

**Growth and nutritive value of lucerne (*Medicago sativa* L.) and
Melilotus (*Melilotus albus* Medik.) under saline conditions**

Juan de Dios Guerrero-Rodríguez

Thesis submitted for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in the

School of Agriculture, Food and Wine

Discipline of Agricultural and Animal Science

Roseworthy Campus, The University of Adelaide

Australia

May 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----|
| ABSTRACT..... | IV |
| DECLARATION..... | VI |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS..... | VII |
| CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| CHAPTER II. LITERATURE REVIEW | 3 |
| 2.1 INTRODUCTION..... | 3 |
| 2.2 SALINITY DEFINITION AND IMPORTANCE | 3 |
| 2.3 NUTRITIVE VALUE DEFINITION | 4 |
| 2.4 OVERVIEW ABOUT THE EFFECTS OF SALT-STRESS ON GLYCOPHYTIC PLANTS | 5 |
| 2.5 SALT ACCUMULATION AND DISTRIBUTION IN PLANTS | 7 |
| 2.6 EFFECTS OF SALINITY ON THE MORPHOLOGY AND ANATOMY AT THE WHOLE PLANT LEVEL..... | 10 |
| 2.7 EFFECTS OF SALINITY AT TISSUE AND CELLULAR LEVEL..... | 10 |
| 2.7.1. <i>Tissue and cellular structure</i> | 11 |
| 2.7.2 <i>Effects on protein</i> | 11 |
| 2.7.3 <i>Salt effects on carbohydrates</i> | 13 |
| 2.7.4 <i>Minerals</i> | 14 |
| 2.8 LUCERNE UNDER SALINITY..... | 15 |
| 2.8.1. <i>Shoot biomass production</i> | 16 |
| 2.8.2. <i>Nutritive value</i> | 18 |
| 2.8.3. <i>Rhizobia symbiosis</i> | 26 |
| 2.9 IMPLICATIONS OF SALINITY-INDUCED CHANGES IN FORAGE NUTRITIVE VALUE TO RUMINANTS | 27 |
| 2.10 CONCLUDING REMARKS AND THESIS AIMS | 30 |
| CHAPTER III. THE EFFECT OF SALINITY ON THE GROWTH OF LUCERNE AND MELILOTUS | 32 |
| 3.1 INTRODUCTION..... | 32 |
| 3.2 METHODOLOGY..... | 33 |
| 3.2.1 <i>Establishment</i> | 33 |
| 3.2.2 <i>Nutrient solution</i> | 34 |
| 3.2.3 <i>Harvesting</i> | 35 |
| 3.2.4 <i>Experimental design and measurements</i> | 37 |
| 3.3 RESULTS..... | 39 |
| 3.3.1 <i>Shoot biomass production</i> | 39 |
| 3.4 DISCUSSION..... | 44 |
| 3.4.1 <i>Biomass production</i> | 44 |
| CHAPTER IV. EFFECT OF SALINITY ON THE MINERAL COMPOSITION OF LUCERNE AND MELILOTUS | 49 |
| 4.1 INTRODUCTION..... | 49 |
| 4.2 METHODOLOGY..... | 50 |
| 4.3 RESULTS..... | 50 |
| <i>Element relationships</i> | 57 |
| 4.4 DISCUSSION..... | 63 |
| <i>Element relationships</i> | 71 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| CHAPTER V. EFFECT OF SALINITY ON DRY MATTER QUALITY DESCRIPTORS | 77 |
| 5.1 INTRODUCTION | 77 |
| 5.2 METHODOLOGY | 78 |
| 5.3 RESULTS | 80 |
| 5.4 DISCUSSION | 89 |
| | |
| CHAPTER VI. LEAF AND STEM HISTOLOGY IN LUCERNE AND MELILOTUS AS AFFECTED BY SALINITY | 95 |
| 6.1 INTRODUCTION | 95 |
| 6.2 METHODOLOGY | 96 |
| 6.3 RESULTS | 99 |
| 6.3.1 LEAVES | 99 |
| 6.3.2 STEMS | 102 |
| 6.4 DISCUSSION | 110 |
| | |
| CHAPTER VII. COUMARIN IN MELILOTUS AND ITS PERFORMANCE UNDER SALINITY | 114 |
| 7.1 INTRODUCTION | 114 |
| 7.2 METHODOLOGY | 115 |
| 7.3 RESULTS | 117 |
| 7.3 DISCUSSION | 120 |
| | |
| CHAPTER VIII. GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS | 122 |
| <i>Areas of further research.....</i> | <i>125</i> |
| | |
| APPENDIX A | 127 |
| | |
| REFERENCES | 129 |

