



**USING 2D AND 3D BASIN MODELLING AND  
SEISMIC SEEPAGE INDICATORS  
TO INVESTIGATE CONTROLS ON HYDROCARBON  
MIGRATION AND ACCUMULATION IN THE VULCAN  
SUB-BASIN, TIMOR SEA, NORTH-WESTERN  
AUSTRALIA**

**By**

**Tetsuya Fujii**

Bachelor of Geology, Shinshu University, Japan  
Master of Geophysics, the University of Tokyo, Japan

This thesis is submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the  
degree of

**Master of Science**

at

Australian School of Petroleum (ASP)  
The University of Adelaide

September 2006

## **STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY AND AVAILABILITY**

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the awards of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

Tetsuya Fujii

## ABSTRACT

2D and 3D basin models have been constructed of the southern and central parts of the Vulcan Sub-basin, which is located in the Timor Sea, north-western Australia. This work was carried out in order to better elucidate the petroleum migration and accumulation histories and exploration potential of the region. The study area extended from the southern limit of the Swan Graben in the south-west to the northern part of the Cartier Trough in the north-east. The results from the basin modelling have been compared with the seafloor bathymetry and physiography, the spatial distributions of hydrocarbon related diagenetic zones (HRDZs) in the region, as well as the distribution of other leakage and seepage indicators. A new method for identifying potential HRDZs using seismic data has also been developed.

The 2D/3D modeling results from the Swan Graben indicate that horizontal and downward oil expulsion from the source rocks of the Late Jurassic Lower Vulcan Formation into the upper Plover Formation sandstones was active from the Early Cretaceous to the present day. Oil migration from the Lower Vulcan Formation into the Late Cretaceous Puffin Formation sands in the Puffin Field was simulated via lateral migration along the bottom of an Upper Vulcan Formation seal and by vertical migration above the seal edge. Modelling also indicates that Late Jurassic sequences over the Montara Terrace are thermally immature and did not contribute to the hydrocarbon accumulations in the region. On the other hand, 3D modelling results indicate that the Middle Jurassic Plover Formation in the Montara Terrace became thermally mature after the Pliocene and hence it could have contributed to both the specific hydrocarbon accumulations and the overall hydrocarbon inventory in the area.

In the southern Cartier Trough, the Lower Vulcan Formation is typically at a lower thermal maturity than that seen in the Swan Graben, due to a combination of a relatively recent (Pliocene) increased burial and a thinner Lower Vulcan Formation. Here, horizontal and downward oil/gas expulsion from the Lower Vulcan Formation into the Plover Formation sandstone was active from the Late Tertiary to the present day, which is significantly later than the timing of the expulsion in the Swan Graben.

In the central Cartier Trough, the areal extent of both generation and expulsion increased as a result of rapid subsidence and deposition from about 5.7 Ma to the present day. This Pliocene loading has resulted in the rapid maturation of the Early to Middle and Late Jurassic source system and expulsion of oil very recently.

Oil migration from the Lower Vulcan Formation into the Jabiru structure, via the Plover Formation carrier bed, was simulated in both the 2D and the 3D modelling. In particular, the 3D modelling simulated oil migration into the Jabiru structure, both from the southern Cartier Trough (after the Miocene) and also from the northern Swan Graben (in the Early Cretaceous). Early gas migration, and the attendant formation of a gas cap, was also simulated. Importantly, this result provides a potential alternative interpretation for the formation of at least some of the residual zones in the Timor Sea, as well as in other areas.

Traditionally, most of the residual zones within the Timor Sea have been attributed to fault seal reactivation and failure. However, the simulated early gas cap in the Jabiru structure has formed as a result of gas exsolution as the migrating hydrocarbons entered the Jabiru trap (and its shallow flanks), which was then only located a few hundred metres below the surface. The rapidly decreasing pressure allowed the gas to form a separate phase, with the result that in the Early Cretaceous, in the 3D model, the Jabiru trap was composed of a relatively large gas cap with a thinner (“black oil”) oil leg. Progressive burial through the Tertiary, and the attendant increase in pressure, resulted in the gas going back into solution. The associated decrease in the bulk volume of the hydrocarbon accumulation produced a “residual” oil zone at the base of the column, purely through a change in phase, rather than through loss of hydrocarbons from fault seal failure, for example.

