

**Petulant and Contrary: Approaches by the Permanent Five Members  
of the Security Council to the Concept of ‘Threat to the Peace’ under  
Article 39 of the UN Charter**

By Tamsin Phillipa Paige

A PhD Thesis at Adelaide Law School, University of Adelaide, January 2017.

## **Dedications**

### **Dr Rob McLaughlin**

You went out on a limb and supported me before there was any empirical reason to do so; for that, I will be forever grateful and will owe you a debt I cannot repay. A young academic could not ask for a better mentor, colleague and friend.

### **Erica Flannes and Ki Hunt**

For very different reasons, I would not have survived the phenomena that is New York City without you both.

### **Gwen, Bernice and Deon van der Schyff**

You all gave me insight into what it looks like when a family is healthy and mostly functional.

# Table of Contents

Petulant and Contrary: Approaches by the Permanent Five Members of the Security Council to the Concept of ‘Threat to the Peace’ under Article 39 of the UN Charter.....	1
Dedications .....	2
Table of Contents.....	3
Declaration of Originality .....	11
Abstract.....	12
Acknowledgements.....	14
Preface .....	17
Introductory Overview.....	18
Chapter 1: History and Importance of Article 39 .....	26
The Importance of Article 39 in International Law and the Current Landscape: .....	28
The Language of Power:.....	37
Conclusion: .....	47
Chapter 2: Critical Discourse Analysis and Case Study Selection .....	49
Exploring ‘Threat to the Peace’ Through Critical Discourse Analysis: .....	49
How Case Study Selection Occurred:.....	55
Chapter 3: Spain 1946 (Resolutions 4 (1946), 7 (1946) and 10 (1946)).....	60
Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	60
Context of the Debates:.....	60
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	61
Summary of Coding:.....	67
Chapter 4: Palestine 1948 (Resolution 54 (1948)).....	68

Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	68
Context of the Debates: .....	68
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	70
Summary of Coding: .....	76
Chapter 5: Portuguese African Territories (Resolution 180 (1963)) .....	77
Relevance to Overall Project: .....	77
Context of the Debates: .....	77
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	79
Summary of Coding: .....	86
Chapter 6: Apartheid in South Africa 1963–77 (Resolutions 181, 182 (1963), 190, 191 (1964), 282 (1973), 311 (1972), 417 and 418 (1977)) .....	88
Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	88
Context of the Debates: .....	88
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	90
Summary of Coding: .....	97
Chapter 7: Vietnamese Intervention into Cambodia (1978–79) .....	99
Relevance to Overall Project: .....	99
Context of the Debates: .....	100
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	101
Summary of Coding: .....	106
Chapter 8: US–Iran Hostage Crisis (Resolutions 457 and 461 (1979)) .....	108
Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	108
Context of the Debates: .....	109
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	110
Summary of Coding: .....	115

Chapter 9: Namibian Occupation by South Africa 1981–83 (Resolutions 532 and 539 (1983))	116
.....	116
Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	116
Context of the Debates:.....	116
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	118
Summary of Coding:.....	122
Chapter 10: Repression of a Civilian Population—Iraq 1991 (Resolution 688 (1991)).....	124
Relevance to Overall Project: .....	124
Context of the Debates:.....	124
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	125
Summary of Coding:.....	128
Chapter 11: Civil War in Yugoslavia 1991 (Resolution 713 (1991)) .....	130
Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	130
Context of the Debates:.....	130
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	131
Summary of Coding:.....	135
Chapter 12: The Coup in Haiti 1991–93 (Resolution 841).....	137
Relevance to Overall Project: .....	137
Context of the Debates:.....	137
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	138
Summary of Coding:.....	142
Chapter 13: Extradition of Pan Am Flight 103 Bombing Suspects and Access to Information related to UTA flight 772 Bombing, 1992 (Resolutions 731 and 748 (1992)).....	143
Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	143
Context of the Debates:.....	143

Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	145
Summary of Coding: .....	150
Chapter 14: Rwandan Civil War and Genocide 1993–94 (Resolutions 812 (1993), 846 (1993), 872 (1993), 893 (1994), 909 (1994), 912 (1994), and 918 (1994)) .....	151
Relevance to Overall Project: .....	151
Context of the Debates: .....	152
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	155
Summary of Coding: .....	160
Chapter 15: Afghanistan 1999 (Resolution 1267) .....	162
Relevance to Overall Project: .....	162
Context of the Debate: .....	162
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	163
Summary of Coding: .....	166
Chapter 16: East Timor Intervention 1999 (Resolution 1264) .....	168
Relevance to Overall Project: .....	168
Context of the Debates: .....	168
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	170
Summary of Coding: .....	174
Chapter 17: Small Arms Trade (Resolution 2117 and the Arms Trade Treaty) .....	176
Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	176
Context of the Debates: .....	177
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	178
Summary of Coding: .....	183
Chapter 18: AIDS Epidemic in Africa and Peacekeeping Operations 2000–05 .....	184
Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	184

