Root Cell-Type Specific Expression of Multiple Salinity Tolerance Genes to Alter Plant Shoot Sodium Accumulation

By Gordon Bertram Wellman

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

University of Adelaide
School of Agriculture, Food and Wine
and
Australian Centre for Plant Functional Genomics



February 2016

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	vi
Abstract	vii
Declaration	ix
List of Figures	X
List of Tables	
List of Publications, Presentations and Conference Posters	
Abbreviations	
Chapter 1 - Review of the Literature and Research Aims	
1.1. Soil salinisation	
1.1.1. Plant growth in saline soils: Stress and Tolerance	
1.1.2. Non-ionic 'Osmotic' stress	5
1.1.3. Ionic stress	6
1.2. Controlling Na ⁺ transport to improve salinity tolerance	14
1.2.1. Control of cell-type specific expression of transgenes	
1.2.2. Cell-type specific expression of multiple genes	
1.3. Project aims and research questions.	
1.4. Thesis outline	
Chapter 2 - General Methods and Materials	
2.1. Introduction	
2.2. General Molecular Methods	
2.2.1. <i>In silico</i> DNA manipulation: sequence analysis, vector and primer des	_
2.2.2. Amplification of DNA by polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	
2.2.3. Restriction enzyme digest of plasmid DNA	
2.2.4. Separation of DNA fragments using agarose gel electrophoresis	23
2.2.5. DNA extraction and purification following PCR or restriction enzyme	
digest	24
2.2.6. Ligation of restriction enzyme digestion products	26
2.2.7. Cloning of DNA into pCR8/GW-TOPO TA Gateway® entry vectors.	
2.2.8. Recombination of entry and destination vectors to produce expression	
vectors for plant transformation	
2.2.9. Preparation of chemically competent <i>E. coli</i> for cloning	
2.2.10. Glycerol stocks for long term storage of bacterial cultures	
2.2.11. Transformation of <i>E. coli</i> via heat-shock method	
2.2.12. Overnight growth of E. coli and A. tumefaciens cultures	
2.2.13. DNA sequencing of plasmids and PCR products	
2.2.14. Preparation of chemically competent <i>A. tumefaciens</i> AGL-1	
2.2.15. Transformation of <i>A. tumefaciens</i> via freeze-thaw protocol	
2.2.16. Growth of small <i>A. tumefaciens</i> cultures	
2.2.17. Isolation of plasmid DNA from <i>E. coli</i> – Standard protocol	
2.2.18. Isolation of plasmid DNA from <i>E. coli</i> – High purity DNA protocol	
2.2.19. Genomic DNA extraction protocol - Freeze dry protocol	
2.2.20. DNA extraction protocol – Edwards extraction protocol	
2.2.21. Extraction of RNA from Root and Leaf Tissue	
2.2.22. Synthesis of cDNA from RNA extracted from root and leaf tissue	

2.3. Arabidopsis thaliana experiments	38
2.3.1. Arabidopsis growth in controlled environment chambers	38
2.3.2. Surface sterilisation of Arabidopsis seeds	
2.3.3. Plant growth on MS medium in vertical petri dishes	
2.3.4. Arabidopsis growth on soil	
2.3.5. Hybridisation of Arabidopsis lines	41
2.3.6. Transformation of Arabidopsis with binary vectors	
2.3.7. Selection of Arabidopsis transformants on selective media	
2.3.8. Arabidopsis growth in mini-hydroponics	
2.4. Hordeum vulgare (barley) experiments	
2.4.1. Barley growth in glasshouse conditions	
2.4.2. Germination and growth of barley cv. Golden Promise	
2.4.3. Mini-hydroponics for salt stress treatments	
2.4.4. Supported Hydroponics for Barley growth and salinity screening	
2.5. Determination of tissue Na ⁺ , K ⁺ and Cl ⁻ concentration	
2.5.1. Arabidopsis tissue digest	56
2.5.2. Barley tissue digest	57
2.5.3. Flame Photometry to measure Na ⁺ and K ⁺	57
2.5.4. Chloride analysis to measure tissue [Cl ⁻]	58
2.6. Epifluorescence stereo and confocal microscopy for imaging plant ti	
2.7. Data management	60
Chapter 3 - Development and characterisation of transgenic barley lin	nes with co-
ordinated root cell-type specific expression of salinity tolerance genes, H	
HvHKT1;5	
3.1. Introduction	62
3.1.1. Increasing salinity tolerance in barley	
3.1.2. Use of native barley genes to improve salinity tolerance in barl	
3.1.3. Previous development of tissue-type specific barley lines expre	•
salinity tolerance genes <i>HvHVP1</i> and <i>HvHKT1;5</i>	
3.1.4. Combining multiple salinity tolerance genes to improve salinity	
3.1.5. Research aims	
3.2. Methods and Materials	
3.2.1. Putative cell-type specific promoters	
3.2.2. Genomic sequences and expression profile databases	
3.2.3. Plant material	
3.2.4. DNA extraction and genotyping	
3.2.5. Total root and leaf RNA extraction and reverse transcriptase-P	
3.2.6. Screening promoter tissue specificity under salt stress in mini-l	
system	
3.2.7. Histochemical staining of transgenic β -glucuronidase (uidA) ex	xpressing
barley seedlings	
3.2.8. Growth of barley plants in soil	75
3.2.9. Hybridisation of T_2 barley transgenic lines	
3.2.10. Bromocresol Purple rhizosphere acidification assay	
3.2.11. Barley growth in mini-hydroponics	
3.2.12. Barley growth in supported hydroponics	
	/ /
3.2.13. Statistical analysis	