The processes outlined in this scenario would be essentially indistinguishable from those produced by fault seal failure when assessing traps using fluid history tools such as GOI. Such a process could be critically important in the case of shallow, low-relief traps, where only the exsolved gas could be trapped, with the “black oil” component displaced below the spill of the trap. Small, sub-commercial gas fields would thus be located around the periphery of the source depocentres - though these would be the result of an early, rather than late, gas charge. Small black oil accumulations could be developed inboard from such gas fields.

A new method to extract HRDZs from 3D seismic data has predicted the location of new HRDZs in the northern Vulcan Sub-basin. Further investigation is needed to confirm/refine the method but it has the potential to significantly aid HRDZ mapping (and seal assessment and hydrocarbon migration studies). A workflow for future studies is proposed which includes inputs from basin modelling, leakage and seepage mapping, and fault seal and fault reactivation studies. Implementation of this workflow should ultimately allow a more reliable estimation of GOR prior to drilling.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First of all, I sincerely thank Dr. Geoffrey W. O'Brien and Dr. Peter Tingate at the Australian School of Petroleum for their strong support for my study, including assistance with planning of the study and reading drafts of the thesis.

I particularly thank Dr. John Kennard of Geoscience Australia for providing his study results used for this study as input data, and for his numerous suggestions and constructive comments which improved this work. I also thank Dr. Alexander Kaiko of Curtin University of Technology for his valuable comments, particularly in regard to the heat flow calibration. I am grateful to the technical support staff in IES for contributing numerous technical comments and advice on the operation of PetroMod<sup>TM</sup>. I would also like to thank Japan Oil, Gas and Metals National Corporation (former Japan National Oil Corporation), which funded this study, and the Australian School of Petroleum for general assistance.

Aspects of this work were supported by the Australian Petroleum Co-operative Research Centre (APCRC) and the APCRC Seals Consortium. I especially thank Geoscience Australia and PGS for access to some of the data used in this study.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. GEOLOGY OF THE VULCAN SUB-BASIN.....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 STRATIGRAPHY AND STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY.....	4
2.2 PETROLEUM GEOLOGY.....	5
<b>3. REVIEW OF METHODOLOGIES.....</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1 BASIN MODELLING.....	8
3.2 STUDY PROCEDURE.....	8
3.2.1 Basin modelling	
3.2.2 Seepage indicators	
3.2.3 Integration	
3.3 PETROMOD™ .....	8
3.3.1 Overview	
3.3.2 Principles used in PetroMod™ simulations	
3.3.2.1 Basic equation	
3.3.2.2 Sediment compaction	
3.3.2.3 Petroleum generation	
3.3.2.4 Petroleum migration and expulsion	
3.3.2.5 Phase behavior	
3.3.2.6 Fault model	
3.3.2.7 Hybrid simulation	
3.4 LEAKAGE AND SEEPAGE INDICATORS.....	21
3.4.1 Overview	
3.4.2 Bathymetry	
3.4.3 Seafloor amplitude	
3.4.4 Hydrocarbon Related Diagenetic Zones (HRDZs)	
<b>4. GEOLOGICAL DATA SET.....</b>	<b>26</b>
4.1 BASIN MODELLING INPUT.....	26
4.1.1 Modelling section and area	
4.1.2 Stratigraphy	
4.1.3 Lithologies, rock Properties and grid assignment	
4.1.4 Source rock properties	
4.1.5 Palaeo water depths and palaeo-surface temperatures	
4.1.6 Heat flow model	

4.1.7	Petroleum expulsion, secondary migration and phase model	
4.2	PGS 3D SEISMIC DATA SET (ONNIA 3D).....	40
4.2.1	Overview	
4.2.2	Data loading	
4.2.3	Gridding	
4.2.4	Well Input	
<b>5.</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>43</b>
5.1	CALIBRATION OF THERMAL HISTORY AND COMPACTION.....	43
5.1.1	Thermal history	
5.1.2	Compaction	
5.2	RESULTS OF 2D MODELLING.....	50
5.2.1	VTT-05 (Puffin – Swan Graben – Skua section)	
5.2.1.1	Generation and migration in the Swan Graben	
5.2.1.2	Generation and migration in the Montara Terrace	
5.2.2	VTT-14 (Southern Cartier Trough – Jabiru Trend – Challis)	
5.2.2.1	Generation and migration in southern Cartier Trough	
5.2.2.2	Generation and migration on the Jabiru Terrace	
5.3	RESULTS OF 3D MODELLING.....	56
5.3.1	Generation and migration from the Lower Vulcan Formation	
5.3.1.1	Swan Graben	
5.3.1.2	Paqualin Graben	
5.3.1.3	Cartier Trough	
5.3.1.4	Comparison of timing	
5.3.2	Generation and migration from both the Lower Vulcan and Plover Formations	
5.3.2.1	Swan Graben	
5.3.2.2	Paqualin Graben	
5.3.2.3	Cartier Trough	
5.3.2.4	Kimberley Graben	
5.3.2.5	Montara Terrace	
5.3.2.6	Modelling Known Accumulations	
5.4	COMPARISON BETWEEN 2D AND 3D MODELLING.....	72
5.4.1	Generation and expulsion	
5.4.2	Migration and accumulation	
5.5	CONTROLS ON PETROLEUM MIGRATION AND ACCUMULATION.....	73
5.5.1	Control on migration	
5.5.2	Control on accumulation and GOR	
5.6	HYDROCARBON IMPLICATIONS FROM MODELLING RESULTS.....	77

