



**THEORETICAL INVESTIGATION OF
AUSTRALIAN DESIGNED REINFORCED
CONCRETE FRAMES SUBJECTED TO
EARTHQUAKE LOADING**

By Anthony K.M. Wong

March 1999

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

The University of Adelaide

CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENT	i
ABSTRACT	iv
STATEMENT	vi
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	vii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 OVERVIEW ON EXPERIMENTAL RESEARCH	4
2.1.1 Beam-Column Joint Behaviour	5
2.2 OVERVIEW OF THEORETICAL MODELS	12
2.2.1 Stiffness/Strength Degradation And Hysteresis Models	12
2.3 CONCLUSION	20
CHAPTER 3 - COMPUTER MODELLING OF BEAM-COLUMN JOINTS ..	22
3.1 INTRODUCTION	22
3.2 RUAUMOKO	23
3.2.1 Mass Matrix	23
3.2.2 Damping Matrix	23
3.2.3 Stiffness Matrix	24
3.2.4 Hysteresis Rules For Stiffness Degradation	24
3.2.5 Loading	25
3.2.6 Time-History Integration	25
3.3 MELBOURNE UNIVERSITY 1/2 SCALE JOINT MODEL CALIBRATION	25

3.3.1 Hysteresis Model.....	27
3.3.1.1 Q-HYST Degrading stiffness hysteresis model.....	27
3.3.1.2 MUTO Degrading tri-linear hysteresis.....	28
3.3.1.3 MEHRAN KESHAVARZIAN Degrading and pinching hysteresis model	29
3.3.2 Pilot Computer Joint Model.....	30
3.3.3 Computer joint Model Calibration.....	33
3.4 CONCLUSION.....	35
CHAPTER 4 - COMPUTER MODELLING - FRAME MODELLING	37
4.1 INTRODUCTION	37
4.2 UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE 1/5 SCALE FRAME TEST COMPARISON...	38
4.2.1 Calibrated Model - Melbourne Joint Model.....	40
4.2.1.1 EQ05 (EPA=0.047g).....	42
4.2.1.2 EQ08 (EPA=0.078g).....	48
4.2.1.3 EQ11 (EPA=0.105g).....	54
4.2.2 Adelaide Calibrated Model.....	59
4.2.2.1 EQ05 (EPA=0.047g).....	59
4.2.2.2 EQ08 (EPA=0.078g).....	64
4.2.2.3 EQ11 (EPA=0.105g).....	68
4.3 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	77
CHAPTER 5 - PROTOTYPE BUILDING	75
5.1 INTRODUCTION	75
5.2 PROTOTYPE BUILDING.....	76
5.3 INTERNAL FRAME	78
5.3.1 Earthquake Test 5 (EPA=0.047g).....	79
5.3.2 Earthquake Test 8 (EPA=0.078g).....	85

5.3.3 Earthquake Test 10 (EPA=0.105g).....	91
5.3.4 Prior To Failure.....	98
5.4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	107
CHAPTER 6 - CONCLUSION	113
6.1 SUMMARY.....	113
6.2 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....	115
REFERENCES.....	117
APPENDIX A.....	125
APPENDIX B	134

ABSTRACT

Research into the behaviour of structures under seismic/earthquake loading was accelerated following the 1989 Newcastle earthquake and the 1990 Kobe earthquake. Two main points are to be learned from these two events: (1) an area of moderate reactivity can be caught unprepared by an unexpected earthquake and (2) there is a need not only to improved the behaviour of newly designed structures but also to understand how an existing typically "Australian designed" structure will behave under earthquake loading.

The new Australian Loading code AS1170.4 - Minimum Designed Loads on Structures Part 4 - introduced new design methods to bring Australia in line with the design methods used around the world. In areas of low seismicity, structures tend to be governed by gravity and/or wind loads and this type of structures would exhibit little ductility thus will perform poorly under earthquake loading. The research presented is concentrated on the behaviour of reinforced concrete frame structures designed in accordance with AS3600 - concrete structures code. There are three types of moment resisting frames (1) normal moment resisting frame, (2) intermediate moment resisting frame and (3) special moment resisting frame. The normal moment resisting frame was chosen to be investigated because it represented the majority of the existing structures of this type. Furthermore, no special provision in terms of detailing of the reinforcement was made to make allowance for seismic loading with normal moment resisting frame.

A non-linear computer model of a reinforced beam-column joint was produced using the computer analysis program called "Ruaumoko" which allowed the modelling of joint stiffness and strength degradation. A hysteresis rule was chosen by comparing analytical results using the model to the experimental results of 1/2 scale quasi-static joint tests carried out at the University of Melbourne. After calibrating the initial stiffness and the yield level to the Melbourne tests results, the model was used to predict the behaviour of a full scale equivalent of the 1/5 scale reinforced concrete frame dynamically tested at the University of

Adelaide. The results obtained were compared to the experimental results from Adelaide and comments were made with regards to the performance of the computer model.

A computer model of a multi-storey multi-bay prototype structure was created by using the same calibrated beam-column joint model developed earlier and the mode of failure for this prototype structure was identified. A discussion on the Response Modification Factor (R_f) given in AS1170.4 for a normal moment resisting was made and comments were given as to whether the value given in AS1170.4 was conservative. In conclusion, suggestions to areas in need of further research were recommended.

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where references have been made in text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan or photocopying.

Date: 16/3/99

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank Dr. Michael Griffith, Senior Lecturer and Head of the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering for his guidance and patience in supervising this research; Rouska, my wife for her constant support, help and advice; Greg Klopp for his expertise in many areas; Stephen Carr for his assistance in difficulties with computer equipment and Derek Heneker and Joe Corvetti for the use of their experimental data for this research.