

# Very Viscous Flows Driven by Gravity

*with particular application to*  
**Slumping of Molten Glass**

Yvonne Marie Stokes, B.Sc. (Murdoch), B.Sc. (Hons) (Adelaide)

*Thesis submitted for the degree of*

*Doctor of Philosophy*

*in*

*Applied Mathematics*

*at*

*The University of Adelaide*

*(Faculty of Mathematical and Computer Sciences)*

Department of Applied Mathematics



July, 1998

# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>Signed Statement</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 A Creeping-Flow Model</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 The Equations . . . . .	7
2.2 Methods of Solution . . . . .	12
2.3 The Variational Equations . . . . .	15
2.4 Penalty Formulations . . . . .	17
2.5 The Axisymmetric Equations . . . . .	18
2.6 The Galerkin Finite Element Method . . . . .	20
2.7 Advancing in Time . . . . .	28
2.8 What Next? . . . . .	29
<b>3 A Sagging Viscous Bridge</b>	<b>31</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	31
3.2 A Semi-Analytic Series Solution . . . . .	33
3.3 Initial Motion by Finite Elements . . . . .	35
3.4 Further Initial Velocity Results . . . . .	46

3.5	Sagging Over Time . . . . .	49
3.6	Motion of Nearly Plane Bridges . . . . .	54
3.7	Final Remarks . . . . .	75
<b>4</b>	<b>Dripping Honey</b>	<b>77</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	77
4.2	Properties of Honey . . . . .	83
4.3	Mathematical Formulation . . . . .	86
4.4	Slender-drop Theory . . . . .	87
4.5	Finite Element Procedure and Convergence Tests . . . . .	90
4.6	Wall Corrections . . . . .	97
4.7	Break Points . . . . .	98
4.8	The Honey Drop Again . . . . .	102
<b>5</b>	<b>Slumping Glass</b>	<b>104</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	104
5.2	Glass Properties . . . . .	108
5.3	Do Glass Windowpanes Flow? . . . . .	113
5.4	Temporal Viscosity Changes . . . . .	120
5.5	Disc-Bending Viscometry . . . . .	123
5.6	The Viscoelastic Analogue Equations . . . . .	125
5.7	Viscosity Calculations . . . . .	127
5.8	Disc Sagging . . . . .	133
5.9	Sensitivity of Sag to Viscosity . . . . .	137
5.10	Conclusion . . . . .	141
5.11	A Note on Quadrature Rules for Axisymmetric Finite-Element Problems . . . . .	142
<b>6</b>	<b>Mould Contact and Replication</b>	<b>145</b>

6.1	Thermal Replication . . . . .	145
6.2	Mould Contact . . . . .	149
6.3	Numerical Slumping: An Example . . . . .	153
6.4	Calculating Curvature . . . . .	156
6.5	Numerical Slumping: Surface Curvature . . . . .	167
6.6	Effect of Initial Geometry on Surface Replication . . . . .	173
6.7	Print-Through of Surface Roughness . . . . .	181
6.8	In Summary . . . . .	193
<b>7</b>	<b>Non-Isothermal Effects in Replication</b>	<b>194</b>
7.1	The Issue . . . . .	194
7.2	A Fluid Flow Model with Non-Constant Viscosity . . . . .	198
7.3	Isothermal Slumping - A Check . . . . .	201
7.4	Temperature Variation in Slumping Glass . . . . .	205
7.5	Non-Isothermal Replication . . . . .	216
7.6	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	221
<b>8</b>	<b>Thermal Replication: A Comparison of Numerical and Experimental Results</b>	<b>223</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	223
8.2	The Experiments . . . . .	224
8.3	When Does Slumping Begin? . . . . .	231
8.4	Isothermal Numerical Simulation . . . . .	232
8.5	Non-isothermal Numerical Simulation . . . . .	237
8.6	Discussion and Conclusion . . . . .	240
<b>9</b>	<b>In Conclusion</b>	<b>242</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>247</b>

