



Does switching between high frequency rTMS and theta burst stimulation improve depression outcomes?



To the editor,

We read with interest recent articles in *Brain Stimulation*, reporting on the antidepressant effects of theta burst stimulation (TBS) applied in accelerated schedules [1,2] and the efficacy comparison between unilateral and bilateral repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) approaches [3]. We recently reported a multi-site randomized controlled trial comparing the antidepressant efficacy of accelerated bilateral TBS applied at 80% or 120% of the resting motor threshold (RMT) and left-sided 10 Hz rTMS applied at 120% RMT [4]. The increasing evidence base supporting TBS's antidepressant effects has seen it gain acceptance as an alternative to standard left-sided 10 Hz rTMS in treatment-resistant depression (TRD). Little is known whether TRD patients who do not experience antidepressant benefits with a standard course of rTMS might do so with a subsequent course of TBS, or vice-versa. Given that approximately 60–80% of patients who undergo a course of left-sided 10 Hz rTMS can expect to experience depression treatment response [3,5], this is a clinically relevant knowledge gap for which minimal evidence-based guidance exists. One way to address this is to evaluate non-responders' treatment outcomes to a crossover course of the alternate stimulation protocol. To our knowledge, such a crossover study has not been reported.

We report the treatment outcomes of participants from our study [4] who did not achieve treatment response from an initial course of left-sided 10 Hz rTMS or accelerated bilateral TBS, who then underwent an elective crossover course of the other rTMS modality. Of 300 consenting participants, 299 were randomized to one of two accelerated bilateral TBS treatment arms (24,000 pulses over 20 sessions across 10 days) or to the 10 Hz rTMS arm (60,000 pulses over 20 sessions across 4 weeks). Details of the study protocol are previously described [4]. More than 80% (252/300) of participants completed the initial treatment course (rTMS or TBS) and week 4 assessment. Elective crossover to accelerated bilateral TBS applied at 120% RMT or left-sided 10 Hz rTMS were offered to 142 participants identified as non-responders (Supplementary Fig. S1). Treatment response was defined as a $\geq 50\%$ reduction of Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology – Clinician Rated Version (QIDS-C₁₆) score from baseline to week 4 assessment, while depression remission was defined by achieving a QIDS-C₁₆ score of ≤ 5 [6]. The elective crossover phase was discussed with study participants at the time of obtaining informed consent and revisited with treatment non-responders at the week 8 review of the initial course. This gap of at least 4-weeks between completion of the initial rTMS/TBS course and the re-assessment of baseline depression

severity prior to starting the crossover course sought to minimise carryover treatment effects from the initial to the crossover course.

To investigate antidepressant response to accelerated bilateral TBS following non-response to left-sided 10 Hz rTMS, the proportion of responders to crossover TBS was descriptively considered with reference to the initial rTMS responder proportion of 0%, and vice-versa for non-responders to TBS who underwent crossover 10 Hz rTMS. Mean, standard deviation, median and range of QIDS-C₁₆ at baseline timepoints for the initial and crossover treatment courses within each sequence arm (rTMS to TBS and TBS to rTMS) were inspected for carryover effects. Continuous summary statistics were calculated using Stata 17.0 (StataCorp) to characterize depression symptom severity of sequence arms at baseline and week 4 timepoints and within-arm percentage change. The study was approved by the Monash Health Human Research Ethics Committee and registered on the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry, Trial ID: 12617001443381.

We found that three of eight (38%) non-responders to initial 10 Hz rTMS that crossed over to accelerated bilateral TBS treatment subsequently achieved response status. Two of eight (25%) achieved remission status. Two of ten (20%) non-responders to initial accelerated bilateral TBS achieved response and remission status to crossover 10 Hz rTMS (Supplementary Fig. S1). QIDS-C₁₆ summary statistics for each arm are presented in Table 1.

Considering the posited distinctions by which TBS and standard rTMS influence neuronal excitability [7,8], it is reasonable to suggest there is difference in their antidepressant effects, although little is known whether 10 Hz rTMS and TBS non-responders might respond to the alternate rTMS approach. We believe this is the first report of depression treatment outcomes of crossover left-sided 10 Hz rTMS and bilateral TBS in TRD patients who did not respond to the other rTMS modality. We observed that depression treatment response can be achieved following crossover but only in a modest number of participants. This may be attributable to the chronicity and treatment-refractoriness of our participants [4], who have also not responded to an initial course of TBS or rTMS. The data suggests that response may be more likely when switching from 10 Hz rTMS to accelerated bilateral TBS rather than the opposite.

