

**COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA**

Copyright Regulations 1969

**WARNING**

This material has been reproduced and communicated to you by or on behalf of  
The University of Adelaide pursuant to Part VB of the Copyright Act 1968 (the Act).

The material in this communication may be subject to copyright under the Act.  
Any further reproduction or communication of this material by you may be the  
subject of copyright protection under the Act.

**Do not remove this notice.**

External Copyright permission (if applicable)



# Predicting weld cooling rates and the onset of failure during in-service welding

Prakash N. Sabapathy

B.E. Hons. (Adelaide), 1995

The University of Adelaide  
Department of Mechanical Engineering  
South Australia 5005

*Submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, 1st February 2002.*

# Predicting weld cooling rates and the onset of failure during in-service welding

## ABSTRACT

A comprehensive numerical simulation of the in-service welding of high pressure gas pipelines has been developed. A system has been established for predicting the safe, suitable welding conditions at which in-service manual metal arc welding using low-hydrogen electrodes can be achieved on thin, high-strength pipes with flowing pressurised natural gas. The two main concerns of in-service welding are: the possibility of excessively hard heat affected zone microstructure due to fast cooling rates, and the possibility of failure of the pipe wall due to localised heating from the welding arc.

The finite element method was applied to calculate the cooling rates from circumferential fillet welds and branch on pipe welds. Initial development considered approximating the process in two dimensions, but was quickly rejected in favour of three-dimensional conduction only models which included temperature dependent material properties. The welding arc was approximated as a three-dimensional heat flux distribution function. Numerical simulations were validated using both laboratory and field trials. The experiments involved welding on a water cooled device which simulated in-service welding cooling conditions in a laboratory, while a second experiment involved welding onto a flow-loop attached to an actual operating pipeline. The results from the experiments were used to define the heat source distribution, to account for weld weaving, and shallow penetration welding. Weld pool flow was not calculated, but the effects of weld pool convection were included in the heat source.

An investigation was made to calculate the limits at which in-service welding can produce safe, mechanically sound welds under a combination of low wall thickness pipe and high pressure. Initial development consisted of calculating pipe wall deflection using three-dimensional thermal elastic-plastic models. Such models are very expensive computationally, which led to the development of a new model to calculate the limits of in-service

welding. This 'equivalent cavity' model primarily used a thermal field, as calculated from the thermal models, to calculate the maximum pressure at which a weld can be deposited. The accuracy and feasibility of the equivalent cavity model was determined by comparing its predictions with published data relating to pipe wall failure, and numerical simulations of pipe wall failure using thermal elastic-plastic finite element models.

In combination, the thermal and pipe wall failure models offer valuable savings during the design stage of in-service welding. While the models form an accurate system to predict weld cooling rate and the possibility of pipe wall failure, they avoid the cost of traditional trial and error experimentation, often chosen for the testing phase of in-service welding.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Significance and objective</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Overview . . . . .	1
1.2	Industrial significance of in-service welding . . . . .	2
1.3	Technical challenges to in-service welding . . . . .	6
1.4	Research strategy . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Literature review</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	13
2.2	In-service welding . . . . .	14
2.2.1	Post-weld hardness . . . . .	14
2.2.1.1	Summary & gaps in knowledge . . . . .	20
2.2.2	Burnthrough . . . . .	23
2.2.2.1	Summary & gaps in knowledge . . . . .	35
2.3	Thermal modelling . . . . .	40
2.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	40
2.3.2	Analytical solutions . . . . .	41

2.3.2.1	Summary . . . . .	45
2.3.3	Numerical solutions . . . . .	47
2.3.4	Summary & gaps in knowledge . . . . .	62
<b>3</b>	<b>Thermal modelling</b>	<b>70</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	70
3.1.1	Mesh generation . . . . .	71
3.1.2	Boundary conditions . . . . .	73
3.1.3	Material properties . . . . .	75
3.1.4	Solution parameters . . . . .	78
3.1.5	Data extraction . . . . .	78
3.2	Circumferential fillet welding . . . . .	80
3.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	80
3.2.2	Mesh generation . . . . .	80
3.2.3	Transient analysis . . . . .	84
3.2.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	84
3.2.3.2	Mesh generation . . . . .	85
3.2.3.3	Calculation of heat flux . . . . .	87
3.2.3.4	Boundary conditions . . . . .	94
3.2.4	Quasi-steady-state analysis . . . . .	95
3.2.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	95

3.2.4.2	Mesh generation . . . . .	96
3.2.4.3	Calculation of heat flux . . . . .	97
3.2.4.4	Material properties . . . . .	98
3.2.4.5	Boundary conditions . . . . .	99
3.3	Branch on pipe welding . . . . .	101
3.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	101
3.3.2	Mesh generation . . . . .	102
3.3.3	Transient analysis . . . . .	110
3.3.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	110
3.3.3.2	Mesh . . . . .	111
3.3.3.3	Calculation of heat flux . . . . .	113
3.3.3.4	Boundary conditions . . . . .	118
3.4	Internal pipe convection . . . . .	118
3.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	118
3.4.2	Flow regime . . . . .	120
3.4.3	Non-dimensional estimation of heat transfer coefficient . . . . .	123
3.4.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	123
3.4.3.2	Calculation of heat transfer coefficient . . . . .	123
3.4.3.3	Sensitivity analysis of thermal models to Sieder & Tate non-dimensional approximation . . . . .	126
3.4.4	Numerical approach . . . . .	127