5.6.1	Possible new (unknown) hydrocarbon fairway suggested from the modelling	
5.6.2	Comparison of seep locations with basin modeling predictions	
5.7	SEISMIC INVESTIGATIONS OF LEAKAGE INDICATORS.....	80
5.7.1	Bathymetry	
5.7.2	Seafloor amplitude	
5.7.3	Top Paleocene Horizon	
5.7.4	Isochron between Top Paleocene and the Base Miocene	
5.7.5	Time dip of the Top Paleocene	
5.7.6	Extraction of HRDZs from 3D seismic data	
5.7.6.1	Methodology	
5.7.6.2	Results	
<b>6.</b>	<b>HYDROCARBON IMPLICATIONS.....</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>7.</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS FOR RESEARCH....</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>8.</b>	<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>101</b>
4-1-1	HORIZON AND FAULT IMPORT FOR BASIN MODELLING.....	101
4-1-2	WELL CORRELATION RESULTS TO DETERMINE FACIES INPUT ....	107
4-1-3	KEROGEN KINETIC PARAMETERS USED FOR MODELLING .....	108
<b>9.</b>	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>113</b>



## LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1-1. Location map of the Vulcan Sub-basin showing basin elements, oil and gas discoveries and distribution of modelled 2D sections and 3D region (dashed line).
- Figure 2-1. Structural elements of the Vulcan Sub-basin (from Pattillo and Nicholls, 1990).
- Figure 2-2. Stratigraphy of the Vulcan Sub-basin.
- Figure 3-1. The summary of equations used in PetroMod™ 2D/3D.
- Figure 3-2. Relative permeability function for the water-oil system (Helander, 1983).
- Figure 3-3. Schematic diagram of phase behavior of mixture (Calhoun, 1976).
- Figure 3-4a. Example of fault assignment in PetroMod™ 2D (VTT-05). Area in rectangle shows main field in Fig. 3-4b.
- Figure 3-4b. Example of fault assignment in PetroMod™ 2D (VTT-05) - Close up.
- Figure 3-5. Schematic diagram showing the basic principles of hybrid simulation (IES, 2002).
- Figure 3-6. Example of HRDZs (O'Brien et al. 1999a).
- Figure 4-1. Seismic time sections used for modelling (VTT-05, 14).
- Figure 4-2. Seismic depth section used for 2D modelling (VTT-05).
- Figure 4-3. Seismic depth section used for 2D modelling (VTT-14).
- Figure 4-4. Top Plover Formation depth map and fault distribution used for the 3D modelling. A total of nine broad structural provinces are noted.
- Figure 4-5. Thickness and distribution of the Late Cretaceous Puffin Formation sandstone in as used in the modelling.
- Figure 4-6a. Rock-Eval analysis data of TOC used for modelling input (0 – 5 %).
- Figure 4-6b. Rock-Eval analysis data of TOC used for modelling input (0 – 80 %)
- Figure 4-7. Rock-Eval analysis data of HI used for modelling input.
- Figure 4-8. Kerogen kinetic data used for the modeling undertaken in this study.
- Figure 4-9. Palaeo water depth used for modelling (from Kennard and Deighton, 2000).
- Figure 4-10. Palaeo heat flow used for modelling.
- Figure 4-11. Map showing the location of PGS ONNIA 3D seismic survey area (Blue) and the location of 2D and 3D modelling in this study.
- Figure 5-1. Present day heat flow calibration results (BHT).
- a. Montara-1, Oliver-1, Paqualin-1, Vulcan-1B
  - b. Allaru-1, East Swan-2, Jabiru-1A, Octavius-1
- Figure 5-2. Heat flow calibration results using vitrinite reflectance (VR%).
- a. Montara-1, Oliver-1, Paqualin-1, Vulcan-1B
  - b. Allaru-1, East Swan-2, Jabiru-1A, Octavius-1
- Figure 5-3. Examples of compaction calibration results (Vulcan-1B and Swan-1).
- Figure 5-4. 2D modelling results in VTT-05.
- a. Late Jurassic (144Ma)
  - b. Latest Cretaceous (65Ma)