# List of Tables

2.1	Quadrature constants for triangles. . . . .	26
3.1	Initial sagging velocity by the series expansion method. . . . .	35
3.2	Initial sagging velocity by the classical penalty method with a uniform mesh. . . . .	37
3.3	Initial sagging velocity by the modified penalty method with a uniform mesh. . . . .	39
3.4	Initial sagging velocity by the penalty method with a non-uniform mesh. . . . .	42
3.5	Initial sagging velocity by the augmented Lagrangian method with a non-uniform mesh. . . . .	44
3.6	Initial sagging velocity from the fully-coupled equations with a non-uniform mesh. . . . .	45
3.7	Bridge-centroid sag at $t\mathcal{V}/(2h) = 1.953125$ . . . . .	50
3.8	Sag of a thin bridge: a comparison of explicit and iterative explicit methods at dimensionless time $t = 40$ . . . . .	70
3.9	Sag of a thin bridge by an iterative implicit method at dimensionless time $t = 40$ . . . . .	70
4.1	Relationship between temperature, water content and viscosity of honey. . . . .	85
4.2	Initial rate of extension of a hanging rectangular slab. . . . .	91

4.3	Length and rate of extension of an initially rectangular hanging slab at $t/\hat{t} = 1$ . . . . .	93
5.1	ASTM reference viscosities for glass. . . . .	109
5.2	Temperatures at reference viscosities for some typical glasses. . . . .	110
5.3	Viscosity from sag and rate of sag of a glass disc. . . . .	130
5.4	Temperature versus time during slumping. . . . .	135
5.5	Top-surface sag of a circular disc; computed and experimental values. . . . .	137
5.6	Seven-point quadrature constants for triangular elements. . . . .	143
5.7	Different quadratures for axisymmetric problems. . . . .	144
6.1	Velocities on the top free surface of a roughness element. . . . .	187
6.2	Centre to edge velocity difference for a roughness element of large aspect ratio. . . . .	188
7.1	Computing dimensionless time. . . . .	203
7.2	Typical thermal properties for soda-lime-silica glasses. . . . .	209
7.3	Typical thermal properties for alumina ceramic. . . . .	210
7.4	Thermal properties of air. . . . .	211
7.5	Variation in curvature between isothermal and non-isothermal simulations. . . . .	221
8.1	Raw profilometer data. . . . .	228

# List of Figures

2.1	Master triangular element for finite-element computations. . . . .	24
3.1	An initially rectangular viscous bridge before sagging commences. . .	31
3.2	A typical uniform finite-element mesh. . . . .	36
3.3	Pressure behaviour near a corner singularity for a uniform mesh. . . .	40
3.4	A typical non-uniform finite-element mesh. . . . .	41
3.5	Pressure behaviour at a corner singularity for a non-uniform mesh. . .	43
3.6	Initial sagging velocity scaled with respect to the thin-bridge limit. .	48
3.7	Initial sagging velocity scaled with respect to the thick-bridge limit. .	48
3.8	Initial free-surface sagging velocity across a bridge with $h/w = 0.2$ . .	49
3.9	Bridge with $h/w = 0.2$ after sagging one thickness. . . . .	51
3.10	Bridge slumping velocity as a function of time for $h/w = 0.2$ . . . . .	52
3.11	Time to sag one bridge thickness as a function of aspect ratio. . . . .	54
3.12	A thin and nearly-flat viscous bridge of general shape. . . . .	56
3.13	Tension versus time for a sagging thin bridge. . . . .	71
3.14	Thin bridge centreline after sagging one bridge thickness. . . . .	72
3.15	Change in bridge half-thickness after sagging one bridge thickness. . .	75
4.1	Sequence in the fall of a honey drop from a spherical spoon. . . . .	78
4.2	Length versus time for a rectangular slab. . . . .	94
4.3	Length versus time for rectangular slabs of various aspect ratios. . . .	94
4.4	Initially-rectangular profile at $t/\hat{t} = 0.75$ . . . . .	96

