

Microelectrophoresis of Semiconductive Quantum
Dots

By

Mengke Han



THE UNIVERSITY

of ADELAIDE

A thesis submitted for the fulfilment of the
degree of Master of Philosophy

in the
Faculty of Sciences
School of Physical Sciences

Aug 2017

Declaration of Authorship

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed:

Date:

Table of contents

Declaration of Authorship	II
Abstract.....	VII
Acknowledgements.....	IX
List of Figures	XI
List of Tables.....	XVII
Abbreviations and Symbols for Units.....	XIX
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background and Motivation	1
1.1.1 Semiconductive QDs.....	4
1.1.2 Microelectrophoresis technique	7
1.2 State-of-the-art.....	9
1.2.1 Surface functionalization of semiconductive QDs	9
1.2.2 Iontophoresis and zeta potential.....	11
1.2.3 Size distribution of semiconductive QDs.....	17
1.2.4 Micropipettes and electrodes	19
1.2.5 Microinjection technique and agarose gel.....	22
1.3 Research Objectives.....	25
1.4 Thesis structure	26
1.5 References	28
2 Suspension preparation of semiconductive QDs	32
2.1 Introduction.....	32
2.2 Experimental procedures	34
2.2.1 Materials.....	34
2.2.2 Preparation of QDs suspension for TEM imaging	35

2.2.3	Intracellular recording tests for the determination of KCl concentration	37
2.2.4	Preparation of QDs samples with varying pH	40
2.2.5	Preparation of QDs samples by the first method	42
2.2.6	Preparation of QDs samples by the second and third methods	43
2.2.7	Measurement procedure for the size distribution of QDs	44
2.3	Results and discussion.....	45
2.3.1	The impact of KCl concentration on intracellular recording.....	45
2.3.2	Size, shape and density of QDs.....	49
2.3.3	Relation between pH and the zeta potential of QDs.....	52
2.3.4	Results and comparison of the three methods for QDs suspension preparation.....	54
2.3.5	The size distribution of QDs.....	59
2.4	Conclusions.....	62
2.5	References	64
3	Manufacture of micropipettes.....	66
3.1	Introduction.....	66
3.2	Experimental procedures	69
3.2.1	Manufacture of micropipettes.....	69
3.3	Results and discussion.....	70
3.3.1	Tip sizes of micropipettes	70
3.4	Conclusions.....	72
3.5	References	73
4	Microinjection of semiconductive QDs.....	74
4.1	Introduction.....	74
4.2	Materials and Method.....	76
4.2.1	Measurement procedure for the fluorescence spectrum of QDs	76
4.2.2	Preparation of agarose gels	77
4.2.3	Microinjection of QDs	78

4.2.4	Fluorescence microscopy: measurement of the fluorescence signals of ejected QDs	80
4.3	Results and discussion.....	80
4.3.1	Fluorescence spectra of QDs.....	80
4.3.2	Fluorescence image of agarose gels doped with QDs.....	81
4.3.3	Microinjection of QDs.....	82
4.4	Conclusions.....	87
4.5	References	87
5	Microelectrophoresis of semiconductive QDs.....	89
5.1	Introduction.....	89
5.2	Experimental procedures	90
5.2.1	Preparation of QDs in 3 M KCl solutions.....	90
5.2.2	Preparation of agarose gels	90
5.2.3	Microelectrophoresis of QDs.....	91
5.2.3.1	Long-term ejection method: measurement of the accumulation of ejected QDs on the counter electrode	92
5.2.3.2	3M KCl accumulation method: measurement of the accumulation of ejected QDs under agarose gels.....	93
5.2.3.3	Fluorescence microscopy: measurement of the fluorescence signals of ejected QDs.....	94
5.3	Results and discussion.....	94
5.3.1	Aggregation of QDs in 3 M KCl solutions	94
5.3.2	Microelectrophoresis of QDs.....	96
5.3.2.1	Long-term ejection method: detection of ejected QDs on the counter electrode.....	96
5.3.2.2	3 M KCl accumulation method: detection of ejected QDs under agarose gels	98
5.4	Conclusions.....	101
5.5	References	102
6	Conclusions	103

