

Metamorphic evolution of the western Gawler Craton

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of
Adelaide for an Honours Degree in Geology/Geophysics

Philippe Dupavillon

November 2015



TITLE: METAMORPHIC EVOLUTION OF THE WESTERN GAWLER CRATON**RUNNING TITLE: METAMORPHISM OF THE WESTERN GAWLER CRATON****ABSTRACT**

The tectonothermal evolution of the western Gawler Craton, including the Fowler Domain, during Proterozoic Australia is currently poorly understood. *In-situ* U-Pb ages obtained in this study from the Fowler Domian yielded ages of metamorphism at c. 1732–1701 Ma attributed to the Kimban Orogeny, and at c. 1599 Ma attributed to Kararan/Hiltiba events. Quantitative phase equilibria modelling, i.e. pressure-temperature pseudosections, provide the first modern metamorphic constraints on pressure–temperature conditions for two areas within the Fowler Domain and are ~2.6–7.4 kbar and 550–700°C for the Barton Block, and 8.2–8.7 kbar and 450–475°C for the Nundroo Block which equate to apparent thermal gradients of approximately ~116–135°C/kbar and ~50–60 °C/kbar respectively. These thermal gradients occur within the hotter part of the ‘high T/P or Barrovian’ (Barton Block) and ‘colder than normal’ (or eclogite–high-pressure granulite, Nundroo Block) subdivisions of $P-T$ space. This is suggestive of extension in the Barton Block and later convergence in the Nundroo Block. Kimban-aged tectonism in other parts of the Gawler Craton records thermal gradients ranging between ~150–133 °C/kbar. These differences in thermal gradients are appreciably, and in some cases different from previous studies on the Fowler Domain. The Curnamona Province (north-north eastern South Australian Craton) possesses sedimentation and thermal gradients consistent with divergence within this time period. This has prompted many scientific debates surrounding tectonic regime of the Proterozoic time line, which are yet to include any metamorphic quantitative pressure-temperature considerations. Apparent thermal gradients presented in this study are consistent with both divergence within the Kimban Orogenesis time line 1730–1690 Ma, and convergence within Kararn-/Hiltiba time line 1600–1550Ma. These processes are interpreted to record Tasmanide type tectonic regimes.

KEYWORDS

U–Pb geochronology, P–T pseudosection, geothermal gradients, Proterozoic Australia, Tectonics

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| Title: METAMORPHIC EVOLUTION OF THE WESTERN GAWLER CRATON..... | 1 |
| Running title: METAMORPHISM OF THE WESTERN GAWLER CRATON | 1 |
| Abstract..... | 1 |
| Keywords..... | 1 |
| Table of Contents..... | 2 |
| List of Figures | 4 |
| List of Tables | 5 |
| 1. Introduction | 6 |
| 2. Geological Background | 7 |
| 2.1 Regional geology | 7 |
| 2.2 Fowler Domain..... | 10 |
| 2.2.1 Nundroo Block | 11 |
| 2.2.2 Central Block | 12 |
| 2.2.4 Colona Block..... | 12 |
| 2.2.3 Barton Block..... | 13 |
| 3. Methods..... | 18 |
| 3.1 Sample Preparation | 18 |
| 3.2 LA–ICP–MS monazite U–Pb geochronology | 18 |
| 3.3 Whole rock and mineral geochemistry..... | 19 |
| 3.4 Phase equilibria forward modelling..... | 20 |
| 3.5 Apparent thermal gradient calculations | 21 |
| 4. Results..... | 21 |
| 4.1 Metamorphic petrography | 21 |
| 4.1.1 Barton Block metasediments..... | 22 |
| 4.1.2 Nundroo Block metasediments | 23 |
| 4.2 LA–ICP–MS monazite U–Pb Geochronology | 25 |
| 4.3 Mineral geochemistry | 27 |
| 4.3.1 Barton Block metapelites..... | 28 |
| 4.3.2 Nundroo Block metapelite | 29 |
| 4.4 Phase equilibria modelling..... | 33 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 4.4.1 T - M_0 pseudosections | 33 |
| 4.4.2 T - M_{H_2O} pseudosections..... | 36 |
| 4.4.3 Pressure–temperature conditions | 40 |
| 5. Discussion..... | 44 |
| 5.1 Monazite U–Pb geochronology..... | 44 |
| 5.2 Peak P – T conditions and apparent thermal gradients..... | 46 |
| 5.3 Possible tectonic settings at time of metamorphism | 47 |
| 5.4 Tectonic interpretations from elsewhere in Gawler Craton..... | 50 |
| 5.5 Possible implications for Proterozoic Australia | 51 |
| 6. Conclusions | 55 |
| Acknowledgments..... | 56 |
| References | 57 |
| Appendix A: geochemistry/ mineral chemistry | 62 |
| Appendix B: La-Icp-ms Geochronology | 68 |
| Appendix C: Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM XL-30) | 73 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1. Simplified interpreted subsurface geology of the Gawler Craton, | 9 |
| Figure 2. Total magnetic intensity image of the western Gawler Craton including the Fowler Domain | 14 |
| Figure 3. Photomicrographs of petrological relationships..... | 24 |
| Figure 4. U-Pb LA-ICP-MS analysis Concordia plots for (a) BAC23, (b) BAC28 and (c) NDR1..... | 27 |
| Figure 5. (a) EPMA elemental maps Ca, Fe, Mn, and Mg from sample BAC23..... | 30 |
| Figure 6. (a) EPMA elemental maps Ca, Fe, Mn, and Mg from sample BAC28..... | 31 |
| Figure 7. (a) EPMA elemental maps Ca, Fe, Mn, and Mg from sample NDR1 | 32 |
| Figure 8. T - M_0 pseudosection for sample BAC23 | 34 |
| Figure 9. T - M_0 pseudosection for sample BAC28 | 35 |
| Figure 10. T - M_0 pseudosection for sample NDR1..... | 36 |
| Figure 11. T - M_{H_2O} pseudosection for sample BAC23 | 37 |
| Figure 12. T - M_{H_2O} pseudosection for sample BAC28 | 38 |
| Figure 13. T - M_{H_2O} pseudosection for sample NDR1..... | 39 |
| Figure 14. P - T pseudosection for sample BAC23 | 41 |
| Figure 15. P - T pseudosection for sample BAC28. | 42 |
| Figure 16. P - T pseudosection for sample NDR1..... | 43 |
| Figure 17. Time–space plot for the southern Gawler Craton | 54 |
| Figure 18. EPMA maps of samples (a) BAC23, (b) BAC28, (c) NDR1 | 64 |
| Figure 19. Probability density plots from LA-ICP-MS geochronology..... | 69 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1. Summary of events within the Gawler Craton | 10 |
| Table 2. Summary of previous and current data from the Fowler Domain..... | 17 |
| Table 3. Chemical ranges of selected minerals from EPMA analysis..... | 30 |
| Table 4. EPMA representative analysis for sample BAC23 | 65 |
| Table 5. EPMA representative analysis for sample BAC28 | 66 |
| Table 6. EPMA representative analysis for sample NDR1..... | 67 |
| Table 7. Analysis of unknowns for samples in this study..... | 70 |