4.5	Initially-rectangular profile at $t/\hat{t} = 0.95$ . . . . .	96
4.6	Extension of an initially paraboloid drop. . . . .	100
4.7	Extension of a drop for which half of the volume falls. . . . .	100
4.8	Finite-element solution of drop with $\sigma = 1.34016$ at $t = 4.0$ . . . . .	102
5.1	Equivalent Viscosity Curves. . . . .	123
5.2	Initial setup used in disc bending viscometry. . . . .	124
5.3	Rate of sag versus sag of an initially-flat disc. . . . .	129
5.4	The viscosity-temperature relationship by various methods. . . . .	131
5.5	Sag versus time for an initially flat disc. . . . .	136
5.6	Dependence of viscosity on temperature for a glass. . . . .	138
5.7	Sag versus log(time) for an initially flat disc. . . . .	140
6.1	Thermal replication . . . . .	146
6.2	Contacting a mould. . . . .	150
6.3	Geometry prior to slumping. . . . .	154
6.4	Initial mould contact. . . . .	155
6.5	Full mould contact. . . . .	155
6.6	Curvature of a spherical cap ( $\kappa = 0.2$ ) by three-point arcs. . . . .	158
6.7	Spherical-cap curvature by a three-point finite difference method. . . . .	159
6.8	Spherical-cap curvature by NAG cubic splines with exact data. . . . .	164
6.9	Spherical-cap curvature by NAG cubic splines with non-exact data. . . . .	164
6.10	Spherical-cap curvature by cubic B-splines. . . . .	166
6.11	Spherical-cap curvature by B-splines of various degrees. . . . .	166
6.12	Curvature of the slumped disc by quartic B-splines. . . . .	168
6.13	Curvature of the slumped disc by quintic B-splines. . . . .	169
6.14	Previous figure at a larger scale. . . . .	169
6.15	Curvature from simulations with different meshes. . . . .	171
6.16	Curvature of the slumping disc before full mould contact. . . . .	172



6.17	Curvature of the slumping disc after full mould contact. . . . .	172
6.18	Curvature after full mould contact of various initially flat discs. . . . .	175
6.19	As previous figure, but with a mould of smaller curvature. . . . .	175
6.20	Curvature after full mould contact of an initially spherical disc. . . . .	177
6.21	Slumping time for full mould contact. . . . .	180
6.22	Enlargement of fluid-mould interface showing mould roughness. . . . .	182
6.23	A simplified mould roughness element. . . . .	183
6.24	Roughness-element top surface velocities at different aspect ratios. . . . .	189
6.25	Roughness-element top surface profiles. . . . .	189
6.26	Relationship between aspect ratio and roughness print-through. . . . .	191
7.1	Surface curvature of a slumped disc: non-constant and constant-viscosity simulations. . . . .	204
7.2	Previous figure at a larger scale. . . . .	204
7.3	A simple one-dimensional heat-conduction model. . . . .	206
7.4	Temperature variation across a glass-air-ceramic ‘sandwich’. . . . .	214
7.5	Previous figure at a later time. . . . .	214
7.6	Relationship between radial position and temperature. . . . .	215
7.7	Top-surface curvature from non-isothermal simulations. . . . .	218
7.8	Previous figure at a larger scale. . . . .	218
7.9	Top-surface curvature from more non-isothermal simulations. . . . .	220
7.10	Previous figure at a larger scale. . . . .	220
8.1	Experimental test geometry. . . . .	225
8.2	Top-surface curvature after various soak times. . . . .	227
8.3	Average surface curvature for 40 and 50 minute soaks. . . . .	227
8.4	Averaged and unaveraged curvature profiles; 40 minute soak. . . . .	230
8.5	As previous figure; 50 minute soak. . . . .	230
8.6	Effect of mesh accuracy on error in curvature. . . . .	234

8.7	Comparison of isothermal and experimental curvature profiles. . . . .	236
8.8	Comparison of non-isothermal and experimental curvature profiles. . . . .	239

# Abstract

This thesis examines the flow of very viscous Newtonian fluids driven by gravity. It is written with concern for specific applications in the optics industry, with emphasis on the slumping of molten glass into a mould, as in the manufacture of optical components, which are in turn used to manufacture ophthalmic lenses. This process is known as *thermal replication*. However, the work has more general applicability, and disc viscometry, used to determine the viscosity of very viscous fluids, is also considered. In addition, one chapter of the thesis is devoted to the flow of dripping honey, as another example of a very viscous flow to which the model can be applied.

The Stokes creeping-flow equations are used to model the very viscous flows of interest. The main solution method is finite elements, and a purpose-written computer program has been developed to solve the creeping-flow equations by this method. The present program is restricted to solving for either two-dimensional or axisymmetric flows but is extendible to three dimensions. In addition, semi-analytic series and asymptotic methods are used for some small portions of the work.

The optical applications of this work demand consideration of the topic of computing surface curvature, and therefore second derivatives, from inexact and discrete numerical and experimental data. For this purpose, fitting of B-splines by a least-squares method to coordinate data defining the surface has been used.

