



Design of Dynamic Cellular Manufacturing Systems

by

Mirko M. Bajic

The University of Adelaide

Faculty of Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

*A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy on September 13, 2000.*

Qualified on January 02, 2001

ABSTRACT

Existing approaches to the cell formation problem concentrate on simultaneous part family formation and machine sharing. A fundamental problem faced when part families and independent cells are desired is that two or more cells may share a machine type. Then, an integer assignment to each cell, subject to total machine availability constraints, leads to underutilisation or overloading of the important machines in the cells. Practical shopfloor conditions such as machine failures, fluctuations in part mix or demands may also make cells unstable. These methods do not use flow data. Hence, they cannot solve together the machine grouping, machine sharing, intracell layout, intercell layout and handling subproblems. Beyond the logical grouping of the resources, another important aspect of manufacturing system design is the physical placement of these resources on the shop floor, which defines the actual flow patterns of parts.

This dissertation addresses, for the first time, an analytical approach to the integrated problems of designing the dynamic cellular manufacturing (*DCM*) system layout concurrently with its material flow (handling) requirements, in such a manner that minimises the material handling within the system. The proposed strategy encourages the design of a dynamic layout to identify simultaneously the machine groups, economical machine distribution, and intracell and intercell layouts.

Thus the proposed mathematical models are based on network analysis and graph structures for flowline decomposition of machine groupings, and flowline layout design of dynamic cellular manufacturing cells. To minimise travel distances for forward, and backward material flow arcs, the derived model minimises total travel distances and machine duplications. Consequently, stages I and II of this research generates machine groups, identifies a flowline layout for each group, indicates which flowlines must be placed adjacent to each other to minimise intercell distances, and an approximate configuration of the aisles. Thus by capturing the directionality embedded in the operation sequences of a variety of parts produced, the associated facility floor area can be optimised. It is concluded that the classification of flow arcs used is effective for assessing whether material handling and layout, or machine sharing, is necessary to minimise intercell or intracell material travel distances respectively.

As a development from stages I and II, stages III and IV outlines the associated economics of machine

distribution and layouts of a dynamic cellular manufacturing facility. Furthermore, by employing simulated annealing (*SA*) algorithms the design (machine placement) of the shop layout for these dynamic cells can be optimised.

Illustrative case study material demonstrates that the sequential use of these proposed stages effectively integrates machine grouping and layout design requirements into dynamic cell configurations. It is established that these evolved dynamic cells have a superior utilisation of resources, when compared with equivalent classical cellular systems employing traditional design methods such as clustering analysis, operation sequence clustering and graph based layout techniques. In addition, parametric analyses of the proposed *SA* algorithm procedure shows an improvement in the cells responsiveness and effectiveness, with respect to the reported mean values of the material flow cost function. Furthermore, examples are utilised for both equal and unequal resource dimensions, with the average value of the material flow cost comparable with other methodologies. It should be appreciated however, that the *SA* algorithm was programmed in *MATLAB*, and has matrix and graphical interface outputs, which is again a further step forward in this research area.

List of Contents

<i>ABSTRACT</i>	<i>ii</i>
<i>Statement of Originality</i>	<i>iv</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>List of contents</i>	<i>vi</i>
<i>List of Figures</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>List of Tables</i>	<i>xvi</i>
<i>Acronyms</i>	<i>xix</i>
CHAPTER 1	1
<i>Introduction</i>	1
1.1. Introduction and Significance	1
1.2. Group Technology Definition	1
1.3. The Concept of Cellular Manufacturing	2
1.4. The Practical Significance of Cellular Manufacturing	3
1.5. The Fundamental Problems in CM	5
1.6. Research Objectives	6
1.7. Overview of the Thesis	8
CHAPTER 2	10
<i>Literature Review of Cell Formation and Layout</i>	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2. Design of Cellular Manufacturing Systems	11
2.2.1. Classification and Coding (CC)	12
2.2.2. Production Flow Analysis (PFA)	13
2.2.3. Machine-Component Group Analysis	16
2.2.4. Similarity Coefficient Methods (SCM)	18
2.2.5. Network Flow	22
2.2.6. Cost - Based Methods	22
2.2.7. Mathematical (Integer) Programming Formulation	23
2.2.8. Graph Theoretical Approach	24
2.3. Cellular Layout	25
2.3.1. Facility Layout Problem	25
2.3.2. Machine Layout Problems	34
2.3.3. Multiple-Objective Layout Methods	35

