

# MILD Combustion of Solid Fuels

Manabendra Saha

A thesis submitted for the fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of PhD in Mechanical Engineering



THE UNIVERSITY  
*of* ADELAIDE

Centre for Energy Technology  
School of Mechanical Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering, Computer and Mathematical Sciences

April 2016 (Approved in July 2016)

# **DECLARATION**

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I hereby give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available in all form of media, throughout the world, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

**Manabendra Saha**

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This thesis is not only a representation of my work using the keyboard, but also a milestone in more than four years of research at the University of Adelaide and in particular in the Centre for Energy Technology. Since my first day at Adelaide on 13<sup>th</sup> February 2012, I have been given unique opportunities and privileges to learn cutting edge research from the world's prominent combustion scientists. But this thesis was possible through support and contribution of many remarkable individuals, without whom I would not have been able to complete this journey.

Firstly, I would like to gratefully acknowledge the support given to me by my supervisors **Professor Bassam Dally**, **Dr Paul Medwell** and **Professor Graham (Gus) Nathan** who provided me with the guidance and training to conduct my research and to document it in this thesis. My special thanks to my principal supervisor Prof. Dally for his generous advice, patience, support and guidance through up and down times of my PhD candidature. I thank Dr Medwell for his continuous help, motivation, and outstanding technical supports during the experimental campaign and writing my thesis. I thank Prof. Nathan for his enthusiasm, immense and comprehensive knowledge in the field of combustion which were essential for my understanding, development, and designing of the MILD combustion furnace. I felt privileged to have worked with such competent combustion scientists.

Special thanks to **Dr Alfonso Chinnici** from the Centre for Energy Technology (CET) for the many fruitful discussions on various topics and teaching me numerical simulations of MILD combustion.

Many thanks also go to **Mr Marc Simpson**, Manager, Thebarton Research Laboratory of the University of Adelaide. His technical support, many interesting conversations, advice and generous help throughout the experiments will always be remembered.

I would like to acknowledge the University of Adelaide for providing me with financial support through the Adelaide Scholarship International (ASI) scheme and the School of Mechanical Engineering for the short term Scholarship in order to allow me to complete my PhD. I am also grateful to the financial support received from the Brown Coal Innovation Australia (BCIA) through a Top-Up scholarship. My special thanks go to **Dr David McManus**, Research Investment Manager, BCIA. I would also like to thank the current and former staff and technicians of the School of Mechanical Engineering and Centre for Energy Technology (CET) of the University of Adelaide.

I am deeply and forever indebted to my late father and to my lovely mother for her endless support and encouragement during the darkest days and nights of my research student life. I am also extremely grateful to my beautiful younger sister whose love and support led me to this point.

I would also like to express my special gratitude and endless thank to my office mates and friends **Dr Mehdi Jafarian, Dr Rabiul Islam Sarker, Mr Ashok Kaniyal, Mr Karn Schumacher, Ms Jingjing Ye, Mr Dahe Gu, Mr Chia Thong, Mr Houzhi Wang, Mr Mahyar Silakhori, Mr Raihan Rumman and Dr Md. Ayub** for their company, suggestions and support during my PhD candidature.

Last but not least, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all the staff of the School, particularly **Associate Professor Anthony Zander**, Head of the School, **postgraduate students**, and **Ms. Patricia Anderson**, who is a manager of the International Student Centre at The University of Adelaide for their kind help during the most challenging period of my life, in particular during my major heart surgery. I will never forget your endless help and enormous encouragement during this time.

# ABSTRACT

Moderate or Intense Low-oxygen Dilution (MILD) combustion has been identified as an innovative technology that offers ultra-low pollutant emissions, high thermal efficiency, enhanced combustion stability, thermal field uniformity, and broad fuel flexibility. MILD combustion of solid fuels has received much less attention than gaseous fuels and its burning characteristics are not well understood. As solid fuels, in particular pulverised coal, form the majority of available fuel sources, there is a need to extend understanding of the application of MILD combustion to pulverised coals. The current research seeks to investigate the MILD combustion characteristics of pulverised coals via experimental and computational approaches.

In the first stage of this work, an experimental campaign was conducted to investigate the MILD combustion characteristics of pulverised coal in a laboratory-scale self-recuperative furnace. High volatile Kingston brown coal and low volatile Bowen basin black coal with a particle size in the range of 38-180  $\mu\text{m}$  were injected into the furnace using either  $\text{CO}_2$  or  $\text{N}_2$  as a carrier gas. The results point to major differences between the resulting ‘flames’ of the two coals and minor differences associated with the carrier gas in respect to pollutant emissions. Ash content analysis showed that black coal was not burnt effectively, which is thought to be due to the particle residence times being insufficient for complete combustion in the furnace.

In the next stage of this research, a comprehensive numerical investigation, using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) modelling, was conducted to understand the influence of three devolatilisation models on the prediction accuracy of pulverised coal MILD combustion. It was found that the advanced chemical percolation devolatilization (CPD) devolatilization model with a three-step global kinetic mechanism gives, as expected, the best agreement with the experimental measurements for the Kingston brown coal case. While all models produced similar results for the Bowen basin black coal case.