Appendix	105
Materials and Instruments	105
Zetasizer parameter setting	108
The quality of zeta potential data.....	108
References.....	110

Abstract

Semiconductive quantum dots (QDs) with superior optical properties, have been used as unique fluorescent probes in biological sensing and labelling. The effective intracellular delivery of QDs is critical to those biological applications. Microelectrophoresis is a promising technique to precisely deliver monodispersed nanoparticles into target cells with negligible cell membrane damage and cell distortion. In addition, it can record the intracellular electrical activities of target cells at the same time. This thesis aims to achieve for the first time the intracellular delivery of QDs via microelectrophoresis technique.

Microelectrophoresis technique has been well established to eject charged substances from fine-tipped glass micropipettes into tissue and cells via electrical currents. However, few studies have paid any attention to exploring standard experimental protocols for the intracellular microelectrophoretic ejection of biocompatible nanoparticles. The success of microelectrophoresis is largely limited by the aggregation of nanoparticles and subsequent blockages in the tip of micropipettes during ejection, which is caused by the colloidal instability of nanoparticles when the attractive van der Waals forces between them prevail over the repulsive electrostatic forces. Thus, successful microelectrophoresis requires optimized suspensions with monodispersed nanoparticles within micropipettes to avoid blockage. To improve the delivery, the tip size, current magnitude and ejection duration should be screened in parallel for the optimal parameters.

To address the above-mentioned requirements, Chapter 2 provides an effective experimental protocol for the preparation of QDs suspensions for filling

micropipettes, which has balanced the stability of QDs against the electrolytic conductivity of suspensions. In Chapter 3, micropipettes have been designed and manufactured with suitable tip inner diameters (IDs) for the size distribution of QDs suspensions, which has been demonstrated in Chapter 4 via microinjection technique. Finally, in Chapter 5, QDs have been successfully ejected out of micropipettes via microelectrophoresis and observed under a fluorescence microscope. The success of microelectrophoresis technique in ejecting semiconductive QDs described in this thesis has paved the way for managing a variety of other biocompatible nanoparticles with proper surface functional groups in either intracellular or extracellular delivery for various biological research.

Acknowledgements

This was an enjoyable and memorable transdisciplinary research experience, where I have had the great chance to learn from and work with many scientists from various research fields such as nanomaterials, inorganic chemistry, electrochemistry, electrophysiology, neuroscience, optics and photonics. It is my great pleasure to acknowledge everyone for their support and guidance to me throughout my degree of Master of Philosophy (MPhil).

First of all, I would like to thank my supervisors Heike Ebendorff-Heidepriem, Steven Wiederman and Yinlan Ruan. This is a great team with a glass scientist, an electrophysiologist and a physicist. Without their guidance and support, it would have been impossible for me to achieve the research presented in the thesis. Thanks to all supervisors for editing this thesis.

I would like to acknowledge Jiangbo Zhao, Joseph Mahandas Fabian, Herbert Tze Cheung Foo, Jingxian Yu, Yunle Wei and Xuanzhao Pan for many discussions and advices, which helped me enormously throughout my MPhil. Thanks to Jiangbo Zhao also for help with proof-reading this thesis.

I acknowledge the support from some of the microscopists at Adelaide Microscopy; they are Ken Neubauer, Lyn Waterhouse and Agatha Labrinidis. A lot of the data presented in this thesis were measured by the well-maintained SEM, TEM and optical microscope instruments at Adelaide Microscopy. They are acknowledged also for many useful discussions regarding measurements and data analysis.

Finally and most importantly, I would like to give special thanks to my partner Hongwei Yu, my friend Yihong Zhao, my mum Wen Yuan and my dad Hui Han for their love, support and encouragement to me over years. Without the support from friends and family, I could not imagine that I can complete this thesis.