3.4.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	127
3.4.4.2	Analysis . . . . .	127
3.4.4.3	Future work . . . . .	130
3.4.5	Conclusions . . . . .	130
3.5	Heat source development . . . . .	130
3.5.1	Introduction . . . . .	130
3.5.2	Derivation . . . . .	131
3.5.3	Discussion . . . . .	134
3.5.4	Conclusions . . . . .	138
<b>4</b>	<b>Experiments</b>	<b>139</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	139
4.2	Laboratory simulation . . . . .	141
4.2.1	Initial experiment: mechanised welding . . . . .	144
4.2.2	Manual in-service welding laboratory simulation . . . . .	147
4.2.2.1	Experimental equipment . . . . .	147
4.2.2.2	Experimental plan . . . . .	150
4.2.3	Analysis of experimental results . . . . .	153
4.2.3.1	Variation of heat input with welding position . . . . .	153
4.2.3.2	Variation of weld penetration with welding position . . . . .	154
4.2.3.3	Variation of weld penetration with heat input . . . . .	159



- 4.2.4 Conclusions . . . . . 160
- 4.3 Field experiments . . . . . 162
  - 4.3.1 Introduction . . . . . 162
  - 4.3.2 Test material . . . . . 163
  - 4.3.3 Experiment methodology . . . . . 164
  - 4.3.4 Analysis data collection . . . . . 166
  - 4.3.5 Data analysis . . . . . 175
    - 4.3.5.1 Variability of heat input . . . . . 175
    - 4.3.5.2 Maximum penetration depth variation with heat input . . 179
    - 4.3.5.3 Maximum HAZ depth variation with heat input . . . . . 182
    - 4.3.5.4 Weld cooling time variation with heat input . . . . . 185
  - 4.3.6 Variation of HAZ and penetration depth with position . . . . . 189
    - 4.3.6.1 Maximum HAZ depth variation with position . . . . . 189
    - 4.3.6.2 Penetration depth variation with position . . . . . 189
    - 4.3.6.3 Variation of HAZ and penetration depth for a given weld  
pass . . . . . 192
  - 4.3.7 Conclusions . . . . . 193
- 4.4 Weld bead geometry model . . . . . 195
  - 4.4.1 Introduction . . . . . 195
  - 4.4.2 Derivation of model . . . . . 196
    - 4.4.2.1 Parabolic reinforcement . . . . . 198

- 4.4.2.2 Weld bead area . . . . . 199
- 4.4.2.3 Welding angle . . . . . 200
- 4.4.3 Comparison with field tests . . . . . 203
  - 4.4.3.1 Introduction . . . . . 203
  - 4.4.3.2 Weld bead geometry: field experiments . . . . . 203
  - 4.4.3.3 Welding angle . . . . . 203
  - 4.4.3.4 Weld bead area . . . . . 206
- 4.4.4 Conclusions . . . . . 208
  
- 5 Validation of in-service welding thermal model . . . . . 210**
  - 5.1 Introduction . . . . . 210
  - 5.2 Validation of in-service welding models . . . . . 212
    - 5.2.1 Introduction . . . . . 212
    - 5.2.2 Penetration depth . . . . . 212
    - 5.2.3 HAZ depth . . . . . 216
    - 5.2.4 Weld cooling time . . . . . 219
    - 5.2.5 Hardness comparison . . . . . 222
  - 5.3 Conclusion . . . . . 227
  
- 6 Pipe wall failure . . . . . 229**
  - 6.1 Introduction . . . . . 229
  - 6.2 Examining pipe wall failure . . . . . 232

6.3	Thermal elastic-plastic stress analysis of in-service welding . . . . .	234
6.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	234
6.3.2	Analysis . . . . .	235
6.3.3	Results . . . . .	236
6.3.4	Discussion . . . . .	239
6.3.5	Conclusions . . . . .	240
6.4	Verification of B31G corrosion cavity model . . . . .	240
6.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	240
6.4.2	Comparison . . . . .	241
6.4.3	Analysis . . . . .	242
6.4.4	Results & Discussion . . . . .	245
6.4.4.1	Varying longitudinal cavity length . . . . .	245
6.4.4.2	Varying circumferential cavity length . . . . .	247
6.4.4.3	Cavity depth . . . . .	248
6.4.5	Conclusions and recommendations . . . . .	253
6.5	Verification of equivalent cavity model . . . . .	254
6.5.1	Introduction . . . . .	254
6.5.2	Results & comparison . . . . .	254
6.5.3	Discussion . . . . .	259
6.5.4	Conclusions . . . . .	262
6.6	Conclusions and future work . . . . .	262