A new vertical co-flow furnace was also designed and built for this project. The furnace contained an insulated and water-cooled central jet surrounded by a hot and

diluted co-flow. The furnace walls, as well as co-flow temperature and local oxygen concentrations, are controlled by a secondary swirling burner using non-premixed natural gas combustion. Loy-Yang brown coal from the Latrobe Valley, Victoria, Australia, with particle sizes in the range of 53-125  $\mu\text{m}$  and 250-355  $\mu\text{m}$ , is injected into the furnace using  $\text{CO}_2$  as a carrier gas. The bulk jet Reynolds number was varied from  $\text{Re}_{\text{jet}} = 5,527$  to  $\text{Re}_{\text{jet}} = 20,000$ . In-furnace temperatures and chemical species were measured together with visual observations and  $\text{CH}^*$  chemiluminescence ( $\text{CH}^*$ ) imaging at the bottom, middle and top parts of the furnace. The  $\text{CH}^*$  signal intensity is found to be significantly lower at the top part of the furnace which is an indication of the slow rate of heterogeneous combustion of char particles. The largest amount of CO concentrations are measured for the highest jet velocity (i.e.,  $\text{Re}_{\text{jet}} = 20,000$ ) case which implies that with increasing turbulence there is a better mixing and a broad devolatilisation zone is formed which produces more CO. The measured NO emission for any case was less than 125 ppmv (db at 3%  $\text{O}_2$ ) which provide evidence to the potential benefits of MILD Combustion application to Victorian brown coal towards reducing NO emission.

Complementary CFD modelling study helped in shedding light on the flow field, turbulence intensity, volatiles' release rate, combustion of volatile matters, and overall carbon consumption inside the furnace for all cases. It was found that increasing the jet Reynolds number increases the volatiles release rates and decrease the rate of overall carbon consumption. It was also found that, for both particles' size cases, stable MILD combustion is established with a similar large recirculation vortex around the centre of the furnace. Devolatilisation starts earlier for the smaller particles' case and is completed at the end of the recirculation vortex while for the larger particles' case the devolatilisation happened post the recirculation vortex. The difference is related to the particle dispersion within the jet and differences in Stokes number.

This study provided valuable systematic data for the fundamental understanding of the MILD combustion of solid fuels. The outcomes of this research are a step forward to allow the industry to have better confidence in utilising the MILD combustion technology.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Declaration</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Chapter 1- Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Combustion and the Energy	2
1.2. Environmental Impact of Solid Fuels	3
1.3. MILD Combustion	6
1.4. Thesis Outline	7
1.5. Publications Arising from this Thesis	8
1.6. Thesis Format	10
References	11
<b>Chapter 2- Background Literature</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1. Combustion Overview	15
2.2. Solid Fuels Combustion Systems	16
2.2.1. Fluidised Bed Combustion	20
2.2.2. Pulverised Fuel Combustion	21
Ultra-Super Critical Combustion (USCC)	22
Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC)	23
Oxy-Coal Combustion	23
2.3. Introduction to MILD Combustion	25
2.3.1. MILD Combustion Definition and Overview	26
2.3.2. Principles of MILD Combustion	29
2.3.3. Features of MILD Combustion	33
2.3.3.1. Thermal Field Uniformity	33
2.3.3.2. NO <sub>x</sub> Reduction	34

2.3.3.3. Fuel Saving	36
2.3.4. MILD Combustion Furnace and Burner	36
2.4. Chemical Steps of Coal-MILD Combustion	40
2.4.1. Drying	41
2.4.2. Devolatilisation	41
2.4.3. Combustion of Volatiles	42
2.4.4. Char Combustion	43
2.5. Chemical Pollutants Production	44
2.5.1. Nitric Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> )	44
Thermal NO	45
Prompt NO	45
Fuel NO	46
NO-Destruction	46
2.5.2. Carbon Monoxide (CO)	47
2.5.3. Fly Ash Production	48
2.6. State of the Art of Solid Fuels under MILD Combustion	50
2.6.1. Summary	56
2.7. Research Gaps	57
2.8. Research Objectives	59
References	61
<b>Chapter 3: Moderate or Intense Low Oxygen Dilution (MILD) Combustion Characteristics of Pulverized Coal in a Self-Recuperative Furnace</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>Chapter 4: Numerical Study of Pulverized Coal MILD Combustion in a Self-Recuperative Furnace</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>Chapter 5: Effect of Particle Size on the MILD Combustion Characteristics of Pulverised Brown Coal</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>Chapter 6: Burning Characteristics of Victorian Brown Coal in a MILD Combustion Furnace</b>	<b>130</b>



<b>Chapter 7: Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations</b>	<b>184</b>
7.1. Summary	185
7.1.1. Effect of Coal Ranks and Carrier Gas	185
7.1.2. Effect of Devolatilisation Models	187
7.1.3. Effect of Turbulence	188
7.1.4. Effect of Particle Sizes	191
7.2. Conclusions	192
7.3. Recommendations for Future Works	197