Context of the Debates:.....	184
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	186
Summary of Coding:.....	190
Chapter 19: Non-Proliferation of WMDs: Resolutions 1441 (2002), 1540 (2004), 1696 (2006), 1718 (2006).....	191
Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	191
Context of the Debates:.....	191
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	192
Summary of Coding:.....	200
Chapter 20: UK and US Use of Force against Iraq 2003.....	201
Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	201
Context of the Debates:.....	201
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	202
Summary of Coding:.....	206
Chapter 21: Sexual Violence as a Tactic of War: ‘Women and Peace and Security’, and ‘Children and Armed Conflict’ (Resolutions 1820 (2008), 1882 (2009), 1888 (2009), and 1960 (2010)) .....	207
Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	207
Context of the Debates:.....	209
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	211
Summary of Coding:.....	218
Chapter 22: Piracy: Somalia and Gulf of Guinea .....	220
Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	220
Context of the Debates:.....	221
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	223

Summary of Coding: .....	227
Chapter 23: Civil War in Syria .....	228
Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	228
Context of the Debates: .....	229
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	231
Summary of Coding: .....	238
Chapter 24: Chemical Weapons (2013): Resolution 2118 .....	240
Relevance to the Overall Project: .....	240
Context of the Debates: .....	241
Justificatory Discourse of the P5: .....	242
Summary of Coding: .....	247
Chapter 25: Meta-Synthesis Overview .....	248
Chapter 26: General Meta-Synthesis Observations .....	254
Overview: .....	254
The Facts of the Matter: .....	254
The Effect of Recommendations: .....	258
Chapter 27: US Meta-Synthesis .....	261
Overview: .....	261
General Observations: .....	261
Opposition to a Finding: .....	265
Support for a Finding: .....	267
Chapter 28: UK Meta-Synthesis .....	272
Overview: .....	272
General Observations: .....	272



Opposition to a Finding: .....	276
Support for a Finding:.....	277
Chapter 29: France Meta-Synthesis .....	281
Overview: .....	281
General Observations:.....	281
Opposition to a Finding: .....	282
Support for a Finding:.....	283
Chapter 30: Russia Meta-Synthesis .....	287
Overview: .....	287
General Observations:.....	287
Opposition to a Finding: .....	289
Support for a Finding:.....	292
Chapter 31: China Meta-Synthesis .....	297
Overview: .....	297
General Observations:.....	297
Opposition to a Finding: .....	299
Support for a Finding:.....	303
Conclusion .....	306
Annex.....	317
Bibliography .....	329
Books: .....	329
Journal Articles:.....	330
Letters and Reports: .....	333
Cases:.....	339

Treaties and Legal Instruments:.....339

Resolutions: .....340

Meeting Records:.....342

Other Resources:.....351

## **Declaration of Originality**

I, Tamsin Phillipa Paige, certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

I acknowledge the support I have received for my research through the provision of an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship.

I acknowledge the proofreading conducted by Elite Editing in accordance with the ASEP rules.

Signed:

Dated:

## Abstract

As both a political concept and a legal consequence, a determination that a ‘threat to the peace’ exists in a given situation has unparalleled ramifications—including enlivening the United Nations Security Council’s (UNSC) powers and authorities under Chapter VII, which can in turn provide a foundation for military intervention. But for all of its political context and content, the UNSC’s authority to make this threshold determination regarding the existence of a ‘threat to the peace’ is a legal obligation and does not receive a totally unfettered discretion. Such decisions must, among other requirements, at the very least remain within the limits of the Purposes and Principles of the Charter. Further, the ability to determine whether a ‘threat to the peace’ exists forms the normative cornerstone of the Security Council’s mandate to maintain international peace and security. Situations in which the Security Council has opted to determine that a ‘threat to the peace’ exists are wide-ranging, and have included human rights violations in South Africa during apartheid, refugee concerns, international armed conflict, terrorism, civil war and the defence of democracy.

Aside from Article 51 of the United Nations (UN) Charter, a UNSC authorisation under Articles 39–42 in Chapter VII is the only exception to the prohibition of the use of force provided for in Article 2(4) of the UN Charter. To authorise military intervention within a given situation, particularly when using its Article 42 authority, the Security Council must first determine whether that situation constitutes a ‘threat to the peace’ under Article 39 of the Charter. The Charter has long been interpreted as placing few restrictions around how the Security Council arrives at such determinations; indeed, the phrase ‘threat to the peace’ was left intentionally undefined during the drafting of the UN Charter. Commentators have thus hypothesised that the phrase ‘threat to the peace’ is undefinable in nature and that such decisions are fluid, arbitrary and lacking in consistency. This thesis tests this hypothesis by undertaking critical discourse analysis of the Permanent Five’s (P5) justificatory discourse surrounding individual decisions of this nature, and then performing a meta-synthesis of the case studies to demonstrate that each P5

