

**COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA**

Copyright Regulations 1969

**WARNING**

This material has been reproduced and communicated to you by or on behalf of  
The University of Adelaide pursuant to Part VB of the Copyright Act 1968 (the Act).

The material in this communication may be subject to copyright under the Act.  
Any further reproduction or communication of this material by you may be the  
subject of copyright protection under the Act.

**Do not remove this notice.**

External Copyright permission (if applicable)



# Unfolding Design Spaces Interactively

by  
**Sambit Datta**

Supervisor  
**Robert Francis Woodbury**

A dissertation submitted in fulfillment  
of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy  
in the  
**School of Architecture, Landscape Architecture and Urban Design**  
at  
**The University of Adelaide**

Adelaide, Australia  
June 27, 2004

# Abstract

Design is an iterative process of specifying problems, finding plausible solutions, judging the validity of solutions relative to problems and reformulating problems and solutions. Computational exploration requires formal mechanisms and human computer interaction models for supporting designing. The theory of design space exploration posits a formal substrate for representing and generating designs. To integrate the user in design space exploration, an interaction model that combines the role of the designer and the formalism is necessary.

This thesis addresses the problem of interaction between an exploration formalism and the designer through the paradigm of *mixed-initiative*. The thesis develops a mixed-initiative interaction model for design space exploration in three layers, *domain*, *task* and *dialogue*. The domain layer supports the coordination of the designer's view of exploration in terms of problems, solutions, choices and exploration history with the concepts of state, move and structure available in the formal substrate. The dialogue layer supports communication between the designer and the formalism in terms of a shared visual notation for representing and integrating input and output from both modes of exploration. Through the dialogue layer the designer and the formalism can communicate the intermediate results of exploration. The task layer supports interaction with the operators for moving in a design space. Through the task layer the designer and the formalism can acquire, transfer and relinquish control of the exploration process to generate, navigate and synchronise exploration states. The interaction model is implemented as *FOLDS*, or the *Foldability Of Large Design Spaces*. An example from the domain of architectural design, three-dimensional massing configurations, demonstrates the components of *FOLDS*.

The mixed-initiative interaction model developed in the thesis presents a new approach for integrating the role of the designer and a description formalism in computational exploration. The model enables the designer to maintain exploration freedom in terms of formulating and reformulating problems, generating solutions, making choices and navigating the history of exploration. It permits a fine granularity of interaction through incremental turn-taking, allowing the designer and the formalism to communicate, coordinate and control each step in the process of computing exploration.

# Contents

<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>I DESIGN SPACE EXPLORATION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Design as exploration . . . . .	3
1.1.1 Accounts of exploration . . . . ,	3
1.1.2 Characteristics of Exploration . . . . .	8
1.2 Accounts of interaction . . . . .	10
1.2.1 Interaction paradigms . . . . .	12
1.2.2 Characteristics of interaction . . . . .	14
1.3 Mixed-initiative interaction . . . . .	15
1.4 Research hypothesis . . . . .	19
1.5 Summary . . . . .	20
<b>2 An exploration formalism</b>	<b>21</b>
2.1 Entities of exploration . . . . .	21
2.2 Representation of exploration states . . . . .	22
2.2.1 Types and features . . . . .	23
2.2.2 Descriptions . . . . .	27
2.2.3 Feature structures . . . . .	28
2.3 Ordering of exploration structure . . . . .	30
2.4 Algorithms for exploration moves . . . . .	32
2.4.1 Incremental $\pi$ -resolution . . . . .	32
2.4.2 Indexing and reuse . . . . .	34
2.4.3 Hysterical undo . . . . .	35

2.4.4	Design unification . . . . .	36
2.4.5	Design anti-unification . . . . .	37
2.5	Summary . . . . .	38
<b>3</b>	<b>Mixed-initiative Interaction</b>	<b>39</b>
3.1	Interaction with a description formalism . . . . .	39
3.1.1	Unfolding design spaces . . . . .	40
3.1.2	Requirements . . . . .	40
3.1.3	The role of mixed-initiative . . . . .	42
3.2	The Domain Layer . . . . .	43
3.2.1	Attributes of domain . . . . .	43
3.2.2	Extensions . . . . .	44
3.2.3	Role of the domain layer . . . . .	45
3.3	The Task Layer . . . . .	45
3.3.1	Attributes of task initiative . . . . .	46
3.3.2	Extensions . . . . .	46
3.3.3	Role of the task layer . . . . .	47
3.4	The Dialogue Layer . . . . .	48
3.4.1	Attributes . . . . .	48
3.4.2	Extensions . . . . .	49
3.4.3	Role of the dialogue layer . . . . .	50
3.5	Summary . . . . .	51
<b>II</b>	<b>MIXED-INITIATIVE AND DESIGN SPACE EXPLORATION</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>A mixed-initiative domain layer</b>	<b>54</b>
4.1	Representation of the domain . . . . .	54
4.1.1	The designer's view of exploration . . . . .	54
4.1.2	Domain layer constructs . . . . .	55
4.1.3	Mapping to description formalism . . . . .	56
4.2	Problem State . . . . .	58
4.2.1	The designer's view . . . . .	58
4.2.2	The symbol substrate . . . . .	59
4.2.3	The domain layer construct . . . . .	60
4.3	Solution state . . . . .	61
4.3.1	The designer's view . . . . .	61
4.3.2	The symbol substrate . . . . .	62

