



**“Investigation of the role
of the *MID1* and *MID2* genes in the
Opitz Syndrome”**

By

Lillian Rose Allen B.Sc.(Hons)

2003

A thesis submitted in fulfillment
for the requirements of the award of,
Master of Science,
University of Adelaide.

Contents

	Page
Contents list	i
Abstract	vi
Acknowledgements	ix
Declaration	x
Abbreviations	xi
List of Figures	xiv
List of Tables	xvii
Chapter One	1
Literature Review	
<u>1.1 Introduction</u>	2
<u>1.2 Congenital Syndromes</u>	3
<u>1.3 Description of OS</u>	5
1.3.1 Inheritance of OS	8
<i>1.3.1.1 Cytogenetics and Mapping of OS</i>	9
1.3.2 Diagnosis and Clinical Features of OS	10
<i>1.3.2.1 Phenotypic Comparison of Xp22 with 22q OS Forms</i>	10
<u>1.4 Other Xp22 and 22q11 Syndromes</u>	12
1.4.1 Comparison of Autosomal OS to del(22)(q11) Syndromes	12
<i>1.4.1.1 The CATCH22 Region</i>	14
1.4.2 Comparison of Xp22 Form to Other Xp22 Syndromes	15
<u>1.5 MID1 Gene Responsible for the OS Phenotype in the X-linked Form</u>	15
1.5.1 The MID1 Gene	15
1.5.2 Midin, the MID1 Protein	16
<i>1.5.2.1 The Tripartite Domain</i>	18
<i>1.5.2.2 The Fibronectin Type III Repeat</i>	19
<i>1.5.2.3 The B30.2-like Domain</i>	19
<i>1.5.2.4 SPRY Domain</i>	20

1.5.3 Mouse <i>Fxy1/Mid1</i> Gene	21
<u>1.6 MID2 Gene</u>	22
<u>1.7 Research Objectives</u>	25
Chapter Two Materials and Methods	26
<u>2.1 Restriction Enzyme Digests</u>	27
2.1.1 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis	27
2.1.2 Preparation of Cloning Vectors	27
2.1.3 Removal of 5' Phosphates From Vectors	28
<u>2.2 Ligation Conditions</u>	28
<u>2.3 Transformation</u>	28
2.3.1 Mini and Midi Preparation of Plasmid DNA	
29	
<u>2.4 End Filling/Blunting</u>	29
<u>2.5 DNA Precipitation</u>	30
2.5.1 Ethanol Precipitation	30
2.5.2 Isopropanol Precipitation	30
<u>2.6 Computer Programmes</u>	30
<u>2.7 MID Gene Sequences</u>	31
2.7.1 Evolutionary Analysis of <i>MID</i> Genes	31
<u>2.8 OS Patients</u>	31
<u>2.9 Mutation Analysis</u>	32
<u>2.10 Polymerase Chain Reaction</u>	32
<u>2.11 Automated Sequencing</u>	37
<u>2.12 SSCP Analysis</u>	37

2.12.1 Radiolabelling of PCR Products	37
2.12.2 Loading of Samples	38
<u>2.13 Generation of GFP-MID1 Mutations</u>	38
2.13.1 Transfection of GFP-MID1 Constructs	39
<u>2.14 Isolation of a Zebrafish <i>MID</i> Homologue</u>	39
2.14.1 Isolation of RNA from Zebrafish Embryos	40
2.14.2 RT-PCR	40
<u>2.15 Wholemount <i>in situ</i> Hybridisation of Zebrafish Embryos</u>	41
<u>2.16 Genomic Southern</u>	41
2.16.1 Genomic DNA Extraction from Adult Zebrafish	41
2.16.2 Digests	42
2.16.3 Southern Transfer	42
2.16.4 Probes	43
2.16.5 Hybridisation	43
2.16.6 Washes	44
<u>2.17 Buffers</u>	44
Chapter Three Mutation Analysis in OS patients	50
<u>3.1 Clinical and Cytogenetic Findings of OS Probands</u>	52
<u>3.2 <i>MID1</i> Mutation Analysis</u>	
54	
3.2.1 Truncating Mutations	59
3.2.1.1 Nonsense Mutations	59
3.2.1.2 Frameshift Mutations	64
3.2.2 Exon Deletion	68
3.2.3 Missense Mutation	70