3.3. Results and Discussion	77
3.3.1. Expression of reporter genes, mGFP6 and uidA, under the cont	
putative tissue-type specific promoters, proC34 and proS147	
3.3.2. Evaluating the salinity tolerance of lines expressing <i>HvHVP1</i> of	
HvHKT1;5 in supported hydroponics	
3.3.3. Development of transgenic barley lines over-expressing <i>HvHV HvHKT1;5</i> in different root cell-types	
3.3.4. Evaluating the salinity tolerance of lines over-expressing both	
and HvHKT1;5 in mini-hydroponics	
3.3.5. Evaluating the salinity tolerance of lines co-expressing <i>HvHVF</i>	
HvHKT1;5 in supported hydroponics	
3.3.6. Bioinformatics review of promoters used for driving cell-type	specific
expression in barley (cv. Golden Promise)	
3.4. General Discussion	
3.4.1. Summary of findings	
3.4.2. Future work	
3.4.3. Conclusion	128
hapter 4 - Evaluating the effect of root cell-type over-expression of the	Arabidopsis
cuolar H ⁺ -pyrophosphatase, AtA VP1, on plant salinity tolerance	
4.1. Introduction	130
4.1.1. Arabidopsis vacuolar H ⁺ -pyrophosphatase, AtAVP1, and its us	
improving plant salinity tolerance	130
4.1.2. Root cortical cell-type over-expression of H^+ -PPases to impro	
tolerance	
4.1.3. Aims of this study	
4.2. Methods and Materials	
4.2.1. Plant material	
plants in mini-hydroponics	
4.2.3. Genotyping of plants for the presence of $pGOF$ - UAS_{GAL4} : $AtAV$	
constructs and GAL4-VP16 enhancer-trap	
4.2.4. Extraction of RNA, cDNA synthesis and transgene expression	
4.2.5. Statistical analysis	
4.3. Results and Discussion.	
4.3.1. Obtaining T ₄ transgenic lines and difficulties encountered during	
of Arabidopsis growth in mini-hydroponics	
Over-expression of <i>AtAVP1</i> in the root-cortex and -epidermis	
4.3.3. Assessing the salinity tolerance of Arabidopsis enhancer trap li	
over-expressing AtAVP1 specifically in the root-cortex	
4.4. General Discussion.	
4.4.1. Summary of the findings	
4.4.2. Comparison of these experiments to previous preliminary expe	eriments.152
4.4.3. The role of <i>AtAVP1</i> in root cortex of Arabidopsis	153
4.4.3. The role of <i>AtAVP1</i> in root cortex of Arabidopsis	153

ines to express genes of interest in two specific cell-types	Chapter 5 - Characterisation and development of Arabidopsis dual enhance	r-trap
5.1.1. Cell-type specific expression of multiple genes	lines to express genes of interest in two specific cell-types	158
5.1.1. Cell-type specific expression of multiple genes	5.1. Introduction	158
5.1.2. Aims of this study		
5.2. Methods and Materials		
5.2.1. Plant material 5.2.2. Arabidopsis growth and imaging 161 5.2.3. Hybridisation and selection of dual enhancer-trap Arabidopsis lines	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5.2.2. Arabidopsis growth and imaging		
5.2.3. Hybridisation and selection of dual enhancer-trap Arabidopsis lines		
5.2.4. Genotyping of plants for the presence of pGOF-UASGAL4-ÅtHKT1;1 constructs and GAL4-VP16, HAP1-VP16 enhancer-traps		
constructs and \$GAL4-VP16, HAP1-VP16 enhancer-traps	•	
5.2.5. Salinity screening of parental and crossed lines in mini-hydroponics system		162
system	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5.2.6. Statistical analysis		164
5.3.1. Root cell-type expression patterns in selected enhancer trap lines	5.2.6. Statistical analysis	164
5.3.2. Successful hybridisation and development of dual <i>GAL4-VP16</i> and <i>HAP1-VP16</i> enhancer-trap lines	5.3. Results	165
VP16 enhancer-trap lines 174 5.3.3. Parental and dual enhancer-trap lines perform similarly under control and salinity stress conditions 179 5.4. Discussion 185 Chapter 6 - Vector construction for cell-type specific expression of multiple GOIs in dual enhancer-trap Arabidopsis lines 188 6.1. Introduction 188 6.1.1. Aim of this study 188 6.2. Methods and Materials 189 6.2.1. Preparation of binary vectors for cell-type specific expression of genes of interest 189 6.2.2. HAP1-VP16 enhancer trap, pET-HAP1 and sequence information 192 6.2.3. Cloning and vector construction 192 6.2.4. Preparation of binary vectors for cell-type specific expression of genes of interest and transformation into Arabidopsis 193 6.3. Results and Discussion 195 6.3.1. Development of Gateway® enabled destination vectors for expression of transgenes 195 6.3.2. Development of GOI expression vectors for transformation into Arabidopsis 207 6.3.3. Transformation of pGOR-series expression vectors into Arabidopsis enhancer trap lines proved unsuccessful 209 6.3.4. Possible reasons for the difficulties in Arabidopsis transformation and selection 210 6.4. Conclusions and Future Directions 212 Chapter	5.3.1. Root cell-type expression patterns in selected enhancer trap lines	165
5.3.3. Parental and dual enhancer-trap lines perform similarly under control and salinity stress conditions	5.3.2. Successful hybridisation and development of dual GAL4-VP16 and F	HAP1-
salinity stress conditions		
salinity stress conditions	5.3.3. Parental and dual enhancer-trap lines perform similarly under contro	l and
Chapter 6 - Vector construction for cell-type specific expression of multiple $GOIs$ in thual enhancer-trap Arabidopsis lines1886.1. Introduction1886.1.1. Aim of this study1886.2. Methods and Materials1896.2.1. Preparation of binary vectors for cell-type specific expression of genes of interest1896.2.2. $HAPI$ - $VPI6$ enhancer trap, pET - $HAPI$ and sequence information1926.2.3. Cloning and vector construction1926.2.4. Preparation of binary vectors for cell-type specific expression of genes of interest and transformation into Arabidopsis1936.3. Results and Discussion1956.3.1. Development of Gateway® enabled destination vectors for expression of transgenes1956.3.2. Development of GOI expression vectors for transformation into Arabidopsis2076.3.3. Transformation of $pGOR$ -series expression vectors into Arabidopsis enhancer trap lines proved unsuccessful2096.3.4. Possible reasons for the difficulties in Arabidopsis transformation and selection2106.4. Conclusions and Future Directions212Chapter 7 - General Discussion and Future Directions2147.1. Review of the aims and hypotheses214	salinity stress conditions	179
6.1. Introduction	5.4. Discussion	185
6.1. Introduction	Chapter 6 - Vector construction for cell-type specific expression of multiple G	OIs in
6.1. Introduction	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6.1.1. Aim of this study	•	
6.2. Methods and Materials		
6.2.1. Preparation of binary vectors for cell-type specific expression of genes of interest	·	
interest		
6.2.2. HAP1-VP16 enhancer trap, pET-HAP1 and sequence information	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
6.2.3. Cloning and vector construction		
6.2.4. Preparation of binary vectors for cell-type specific expression of genes of interest and transformation into Arabidopsis		
interest and transformation into Arabidopsis		
6.3. Results and Discussion		
6.3.1. Development of Gateway® enabled destination vectors for expression of transgenes		
transgenes	6.3.1. Development of Gateway® enabled destination vectors for expression	n of
6.3.2. Development of GOI expression vectors for transformation into Arabidopsis		
Arabidopsis		
enhancer trap lines proved unsuccessful 209 6.3.4. Possible reasons for the difficulties in Arabidopsis transformation and selection 210 6.4. Conclusions and Future Directions 212 Chapter 7 - General Discussion and Future Directions 214 7.1. Review of the aims and hypotheses 214		207
enhancer trap lines proved unsuccessful 209 6.3.4. Possible reasons for the difficulties in Arabidopsis transformation and selection 210 6.4. Conclusions and Future Directions 212 Chapter 7 - General Discussion and Future Directions 214 7.1. Review of the aims and hypotheses 214		
selection		
6.4. Conclusions and Future Directions	6.3.4. Possible reasons for the difficulties in Arabidopsis transformation an	d
Chapter 7 - General Discussion and Future Directions214 7.1. Review of the aims and hypotheses214	selection	210
7.1. Review of the aims and hypotheses	6.4. Conclusions and Future Directions	212
7.1. Review of the aims and hypotheses	Chapter 7 - General Discussion and Future Directions	214
7		
7.2.1. H^+ -PPases in the outer root have little role in salinity tolerance216		
7.2.2. Reducing Shoot Na ⁺ in barley by xylem retrieval by <i>HvHTK1</i> ;5 may play		
little role in the salt tolerance of barley		