The exploratory nature of this study warrants caution in the interpretation of our findings. The sample size is small. Due to the elective basis on which non-responders proceeded to crossover treatment, results may be prone to volunteer bias. Similarly, treatment expectation bias may have been present in participants who did not achieve depression treatment response with the initial rTMS/TBS course and were keen to trial the alternative treatment. Conversely, few initial treatment non-responders elected to

Table 1
Descriptive statistics and percentage change of QIDS-C₁₆ scores over initial and crossover treatment courses.

Left-sided 10 Hz rTMS non-responders crossed over to accelerated bilateral TBS						
	Mean QIDS-C ₁₆ (SD)	Median QIDS-C ₁₆	Range of QIDS-C ₁₆	Mean % QIDS-C ₁₆ change (SD)	Median % QIDS-C ₁₆ change	Range of % QIDS-C ₁₆ change
Pre-rTMS baseline (n = 8)	16.38 (2.33)	16.0	[13, 20]	N/A	N/A	N/A
Post-rTMS week 4 (n = 8)	12.88 (5.11)	12.5	[8, 24]	−22.93 (22.00)	−27.21	[−40, 26]
Pre-crossover accelerated TBS baseline (n = 8)	15.13 (3.64)	14.0	[10, 21]	N/A	N/A	N/A
Post-crossover accelerated TBS week 4 (n = 8)	10.38 (6.14)	9.5	[3, 20]	−25.16 (56.00)	−32.05	[−84, 100]
Accelerated bilateral TBS non-responders crossed over to left-sided 10 Hz rTMS						
	Mean QIDS-C ₁₆ (SD)	Median QIDS-C ₁₆	Range of QIDS-C ₁₆	Mean % QIDS-C ₁₆ change (SD)	Median % QIDS-C ₁₆ change	Range of % QIDS-C ₁₆ change
Pre-accelerated TBS baseline (n = 10)	17.40 (2.46)	17.0	[12, 21]	N/A	N/A	N/A
Post-accelerated TBS week 4 (n = 10)	13.80 (2.66)	13.5	[11, 20]	−19.79 (15.10)	−20.59	[−45, 5]
Pre-crossover rTMS baseline (n = 10)	16.20 (3.46)	15.5	[12, 22]	N/A	N/A	N/A
Post-crossover rTMS week 4 (n = 10 with 1 LOCF)	12.6 (6.47)	12.5	[4, 21]	−22.95 (37.29)	−25.00	[−76, 54]

proceed to treatment crossover, resulting in reduced power in the crossover phase of the trial. Whilst most participants received concomitant antidepressant therapy, we ensured all participants' antidepressant regimes were unchanged for at least four weeks prior to and during their treatment courses. However, this also meant initial non-responders who underwent antidepressant changes and/or dose increases as part of their clinical treatment became ineligible for the crossover phase of the study. Additionally, it was possible for psychosocial circumstances to have changed for some participants, potentially confounding treatment outcomes. Lastly, although the minimum 4-week gap between the initial and crossover treatment courses was introduced to minimise carry-over treatment effects from the first to the second course of treatment, it is recognized that this delay in the provision of an alternate treatment approach may not reflect typical clinical management of TRD.

Notwithstanding, this study provides preliminary observation that depression treatment response to bilateral TBS or 10 Hz rTMS is possible following an unsuccessful initial course of the other. Future trial protocols that instigate crossover treatment for all non-responders (instead of elective crossover) can help increase study sample sizes and reduce the aforementioned limitations. Introducing an active control arm alongside the crossover arm in which participants receive a repeat course of the initial rTMS/TBS modality would allow more robust investigation into whether response to further treatment is attributable to the alternate modality or the reintroduction of the same treatment over a longer period, seeing as evidence supports the antidepressant efficacy of treatment continuation with both rTMS [9] and electroconvulsive therapy [10]. Identification of clinical factors and biomarkers that inform probability of treatment response to a crossover rTMS/TBS course can further inform the utility of this promising treatment strategy.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: In the last 3 years PBF has received equipment for research from Nexstim and Brainsway Ltd. He is a founder of TMS Clinics Australia and Resonance Therapeutics. KEH is a founder of Resonance Therapeutics. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the patients, whose participation was essential for the successful completion of this study. We thank all of the clinical and administrative staff at the study centres for their invaluable assistance with participant coordination, assessment and treatment provision. We would also like to acknowledge the support of Epworth HealthCare and Ramsay Health Care (SA).

This work received funding support from the Epworth Medical Foundation (Researcher Capacity Building Grant) and the Blue Sky Foundation. PBF is supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Investigator Award (1193596). KEH is supported by a NHMRC Fellowship (1135558).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2022.06.005>.