- c. Oligocene (34Ma)
  - d. Present day (0Ma)
- Figure 5-5. 2D modelling results in VTT-14.
- a. Early Cretaceous (136Ma)
  - b. Latest Cretaceous (65Ma)
  - c. Oligocene (34Ma)
  - d. Present day (0Ma)
- Figure 5-6. 3D modelling results (source rock potential: only in the Lower Vulcan Formation from Late Jurassic to present day).
- Figure 5-7. Comparison of the timing of hydrocarbon expulsion from the Lower Vulcan Formation
- a. Central Swan Graben: active expulsion from Early Cretaceous to present day.
  - b. Central Cartier Trough: active expulsion from the Pliocene (5 Ma)).
- Figure 5-8. 3D modelling results (source rock potential: in both Lower Vulcan Formation and Plover Formation from Late Jurassic to present day).
- Figure 5-9. Comparison between 3D modelling result (a) and actual oil/gas field distribution (b).
- Figure 5-10. 3D display (bird's-eye view) for 3D simulation result (Source rock potential: only in the Lower Vulcan Formation).
- Figure 5-11. Schematic phase diagram of hydrocarbon with pressure path related to burial.
- Figure 5-12. Oil inclusion analysis in Jabiru-1A (George et al, 1997).
- Figure 5-13. Possible oil accumulation model in the Jabiru structure.
- Figure 5-14. Modelled present day hydrocarbon flow vector distribution in the Eocene sequence.
- Figure 5-15a Bathymetry map obtained from 3D seismic data (Northern Vulcan sub-basin). Figure 5-15b Bathymetry map obtained from 3D seismic data (Southern Vulcan sub-basin).
- Figure 5-16. Comparison between modelled hydrocarbon expulsion area in the Lower Vulcan Formation and actual distribution of seafloor mounds in the northern Vulcan sub-basin.
- Figure 5-17. Seafloor amplitude map in the Vulcan Sub-basin (extracted 16 or 32 msec window at seafloor). Note that the amplitudes are reverse mapped from 8 bit to 32 bit.
- Figure 5-18. Top Paleocene Two-Way-Time map in the Vulcan Sub-basin.
- Figure 5-19. Isochron between the Top Paleocene and Base Miocene and comparison with fault distribution.
- Figure 5-20. Results of the Top Paleocene time dip analysis from the southern (a) and northern (b) Vulcan Sub-basin.
- Figure 5-21. Expected response of the Base Miocene and Top Paleocene corresponding to the typical geological structures and phenomena (anticline, HRDZs and normal fault).
- Figure 5-22. A possible procedure to extract HRDZs from 3D seismic data.
- Figure 5-23. Examples of extraction of HRDZs in the southern Vulcan Sub-basin.
- Figure 5-24. Results of extraction of HRDZs in the northern Vulcan Sub-basin ((a) Isochron between the Top Paleocene and Base Miocene, (b) Top Paleocene Two-Way-Time

map).

Figure 5-25. Results of extraction of HRDZs in the southern Vulcan Sub-basin ((a) Isochron between the Top Paleocene and Base Miocene, (b) Top Paleocene Two-Way-Time map).

Figure 7-1 Schematic diagram showing the procedure of this study.

## LIST OF TABLES

- Table 2-1. Oil and gas fields in the Vulcan Sub-basin (Data source: Database from IHS energy ProbE™, 2002).
- Table 4-1. Age and lithology assigned for each formation units used in the modelling.
- Table 4-2. Rock properties related to compaction used in modelling.
- Table 4-3. Source rock parameters used in modelling.
- Table 4-4. List of interpreted 3D surface data used for this study.
- Table 4-5. List of interpreted 3D surface data used for this study (after loading).
- Table 5-1. Comparison between observed and simulated thermal maturity indicators in 14 wells in the Vulcan Sub-basin.

## APPENDICES

- Appendix 4-1-1. Horizon and fault import for basin modelling.
- Appendix 4-1-2. Well correlation results to determine facies input.
- Appendix 4-1-3. Kerogen kinetic parameters used for modelling.