7.2.3. Thorough characterisation of putative cell-type specific promoters is viprior to their use to drive GOIs	
7.2.4. Pyramiding of transgenes by hybridisation is feasible, if challenging –	
advice for future studies.	
7.2.5. The use of Arabidopsis enhancer-trap lines for cell-type specific over	
expression of multiple GOIs provides exciting avenues for research	.219
7.3. Future work and research directions	
7.3.1. Further studies using resources developed during this project	
genes	
7.3.3. New avenues of research for examining ion transport through plants 7.4. Final remarks	.223
Appendix I: Primers	.226
Appendix II: Vectors Maps	.228
Appendix III: Supplementary hydroponics data	.238
Supplementary Arabidopsis hydroponics data	.238
Arabidopsis hydroponics experiment #1 - tabulated data	
Arabidopsis hydroponics experiment #2 - tabulated data	
Supplementary Barley Hydroponics data	
Barley supported hydroponics experiment #1 tabulated data	
Barley mini-hydroponics experiment #2 tabulated data	
Barley supported hydroponics experiment #2 tabulated data	
Appendix IV: Sequencing Reaction clean-up	
Appendix IV: Plant DNA Extraction solutions	
Appendix IV: Alkaline Lysis extraction of Plasmid DNA from E. Coli	
Appendix IV: Arabidopsis Basal Nutrient Solution	
Appendix IV: Barley hydroponics growth solutions	
Appendix IV: Antibiotics and selective agents	.258
Appendix IV: Loading Dyes	.260
Appendix IV: Bromocresol purple pH indicator gel	
Appendix IV: 50 × Tris base, acetic acid and EDTA (TAE) Buffer Stock Appendix IV: 0.5 M Ethylenediamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) Stock Solution	
Appendix IV: 0.5 W Ethylehediannile tetra acetic acid (EDTA) Stock Solution	
Appendix IV: Media	
Appendix IV: Wedia	
Appendix IV: Coloride analysis solutions	
Appendix IV: Milli-Q Ultra-Pure H ₂ O	
Appendix IV: Electromagnet for extraction of ball-bearings	
Appendix V: Promoter Sequences	
Appendix VI: Supplementary micrographs	. 269
Appendix VII: Investigation of polar localisation of Na ⁺ transporters; <i>AtHKT1;1 AtSO1</i>	
References	. 286

Acknowledgments

Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisors, Dr. Andrew Jacobs and Dr. Stuart Roy, and my advisors, Dr. Matthew Gilliham and Prof. Mark Tester. Your guidance, ideas and support has made my candidature enjoyable, stimulating and character building. It was an honour to work with you all.

