



MYCOPHAGOUS AMOEBAE IN A SUPPRESSIVE
PASTURE SOIL IN RELATION TO THE
TAKE-ALL DISEASE OF WHEAT

by

Sukumar Chakraborty

B.Sc. (Ag.) Honours, M.Sc.

Department of Plant Pathology
Waite Agricultural Research Institute
The University of Adelaide
South Australia

Thesis submitted to The University of Adelaide
in fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March, 1983.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
<i>SUMMARY</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>STATEMENT</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</i>	<i>viii</i>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MATERIALS AND METHODS	9
PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF EXPERIMENTAL SOILS	9
ORIGIN AND MAINTENANCE OF FUNGI AND BACTERIA	9
TEST FOR SUPPRESSIVENESS OF SOILS	13
SUPPRESSIVENESS OF EXPERIMENTAL SOILS	14
III. STUDIES ON SOIL AMOEBAE	19
A. ISOLATION, MAINTENANCE AND CHARACTERIZATION OF AMOEBAE	19
Isolation of amoebae	20
<i>(i) The soil plate method</i>	20
<i>(ii) Isolation from soil suspension</i>	21
<i>(iii) Soil enrichment method</i>	22
<i>(iv) Membrane filter burial method</i>	22
Purification and Maintenance	25
Cultural characters of Amoebae	26
<i>(i) Growth responses of amoebae to different temperatures</i>	26
<i>(ii) Thermal death points of amoebae</i>	28
<i>(iii) Effect of antibiotics on the growth of amoebae</i>	30
<i>(iv) Suitability of various brands of agar for the cultivation of amoebae</i>	32
B. DESCRIPTION AND IDENTIFICATION OF AMOEBAE ISOLATED FROM THE WAITE PERMANENT PASTURE	36
Methods	36
The amoebae studied	37
<i>Acanthamoeba polyphaga</i>	37
<i>Echinamoeba</i> sp.	39
<i>Gephyramoeba</i> sp.	41
<i>Unidentified leptomyxid amoeba</i>	44
<i>Mayorella</i> sp.	47

	<u>Page No.</u>
<i>Platyamoeba stenopodia</i>	49
<i>Platyamoeba</i> sp.	51
<i>Saccamoeba</i> sp.	53
<i>Thecamoeba quadrilineata</i>	55
<i>Thecamoeba sphaeronucleolus</i>	57
<i>Thecamoeba granifera</i> sub-species <i>minor</i>	58
<i>Unidentified Vampyrellid amoeba</i>	62
C. <i>IN VITRO</i> INTERACTION OF SOIL AMOEBAE WITH <i>Ggt</i> AND OTHER SOIL FUNGI	66
The feeding trials	67
Feeding activities of the amoebae	68
<i>The unidentified leptomyxid amoeba</i>	70
<i>Saccamoeba</i> sp.	79
<i>Gephyramoeba</i> sp.	81
<i>Thecamoeba granifera</i> sub-species <i>minor</i>	83
<i>Mayorella</i> sp.	85
Feeding of mycophagous amoebae on other soil fungi	87
Discussion	89
IV. ROLE OF SOIL AMOEBAE IN SUPPRESSION OF <u>Ggt</u>	91
A. SOIL AMOEBAE AND SAPROPHYTIC SURVIVAL OF <i>Ggt</i>	91
The method used	94
Amoebae and saprophytic survival of <i>Ggt</i> hyphae in suppressive and non-suppressive soil	95
Amoebae and saprophytic survival of <i>Ggt</i> hyphae in WPP, CW, MB and CS	101
Saprophytic survival of <i>Ggt</i> in sterile soils amended with suspensions of mycophagous amoebae	106
Ability of mycophagous amoebae to invade infected wheat roots	109
Discussion	112
B. POPULATIONS OF AMOEBAE IN SUPPRESSIVE AND NON-SUPPRESSIVE SOILS	114
Soils	115
Consideration of methods	116
Populations of amoebae in the soils	121
Populations of <i>Ggt</i> in the survey soils	123
Effect of soil moisture on amoebal activity	125
Survival of amoebae under extremes of moisture stress	128