3.2.4 OS Probands With No <i>MID1</i> Mutations	73	
3.2.5 Screening the Un-translated Regions of <i>MID1</i>	73	
<u>3.3 <i>MID2</i> Mutation Analysis</u>		
75		
<u>3.4 Discussion</u>	79	
Chapter Four	Investigation of <i>MID1</i> Mutations	84
<u>4.1 Constructing the GFP/<i>MID1</i>-Mutated Vectors</u>		86
<u>4.2 Intracellular Localisation of Mutant <i>MID1</i> Protein</u>		90
4.2.1 GFP-E115X Analysis		90
4.2.2 GFP- Δ exon2 Analysis		90
4.2.3 GFP-R368X Analysis		93
4.2.4 GFP-L626P Analysis		93
<u>4.3 Discussion</u>		93
Chapter Five	Zebrafish <i>MID</i> Homologue	98
<u>5.1 Zebrafish <i>MID</i> Homologue</u>		101
<u>5.2 Zebrafish Genomic Southern Analysis</u>		104
<u>5.3 z<i>MID</i> Expression During Embryogenesis</u>		108
5.3.1 RT-PCR		108
5.3.2 Wholemount Zebrafish <i>in situ</i> Hybridisation		
110		
<u>5.4 Phylogenetic Analysis of the <i>MID</i> Genes</u>		113
5.4.1 Sequence Alignments of <i>MID</i> Homologues		114
5.4.2 Rooted and Un-rooted Phylogenetic Trees		119
5.4.3 Relative Rates Test		123

<u>5.5 Discussion</u>	124	
Chapter Six	Final Discussion	130
<u>6.1 The Role of MID1 in the X-Linked Form of OS</u>	131	
<u>6.2 The Role of MID2 in the X-Linked Form of OS</u>	133	
<u>6.3 Effects of <i>MID1</i> Mutations</u>	136	
<u>6.4 Correlation Between Genotype and Phenotype</u>	139	
<u>6.5 The Xp22 and Xq22 Regions</u>	140	
<u>6.6 The Zebrafish OS Model</u>	141	
<u>6.7 Conclusion</u>	143	
References	144	
Appendices	162	
Publication	191	

Abstract

To determine whether or not the *MID1* and *MID2* genes contribute to the OS phenotype, a mutation screen was undertaken. Fifteen Australasian and one British patient diagnosed as having Opitz Syndrome were screened for mutations in the *MID1* and *MID2* genes. In total, 8 unique *MID1* mutations (E115X, Δ exon2, F/S 1051delC, R368X, F/S 1330insA, Q468X, R495X & L626P) were identified. The majority of these mutations resulted in the truncation of the MID1 protein, midin (6 of the 8 mutations), disrupting the C-terminal domain (CTD). E115X was the most N-terminal mutation identified, resulting in a midin protein with only the RING finger domain. Two OS patients had nucleotide changes producing a frameshift, one was a deletion of a C nucleotide (1051delC) while the other was an insertion of a single A nucleotide (1330insA). One OS patient had a small in-frame deletion encompassing exon 2 (Δ exon2) and another unique mutation resulted in an amino acid change from a leucine to a proline (L626P), representing the most C-terminal mutation identified so far. In the *MID2* gene, there was a missense mutation caused by a change 1073C>A. This mutation was present in OSP#7, 9 and 13 probands, which suggested that the *MID2* gene may also have a role in the OS phenotype. The OS phenotype varies between individuals but there was no correlation between the OS phenotype and genotype in the mutations examined.

The *MID1* mutations, E115X, Δ exon2, R495X and L626P, were chosen to investigate the effect of the mutations on the intracellular localisation of the MID1 protein (midin). A Green Fluorescent Protein tag (GFP) was used to visualise the intracellular localization of the wild-type and mutated midin. Wild-type midin was located only in the cytoplasm of the cell and was associated with the microtubule network, while the mutated forms of midin were found to have an altered intracellular localisation. The E115X mutated protein lost its ability to localise in the cytoplasm. The Δ exon2 mutated protein remained in the cytoplasm but lost

its ability to associate with the microtubules. Intracellular localisation of R368X and L626P mutated proteins showed cytoplasmic clumping. The R368X and L626P mutated proteins also had a reduced ability to associate with microtubules. This suggests that the mutations result in a loss of function of the ability of midin to bind to the microtubules, overall resulting in the OS phenotype.

An antibody to human midin, MID1 antibody, was raised in rabbits and characterised using Western analysis. Experiments were undertaken to determine the specificity of the MID1 antibody. The MID1 antibody was found to be specific to midin and was unable to interact with the MID2 protein. In addition, the MID1 antibody was unable to interact with midin that had mutations. The MID1 antibody also was unable to interact with tissue samples from zebrafish embryos and chicken embryos although these species have the *MID1* gene present. This suggested that the C-Terminal domain appeared to facilitate the interaction between MID1 antibody and midin.

The zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) was used as a model system in which to find a *MID1* homologue in order to further analyse the function of *MID1* and how it may cause the OS phenotype. From the zebrafish genome a homologue, *zMID*, was isolated and cloned. *In situ* hybridisation experiments revealed that the *zMID* was expressed only in the retinal neuroepithelium cells of the developing eye in zebrafish, highlighting the boundary of the optic stalk before it differentiated into the optic nerve. This expression was very different to the MID1 pattern of expression in mice, which has been shown to be ubiquitous. The multiple banding patterns observed in Southern analysis, when various probes were hybridised to zebrafish genomic DNA, indicated that there were multiple copies of the *MID1* gene in the zebrafish genome. In addition, the banding pattern suggested there might be multiple copies of *MID2* or even the presence of *MID-like* genes in the zebrafish genome. A phylogenetic analysis using the *MID1* and *MID2* homologues revealed that the *zMID* gene and the fugu *MID* gene were a monophyletic group that excluded the other homologues. A

protein alignment of all MID sequences revealed these homologues had been highly conserved across species. The lowest conservation seen across species was in the most carboxy terminus of the MID1 protein.

In the future, all multiple copies of the *MID1* gene present in the zebrafish genome need to be isolated and sequenced to enable transgenic experiments to be carried out in the zebrafish. Transgenic zebrafish could be used to model the mutations found in OS patients and used to determine the mechanisms involved in the loss of function of the *MID1* gene.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisors Dr Timothy Cox for the opportunity to undertake this study in his laboratory.

Dr Joan Kelly for the support, guidance and patience through out the last stages of the thesis, I really appreciated your positive approach. And thank you for not giving up on me.

Dr Cindy Bottema for her advice throughout the editing of the thesis, your comments and direction were valuable.

Dr Rory Hope for assistance with the phylogenetic analysis.

Thanks also to everyone in the Cox laboratory, for their assistance with various techniques and experiments.

Thanks to my dear friend Esther for helping me with the zebrafish experiments, I enjoyed working with you.

My dearest friend Dr Philip Shields (or should that be Professor!), thank you for the support you have provided for me, you're a gem.

Thanks also to my family who are always there for me.

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library being available for loan and photocopying.

Lillian Allen

Abbreviations

A	adenine
bp	base pair
BSA	bovine serum albumin
C	cytosine
°C	degree Celcius
cDNA	complementary deoxyribonucleic acid
CTD	C-terminal domain
dATP	2'-deoxycytosine 5'-triphosphate
dCTP	2'-deoxyguanosine 5'-triphosphate
dH ₂ O	distilled water
ddH ₂ O	de-ionised distilled water
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
dpc	days post-copulation
DTT	dithiothreitol
DTTP	2'-deoxythymidine 5'-triphosphate
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraactetic acid
EtBr	ethidium bromide
FITC	fluorescein isothiocyanate
FNIII	fibronectin type III repeat
Fxy	mouse homologue of MID1
g	gram
G	guanine
GFP	green fluoescent protein

h	human
hpf	hours post-fertilisation
dpf	days post-fertilisation
IPTG	isopropyl-1- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside
kb	kilobase
kV	kilovolts
LB	Luria broth
MID1	midline 1 gene
MID2	midline 1 gene
M	molar
m	mouse
min or '	minutes
ml	millilitre
mM	millimolar
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid
NaCl	sodium chloride
NaOH	sodium hydroxide
ng	nanograms
ORF	open reading frame
OS	Opitz syndrome
OSP#	Opitz syndrome patient number
PBS	phosphate buffer saline
PBS	plasmid blue script
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
RE	restriction enzyme