I here acknowledge the University of Adelaide (UofA), the Australian Centre for Plant Functional Genomics Pty. Ltd. (ACPFG), the Australian Government Department of Education and Training and the International Workshop on Plant Membrane Biology (IWPMB) for their financial support during my studies and for assisting conference travel.

I extend my sincere thanks to Dr. Mahima Krishnan, Dr. Gehan Safwat El-Hussieny, Dr. Inge Møller and Dr. Sam Henderson who started sections of this work and provided me with plant material for use and analysis.

To all my many colleagues and friends, almost too many to mention, that I have made at the UofA, the ACPFG and the Waite agriculture postgraduate student society (AgPOGS) I give my warmest thanks for all your work and friendship.

I would like to give special mention to the Salt Group at the ACPFG as I knew it; Dr. Aurelie Everard, Dr. Rhiannon Schilling, Dr. Monique Shearer, Dr. Damien Lightfoot, Dr. Sandra Schmöeckel, Dr. Li Bo, Ms. Jessica Bovill, Dr. Nawar Shamaya, Dr. Wenmian Huang, Dr. Aris Hairmansis and Ms. Melissa Pickering. Honorary 'Salties'; Mr. Shane Waters, Dr. Yagnesh Nagarajan, Mr. Jayachandra Rongala and Dr. Laura Blake. We survived and remain the best of friends.

I would like to thank family; firstly my parents, Guy and Deirdre and my 'little' brother Robert for always being there and putting up with me throughout my studies over the years. Special mention to my grandfather Albert Wellman for his words of inspiration to complete my studies, 'When is the graduation?' and 'Do you have a real job yet?'

I would also like to thank Samantha Thorpe for her support throughout my PhD. I'm sorry for the toll this work took on us and that we could not make it work in the end.

Abstract

Increasing soil salinity of agricultural land is of growing concern world-wide as excessive soil salinity has a detrimental effect on growth and yield of many plant species of agricultural importance. The accumulation of sodium ions (Na⁺) from saline soils into the shoots of crop plants contributes to the negative effect salinity has on plant growth in cereals. In recent years, many molecular targets involved in Na⁺ transport in plants have been identified in a number of species. Genetic modification (GM) utilising these genes may enable manipulation of Na⁺ transport with an aim of reducing Na⁺ accumulation in the shoot. Constitutive and/or tissue-specific over-expression (OX) of such genes in transgenic plants can prove beneficial in reducing Na⁺ shoot accumulation and improve plant salinity tolerance in some cases. However, further reductions could be made by fine tuning Na⁺ transport through the plant by co-expressing multiple salinity tolerance associated genes of interest (GOI) in specific root-cell types. To date, this has proved difficult.

Previously generated barley (*Hordeum vulgare* c.v Golden Promise) lines with putative cell-type specific OX of salinity tolerance associated GOIs, *High Affinity K*⁺-*Transporter* 1;5 (*HvHKT1*;5) and *vacuolar H*⁺-*pyrophosphatase* 1 (*HvHVP1*), were screened in saline hydroponics to assess for improvements in salinity tolerance. Lines with the simultaneous root-cell-type specific OX of both *HvHKT1*;5 and *HvHVP1* were developed through hybridisation and assessed for improved salinity tolerance. Although no significant improvements were identified in both the single- or dual-GOI transgenic lines, this approach could be used for other transgenic lines with cell-type specific OX of other GOIs combinations.

The role of *vacuolar H*⁺-*pyrophosphatase 1* (*AtAVP1*) was re-examined when over-expressed in the root-epidermal and –cortical cell types in the model plant species *Arabidopsis thaliana*. OX of *AtAVP1* in these cell-types was thought to improve Na⁺ sequestration and there-by improve salinity tolerance. However, saline hydroponics assays of lines with root-epidermal and/or –cortical OX of *AtAVP1* failed to identify improvements in plant salt tolerance or Na⁺ uptake, suggesting that *AtAVP1* contributes little to Na⁺ sequestration in these cell-types.

Finally, a system that would allow the cell-type specific over-expression of different GOIs in different root cell-types was developed. Such a system would allow the trialling

different gene combinations to identify combinations that would allow more targeted manipulation of Na⁺ transport throughout a plant and alter salinity tolerance. This work was carried out in the model plant species, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, and cell-type expression was enabled through the use of dual *GAL4* and *HAP1* enhancer-trap systems and transactivation constructs. Lines and constructs were developed to allow the cell-type specific OX of selected GOIs, however testing of dual salinity tolerance GOI lines was not achievable during the timeframe of this project.

Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give my consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed	
Gordon B. Wellman	
Date	

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Variations in salinity tolerance of different plants.
Figure 1.2 Model of sequestration of Na ⁺ into the plant cell vacuole by the combined
action of AtNHX1 and AtAVP19
Figure 1.3: A model of Na ⁺ transport throughout plants
Figure 1.4: Overview of the GAL4-VP16 enhancer-trap & GOI expression cassette for
cell-type expression of genes of interest.
Figure 2.1: Overview of the pCR8/GW-TOPO TA Gateway® entry vector used to clone
DNA fragments.
Figure 2.2: Overview of the Arabidopsis mini-hydroponics setup used for salinity (NaCl)
tolerance exeperiments
Figure 2.3: Overview of Barley cv. Golden Promise growth and salinity screening in
mini-hydroponics
Figure 2.4: Diagram of supported hydroponics used for salinity screening of barley
transgenics
Figure 2.5: Representative images of barley plant growth in the supported hydroponics
setup used for salinity screening
Figure 2.6: Plant biomass after 35 days in supported hydroponics under control and
salinity stress conditions
Figure 3.1: Potential for reduced 4^{th} leaf Na^+ in two independent T_1 proS147:HvHVP R_1
lines (316-10, 316-20) when grown in mini-hydroponics under control and 100 mM NaC
salt stress65
Figure 3.2: Potential for increased 4 th leaf Na ⁺ in two independent T ₁ proC34:HvHVP
lines (310-3, 310-8) in mini-hydroponics under control or 100 mM NaCl salt stress +
3 mM CaCl ₂
Figure 3.3: Expression of $mGFP6$ under the control of root-specific promoters, $proC34$
and proS147 in selected barley lines under 150mM NaCl confirmed by RT-PCR78
Figure 3.4: Images of plant tissue used in screening for GFP fluorescence in Golden
Promise barley and assorted proC34:mGFP6 and proS147:mGFP6 lines79
Figure 3.5: Images of roots used for histochemical GUS staining of roots of Golder
Promise and <i>proC34:uidA</i> lines in mini-hydroponics
Figure 3.6: Images of roots used for histochemical GUS staining of roots of Golder
Promise and <i>proS147:uidA</i> lines in mini-hydroponics82
Figure 3.7: Images of leaves used for histochemical GUS staining of leaves of Golden
Promise and proC34:uidA and proS147:uidA lines in mini-hydroponics83
Figure 3.8: Images of gels shows Expression of uidA under the control of putative room
cell-type specific promoters proC34 and proS147 in selected barley lines under control
or 150 mM NaCl84