References

- [1] Blumberger DM, Vila-Rodriguez F, Wang W, Knyahnytska Y, Butterfield M, Noda Y, et al. A randomized sham controlled comparison of once vs twice-daily intermittent theta burst stimulation in depression: a Canadian rTMS treatment and biomarker network in depression (CARTBIND) study. *Brain Stimul* 2021;14(6):1447–55.
- [2] Wu G-R, Baeken C. Individual interregional perfusion between the left dorso-lateral prefrontal cortex stimulation targets and the subgenual anterior cortex predicts response and remission to aiTBS treatment in medication-resistant depression: the influence of behavioral inh. *Brain Stimul* 2022;15(1):182–9.
- [3] Aaronson ST, Carpenter LL, Hutton TM, Kraus S, Mina M, Pages K, et al. Comparison of clinical outcomes with left unilateral and sequential bilateral Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (TMS) treatment of major depressive disorder in a large patient registry. *Brain Stimul* 2022;15(2):326–36.
- [4] Chen L, Thomas EH, Kaewpjit P, Miljevic A, Hughes R, Hahn L, et al. Accelerated theta burst stimulation for the treatment of depression: a randomised controlled trial. *Brain Stimul* 2021.
- [5] Sackeim HA, Aaronson ST, Carpenter LL, Hutton TM, Mina M, Pages K, et al. Clinical outcomes in a large registry of patients with major depressive disorder treated with Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation. *J Affect Disord* 2020;277:65–74.
- [6] Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Ibrahim HM, Carmody TJ, Arnow B, Klein DN, et al. The 16-Item Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS), clinician rating (QIDS-C), and self-report (QIDS-SR): a psychometric evaluation in patients with chronic major depression. *Biol Psychiatr* 2003;54(5):573–83.
- [7] Suppa A, Huang YZ, Funke K, Ridding MC, Cheeran B, Di Lazzaro V, et al. Ten years of theta burst stimulation in humans: established knowledge, unknowns and prospects. *Brain Stimul* 2016;9(3):323–35.

- [8] Chen L, Chung SW, Hoy KE, Fitzgerald PB. Is theta burst stimulation ready as a clinical treatment for depression? *Expert Rev Neurother* 2019;19(11):1089–102.
- [9] George MS, Lisanby SH, Avery D, McDonald WM, Durkalski V, Pavlicova M, et al. Daily left prefrontal transcranial magnetic stimulation therapy for major depressive disorder: a sham-controlled randomized trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatr* 2010;67(5):507–16.
- [10] Sackeim HA, Prudic J, Devanand DP, Nobler MS, Haskett RF, Mulsant BH, et al. The benefits and costs of changing treatment technique in electroconvulsive therapy due to insufficient improvement of a major depressive episode. *Brain Stimul* 2020;13(5):1284–95.

Glossary

Hz = Hertz.:

LOCF = last observation carried forward.:

N/A = not applicable.:

rTMS = repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation.:

TBS = theta burst stimulation.:

QIDS-C₁₆ = Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology – Clinician Rated Version.:

SD = standard deviation.:

Leo Chen*

Epworth Centre for Innovation in Mental Health, Epworth Healthcare and Department of Psychiatry, Monash University, Camberwell, Victoria, Australia

Monash Alfred Psychiatry Research Centre, Department of Psychiatry, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Alfred Mental and Addiction Health, Alfred Health, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Elizabeth H.X. Thomas

Monash Alfred Psychiatry Research Centre, Department of Psychiatry, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Pakin Kaewpijit

Bangkok Hospital, Bang Kapi, Bangkok, Thailand

Aleksandra Miljevic
Epworth Centre for Innovation in Mental Health, Epworth Healthcare and Department of Psychiatry, Monash University, Camberwell, Victoria, Australia

Lisa Hahn
The Adelaide Clinic, Ramsay Health Care (SA) Mental Health Services, South Australia, Australia

Alexandra Lavale
Monash Alfred Psychiatry Research Centre, Department of Psychiatry, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Kate E. Hoy
Epworth Centre for Innovation in Mental Health, Epworth Healthcare and Department of Psychiatry, Monash University, Camberwell, Victoria, Australia

Cherrie Galletly
The Adelaide Clinic, Ramsay Health Care (SA) Mental Health Services, South Australia, Australia

Discipline of Psychiatry, The University of Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

Northern Adelaide Local Health Network, South Australia, Australia

Paul B. Fitzgerald
Epworth Centre for Innovation in Mental Health, Epworth Healthcare and Department of Psychiatry, Monash University, Camberwell, Victoria, Australia

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: leo.chen@monash.edu (L. Chen).

29 April 2022
Available online 15 June 2022