RNA	ribonucleic acid
RT-PCR	reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
SDS-PAGE	sodium dodecyl sulphate – Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis
SSC	sodium chloride sodium citrate
SSCP	single stranded conformation polymorphism
T	thymine
T _A	annealing temperature
TAE	tris acetate ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
TBE	tris-borate-EDTA
TE	tris ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
TEMED	N, N, N', N'-tetramethylethylenediamine
Tris	trizma base (tris(hydroxymethyl) amino methane)
µg	microgram
µl	microliter
µM	micromolar
UV	ultraviolet
X-Gal	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 1.1: An Opitz syndrome patient.	6
Figure 1.2: The domain structure of midin.	17
Figure 1.3: Comparison of the MID1 and MID2 proteins.	23
Figure 3.1: The <i>MID1</i> ORF sequence.	55
Figure 3.2: The <i>MID1</i> mutations identified as part of this study.	58
Figure 3.3: The chromatogram showing the OSP#12 mutation E115X in exon 1 of <i>MID1</i> .	61
Figure 3.4: The chromatogram showing the OSP#3 mutation R368X, in exon 5 of <i>MID1</i> .	62
Figure 3.5: The chromatogram showing the OSP#10 mutation Q468X in exon 7 of <i>MID1</i> .	63
Figure 3.6: The chromatogram showing the OSP#9 mutation R495X in exon 8 of <i>MID1</i> .	65
Figure 3.7: The chromatogram showing the OSP#6 frameshift mutation 1051delC in exon 5 of <i>MID1</i> .	66
Figure 3.8: The OSP#6 family members.	67
Figure 3.9: A schematic diagram of the STSs in relation to the <i>MID1</i> gene.	70
Figure 3.10: The <i>MID1</i> deletion of the exon 2 in OSP#11.	71
Figure 3.11: The chromatogram showing the OSP#5 mutation L626P in exon 9 of <i>MID1</i> .	72
Figure 3.12: The <i>MID1</i> gene structure showing the untranslated exons.	74
Figure 3.13: The ORF <i>MID2</i> sequence.	76
Figure 3.14: The <i>MID2</i> open reading frame and protein sequence.	78
Figure 3.15: The exon/intron boundary where the <i>MID2</i> variant was found.	79

Figure 4.1: The The generation of the 343G>T mutation in <i>MIDI</i>	87
Figure 4.2: Construction of the Δ exon2 mutation into the ORF of the <i>MIDI</i> cDNA.	89
Figure 4.3: Intracellular localisation of the wild-type and mutated forms of midin in CosI cells.	92
Figure 5.1: The <i>zMID</i> protein structure.	103
Figure 5.2: The position of the <i>zMID</i> probes used in Southern analysis.	105
Figure 5.3: Zebrafish genomic Southern analysis using the human <i>MID1</i> and <i>MID2</i> probes.	106
Figure 5.4: Zebrafish genomic Southern analysis using the <i>zMID</i> probe.	107
Figure 5.5: <i>zMID</i> homologue expression.	109
Figure 5.6: The position of the <i>zMID</i> probe used for <i>in situ</i> hybridisation.	110
Figure 5.7: <i>In situ</i> hybridization experiments using the antisense <i>zMID</i> .	112
Figure 5.8: Control <i>in situ</i> hybridization experiments using the sense <i>zMID</i> .	113
Figure 5.9: Alignment of the protein sequences of the <i>MID1</i> and <i>MID2</i> homologues.	116
Figure 5.10: Maximum parsimony phylogenetic tree.	121
Figure 5.11: Un-rooted maximum parsimony phylogenetic tree of the <i>MID</i> homologues.	122
Figure 5.12: Sections of the zebrafish eye during development.	146
Figure 5.13: Combined species and gene tree assuming the root is defined by the <i>fMID</i> .	129
Figure 6.1: An overview of the possible functions of the midin domains.	138

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1.1: The major, minor and associated phenotypes seen in the Opitz syndrome.	7
Table 1.2: Percentage occurrence of phenotypes in OS from 23 published cases and 5 probands from 3 families.	8
Table 1.3: Comparison of phenotype frequencies between the X-linked and autosomal forms of OS.	12
Table 1.4: Comparison between the <i>MID1</i> and <i>MID2</i> genes.	24
Table 2.1: Primers used in PCR to screen for mutations in the <i>MID1</i> gene.	34
Table 2.2: Primers used in PCR to screen for mutations in the <i>MID2</i> gene.	34
Table 2.3: <i>MID1</i> primers used in PCR to generate inserts for the various constructs in further experiments.	35
Table 2.4: Primers used to isolate zebrafish <i>MID</i> nucleotide sequence.	36
Table 2.5: The vector primers used in this study.	36
Table 3.1: Summary of the Opitz patient phenotypes and molecular findings involved in this study.	53
Table 3.2: Summary of the mutations found in <i>MID1</i> in this study.	57
Table 5.1: The percentages of identity between the aligned MID homologue protein sequences.	119
Table 5.2: Genes that evolve at significantly different rates are revealed by the relative rates tests.	124
Table 6.1: Summary of the <i>MID1</i> and <i>MID2</i> mutations and <i>MID1</i> polymorphisms found to date.	134