member approaches the question in a very consistent manner, and that each member's consistent approach shows that they all have a working legal definition of what the phrase 'threat to the peace' means in the context of Article 39 of the UN Charter. The flow-on effect of this is that a Security Council-wide definition of 'threat to the peace' exists in a middle ground of these five national understandings. This in turn allows for greater levels of predictability when trying to ascertain when the Security Council will choose to act.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the following people for all of their assistance and support throughout the process of this PhD. First, I would like to thank my supervisors, Dr Dale Stephens and Dr Rebecca LaForgia, for their insight and support and the ways in which they challenged my research since the beginning of 2014. I would particularly like to thank them for the manner in which they took in stride my absence for over 12 months to take up visiting positions at the University of Nottingham and Columbia Law School. I would also like to thank Dr Rob McLaughlin from the Australian National University for reasons that are already detailed above. I would like to thank the administrative staff at the Adelaide Law School and the staff at the university's disability services centre for the efforts that were made to accommodate the adjustments I needed to be able to work with my spinal problems. I would also like to thank my fellow PhD candidates at the Adelaide Law School, in particular Stacey Henderson (I'll miss you when I move away, but after New York, we are used to this), Claire Williams, Gabby Golding and James Stewart, for being great colleagues. At Flinders University, I would like to thank Dr Angela Melville for being a great colleague, adviser and friend; thanks also to Jesse Barker Gale for being a friend and colleague.

In my Adelaide community, I would like to thank a number of people, in no particular order. First, I would like to thank Gwen, Bernice and Deon van der Schyff; you opened your home and your hearts to me and adopted me into your family, something that has been a joy and a privilege. To Geetanjali Rangeekar, my dear friend, your friendship was a lifeline through the drama and ridiculousness that comes with doing a PhD; may we have more cocktails and dirty chai lattes when our paths cross in the future (also Guges LOL)! I would also like to thank Sophie Beers for being a great friend and yelling at me in the most encouraging and loving ways. For similar reasons, I would like to thank Val Duval for his friendship and for training me. A big thank you to Claudette Yazbek for being an amazing human and for all of the baking and politics summits. Thanks, as well to the Adelaide University RPG Society for providing some entertainment and community in my first year. Pity that your high school petty drama got

to be a bit too much for me—regardless, thanks and I wish you all well. Finally, on the Adelaide front, I'd like to thank all of the people I dated for helping me realise that I am so much happier when I am single.

Internationally, I would like to thank the University of Nottingham and Columbia Law School for hosting me as a Visiting Scholar and the Federal Government of Australia Department of Education for funding these positions through the Endeavour Postgraduate Scholarship. In particular, I would like to thank Professor Matthew Waxman for sponsoring my Endeavour research grant application and my Visiting Scholar position at Columbia. Many thanks to Scope Global for managing the details of my Endeavour Scholarship, particularly my case managers Natalie Webb and Ammeline Balanag. I would also like to thank Professor Nigel White for hosting me at the University of Nottingham. In the Visiting Scholar community at Columbia, I would like to thank Professor Christina Tvarnø for supporting my career and being a wonderful friend, Rán Tryggvadóttir and Frank Gaioui for being friends and organising the VS Forums, and Dr Şeyda Emek and Bella Kang for being wonderful company. In my New York community, I would like to thank Erica Flannes—words cannot describe how much I will miss you. Funny how my hunt for a tattoo artist led to such a wonderful friendship. I would also like to thank Ki Hunt; you are a great friend and hockey is magical. Many thanks to Dr Amanda Gellar at NYU for the coffee and conversation. Finally, I would like to thank Evan Jewell for helping me get settled when I got off the plane at JFK. Elsewhere around the world, I would like to thank Mary-Anne and Cam Jack, Helen Travers, Trent Hansen, Will and Amanda Nichols, Dr Katherine Grocott, Dr Robyn Goodwin, Sze-Wei Chan and Beatrix van Dissel for all of your friendship and support.

Finally, I would like to thank all of the musicians who have made it possible for me to stay sane and actually get any work done. In no particular order, some of them are Fiona Apple, Garbage, Heather Nova, Veruca Salt, Korn, Rage Against the Machine, Red Hot Chili Peppers, Audioslave, the Beastie Boys, Ben Folds, Black Flag, the Bloods, Bran Van 3000, the

Superjesus, Catatonia, the Clash, Skulker, Massive Attack, Queens of the Stone Age, Sneaker Pimps, N.W.A., the Hilltop Hoods, Dido, Jurassic 5, Sahara Hotnights, Nirvana, Fatboy Slim, Letters to Cleo, the Waifs, the Whitlams, the Pixies, Kate Miller-Heidke, Live, Run-DMC, Little Birdy, Faith No More, PJ Harvey, the Cardigans, Placebo, Magic Dirt, the White Stripes, Emiliana Torrini, the Donnas, Evanescence, Everlast, Eric Clapton, Everclear, Jamiroquai, Jessy Greene, Joan Jett and the Blackhearts, Johnny Cash, Marilyn Manson, Miles Davis, Nick Cave and the Bad Seeds, Nine Inch Nails, Northern States, Portishead, Sia, Santana, the Spazzys, Tori Amos, Rilo Kiley and TLC. A public playlist of the songs that summarise this thesis can be found on Spotify, entitled *Songs from the PhD*

(<https://open.spotify.com/user/1248787021/playlist/38e6Bt5q1dwBW2J9nsgTBT>).