



ZINC AS A SUBSOIL NUTRIENT FOR CEREALS

A thesis submitted
by
R.E. Holloway M.Ag.Sc.
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in the
Faculty of Agricultural and Natural Resource Sciences
of
the University of Adelaide

Department of Agronomy and Farming Systems
Roseworthy Campus
Roseworthy, South Australia

August, 1996

CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	vii
STATEMENT	x
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 The problem defined	2
1.2 Objectives of Thesis	5
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 The role of zinc in plant growth	8
2.2.1 A brief history	8
2.2.2 Zinc and cell membrane integrity	10
2.3 Zinc uptake by plants	14
2.3.1 Zinc in the soil solution	14
2.3.2 Zinc supply and the rhizosphere	18
2.3.3 Specific effects of proteoid roots	22
2.3.4 Rhizosphere responses to iron and zinc deficiencies	23
2.3.5 Mechanisms of zinc uptake	26
2.3.6 Effects of crop rotations on zinc uptake	28
2.3.7 Mycorrhizal influence on nutrient uptake	30
2.4 Interactions between zinc and other nutrients	32
2.4.1 Phosphorus	32
2.4.2 Other nutrients	35
2.5 Zinc efficiency in cereals	37
2.6 Recognition of zinc deficiency	40
2.6.1 Symptomatic diagnosis	40
2.6.2 Soil indicators of zinc deficiency	41
2.6.3 Tissue concentrations as indicators of zinc status	43

2.7	Zinc fertilization	45
2.7.1	The need for zinc fertilization	45
2.7.2	Zinc fertilizers	46
2.7.3	Relative agronomic effectiveness	47
2.7.4	Zinc fertilizer technology	48
	Solid fertilizers	48
	Liquid fertilizers	49
2.7.5	Methods and rates of zinc application	50
	Application to soil	50
	Foliar application	51
2.8	Root geometry, water and nutrient uptake	51
2.8.1	General relationships	51
2.8.2	The subsoil as a source of nutrients	53
2.8.3	A specific role for zinc in subsoil nutrition?	56
2.9	Summary	58
3.0	THE EFFECTS OF ZINC, NITROGEN AND PHOSPHORUS FERTILIZER PLACEMENT ON THE GROWTH OF WHEAT AND BARLEY IN FIELD EXPERIMENTS	63
3.1	Introduction	63
3.2	Materials and Methods	64
3.2.1	Experiment A. field N6 - 1993	64
3.2.2	Experiment B. field N6 - 1994	67
3.2.3	Experiment C. field N6 - 1995	68
3.2.4	Experiment D. field S4 - 1994	68
3.2.5	Experiment E. field S4 - 1995	71
3.2.6	Statistical Analysis	71
3.3	Results	72
3.3.1	Experiment A. field N6 -1993	72
3.3.1.1	Rainfall	72
3.3.1.2	The effects of deep ripping on soil strength	73
3.3.1.3	Nutrient concentrations in tissue	76
3.3.1.4	Production of dry matter	76
3.3.1.5	Grain yield and other production parameters	78

3.3.1.6	Root growth	79
3.3.1.7	Nutrient concentrations in grain	80
3.3.1.8	Nutrient uptake in grain	82
3.3.2	Experiment B. field N6 - 1994	83
3.3.2.1	The effects of deep ripping in the previous season on soil strength	83
3.3.2.2	Nutrient concentrations in tissue	84
3.3.2.3	Grain yield and other production parameters	85
3.3.2.4	Nutrient concentrations in grain	87
3.3.2.5	Nutrient uptake in grain	89
3.3.3	Experiment C. field N6 - 1995	90
3.3.3.1	Nutrient concentrations in tissue	90
3.3.4	Experiment D. field S4 - 1994	91
3.3.4.1	The effects of deep ripping on soil strength	91
3.3.4.2	Soil water content at sowing	92
3.3.4.3	Nutrient concentrations in tissue	93
3.3.4.4	Production of dry matter	94
3.3.4.5	Grain yield and other production parameters	96
3.3.4.6	Root growth	100
3.3.4.7	Nutrient concentrations in grain	101
3.3.4.8	Nutrient uptake in grain	103
3.3.5	Experiment E. field S4 - 1995	104
3.3.5.1	Nutrient concentrations in tissue	104
3.3.5.2	Grain yield and protein	106
3.3.5.3	Nutrient concentrations in grain	107
3.3.5.4	Nutrient uptake in grain	109
3.4	General Discussion	110
4.0	THE EFFECTS OF ANTECEDENT SPECIES ON THE ZINC NUTRITION OF WHEAT	115
4.1	Introduction	115
4.2	Materials and Methods	117
4.2.1	Experimental design and statistical analysis	117
4.2.2	A. 1993	118