Populations of amoebae in suppressive and non-suppressive soils with similar soil texture	129
Suitability of the survey soils as a medium for amoebal growth	132
Discussion	132
C. ASSOCIATION OF AMOEBAE IN WHEAT RHIZOSPHERES IN SUPPRESSIVE AND NON-SUPPRESSIVE SOILS	139
Association of soil amoebae with wheat roots - a survey	141
Population dynamics of amoebae in suppressive and non-suppressive soils	143
Selection of soils and sampling	143
Populations of mycophagous and other amoebae in the PPW, PeW and CW soils	146
Amoebae in wheat rhizoplane in suppressive and non-suppressive soils	148
Correlation between soil moisture, population of <i>Ggt</i> and amoebae in the suppressive and non-suppressive soils	150
D. REDUCTION OF TAKE-ALL BY MYCOPHAGOUS AMOEBAE IN POT BIOASSAYS	155
Effect of mycophagous amoebae on the severity of take-all	157
Reduction of take-all severity by soil cultures of mycophagous amoebae	158
Soil cultures of mycophagous amoebae	160
Effect of soil cultures of mycophagous amoebae on the severity of take-all	160
Effect of initial population size of mycophagous amoebae on the severity of take-all	164
The accompanying organisms of <i>Thecamoeba granifera</i> sub-species <i>minor</i>	164
Discussion	167
V. GENERAL DISCUSSION	169

VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX I - Formulae for media and saline solutions	175
APPENDIX IIA - Generic populations of amoebae in samples of the Waite permanent pasture, Avon and Murray Bridge soil	179
APPENDIX IIB - Population (no. g ⁻¹ dry wt) of soil amoebae during two wheat crop and inter-crop fallow in suppressive (PPW and PeW) and non-suppressive (CW) soil	180
APPENDIX IIC - Wheat rhizoplane amoebae (percentage root plated) during two crops in suppressive (PPW and PeW) and non-suppressive (CW) soil	181
APPENDIX III - Publications	183

VII. BIBLIOGRAPHY 184

SUMMARY

Amoebae were isolated, characterised and identified from soil of the Waite Institute permanent pasture plot which is suppressive in pot bioassays to the take-all disease of wheat. Nine species of amoebae belonging to eight genera were tested for their mycophagy against three plant pathogenic fungi including *Gaeumannomyces graminis tritici*. Members of the genera, *Gephyramoeba*, *Mayorella*, *Saccamoeba*, *Thecamoeba* and an unidentified species of the order Leptomyxida were mycophagous. All mycophagous amoebae, except the unidentified leptomyxid were able to feed on pigmented (melanised) fungal cells.

Populations of the various genera of soil amoebae were assessed from samples of the naturally suppressive pasture soil, a suppressive wheat-pasture rotation and three non-suppressive wheat-field soils. The suppressive soils showed higher populations of both mycophagous and other amoebae and a higher frequency of occurrence of mycophagous genera. Soil texture and water holding capacity were not related with population levels of amoebae in these soils.

Saprophytic survival of the take-all fungus was studied by burying fungal hyphae in suppressive and non-suppressive soils. Hyphal density and survival of pigmented hyphae declined at a faster rate in the permanent pasture soil than in the non-suppressive soils. Hyphae recovered from the suppressive soil showed a higher association of mycophagous and other amoebae and scanning electron microscopy of these hyphae showed extensive erosion and discrete perforations in their walls.

The decline in survival of the fungus was related to the rate of decline in the density of pigmented hyphae in suppressive soil, irrespective of the soil type.

Studies on the population dynamics of amoebae showed a higher rhizosphere population and a higher rhizoplane association of mycophagous and other amoebae in suppressive soils. Populations in the suppressive pasture-wheat soil did not correlate with soil moisture during two wheat crops and one inter-crop fallow.

Three mycophagous amoebae, *Gephyramoeba*, *Saccamoeba* and *Thecamoeba granifera* sub-species *minor*, alone or mixed effectively reduced take-all severity in pot bioassays. The reduction in disease rating and the increase in height and dry weight of plants were comparable to that obtained with the suppressive pasture soil. Higher populations of these amoebae in combination were able to further reduce disease severity and improve plant growth.

Mycophagous amoebae are proposed as a component of the suppressive factors in the permanent pasture soil. The observations that mycophagous amoebae associate themselves with the fungus in soils, can lyse both pigmented and hyaline hyphae during the pre-colonisation and parasitic phase of the fungus, and reduce take-all severity in pot bioassays substantiate this proposal.