



**PETROLEUM GEOCHEMISTRY, SOURCE ROCK
EVALUATION AND MODELLING OF HYDROCARBON
GENERATION IN THE SOUTHERN TAROOM TROUGH**

**WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO THE
TRIASSIC SNAKE CREEK MUDSTONE**

KHALED R. AL-AROURI

B.Sc. (Geology and Environmental Sciences)

M.Sc. (Geology and Organic Geochemistry)

Thesis submitted for the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
in

The Department of Geology and Geophysics

and

The National Centre for Petroleum Geology and Geophysics

AUGUST 1996



The University of Adelaide

ABSTRACT

Whether or not Triassic source rocks have contributed to the known petroleum reserves of the Bowen and Surat Basins is still unknown. In order to assess the relative contributions of Triassic and Permian hydrocarbons, a comprehensive geochemical study was made of oils and potential source rocks from the southern Taroom Trough. The study employed total organic carbon, Rock-Eval pyrolysis, organic petrography, extractable bitumen, biomarker and isotopic analyses, the latter including compound-specific isotope analysis (CSIA) of individual *n*-alkanes in extracts, pyrolysates and oils. Petroleum generation was also modelled, using first-order reaction kinetics and the BasinMod program, in order to predict the timing and amount of hydrocarbon generation and to explain the observed distribution of oil *versus* gas in the petroleum systems of the Bowen and Surat Basins.

In the Taroom Trough, the major potential source rocks are marine mudstones in the lower part of the Permian section (Back Creek Group, BCG), and coals and carbonaceous shales in its upper part (Blackwater Group, BWG). Both Permian marine and nonmarine lithofacies are organic-rich and have attained sufficient maturity for oil and gas generation. However, using molecular and isotopic data, the major source of petroleum was shown to be the BWG. A previously unrecognised subsidiary source was identified - the Triassic Snake Creek Mudstone (SCM) - and this lacustrine unit became the focus of further detailed investigation. Two SCM lacustrine environments are distinguished by their diagnostic molecular fingerprints. An oxygenated freshwater setting was widely distributed across the southern Taroom Trough, whereas a brackish suboxic environment developed locally in different parts of the basin. Evidence of a marine influence on the Snake Creek palaeolake is confined to the northwest and southeast margins of the southern Taroom Trough.

Artificial and natural maturation of the Taroom Trough source rocks reveals that biomarker maturity parameters can be affected by variations in kerogen type as well as mineralogy. Whereas rearranged drimanes are a characteristic feature of argillaceous petroleum source rocks, abundant homodrimanes and methylhomodrimanes are a diagnostic peculiarity of calcareous mudstones deposited under less oxic, and probably more saline, conditions. A comparison of the relative abundance of 16 α (H)- and 16 β (H)-phylocladane with other maturity data revealed a 'reversal' in the trend of increasing $\beta/(\alpha+\beta)$ values at maturities higher than those corresponding to the onset of oil generation. The present study sheds light on the previously undocumented behaviour of the phylocladanes during the late stage of catagenesis. The proportion of 16 β (H)-phylocladane $\beta/(\alpha+\beta)$ increases quickly with increasing maturation to a maximum value of ~0.88 at about the oil generation threshold, and then declines steadily from 0.88 to 0.65 through the oil window, eventually attaining equilibrium at 0.6 within the zone of dry gas generation.