2.4. Artificial Intelligence Techniques (Modern Approaches)	36
2.4.1. Neural Networks	36
2.4.2. Simulated Annealing (SA) Methods	36
2.4.3. Knowledge Based Expert System (KBES) Approach	39
2.5. Justification Techniques	40
2.6. Cost - Utilisation	42
2.7. Computer Simulation	43
2.8. Conclusions	44
CHAPTER 3	46
<i>Integrated DCMS's Layout Design Methodologies</i>	<i>46</i>
3.1. Introduction	46
3.2. Critical Analysis of Cellular Manufacturing Approaches	46
3.2.1. Problems with the Traditional Approaches	48
3.3. Main Modules (Problems) in Cell Manufacturing System Design	49
3.4. Interactions between the Modules (Problems)	50
3.4.1 Part Families and Distribution of Machines	51
3.4.2 Part Families, Distribution of Machines and Intracell Layout	51
3.4.3 Part Families, Distribution of Machines and Intercell Layout	51
3.4.4 Intracell Layout and Intercell Layout	52
3.4. Alternative-Enhanced Approaches to Cellular Manufacturing	52
3.5. Integrated Cellular Manufacturing Layout Methodology - Research Proposal	58
3.5.1. Cell Formation Module - Problem	59
3.5.2. Layout Module - Problem	60
3.5.3. Integration of Different Layout Design Modules	61
3.5.4. Simulation (validation) Module - Problem	62
3.6. Research Methodologies for Analysis & Design of DCMS's	64
3.7. Capabilities of Research Methodologies	66
3.7.1. Operations Sequence Approach	66
3.7.2. Clustering Approach and Matrix Diagonalisation	66
3.7.3. Graph Theoretical Approach	67
3.7.4. Mathematical Programming Approach	67
3.7.5. Research Methodology	67
3.8. Conclusions	68
CHAPTER 4	69
<i>Mathematical Modelling of Dynamic Cells Layout</i>	<i>69</i>
4.1. Introduction	69
4.2. Definition from Graph Theory	69
4.3 Arcs Classification in the WDRT	71
4.3.1. Forward Arcs	73
4.3.2. Backward Arcs	75
4.3.3 Crisscrossing Arcs	75
4.4. Problem Complexity	76
4.5. Mathematical Formulation of the Problem	77
4.6. Modelling of Stage I: Steps 1 - 6	78
4.7. Modelling of Stage II: Steps 7 - 11	79
4.7.1. Evaluation and Analysis of Approximate DCMS Flowline Layout	81