Figure 3.9: Images of gels showing HvHVP1:nosT transcript present in root tissues of
selected lines grown in supported hydroponics under 0 or 200 mM NaCl85
Figure 3.10: Images of gels showing <i>HvHKT1;5:nosT</i> transcript present in root tissues of
selected lines grown in supported hydroponics under 0 or 200 mM NaCl86
Figure 3.11: Root and shoot biomass of T ₃ transgenic barley lines expressing <i>HvHVP1</i> or
HvHKT1;5 under the control of putative cell-type specific promoters were similar to null
segregants89
Figure 3.12: Root and shoot dry weight biomass of T ₃ transgenic barley lines expressing
HvHVP1 or HvHKT1;5 under the control of putative cell-type specific promoters were
similar to that of null segregants90
Figure 3.13: 4 th leaf ion (Na ⁺ , K ⁺ and Cl ⁻) content of T ₃ transgenic barley lines expressing
HvHVP1 or HvHKT1;5 under the control of putative cell-type specific promoters were
similar to null segregants93
Figure 3.14: Root ion (Na ⁺ , K ⁺ and Cl ⁻) content of T ₃ transgenic barley lines expressing
HvHVP1 or HvHKT1;5 under the control of putative cell-type specific promoters were
similar to null segregants95
Figure 3.15: Rhizosphere acidification of 7-day old seedlings expressing HvHVP1 under
the control of putative root-cortex specific promoter <i>proC34</i> 97
Figure 3.16: Presence of proC34:HvHVP1 and proS147:HvHKT1;5 constructs in leaf
determined by genotyping PCR in T ₅ (310.8 and 316.10) and T ₅ F ₄ (A, B, C & D) lines
selected for salinity screening in supported hydroponics98
Figure 3.17: Root and shoot biomass of nulls and T ₅ F ₃ transgenic barley lines expressing
HvHVP1 and/or HvHKT1;5 under the control of putative cell-type specific promoters are
similar to null segregants and parental lines
Figure 3.18: 4 th leaf ion (Na ⁺ , K ⁺ and Cl ⁻) content of T ₅ F ₃ transgenic barley lines
expressing HvHVP1 and/or HvHKT1;5 under the control of putative cell-type specific
promoters are similar to null segregants
Figure 3.19: Root ion (Na+, K+ and Cl-) content of nulls and T3 transgenic barley lines
expressing HvHVP1 or HvHKT1;5 under the control of putative cell-type specific
promoters
Figure 3.20: Root and shoot biomass of nulls and T ₆ F ₄ transgenic barley lines expressing
HvHVP1 and/or HvHKT1;5 under the control of putative cell-type specific promoters are
similar to null segregants and parental lines
Figure 3.21: 4 th leaf ion (Na ⁺ , K ⁺ and Cl ⁻) content of T ₆ F ₄ transgenic barley lines
expressing HvHVP1 and/or HvHKT1;5 under the control of putative cell-type specific
promoters may be improved compared to null segregants
Figure 3.22 Root Ion (Na $^+$, K $^+$ and Cl $^-$) content of T_6F_4 transgenic barley lines expressing
HvHVP1 and/or HvHKT1;5 under the control of putative cell-type specific promoters
may be improved compared to null segregants