The Permo-Triassic sequence in the southern Taroom Trough attained its maximum palaeotemperatures during the Late Cretaceous as a result of deep burial (in the south), or a combination of Cretaceous (\pm Triassic) burial and a thermal episode (in the north). This differential uplift and erosion has resulted in different effective periods of maturation and thus different prospectivities along the basin. The SCM in the south entered the oil window in the latest Early Cretaceous, whereas the underlying Permian section started generating hydrocarbons during the Jurassic. These hydrocarbons migrated updip to the east and west where structural-stratigraphic traps had formed in response to Triassic compressional deformation. Kinetic modelling proved that the oil and gas currently produced from sandstone reservoirs were sourced mainly from the BWG, with a lesser contribution from the BCG. Although the contribution of hydrocarbons from the SCM source rock was minor, it was sufficient to form a second petroleum system. In the north of the study area, early (Triassic) generation of hydrocarbons and subsequent (Jurassic-Cretaceous) burial left an overmature Permian section, at present able to generate only dry gas. The bulk of its hydrocarbons have, most likely, migrated to the south and west where oil and gas currently are being produced. High prospectivity is indicated for the southern region where generation and migration of hydrocarbons (Jurassic-Cretaceous) post-dated trap formation (Triassic), whereas in the north the bulk of generated hydrocarbons were expelled before the main deformation. Therefore, the considerable volumes of hydrocarbons generated in the north must have been lost as no traps or seals were in place.

Two petroleum systems can be identified in the southern Taroom Trough. The Triassic 'SCM-Showgrounds' petroleum system involves Triassic source and reservoir rocks in a very localised area of the southwestern part of the trough. It encompasses Family 1 oils produced from the Roswin North, Rednook and Merroombile petroleum pools (reservoired in the Showgrounds Sandstone), and the SCM source rock in the vicinity of Inglestone-1 and the adjacent deeper parts of the trough where the unit has its highest hydrocarbon-generating potential and shows microscopic evidence of active hydrocarbon generation. Hydrous pyrolysis of SCM kerogens and CSIA of the SCM extracts and kerogen pyrolysates suggest that its expelled oils are derived primarily from free lipids, with a minor contribution by hydrocarbons released catagenetically from the kerogen. The Blackwater-Precipice petroleum system (Permian-sourced), on the other hand, is of wider areal and stratigraphic extent. It includes the source rocks of the Back Creek and Blackwater Groups, which are mature to overmature throughout much of the Taroom Trough, and all related Family 2 hydrocarbons produced from reservoirs of Permian to Jurassic age along the southeastern and southwestern margins of the trough.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY.....	i
DEDICATE.....	ii
ABSTRACT.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	v
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Rationale, aims and scope of the thesis.....	1
1.2 Location and geological setting of the Taroom Trough.....	5
1.3 Basin development.....	8
1.4 Previous studies of hydrocarbon genesis in the Bowen/Surat Basin.....	10
1.4.1 Source rock and petroleum geochemistry.....	10
1.4.2 Thermal maturity modelling of the Bowen Basin.....	12
CHAPTER 2 PETROLEUM BIOMARKERS AS TOOLS IN BASIN ANALYSIS.....	14
2.1 Introduction.....	14
2.2 Nomenclature and structures.....	14
2.2.1 Normal alkanes and acyclic isoprenoids.....	14
2.2.2 Terpanes.....	16
2.2.3 Steranes.....	23
2.2.4 Aromatic hydrocarbons.....	23
2.3 Analysis and identification.....	26
2.3.1 Normal alkanes and acyclic isoprenoids.....	27
2.3.2 Terpanes.....	27
2.3.3 Steranes.....	28
2.3.4 Aromatic hydrocarbons.....	28
2.4 Biomarkers as source and environmental indicators.....	30
2.4.1 Normal alkanes and acyclic isoprenoids.....	30
2.4.2 Terpanes.....	32
2.4.3 Steranes.....	35
2.4.4 Aromatic source parameters.....	37
2.5 Biomarkers as maturity indicators.....	37
2.5.1 Terpane maturity parameters.....	39
2.5.2 Sterane maturity parameters.....	40
2.5.3 Aromatic maturity parameters.....	41
2.6 Effects of biodegradation on biomarkers.....	43