ABSTRACT

Dryland salinity is a major and expanding threat to agricultural land in Australia. Animal production from forages grown on saline land is perhaps its most promising economic use. Glycophytic forage legumes have been evaluated under saline conditions mainly for agronomic characteristics and, to a lesser extent, for nutritive quality to animals. Plant growth and its nutritive quality are interrelated, but a decline in yield in response to salinity may be associated with effects on the chemical constituents of the plant since soil salinity affects plant metabolism. This research aimed to investigate changes in the components of yield and nutritive value of two legumes species. Lucerne (*Medicago sativa*) and Melilotus (*Melilotus albus*) were exposed to different levels of NaCl in the range of 0 to 110 mM NaCl. The research tested the hypothesis that the components of plant nutritive value are not as sensitive to salinity as shoot biomass production since the adaptive mechanisms of the plant lessen harmful effects of the salts.

For both plant species, salinity decreased leaf and stem dry matter production, but increased leaf-to-stem ratio. In addition, salinity resulted in earlier flowering in Melilotus. Mineral composition was the most sensitive component of forage quality. Calculated sodium chloride concentrations were up to 125 g/kg DM in lucerne and 39 g/kg DM in Melilotus when irrigated with 110 mM NaCl. The concentrations of calcium and magnesium decreased in both species and approached the marginal range for animal production. Zinc concentration also decreased while potassium decreased in stems of lucerne only. The digestible organic matter (DOMD) in response to salinity varied between species. At the highest salt concentration, the whole shoot (i.e., leaf and stem) of lucerne decreased up to 4 percentage units while Melilotus increased by 6 percentage units. In lucerne, DOMD was influenced by a high concentration of soluble ash in leaf and stem and, in Melilotus, by an increase in the organic matter content of leaf and a reduction in lignin concentration in stem, which favoured higher digestibility. These results were supported by a histological study in which an increase in starch in Melilotus leaf, and a lower proportion of xylem in relation to parenchyma in stems, was measured. Crude protein concentration was not compromised and, in relation to Melilotus, coumarin concentration did not increase with salinity.

In conclusion, the reduction in DM production of species with similar salt tolerance does not necessarily correspond to an equivalent reduction in nutritive value. This research represents the most detailed study into effects of salinity on glycophytic forage legumes. Results show that

while some aspects of forage quality (e.g., minerals composition and energy) are strongly influenced by salinity, other aspects (e.g., protein) remain relatively unaffected. These findings have implications for development of productive grazing systems on saline agricultural land.

DECLARATION

I declare that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give my consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available in all forms of media, now or hereafter known.

21- May - 2006

Juan de Dios Guerrero-Rodríguez

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all I want to thank the National Council for Science and Technology of Mexico (CONACyT) and El Colegio de Postgraduados for the scholarship awarded during my stay at The University of Adelaide. I also thank the Cooperative Research Centre for Plant-Based Management of Dryland Salinity for its support for my attendance to national conferences and workshops in Australia which proved to be invaluable to me.

My sincere gratitude goes to my supervisors, William D. Bellotti and Dean K. Revell, for their continuous advice, support and encouragement which helped me to improve my research.

I am grateful to the many other people who assisted, taught and shared their experience with me during my studies. Margaret Cargill provided important help during the Integrated Bridging Program. Michelle Lorimer gave me useful statistical advice. Hugh Cameron helped me to adapt equipment for the establishment of the experiments. Penny Day determined nitrogen and carbon of my samples. Annette Whittall assisted me and shared the protocol for coumarin determination. Robyn Dynes and David Masters (contacts of Dean Revell) allowed me to use their laboratory facilities in the CSIRO-Livestock Industries in Western Australia, where I did several analyses on my forage samples. From the same Centre, special thanks I give to Elizabeth Hulm whose priceless teachings and support helped me to make consistent progress in my research. Allan Rintoul shared his experience also in many of the protocols used for measurements of forage quality. Meredith Wallwork from the Adelaide Microscopy Centre shared her experience during the endless hours on the microscope study I undertook. Richardson Daryll and Ian Nuberg (CRC-Salinity) included me in the workshops.

Thanks also to the very supportive friends including Elvia Martínez and Rogelio Ramírez, Yolanda and Jesús Martínez, María Manjarréz and Rodrigo Gómez, María Salgado-Siclán, Martín Escoto and Male Castorena, Chow Yee, Ying Peng, Tian Hong, Wu Wei, Yong Yang, Xi Weibin; Eun Young Choi, Yasmein Wijaya, Hock Chan, James Ku, Mansour Mohammadian, Vahid Eslami, Bhaghirath Chauhan and Neetu, Lynne Brown, Lesley Menzel and Pat Sheahan.

Finally I want to thank my wife Beatriz Tobias-Castillo and my daughter Melissa Guerrero-Tobias, for their constant support and encouragement while living in Roseworthy.