List of Figures

- Figure 1.1 | Electronic density of the states (DOS) of a bulk three dimensional (3D) crystalline material, a two dimensional (2D) quantum well, a one dimensional (1D) quantum wire, and a zero dimensional (0D) QD. The insets show the corresponding spatial confinement from directions that are defined by arrows. Reproduced from [19].5
- Figure 1.2 | Absorption (left) and emission (right) spectra of Cadmium Selenide (CdSe) semiconductor nanocrystals (NCs) showing quantum confinement. AU = arbitrary units. The first absorption peak, i.e. the peak with the lowest energy, is the absorption onset. Reproduced from [21]......5
- Figure 1.3 | Micrograph of a sharp-tipped micropipette that was pulled from a borosilicate glass capillary using a micropipette puller (Model P-97 Flaming/Brown). The blue arrow shows the meniscus of solution in the tip for microelectrophoresis. Reproduced from [26]......7
- Figure 1.4 | Schematic illustration of surface functionalization strategies to prepare charged QDs, including small charged adsorbants (top left), bulky polyelectrolytes (top right), charged surfactants (bottom left) and additional inorganic shells (bottom right). Reproduced from [1]......10
- Figure 1.5 | Schematic illustration of electrical double layer structure and zeta potential: ionic concentration and potential differences as a function of distance from the charged surface of a particle suspended in a medium. Reproduced from [40].13
- Figure 1.6 | The influence of solution IS and pH on the zeta potential of titanium dioxide (TiO₂) nanoparticles. Reproduced from [44].15

Figure 1.7 Schematic illustration of a folded capillary cell. Reproduced from [50].	17
Figure 1.8 The silver/silver chloride electrode. Reproduced from [54].	20
Figure 1.9 Segmental 2D structure of agarose polymers. Reproduced from [58].	24
Figure 1.10 A flow chart showing the connection between chapters in this thesis.	26
Figure 2.1 The flow chart of three proposed methods for QDs suspension preparation. The black, red and blue flow paths represent the first, second and third methods respectively.	32
Figure 2.2 Schematic illustration of the surface functional groups of 655-QDs. Reproduced from [4].	35
Figure 2.3 Absorption (dash line) and fluorescence (solid line) spectra under 405 nm laser excitation (violet line) of 655-QDs. Reproduced from [9].	35
Figure 2.4 Schematic illustration of TEM sample preparation.	36
Figure 2.5 High resolution SEM image of a micropipette with tip ID estimated at 130 nm. The orifice of the micropipette is the black hole at the centre of the image.	38
Figure 2.6 The distribution of tip ID of 59 micropipettes pulled with the same program.	38
Figure 2.7 Schematic illustration of experiment setup for intracellular recording of dragonflies.	40
Figure 2.8 The impact of KCl concentration and tip ID on intracellular recording. a, b, The influence of KCl concentration on the resistance and noise	

of micropipettes with tip ID of 20 and 30 nm. c, d, The influence of tip ID on the resistance and noise of micropipettes filled with 2.00 and 0.01 M KCl.46

Figure 2.9 | a, The intracellular electrical activities of a visual neuron in the dragonfly in response to visual stimulus in Test 8. b, The partial enlargement of the resting membrane potential. The SD of the membrane potential between spikes is calculated as the noise.47

Figure 2.10 | The accurate size and shape of 655-QDs. a, High resolution TEM image of 5 nM 655-QDs. One of them is designated with a red circle. b, An ellipse with the major axis of 10.9 nm and the minor axis of 7.3 nm that represents the average shape and size of 655-QDs.....50

Figure 2.11 | The relationship between pH of the suspension and the zeta potential of 655-QDs. Error bars, ± 1 SD with n=3 each.....53

Figure 2.12 | The flow chart of the three methods.56

Figure 2.13 | The zeta potential and pH of Samples 10 - 17. The black, red and blue plots represent samples prepared by the first, second and third method respectively. Error bars, ± 1 SD with n=3 each. The black, red and blue arrows represent the sequence of samples in the three methods respectively.57