Figure 3.23: Genomic position of the proC34 promoter sequence relative to
LOC_Os12g36240114
Figure 3.24: Expression of LOC_Os12g36240 (source of proC34) in seedling roots
supported by Rice eFP micro-array datasets
Figure 3.25: Spatiotemporal gene expression profile of LOC_Os12g36240 (source of
proC34) in rice (cv. Nipponbare) roots supports root-cortex specific expression117
Figure 3.26: Comparison of the spatiotemporal gene expression profile of
$LOC_Os12g36240~(proC34)$ to in rice (cv. Nipponbare) roots to salinity tolerance genes
OsOVP1,2 & 3
Figure 3.27: Genomic position of the proS147 promoter sequence relative to
LOC_Os04g52720 and neighbouring loci LOC_Os04g52720119
Figure 3.28: Expression of LOC_Os04g52720 by Rice eFP121
Figure 3.29: Spatiotemporal gene expression profile of LOC_Os04g52720 ($proS147$) in
rice (cv. Nipponbare) roots
Figure 3.30: Spatiotemporal gene expression profile of LOC_Os04g52720 ($proS147$) in
rice (cv. Nipponbare) roots compared to Os01g0307500 (OsHKT1;5)124
Figure 4.1: Schematic diagram of the expression vector pGOF-UAS _{GAL4} :AtAVP1 used to
drive the cell-type specific expression of AtAVP1 in Arabidopsis GAL4-VP16 enhancer
trap-lines, J1551 and J1422
Figure 4.2: $AtAVP1$ transcript level is increased in root tissues of selected 5 week-old T_1
pGOF-UAS _{GAL4} :AtAVP1 plants
Figure 4.3: Selected individual soil grown T_1 pGOF-UAS $_{GAL4}$: $AtAVP1$ plants show an
altered leaf elemental profile
Figure 4.4: Position of Arabidopsis hydroponics in growth chambers can have a
significant effect on plant growth
Figure 4.5: AtAVP1:nosT transcript was detected in root material of J1551 lines
transformed with the pGOF-UAS _{GAL4} :AtAVP1 trans-activation construct141
Figure 4.6: Shoot and Root FW biomass of hydroponically grown T_4 enhancer-trap J1551
plants with root-specific over-expression of AtAVP1 under increasing salinity treatments.
Figure 4.7: Leaf Na ⁺ , K ⁺ concentration of hydroponically grown T ₄ enhancer-trap J1551
plants with root-specific over-expression of AtAVP1 under increasing salinity treatments
Figure 4.8: Root Na ⁺ , K ⁺ concentration of hydroponically grown T ₄ enhancer-trap J1551
plants with root-specific over-expression of AtAVP1 under increasing salinity treatments.
Figure 4.9: AtAVP1:nosT transcript was detected in root material of J1422 lines
transformed with the <i>pGOF-UAS_{GAL4}:AtAVP1</i> trans-activation construct

Figure 4.10: Shoot and Root FW biomass of hydroponically grown T ₄ enhancer-trap
J1422 plants with root-specific over-expression of AtAVP1 under increasing salinity
treatments
Figure 4.11: Leaf Na^+ , K^+ concentration of hydroponically grown T_4 enhancer-trap J1422
plants with root-specific over-expression of <i>AtAVP1</i> under increasing salinity treatments
Figure 4.12: Root Na ⁺ , K ⁺ concentration of hydroponically grown T ₄ enhancer-trap J1422
plants with root-specific over-expression of AtAVP1 under increasing salinity treatments
Figure 5.1: Outline of the <i>HAP1-VP16</i> enhancer trap (<i>pET-HAP1</i>) T-DNA160
Figure 5.2: J2371*C Epifluorescence stereo micrographs of root and shoots of <i>GAL4</i> -
VP16 enhancer-trap line J2371*C for mGFP5-ER fluorescence
Figure 5.3: Confocal micrographs of roots of <i>GALA-VP16</i> enhancer-trap line J2371*C
shows the presence of mGFP-ER fluorescence in the root vasculature
Figure 5.4: Confocal micrographs of roots of <i>HAP1-VP16</i> enhancer-trap line HAP1C
shows the presence of CFP fluorescence in the root cortex
Figure 5.5: Confocal micrographs of roots of <i>HAP1-VP16</i> enhancer-trap line HAP1D shows the presence of CFP fluorescence in the root epidermis171
Figure 5.6: Reporter gene fluorescence was stable in parental enhancer trap lines, J2371*C, HAP1C and HAP1D, under salinity stress on plates
Figure 5.7: Genotyping PCRs on selected T ₇ parental lines and selected T ₇ F ₃ dual
enhancer-trap lines show the presence for both <i>GAL4-VP16</i> and <i>HAP1-VP16</i> constructs
Figure 5.8: mGFP-ER and H2B::CFP detected in HAP1C-J2371*C A/B lines detected
by confocal microscopy
by confocal microscopy
of enhancer trap-lines used and developed in this study
Figure 5.11: Shoot and root fresh weight of dual enhancer-trap lines is consistent with
parental lines
Figure 5.12: Leaf and root Na ⁺ content of dual enhancer-trap lines is similar to parental
enhancer-trap lines
Figure 5.13: Leaf and root K ⁺ content of dual enhancer-trap lines is similar to parental
enhancer-trap lines
Figure 6.1: Schematic diagram of the destination vector <i>pMDC43</i>
Figure 6.2: Schematic diagram of the destination vector $pGOR1/UAS_{HAP1}$:Gateway [®] .

Figure	6.3:	Schematic	diagram	of	the	destination	vector	pGOR2/UAS _{HAP1} -
min35s:	Gatew	ay [®]						199
Figure	6.4:	Schematic	diagram	of	the	destination	vector	pGOR3/UAS _{HAP1} -
min35S.	Gatew	yay [®]						201
Figure	6.5:	Schematic	diagram	of	the	destination	vector	pGOR4/DUAL-
UAS_{GAL}	4:AtHI	$XT1;1:UAS_{HA}$	$_{P1}$ -min $35s$.	:Gat	eway®			203
Figure 6	5.6: Sc	hematic diag	ram of the	desti	natio	n vector pPro	moterEnl	nancer206