4.8. Mathematical Justification of the Methodology	81
4.9. Summary of Initial Methodology for the Stages I and II	82
4.9.1. Stage I: Steps 1 - 6	82
4.9.2. Stage II: Steps 7 - 11	82
4.10. Computational Experience	83
4.11. Conclusions	84
CHAPTER 5	86
<i>Experimental Study of Dynamic Cells and Machines Layout</i>	86
5.1. Introduction	86
5.2. An Rudimentary Example of Proposed MWDRST Research Model	86
5.2.1. Stage I: Steps 1 – 6	86
5.2.2. Stage II – Steps 7 – 11	89
5.3. Comparison of MWDRST with Other Flowline Graph's Adjacency Based Design	
Procedures	93
5.3.1. Comparison of Proposed MWDRST with MST Procedure	94
5.3.2. Comparison of Proposed MWDRST with Cut Tree Procedure	95
5.3.3 Flowline Directionality Comparison	96
5.3.4. Comments	98
5.4. Comparison of MWDRST with Clustering Analysis Technique	98
5.4.1. Clustering Analysis Data	98
5.4.2 Stage I: Steps 1-6	98
5.4.3. Stage II: Steps 7 – 11	101
5.4.4. Comments	107
5.5. Comparison of MWDRST with Operation Sequence Clustering Technique - A Detailed	
Study	108
5.5.1. Stage I: Steps 1-6	108
5.5.2. Stage II: Steps 7 – 11	113
5.5.3. Comments	119
5.6. Comparison of MWDRST with Graph-Based Layout Design	119
5.6.1. Stage I: Steps 1 - 6	120
5.7. Conclusion	122
CHAPTER 6	125
<i>Optimising Dynamic Cell Facility (Shop Floor) Layout – Stages III & IV</i>	125
6.1. Introduction	125
6.2. Stage III Economical Machine Duplication	125
6.2.1. Economical Duplication - Example	127
6.3. Stage IV Shopfloor Machine Placement - Layout Optimisation	130
6.3.1. Definition of the Dynamic Cell Discrete Layout Model	131
6.3.2. Mathematical Formulation of the Dynamic Cell Layout Approach	136
6.3.3. Proposed Solution Using Improved Simulated Annealing	139
6.3.4. The SA Layout Algorithm	149
6.3.5. Numerical Implementation	153
6.3.6. Examples – with Comparisons	154
6.3.7. Analysis of Parameters	159
6.3.8. Computational Experience	161
6.4. Conclusions	162
CHAPTER 7	165
<i>Summary and Conclusions</i>	165

7.1. Introduction	165
7.2. Summary and Research Critique	165
7.2.1. Summary	165
7.2.2. Research Critique	169
7.3. Research Overview	169
7.4. Conclusions	170
7.5. Recommendations for Future Work	170
7.5.1. Cell Formation Stage	170
7.5.2. Intercell Layout Stage	171
7.5.3. Intercell and Intracell Material Handling Systems	171
7.5.4. Integration of <i>GT</i> Coding	171
7.5.5. Physical Criteria as Inputs to the System	171
7.5.6. Integration of an Expert System	171
<i>References:</i>	172
<i>Publications Originating from this Thesis</i>	185
APPENDIX A	186
A.1. Graph Theory Review	186
A.2. Arcs Classification in the <i>WDRT</i>	187
A.3. Problem Complexity and <i>NP</i> - Problems	187
A.4. Mathematical Formulation of the Approximate Layout Problem	189
A.4.1. Stage I	189
A.4.2. Stage II	192
A.5. Weighted Directed Rooted Spanning Tree's Average Path Length - The Proposed First Theorem	193
A.5.1. Proof:	194
A.5.2. Usual context	195
A.6. Weighted Directed Rooted Spanning Tree's Adjacencies - The Proposed Second Theorem	195
A.6.1. Proof:	196
A.6.2. Usual Context	197
A.7. Weighted Directed Rooted Spanning Tree's Maximal Weight - The Proposed Third Theorem	197
A.7.1. Proof:	197
A.7.2. Usual Context	199
A.8. Weighted Directed Rooted Spanning Tree and Permutations – The Proposed Fourth Theorem	199
A.8.1. Proof	201
A.9. Weighting Scheme – The Proposed Fifth Theorem	201
A.9.1. Proof:	201
APPENDIX B	204
B.1. Spanning Tree Algorithms	204
B.1.1. Minimum Spanning Tree	204
B.1.2. Minimum Cost Spanning Tree	206
B.1.3. Maximum Spanning Tree	208
B.1.4. Dijkstra's Algorithm.	209
B.2. Optimum Branching	211
B.2.1. Maximum Branching Algorithm	211
APPENDIX C	214