Figure 2.14 | The size distribution by intensity plots of three measurements of Sample 17. Error bars, ± 1 SD with n=3 each. The red lines indicate the primary size of 655-QDs at 10.9 nm (major axis) and the twice of their primary size at 21.8 nm.60

Figure 3.1 | A schematic illustration of the structure of a micropipette, including tip, shank, shoulder and stem. Reproduced from [3]..... 66

Figure 3.2 | Micropipette puller, Model P-97. a, The box filament in the chamber, glass capillary, puller bars and clamps in the micropipette puller

(front view). b, The box filament, glass capillary and air nozzle in the micropipette puller (side view). Reproduced from [4]. Only half of the glass capillary is shown.....67

Figure 3.3 | a, Micrograph of an aluminosilicate glass micropipette pulled with Program 5. Scale bar, 200 μm . b, High resolution SEM image of a micropipette pulled with Program 5. Scale bar, 500 nm. The tip ID is 84 nm. The orifice of the micropipette is the black hole near the centre of the image.71

Figure 4.1 | FESEM image of a 2.0 % agarose gel (after drying). Scale bar, 1 μm . The agarose gel solution was prepared over 90°C and then cooled down to room temperature and dried. Reprinted with permission from Elsevier [6]. .75

Figure 4.2 | Experiment setup of fluorescence measurement. Reproduced from [10].77

Figure 4.3 | Experiment setup of microinjection experiments. The area to be observed under the microscope after microelectrophoresis is designated with red frames. a, Schematic illustration of experiment apparatus (side view). The violet and red arrows represent the excitation light from the objective and the fluorescence from ejected 655-QDs particles respectively. b, Micrograph of the experiment setup (top view). Scale bar, 500 μm79

Figure 4.4 | Fluorescence spectrum of 1 nM 655-QDs in ultrapure water (a.u. = Arbitrary Units).81

Figure 4.5 | Fluorescence image of 655-QDs in a 2.0 % agarose gel (filter 2; exposure time: 5.4 ms). The red dots are 655-QDs aggregates. Scale bar, 200 μm82

Figure 4.6 | Fluorescence image of ejected RDB molecules in the agarose gel in Test 1. Scale bar, 500 μm . The micropipette was pierced into the gel from the right and removed before imaging. The position of micropipette is shown by

the white lines and arrow. The inset shows the micrograph of the hand-broken micropipette with a tip ID of 31.40 μm that was used in Test 1. Scale bar, 100 μm84

Figure 4.7 | Fluorescence image of micropipettes that were removed from the agarose gel in Test 2 (a) (filter 2; exposure time: 292.9 ms) and 3 (b) (filter 2; exposure time: 50 ms). Scale bar, 200 μm . The micropipettes in (a) and (b) have the same tip ID of 130 nm.85

Figure 4.8 | The results of Test 3 and 4. The position where the tip of micropipettes was pierced into the agarose gel is designated with white lines and circles. Scale bar, 200 μm . a, c, Micrographs of the 0.7 % and 2.0 % agarose gels in Test 3 and 4 respectively. b, d, Fluorescence images of the 0.7 % and 2.0 % agarose gels in Test 3 and 4 respectively.86

Figure 5.1 | Schematic illustration of creating agarose gels with high IS.91

Figure 5.2 | The experiment apparatus of the long-term ejection method (side view). The area a and b designated with red frames were observed under the fluorescence microscope after microelectrophoresis.92

Figure 5.3 | The experiment apparatus of the 3M KCl accumulation method (side view). The area of glass slide designated with red frame was observed under the fluorescence microscope after microelectrophoresis.93

Figure 5.4 | The size distribution by number (percent) of Sample “655-QDs_3M-KCl”. Error bars, ± 1 SD with $n=3$ each. The red line indicates the primary size of 655-QDs at 10.9 nm.95

Figure 5.5 | Fluorescence image of the Ag/AgCl counter electrode after microelectrophoresis in the Long-term injection method (filter 2; exposure time: 121.1 ms). a, The area a of Ag/AgCl counter electrode. Scale bar, 500 μm . Inserted with the magnified red fluorescence region designated with the white

frame. Scale bar, 100 μm . b, The area b of the Ag/AgCl counter electrode. Scale bar, 200 μm 98