CHAPTER 3	SAMPLES AND ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES.....	46
3.1	Samples.....	46
3.1.1	<i>Sediments</i>	46
3.1.2	<i>Petroleum</i>	46
3.2	Preparation of source rock samples.....	46
3.3	Analysis.....	47
3.3.1	<i>Total organic carbon and Rock-Eval pyrolysis</i>	47
3.3.2	<i>Organic petrography</i>	47
3.3.3	<i>Scanning electron microscopy</i>	47
3.3.4	<i>Soxhlet extraction and liquid chromatography</i>	49
3.3.5	<i>Gas chromatography</i>	49
3.3.6	<i>MRM GC-MS</i>	49
3.3.7	<i>Kerogen isolation</i>	50
3.3.8	<i>Sealed-tube hydrous pyrolysis</i>	50
3.3.9	<i>Stable-carbon-isotope analysis</i>	51
3.3.10	<i>Gas chromatography-isotope-ratio mass spectrometry</i>	51
3.3.11	<i>Chemical kinetic analysis</i>	51
CHAPTER 4	SOURCE ROCK GEOCHEMISTRY AND PETROGRAPHY.....	53
4.1	Introduction.....	53
4.2	Source-richness.....	53
4.3	Kerogen type and maturity.....	59
4.3.1	<i>Back Creek Group</i>	59
4.3.2	<i>Blackwater Group</i>	69
4.3.3	<i>Snake Creek Mudstone</i>	70
4.3.4	<i>Jurassic mudstone and coal facies</i>	74
4.4	Organic facies of the Snake Creek Mudstone.....	79
4.4.1	<i>Alkane profiles</i>	79
4.4.2	<i>Maceral distributions</i>	84
4.4.3	<i>Maceral versus alkane distributions</i>	86
4.5	Petrographic evidence of oil generation in the SCM.....	87
4.6	Summary.....	89
CHAPTER 5	BIOMARKER CHARACTERISATION OF PERMIAN AND TRIASSIC SOURCE ROCKS.....	97
5.1	Introduction.....	97
5.2	Molecular maturity parameters.....	97
5.2.1	<i>Hopanes and steranes</i>	97
5.2.2	<i>Di- and triaromatic hydrocarbons</i>	99

5.3	Source-specific biomarker parameters of the Permian sediments.....	100
5.3.1	<i>Hopanes and steranes</i>	100
5.3.2	<i>Alkyl-naphthalenes and alkylphenanthrenes</i>	116
5.4	Depositional environment and molecular source parameters of the SCM.....	116
5.4.1	<i>Molecular signatures common to all SCM samples</i>	116
5.4.2	<i>Freshwater versus brackish lacustrine environments</i>	122
5.4.3	<i>Comments on the biomarker signatures of the SCM organic facies</i>	129
5.4.4	<i>Marine influence on the SCM lake</i>	135
5.5	Summary.....	137
 CHAPTER 6 PETROLEUM GEOCHEMISTRY AND OIL-SOURCE CORRELATION.....		138
6.1	Introduction.....	138
6.2	Recognition of oil families.....	138
6.2.1	<i>Basic geochemical characteristics of oils</i>	138
6.2.2	<i>Oil-to-oil correlation based on bulk geochemistry</i>	141
6.2.3	<i>Oil-to-source correlation based on n-alkanes</i>	142
6.3	Biomarker geochemistry.....	144
6.3.1	<i>Biodegradation</i>	144
6.3.1.1	<i>Effect on n-alkanes and isoprenoids</i>	144
6.3.1.2	<i>Effect on hopanes and steranes</i>	145
6.3.1.3	<i>Effect on carbon isotopic composition</i>	153
6.3.2	<i>Biomarker maturity parameters</i>	154
6.3.2.1	<i>Hopanes and steranes</i>	154
6.3.2.2	<i>Aromatic maturity parameters</i>	154
6.3.3	<i>Biomarker source parameters</i>	156
6.3.3.1	<i>Drimanes (bicyclic sesquiterpanes)</i>	156
6.3.3.2	<i>Tricyclic terpanes</i>	159
6.3.3.3	<i>Diterpenoid hydrocarbons</i>	165
6.3.3.4	<i>Hopanes and steranes</i>	169
6.3.3.5	<i>Aromatic source parameters</i>	181
6.4	Stable carbon isotopes.....	183
6.4.1	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of kerogens.....	183
6.4.2	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of extract fractions.....	185
6.4.3	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of oil fractions.....	187
6.4.4	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of SCM kerogen pyrolysates.....	190
6.4.5	<i>CSIA of individual n-alkanes</i>	191
6.4.5.1	<i>CSIA of rock extracts</i>	191
6.4.5.2	<i>CSIA of kerogen pyrolysates</i>	194
6.5	Summary.....	196