	4.2.2.1	Preparation of materials	118
	4.2.2.2	Experimental procedures	118
4.2.3	B. 1994		120
	4.2.3.1	Experimental procedures	120
4.3	Results		122
	4.3.1	A. 1993	122
	4.3.1.1	Production of dry matter	122
	4.3.1.2	Root weights	123
	4.3.1.3	Nutrient concentrations in whole shoots	125
	4.3.1.4	Nutrient uptake in whole shoots	129
	4.3.1.5	Water use efficiency	132
	4.3.2	B. 1994	133
	4.3.2.1	Production of dry matter in Zn- soil	133
		Dry weight of shoots - Durati in Zn- soil	133
		Dry weight of shoots - Excalibur in Zn- soil	134
		Dry weight of shoots in Durati+Excalibur in Zn-soil	134
	4.3.2.2	Production of dry matter in Zn+ soil	136
		Dry weight of tops (straw+grain) and grain yield - Excalibur in Zn+ soil	136
	4.3.2.3	Nutrient concentrations and uptake	137
		Nutrient concentrations - Durati	137
		Nutrient uptake in whole shoots - Durati	139
		Nutrient concentrations in whole shoots - Excalibur in Zn- soil	140
		Nutrient concentrations in straw and grain - Excalibur in Zn+ soil	141
		Nutrient uptake in whole shoots Excalibur in Zn- soil	143
		Zinc uptake in whole shoots - Durati+Excalibur in Zn- soil	144
		Nutrient uptake in straw and grain Excalibur in Zn+ soil	145
		Zinc uptake by rotations	146

	Zn- soil	146
	Zn+ soil	147
	4.3.2.4 Water use efficiency	148
4.4	General Discussion	148
5.0	THE EFFECTS OF ADDED ZINC AND OTHER NUTRIENTS ON THE GROWTH OF ZINC-EFFICIENT AND INEFFICIENT WHEAT CULTIVARS IN AN ALKALINE SOIL	156
5.1	Introduction	156
5.2	Materials and Methods	157
	5.2.1 Soil preparation	157
	5.2.2 Experimental procedure	159
	5.2.3 Statistical Analysis	161
5.3	Results	162
	5.3.1 Early growth	162
	5.3.2 Head emergence and growth to maturity	163
	5.3.3 Production of dry matter	166
	5.3.4 Grain yield and related parameters	167
	5.3.4.1 Grain yield	168
	5.3.4.2 Fertile heads	169
	5.3.4.3 Infertile heads	169
	5.3.4.4 Water use efficiency - grain and tops	169
	5.3.4.5 Harvest index	171
	5.3.5 Nutrient concentrations in grain and straw	172
	5.3.6 Nutrient uptake in grain and straw	175
	5.3.7 Physiological zinc efficiency	178
	5.3.8 Relative transport to grain	179
	5.3.9 Root growth	181
	5.3.9.1 Rooting densities and total root length	181
	5.3.9.2 Root parameters	182
5.4	General Discussion	185b

6.0	THE EFFECTS OF LOCALISED ZINC SUPPLY ON THE GROWTH AND GRAIN UPTAKE OF A ZINC-EFFICIENT WHEAT CULTIVAR	186
6.1	Introduction	186
6.2	Materials and Methods	188
6.2.1	Experimental design and statistical analysis	188
6.2.2	Experimental procedure	189
6.3	Results and Discussion	191
6.3.1	Head emergence, fertile heads, grain yields and other yield parameters	191
6.3.2	Root measurements	193
6.3.3	Nutrient concentrations in YEBs	196
6.3.4	Nutrient concentrations in flag leaves	198
6.3.5	Concentrations of nutrients in straw	200
6.3.6	Zinc concentrations in grain	201
6.3.7	Nutrient uptake - straw and grain	202
6.3.8	Physiological efficiency and relative transport to grain	204
6.3.9	Water use	205
6.4	General Discussion	206
7.0	GENERAL DISCUSSION	212
7.1	Results in terms of meeting general objectives	218
8.0	APPENDIX	220
9.0	REFERENCES	290

ABSTRACT

In southern Australia, where subsoils are predominantly alkaline and pH increases with depth, the available zinc status of soils is low and cereals may suffer from zinc deficiency. This deficiency has traditionally been treated by application of zinc fertilizer to the cultivated layer from which the downward movement of zinc is unlikely. Evidence has accumulated over the past decade that a lack of zinc in the medium external to the root impairs the function of roots and that zinc may be required in subsoil as well as topsoil to correct the problem.

Field experiments were established at two sites at Minnipa in South Australia to measure the effects of deep placement to 0.4 m of zinc, nitrogen and phosphorus on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Machete) and barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L. cv. Stirling).

