship between latitude and MAT.....	25
Figure 10: Plots of soil CPI against MAT (a), MAP (b), annual MI (c), lowest quarter mean MI (d), radiation (e), driest month precipitation (f) and maximum month vapour pressure deficit (g). From this data there appears to be no direct relationship between CPI and the above climate variables.....	26

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Description of climate variables (Williams et al. 2012, Prentice et al. 2014)...	9
Table 2: Table showing the different sites with respect to their bioregion, along with the mean annual precipitation (MAP), mean annual temperature (MAT), annual moisture index (MI), lowest quarter MI, aridity index, radiation, highest month precipitation and vapour pressure deficit for each site.....	11
Table 3: ACL for plant and soil samples. The total plant cover (%) is the sum of the top 3 dominant plants % cover. Soil samples used for further analysis were determined based on their carbon preference index (CPI>1.5). The predicted soil ACL is a weighted average of the ACL of the top three dominant plants for each site, based on percentage cover. See Equation (3). There is no predicted soil ACL for NTADAC0001 because percentage cover data was not available for this site.....	17
Table 4: Results of least squares regression analysis for the plant ACL.....	18
Table 5: Results of least squares regression analysis for the actual soil ACL for all soils. Rows in bold indicate variables with statistical significance (p<0.05).....	21
Table 6: Results of least squares regression analysis for the actual soil ACL for soils with a CPI>1.5. Rows in bold indicate variables with statistical significance (p<0.05).	21
Table 7: Results of least squares regression analysis for the soil CPI for all soils.....	27
Table 8: r ² values for different climate variables and the ACL found in soils and sediments from other work compared with the findings of this study.....	33