CHAPTER 7	THE BEHAVIOUR OF BIOMARKERS DURING ARTIFICIAL AND NATURAL MATURATION OF SOURCE ROCKS.....	197
7.1	Introduction.....	197
7.1.1	<i>Uses of hydrous pyrolysis.....</i>	<i>197</i>
7.1.2	<i>Why hydrous pyrolysis at 340°C for 72 hours?.....</i>	<i>197</i>
7.1.3	<i>The present study.....</i>	<i>198</i>
7.2	Absolute concentrations of pyrolysis products.....	198
7.2.1	<i>Quantitative yields of pyrolysates and saturated hydrocarbons.....</i>	<i>198</i>
7.2.2	<i>Quantitative yields of hopanes and steranes.....</i>	<i>201</i>
7.2.3	<i>Is the source of petroleum biomarkers free hydrocarbons or kerogen?..</i>	<i>203</i>
7.3	Hopane and sterane isomerisation.....	203
7.4	Other rearrangement reactions.....	205
7.4.1	<i>Diasteranes.....</i>	<i>205</i>
7.4.2	<i>Steranes and methylsteranes.....</i>	<i>205</i>
7.4.3	<i>Tricyclic and tetracyclic terpanes.....</i>	<i>207</i>
7.4.4	<i>Norhopanes, rearranged hopanes and methylhopanes.....</i>	<i>210</i>
7.5	Diterpenoids and phyllocladane isomerisation.....	210
7.5.1	<i>Background and previous work.....</i>	<i>210</i>
7.5.2	<i>Diterpane abundance and distribution.....</i>	<i>212</i>
7.5.3	<i>Phyllocladane isomerisation: Comparison with other maturity parameters.....</i>	<i>215</i>
7.6	Summary.....	217
CHAPTER 8	MODELLING OF THERMAL MATURATION AND HYDROCARBON GENERATION IN PETROLEUM SYSTEMS OF THE SOUTHERN TAROOM TROUGH.....	220
8.1	Introduction.....	220
8.2	Petroleum systems of the southern Taroom Trough.....	220
8.2.1	<i>Source rocks.....</i>	<i>222</i>
8.2.2	<i>Reservoir rocks and seals.....</i>	<i>225</i>
8.2.3	<i>Traps.....</i>	<i>225</i>
8.3	Kinetic modelling of petroleum generation.....	226
8.3.1	<i>Preview.....</i>	<i>226</i>
8.3.2	<i>Materials and methods.....</i>	<i>228</i>
8.3.3	<i>Results and data handling.....</i>	<i>228</i>
8.3.3.1	<i>Chemical kinetics of hydrocarbon generation from the Triassic SCM organic facies.....</i>	<i>230</i>
8.3.3.2	<i>Chemical kinetics of hydrocarbon generation from the Permian organic facies.....</i>	<i>230</i>

8.4 Application of thermal modelling to the southern Taroom Trough.....	232
8.4.1 Lithology and lithostratigraphy data.....	232
8.4.2 Geothermal gradient.....	232
8.4.3 Vitrinite reflectance and maturation gradients.....	236
8.4.4 Modelling the northern part of the study area.....	236
8.4.5 Modelling the southern part of the study area.....	240
8.5 Implications for petroleum generation.....	241
8.5.1 Southern part of the study area.....	241
8.5.2 Northern part of the study area.....	245
8.6 Summary.....	246
 CHAPTER 9 CONCLUSIONS.....	 249
 REFERENCES.....	 252