In view of the wide (0.45 m) spacing between the tynes of the deep ripper used for fertilizer placement, some clear effects were observed. Zinc concentrations in youngest emerged blades (YEBs) were generally highest where zinc was applied with nitrogen-phosphorus (NP) fertilizer. Grain yields were not highly correlated with zinc concentration in YEBs. Zinc concentration in grain was highest where a mixture in water of zinc sulphate, monoammonium phosphate and ammonium nitrate was applied to the subsoil. Subsoil placement of zinc and NP fertilizer significantly increased wheat grain yields and zinc concentrations in grain above placement in topsoil at one site in the second year. The apparent benefits of subsoil placement of zinc with NP fertilizers are worthy of further investigation in areas where alkaline subsoils occur.

In southern Australia, there exists a body of anecdotal evidence that wheat grown after field peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) is more productive than when grown after pasture legumes (principally *Medicago* spp.) The possibility that the different abilities of various species to mobilise zinc may be of benefit to following crops has only recently been considered. A deep pot experiment was conducted to compare the abilities of several antecedent species to cope with zinc deficient soil and to modify the available

zinc status of the soil to benefit wheat grown in the following year. Six species, *Lupinus pilosus* (cv. 20957), *Pisum sativum* L. (cv. Early Dun.), *Medicago truncatula* Gaertn. (cv. Parabinga), *Triticum durum* L. (cv. Durati), *Hordeum leporinum* Link and *Brassica juncea* Czern and Coss (cv. Pusa Bold) were grown in pots containing zinc-deficient Laffer sand fertilized with basal nutrients other than zinc. The same species, apart from *B. juncea*, were also grown in pots to which 0.25 mg Zn kg⁻¹ soil was also added.

Of the five species, *L. pilosus* was the most zinc efficient and *H. leporinum* the least. *B. juncea* produced more dry matter in soil of low zinc status than other species and displayed no symptoms of zinc deficiency. The large seeded grain legumes produced significantly more dry matter to anthesis in zinc-deficient soil than *M. truncatula* or members of the *Poaceae*. *T. durum* (cv. Durati) was grown in the same pots and harvested three weeks after sowing when plants were almost completely necrotic. Durati shoots produced significantly more dry weight at harvest in soil of low zinc status following *P. sativum* than other species apart from *L. pilosus*. The data suggest that the reported better performance of cereals after *P. sativum* compared with *Medicago* based pastures is a real effect and may be due in part to an enhanced availability of zinc. In *T. aestivum* L. (cv. Excalibur) grown in the same soil for 20 weeks, zinc uptake following grain legumes in zinc deficient soil was significantly higher than after the *Poaceae* or *B. juncea*. Uptakes of several nutrients were significantly depressed in Excalibur grown after *H. leporinum* compared with other species. Durati appears to have a higher critical concentration for zinc than Excalibur.

The zinc efficiencies, root growth and production characteristics of the wheat cultivars Gatcher (zinc-inefficient) and Excalibur (zinc-efficient) in infertile, alkaline subsoil typical of that which occurs on Eyre Peninsula were compared in a pot experiment. The principal hypothesis tested was that the zinc-efficiency of Excalibur, when compared with that of Gatcher, is due primarily to the ability of Excalibur to produce a greater surface area of roots. Zinc-efficient Excalibur wheat demonstrated a clear advantage in terms of grain yield compared with the inefficient cultivar Gatcher

when grown in a calcareous alkaline subsoil of low zinc status when other basal nutrients were added. Zinc uptake in Excalibur tops was the equivalent to that in Gatcher although Excalibur produced a total root length about half that of Gatcher. Excalibur also displayed a greater degree of internal efficiency for diverting zinc to grain formation.

Zinc efficiency offers a cost-effective approach to growing cereals on soils of low zinc status. However, more efficient grain production with respect to zinc supply does not necessarily imply higher zinc concentration in grain. The relationship between zinc placement in soil and grain concentration of zinc and other parameters in Excalibur were examined. Plants were grown in pots with three layers of sand each 20 cm deep. Basal nutrients were added to the whole soil but zinc was added at $0.5 \text{ mg Zn kg}^{-1}$ soil in various combinations of layers. There were no differences in grain yield but the highest concentrations of zinc in grain occurred in pots containing added zinc in all three layers. Where only one layer was treated with zinc, concentrations of zinc in grain were highest where zinc was added to the bottom layer. In the zinc-efficient wheat cultivar Excalibur, high zinc concentrations in grain were dependent on a supply of adequate zinc throughout the root zone. Increasing the depth of placement to any degree above the standard 0.05 m used in the field in southern Australia is likely to have a beneficial effect on zinc concentration in grain.

The literature reveals a paucity of field studies of subsoil infertility, particularly with specific reference to zinc. The thesis describes investigations into field and pot studies of various aspects of subsoil infertility, including the possible roles of zinc efficiency in cereals and crop rotations in addressing this problem. The data indicate several promising avenues for further investigation.