List of Tables

Table 1.1: Summary of the effects of salinity stress on plants4
Table 2.1: PCR conditions for various PCR-based techniques
Table 2.2: Reaction components and conditions for RE digests
Table 2.3: Reaction components and conditions for the ligation of RE digest products
using T4 DNA ligase
Table 2.4: Reaction components and conditions for LR clonase reactions29
Table 2.5: Reaction components and conditions of BigDye® Terminator V3.1 Cycle
Sequencing Reaction
Table 3.1: Putative tissue-type specific promoters used in this study68
Table 3.2: Summary of transgenic barley (cv. Golden Promise) T ₂ lines selected for
crossing and screened for altered salinity tolerance in hydroponics69
Table 3.3: Summary of transgenic barley (cv. Golden Promise) T2 lines screened for
tissue-type specific expression of reporter genes (mGFP6 or uidA)70
Table 3.4: PCR primers for genotyping and RT-PCR of transgenic barley lines72
Table 3.5: T ₅ and crossed T ₅ F ₃ barley cv. Golden Promise lines, expressing
proS147:HvHKT1;5 and/or proC34:HvHVP1 selected for analysis in later experiments.
98
Table 4.1: Lines selected for salinity-stress screening
Table 4.2: Primers for genotyping and RT-PCR of <i>Arabidopsis AtAVP10X</i> lines138
Table 5.1: Primers for genotyping Arabidopsis GAL4-VP16, HAP1-VP16 and transgenic
lines
Table 5.2: Parental lines and dual enhancer-trap lines developed and observed fluorescent
reporter patterns
Table 6.1: Selected transgenes for root cell-type specific expression in Arabidopsis dual
GAL4-VP16 and HAP1-VP16 enhancer-trap lines
Table 6.2: Summary of vectors used for <i>UAS_{HAP1}</i> :Gateway® vector construction190
Table 6.3: Summary of entry vectors containing GOIs used for the construction of binary
expression vectors
Table 6.4: Primers for vector construction, sequencing and genotyping Arabidopsis
GAL4-VP16 and HAP1-VP16 lines transformed lines
Table 6.5: Overview of the vectors constructed, destination and expression vectors

List of Publications, Presentations and Conference Posters

General Publications

Gordon Wellman, (2013, March 30) Stranger than fiction: Food crops for a future, *Adelaide Advertiser*.

Radio Interviews

Gordon Wellman (Guest) & Sarah Martin (Presenter) (2015, October, 21), Taking Plant Genomics from Adelaide to Saudi Arabia [Radio broadcast]. In Sarah Martin (Producer), *The Sound of Science*. Adelaide, Australia, Radio Adelaide

Conference Posters

Gordon Wellman, Mahima Krishnan, Stuart Roy and Andrew Jacobs "Cell-type Specific Expression of Multiple Salt Tolerance Genes to Improve Plant Salinity Tolerance" International Workshop in Plant Membrane Biology (IWPMB) 2013, Kurashiki, Japan, March

Gordon Wellman, Mahima Krishnan, Stuart Roy & Andrew Jacobs "Cell-type Specific Expression of Multiple Salt Tolerance Genes to Improve Plant Salinity Tolerance" ComBio 2012, Adelaide, Australia, September 2012

Oral Presentations

Gordon Wellman, Mahima Krishnan, Stuart Roy and Andrew Jacobs "Cell-type Specific Expression of Multiple Salt Tolerance Genes to Improve Plant Salinity Tolerance", ACPFG Joint Research meeting, Adelaide, Australia, November 2014

Gordon Wellman, Mahima Krishnan, Stuart Roy and Andrew Jacobs "Cell-type Specific Expression of Multiple Salt Tolerance Genes to Improve Plant Salinity Tolerance", Shinozaki Lab, RIKEN, Tsukuba, Japan, March 2013

Gordon Wellman, Mahima Krishnan, Stuart Roy and Andrew Jacobs "Cell-type Specific Expression of Multiple Salt Tolerance Genes to Improve Plant Salinity Tolerance" ACPFG Joint Research meeting, Adelaide, Australia, November 2012

Gordon Wellman, Mahima Krishnan, Stuart Roy and Andrew Jacobs "Cell-type Specific Expression of Multiple Salt Tolerance Genes to Improve Plant Salinity Tolerance" ACPFG Joint Research meeting, Adelaide, Australia, November 2012

Gordon Wellman, Mahima Krishnan, Stuart Roy and Andrew Jacobs "Cell-type Specific Expression of Multiple Salt Tolerance Genes to Improve Plant Salinity Tolerance" University of Adelaide Post Graduate Symposium, Adelaide, Australia, September 2012

Abbreviations

number% percentage

[element] concentration of element. e.g. [Na⁺], [K⁺]

≈ approximately

× times

°C degrees Celsius
μg microgram(s)
μL microlitre(s)
μm micrometre(s)
μM micromolar
μmol micromole(s)

3'- three prime, of nucleic acid sequence. End of a coding sequence 5'- five prime, of nucleic acid sequence. Start of a coding sequence

A adenine aa amino acid

ABARE Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics

ABS Australian Bureau of Statistics

ACPFG Australian Centre for Plant Functional Genomics

AGRF Australian Genome Research Facility

Agrobacterium Agrobacterium tumefaciens

ANOVA Analysis of variance Arabidopsis Arabidopsis thaliana

At Arabidopsis thaliana. Prefix for Arabidopsis genes AtAVP1 Vacuolar pyrophatase 1 from Arabidopsis thaliana

ATP adenosine 5'-triphosphate ATPase adenosine 5'-triphosphatase

Barley Hordeum vulgare

BLAST Basic local alignment search tool

bp base pairs, of nucleic acid bovine serum albumin

C cytosine

C- carboxyl (COOH) - terminus of a peptide

C24 Arabidopsis ecotype C24 Ca / Ca²⁺ calcium / calcium cation

CaCl₂ calcium chloride

CaMV cauliflower mosaic virus

Cat. No: catalogue number

ccdB cytotoxic coupled cell division

cDNA complimentary deoxyribonucleic acid

CFP Cyan Flourescent Protein Cl / Cl chloride / chloride anion

cm centimetre(s) $(1 \text{ cm} = 1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m})$ Col-0 Arabidopsis ecotype Columbia-0