4.3.3	The domain layer construct . . . . .	62
4.4	Feature Node . . . . .	64
4.4.1	The designer's view . . . . .	64
4.4.2	The symbol substrate . . . . .	64
4.4.3	The domain layer construct . . . . .	65
4.5	Satisfier Space . . . . .	68
4.5.1	The designer's view . . . . .	68
4.5.2	The symbol substrate . . . . .	69
4.5.3	The domain layer construct . . . . .	69
4.6	Summary . . . . .	71
<b>5</b>	<b>Mixed-initiative Dialogue</b>	<b>72</b>
5.1	The dialogue layer . . . . .	72
5.2	Representation of dialogue . . . . .	74
5.2.1	A visual notation . . . . .	76
5.2.2	Choices . . . . .	77
5.2.3	Interaction with visual feature nodes . . . . .	80
5.3	Integration of Dialogue . . . . .	83
5.3.1	Supporting partiality . . . . .	83
5.3.2	Supporting structure sharing . . . . .	85
5.3.3	Supporting dialogue integration . . . . .	86
5.3.4	Supporting dialogue disambiguation . . . . .	87
5.3.5	Supporting multiple modes . . . . .	88
5.4	Summary . . . . .	90
<b>6</b>	<b>A mixed-initiative task layer</b>	<b>91</b>
6.1	The Task layer . . . . .	91
6.2	The task of generation . . . . .	93
6.2.1	The CONSTRUCT operation . . . . .	94
6.2.2	The EXTEND operation . . . . .	95
6.3	The task of Navigation . . . . .	96
6.3.1	The CHOOSE operation . . . . .	96
6.3.2	The RETRACT operation . . . . .	98
6.4	The task of synchronisation . . . . .	99
6.4.1	The RECALL operation . . . . .	99
6.4.2	The ERASE operation . . . . .	101
6.4.3	The JOIN and MEET operations . . . . .	102

6.5	Summary . . . . .	104
<b>III</b>	<b><i>FOLDS</i> : FOLDABILITY OF LARGE DESIGN SPACES</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Enabling mixed-initiative exploration</b>	<b>108</b>
7.1	<i>FOLDS</i> . . . . .	108
7.2	Domain interaction . . . . .	110
7.2.1	PState and SState . . . . .	110
7.2.2	FNode and SatSpace . . . . .	113
7.3	Dialogue in exploration . . . . .	115
7.3.1	Unfolding visual feature nodes . . . . .	115
7.3.2	Implementation . . . . .	118
7.4	Tasks of exploration . . . . .	119
7.4.1	Extending feature nodes . . . . .	120
7.4.2	Choice in exploration . . . . .	122
7.4.3	Retract . . . . .	126
7.4.4	Reuse of past exploration . . . . .	128
7.4.5	Erasure . . . . .	128
7.4.6	Joins and Meets . . . . .	131
7.5	Discussion . . . . .	132
7.6	Summary . . . . .	134
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>135</b>
8.1	Mixed-initiative Exploration . . . . .	135
8.1.1	Assumptions . . . . .	135
8.1.2	Research hypothesis . . . . .	136
8.2	Contributions of the thesis . . . . .	138
8.2.1	Feature node representation . . . . .	138
8.2.2	Visual notation for feature nodes . . . . .	139
8.2.3	Unfolding operations . . . . .	140
8.3	Constraints on the results . . . . .	141
8.4	Future directions . . . . .	143
<b>A</b>	<b>Typed Feature Structures</b>	<b>145</b>
A.1	Terminology and definitions . . . . .	145
A.1.1	Type Hierarchy . . . . .	145
A.1.2	Feature Structures . . . . .	146

A.1.3	Type System . . . . .	147
A.1.4	Descriptions . . . . .	147
A.1.5	Type constraints . . . . .	147
A.1.6	Incremental $\pi$ -resolution . . . . .	148
<b>B</b>	<b>UML Notation</b>	<b>153</b>
B.1	Modelling concepts . . . . .	154
B.1.1	Symbols . . . . .	154
B.1.2	Relationships . . . . .	155
B.1.3	Diagrams . . . . .	156
<b>C</b>	<b>Massing Configurations in <i>FOLDS</i></b>	<b>158</b>
C.1	An inheritance hierarchy of types . . . . .	158
C.2	Appropriateness specifications . . . . .	163
C.3	Constraint declarations . . . . .	167
C.4	Descriptions . . . . .	171
<b>D</b>	<b>Design and Implementation Details</b>	<b>172</b>