Figure 5.6 | Fluorescence image of ejected QDs near KCl crystals on the glass slide after microelectrophoresis in the 3M KCl accumulation method. Scale bar, 200 μm . a, Filter 1; exposure time: 959.4 ms. b, Filter 2; exposure time: 292.9 ms. 100

Figure A.1 | The phase plots, frequency plots and zeta potential distributions of three measurements of Sample 8 (pH 9.81 at 22.8°C). Rad=Radian. 110

List of Tables

Table 1-1 Bleaching times of different fluorescent materials. Reproduced from [25].	6
Table 2-1 Temperature and pH values of Samples 1 - 9. The sequence of samples is ascending with pH.	42
Table 2-2 The concentration (Conc.) of KCl, tip ID, resistance of micropipette, and noise in intracellular recording tests.	45
Table 2-3 The zeta potential of 655-QDs in the Samples 1 - 9.	52
Table 2-4 The zeta potential of 655-QDs and Conc. of KCl in Samples 10 - 17 prepared by the three methods.	55
Table 2-5 The size distribution by intensity results of Sample 17. The sequence of the peaks is ascending with the peak size. ± 1 SD with $n=3$ each.	60
Table 3-1 The parameters of the pulling programs and the tip ID of micropipettes. The sequence of programs is ascending with the tip sizes.	70
Table 4-1 Interference filters equipped on Olympus BX51 optical microscope.	80
Table 4-2 The Conc. of compounds that were filled within micropipettes, the tip ID of micropipettes, agarose gels Conc., pressure and ejection duration for each microinjection experiment.	83
Table 5-1 The size distribution result of Sample "655-QDs_3M-KCl". The sequence of the peaks is ascending with the peak size (± 1 SD with $n=3$ each).	95

Table A-1 | All materials and instruments that were mentioned in this thesis.
They are sorted by initials in each subcategory.....105

Abbreviations and Symbols for Units

Ω	Ohm
μL	Microliter
μM	Micromole per litre
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	Celsius degree
μm	Micrometre
0D	Zero dimensional
1D	One dimensional
2D	Two dimensional
3D	Three dimensional
A/D	Analog-to-digital
Ag	Silver
Ag^+	Silver ion
AgCl	Silver chloride
AR	Analytical reagent
AU/a.u.	Arbitrary units
CdSe	Cadmium selenide
Cl^-	Chloride ion
cm	Centimeter
Conc.	Concentration
cP	Centipoise
DLS	Dynamic light scattering
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DOS	Electronic density of the states
e^-	Electron
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
eV	Electronvolt

FESEM	Field emission scanning electron microscope
H ⁺	Hydrogen ion
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
Hz	Hertz
ID	Inner diameter
IS	Ionic strength
K ⁺	Potassium ion
KCl	Potassium chloride
kHz	Kilohertz
LCD	Liquid crystal display
M	Mole per liter
MΩ	Megaohm
mL	Millilitre
mm	Millimeter
mM	Millimole per litre
ms	Millisecond
mV	Millivolt
mW	Milliwatt
nA	Nanoampere
Na ⁺	Sodium ion
NaCl	Sodium chloride
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
NCs	Nanocrystals
nm	Nanometer
nM	Nanomole per litre
OD	Outer diameter
OH ⁻	Hydroxide ion
PCS	Photon correlation spectroscopy
PEG	Polyethylene glycol

pH	Potential of hydrogen
pKa	Acid dissociation constant
PL	Photoluminescence
QDs	Quantum dots
rad	Radian
RDB	Rhodamine b
rpm	Revolutions per minute
S	Sulfur
s	Second
SD	Standard deviation
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
STMD	Small target motion detector
TAE	Tris-acetate-EDTA
TEM	Transmission electron microscope
TiO ₂	Titanium dioxide
UV	Ultraviolet
V	Volt
W	Watt
ZnS	Zinc sulfide