CSIRO Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation

cv. cultivar d day(s)

dATP deoxyadenosine triphosphate dCTP deoxycytidine triphosphate

dGTP deoxyguanosine triphosphate dH₂O deionised/distilled water DNA deoxyribonucleic acid

dNTP deoxynucleotide triphosphate

dNTPs mixture of equal equivalents of deoxynucleotide triphosphates

(dATP, dTTP, dCTP and dGTP)

dTTP deoxythymine triphosphate

dS deciSiemens

DW dry weight (of plant material)
EDTA ethylene diamine tetraacetate acid

ER endoplasmic reticulum
EST expressed sequence tag

FACS fluorescence-activated cell sorting

FAO Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations

FW fresh weight (of plant material)

F_y Progeny resulting from a hybridisation event - y refers to

generation from hybridisation

 F_1 – plants resulting from hybridisation, F_2 – progeny of F_1 plants...

etc.

g gravity g gram(s) G guanine

GAPdh glyceraldehydes- 3- phosphate dehydrogenase

gDNA genomic deoxyribonucleic acid GFP green fluorescent protein

GOI gene of interest

GSS genome survey sequence
GUS β-glucuronidase protein/assay

H⁺ hydrogen ion/proton

H⁺-ATPase proton translocating ATPase

H⁺-PPase proton translocating pyrophosphatase

H₂O dihydrogen monoxide

H2B Histone 2B

Ha hectare $(1 \text{ ha} = 1 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^2)$

HA haemagglutinin HCl hydrochloric acid

HKT High-affinity potassium transport

Hr hour(s)

Hv Hordeum vulgare, Barley. Prefix for barley genes

HvHVP1 Vacuolar H⁺-Pryrophosphatase 1 from Hordeum vulgare

Hyg hygromycin B

ICP-AES inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry

IMVSInstitute of Medical & Veterinary ScienceIPTGisopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranosideIRRIInternational Rice Research Institute

K / K⁺ potassium / potassium cation

KAc potassium acetate Kan kanamycin

kbp kilo base pairs, of nucleic acid

KCl potassium chloride

kg kilogram(s) km kilometre(s)

KOH potassium hydroxide

L litre(s)

LB left border, of T-DNA sequence
LB media Luria and Bertani medium

M molar
m metre(s)
max. maximum
mg milligram(s)
mL millilitre(s)
mm millimetre(s)
mM millimolar

Mha megahectares (1 ha = 1×10^4 m²)

MPa megapascal

Mg / Mg²⁺ magnesium / magnesium cation

MgCl₂ magnesium chloride Milli-Q H₂O ultra-pure water

min minute(s)

miRNA micro ribonucleic acid

Mn manganese Mol mole(s)

MPM transmembrane segment, pore, transmembrane segment domain

MPSS massively parallel signature sequence

mRNA messenger ribonucleic acid MS Murashige and Skoog medium

n sample size
ng nanogram(s)
nL nanolitre(s)
nm nanometre(s)
nM nanomolar
nA nanoampere

N- amino (NH₂) - terminus of a peptide

N/A not applicable N₂ nitrogen

Na / Na⁺ sodium / sodium cation

NaCl sodium chloride NaOH sodium hydroxide

NCBI National Center for Biotechnology Information

NH₄ ammonium

NHX1 Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger 1

No. number

nos nopaline synthase gene

nosT nopaline synthase polyA terminator sequence

NSCC non-selective cation channel

O/N overnight

Os Oryza sativa. Prefix for rice (Oryza sativa) genes

P Probability
P phosphorus
pg picogram(s)

pmol picomole(s)

pat phosphinotricin acetyl transferase conferring BASTA resistance.

PCR polymerase chain reaction

pCR8 entry vector pCRTM8/GW/TOPO Gateway®

P_i inorganic orthophosphate

PI propidium iodide

PP_i inorganic pyrophosphate

pro promoter

qPCR quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction

qRT-PCR quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

RACE rapid amplification of cDNA ends RB right border, of T-DNA sequence

Rice Oryza sativa
RNA ribonucleic acid
RNAi RNA interference
RO reverse osmosis

rpm revolutions per minute RT room temperature

RT-PCR reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction

 $egin{array}{lll} S & & sulphur \\ S & & second(s) \\ \end{array}$

S.E.M standard error of the mean

SARDI South Australian Research & Development Institute
Sc Saccharomyces cerevisiae (yeast). Prefix for yeast genes

SDS sodium dodecyl sulphate

Sec second(s)

siRNA short interfering ribonucleic acid SNP single nucleotide polymorphism(s)

SOB Super Optimal Broth SOS1 Salt Overly Sensitive 1

T thymine

Ta *Triticum aestivum* (wheat). Prefix for wheat genes.

TAE tris base, acetic acid and EDTA

TAIL-PCR thermal asymmetric interlaced polymerase chain reaction

TAIR The Arabidopsis Information Resource

T-DNA transfer deoxyribonucleic acid

TE tris-EDTA

TF transcription factor

T_m melting temperature, of primers

TPM transcripts per million

Tris tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane

T_x Progeny of transformation event - x refers to generation from

transformation

Barley: T_0 – initial transformants, T_1 – progeny of T_0 plants... etc. Arabidopsis: T_1 – initial transformants, T_2 – progeny of T_1 plants...

etc.

 T_xF_y Progeny resulting from hybridised transformants. See T_x and F_y .

 T_3F_1 – plants resulting from hybridisation of T_2 lines

 T_4F_2 – progeny of T_3F_1 plants... etc.

U units

UAS upstream activation sequence

 UAS_{GAL4} upstream activating sequence of GAL4 UAS_{HAP1} upstream activating sequence of HAP1

uid β -glucuronidase gene

USDA U.S. Department of Agriculture

UTR untranslated region

UV ultraviolet V Volts

v/v volume per volume w/w weight per weight

wk week(s)

X-Gal 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl- β -D-galactopyranoside X-Gluc 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl β -D-glucuronide

Xp xylem parenchyma

Xy Xylem