Much of the work assumes isothermal conditions, but in the context of the accuracy required in optical component manufacture it is also possible that non-isothermal effects will be important. Consequently, this restriction is eventually

relaxed and some consideration given to non-isothermal conditions.

In order to validate the creeping-flow model and finite-element program, comparisons of numerical simulations with experimental results are performed. A preliminary assessment of the importance of non-isothermal conditions to the thermal-replication process is also made by comparing isothermal and non-isothermal simulations with experimental results. The isothermal model is found to best match the experimental data.

# Signed Statement

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

Signed: .....

Date: .....

# Acknowledgements

The completion of this thesis after three and a half years of work has not been without greatly appreciated assistance and input from many people.

First and foremost of these is my supervisor Prof. Ernie Tuck, who gave me a splendid start into the subject of very viscous flows, and from whom I have learned much about mathematical modelling in general. I never cease to admire and value his ability to quickly get to the heart of a matter, and give, what has always proved to be, sound advice and direction, even where it may be outside his specific area of expertise.

I am also most grateful to Dr Michael Teubner (Department of Applied Mathematics). His interest in my work and willingness to help, as well as the lengthy discussions we had, provided some much needed stimulus. Dr Peter Gill (Head of the Department of Applied Mathematics), despite an always busy schedule, provided considerable support throughout, and particular assistance and encouragement in some difficult times.

This research was supported by an APA (Industry) scholarship, the industry partner being SOLA International Holdings Ltd. This gave the work some very practical direction, which I believe has been beneficial. I wish to thank all at SOLA who gave time to the project. Of these I remember particularly Mr John Pocket, who was involved with the project at its inception but then moved on to other things. He spent a considerable amount of time with me at the beginning, explaining SOLA's mould manufacturing process. All of the new experimental work done in

the course of this project, was conducted at SOLA's Research Centre at Lonsdale, South Australia, and valuable resources were made available for this. I am especially grateful to Mr Paul Coldrey for all his work in setting up and carrying out all the different experiments I requested.

For provision of data on properties of specific Corning glasses, I am indebted primarily to Dr Paul Danielson, and also to Dr Karen Geisinger, both of Corning Incorporated Sullivan Park Laboratories. Paul first volunteered his services in response to an open request made to the Glass Mailing List — which thus proved itself a useful facility — and then did his very best to answer all of my questions, and fulfil all of my requests. Access to the Corning technical reports and raw experimental data relating to slumping work done at Corning in the mid-1970's was also facilitated by him, but was finally made possible by Dr Suresh Gulati and Mr Tom Loomis. I was lucky enough to be able to visit Corning Incorporated Sullivan Park Laboratories in New York State during May of 1997 and spend some time with Suresh — one of the researchers originally involved in the slumping work — going through his files and identifying information relevant to my work. I am truly very grateful that he made that time and his results available. I also wish to thank Corning Incorporated, and Paul, Suresh, and Tom in particular, for the hospitality shown to me during my visit, which was not only of value to my work, but also greatly enjoyed.

Thanks are also due to Dr Sylvan Elhay (Computer Science) for his direction and suggestions regarding calculation of derivatives from numerical data using B-spline approximations, to Dr A.N. (Nick) Stokes of CSIRO Mathematical and Information Sciences for the help given me while I was coming to grips with the use of *Fastflo*, to Prof. Len Schwartz (Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Delaware) for his patience and promptness in explaining via email some aspects of the 'dripping-honey' problem, and to Mr Leo Lazauskas for help in chasing literature on the 'thickening-window' myth. Dr Peter Howell (Mathematical Institute, Oxford,

UK) and Prof. Bob Mattheij (Department of Mathematics and Computing Science, Eindhoven University of Technology) kindly sent me information and papers that were not easily obtainable in Australia.

For assistance with computing matters I owe special thanks to Mr David Beard, systems administrator in the Department of Applied Mathematics, and also to Dr Francis Vaughan (Computer Science) and Dr Jim Denier (Applied Mathematics). Both staff and students within the Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science at the University of Adelaide provided a friendly and supportive environment in which to work, and I specifically mention Mrs Dianne Parish, the Applied Mathematics secretary, whose always cheerfully given help with administrative matters has been much appreciated.