

**Characterization of the life cycle and cellular interactions of AM fungi with
the reduced mycorrhizal colonization (*rmc*) mutant of tomato (*Solanum
lycopersicum* L.)**

Ma. De Jesus Manjarrez-Martinez

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

In

The University of Adelaide

Soil and Land Systems

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences

Waite Campus

The University of Adelaide

July 2007

Table of contents

Summary.....	vii
Publications from the thesis.....	ix
Acknowledgements.....	x
Declaration.....	xii
Chapter 1 Introduction and Review of Literature	
1.1. Introduction.....	1
1.2. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis.....	2
1.2.1. Morphology of mycorrhizas: Arum and Paris-types.....	2
1.2.2. Life cycle of AM fungus.....	4
1.2.3. Phosphorus (P) and Carbon (C) transfer in AM plants.....	7
1.3. Plant mutants.....	13
1.3.1. Legume symbiosis-defective plant mutants.....	16
1.3.2. Non-legume mycorrhizal mutants.....	18
1.4. Defense reactions of plant roots.....	20
1.4.1. Defense reactions in AM roots.....	21
1.4.1.1. Cell modifications in AM symbiosis.....	22
1.4.1.2. Pathogenesis-related proteins.....	23
1.5. Conclusions and aims.....	25
Chapter 2 General materials and methods	
2.1. Plants and fungi.....	27
2.2. Growth media.....	30
2.3. Plant growth.....	30
2.4. Pot systems.....	32
2.5. Sampling and harvesting.....	32
2.6. Determination of AM colonization.....	33
2.7. Fluorescence microscopy and cellular analysis of AM structures...	34
2. 7. 1. Vital staining.....	34
2. 7. 2. Staining and localization of nuclei.....	35
2. 7. 3. Detection of callose deposition.....	36

2. 8. Estimation of hyphal length density (HLD) in soil.....	38
2. 9. Statistical analysis.....	39

Chapter 3 Completion of life cycle of AM fungi in the reduced mycorrhizal colonization mutant of tomato: implications for C transfer

3.1. Introduction.....	40
3.2. Experiment 1. Completion of the life cycle of <i>G. intraradices</i> DAOM 181602 (Pen-), <i>G. etunicatum</i> (Pen-) and <i>G. coronatum</i> (Coi-) in <i>rmc</i>...	41
3.2.1. Materials and methods.....	41
3.2.1.1. Compartmented pots.....	41
3.2.1.2. Growth medium.....	42
3.2.1.3. Preparation of seeds and planting.....	44
3.2.1.4. Harvesting and measurements.....	44
3.2.2. Results.....	45
3.2.2.1. Shoot and root dry weight.....	45
3.2.2.2. Mycorrhizal colonization.....	46
3.2.2.3. Hyphal length density (HLD) and spore production in hyphal compartments.....	48
3.2.3. Discussion.....	50
3.3. Experiment 2. Completion of the life cycle of <i>G. intraradices</i> DAOM 181602 (Pen-), <i>Scutellospora calospora</i> (Coi-) and <i>G. intraradices</i> WFVAM23 (Myc+) in <i>rmc</i> tomato.....	54
3.3.1. Materials and methods.....	54
3.3.2. Results.....	56
3.3.2.1. Shoot and root dry weight.....	56
3.3.3.2. Fresh weight of fruits.....	57
3.3.3.3. Mycorrhizal colonization.....	58
3.3.2.4. HLD and spore production.....	60
3.3.3. Discussion.....	61
3.4. Experiment 3. Completion of the life cycle of the AM fungus <i>G. intraradices</i> WFVAM23 (Myc+) in <i>rmc</i> tomato.....	64
3.4.1. Materials and methods.....	64
3.4.2. Results.....	66
3.4.2.1. Shoot and root dry weight.....	66

3.4.2.2. Fresh weight of fruits.....	66
3.4.2.3. Mycorrhizal colonization.....	67
3.4.2.4. HLD and spore production.....	68
3.4.3. Discussion.....	70
3.5. General discussion and conclusions.....	72

Chapter 4 Arbuscules are not an absolute requirement for P transfer in AM symbiosis: evidence from ³²P

4.1. Introduction.....	75
4.2. Materials and Methods.....	76
4.2.1. Growth media and experimental set-up.....	76
4.2.2. Harvesting and Measurements.....	78
4.3. Results.....	81
4.3.1. Shoot and root dry weight.....	81
4.3.2. P concentration in shoots.....	82
4.3.3. Mycorrhizal colonization and hyphal length density (HLD).....	82
4.3.4. Activity of ³² P in shoots and soil and contribution of the AM fungus to P uptake.....	84
4.4. Discussion.....	85

Chapter 5 Outcomes of cellular interactions between different AM colonization phenotypes with *rmc* tomato: insights into tomato plant responses blocking AM fungi

5.1. Introduction.....	89
5.2. Material and methods.....	90
5.2.1. Experimental settings and design.....	90
5.2.2. Harvesting and assessment of AM structures.....	92
5.3. Results.....	94
5.3.1. AM mycorrhizal structures with the wild-type tomato.....	94
5.3.1.1. Active and dead fungal structures.....	94
5.3.1.2. Position of wild-type plant nuclei in root cells.....	95
5.3.1.3. Autofluorescence and detection of callose deposition.....	95
5.3.2. AM mycorrhizal structures with the mutant.....	101
5.3.2.1. Characterization of active and dead fungal structures.....	101
5.3.2.2. Position of mutant plant nuclei.....	107

5.3.2.3. Autofluorescence and detection of callose deposition.....	111
5.4. Discussion.....	113

Chapter 6 Can the taxonomic affiliation of AM fungi be used to predict the phenotype in *rmc* tomato? : relating mycorrhizal development with phylogeny

6.1. Introduction.....	118
6.2. Experiment 1. Investigation of <i>rmc</i> phenotypes using known AM fungi from different phylogenetic groups.....	120
6.2.1. Materials and methods.....	120
6.2.1.1. Experimental settings and design.....	120
6.2.1.2. Harvesting and quantification of AM structures.....	121
6.2.1.3. Statistical analysis.....	123
6.2.2. Results.....	123
6.2.2.1. Mycorrhizal colonization in wild-type tomato.....	123
6.2.2.2. Mycorrhizal colonization in <i>rmc</i>	127
6.2.2.3. AM phenotypes in <i>rmc</i> with fungi from <i>Glomus</i> Group A.....	127
6.2.2.4. AM phenotypes in <i>rmc</i> with fungi from <i>Glomus</i> Group B.....	129
6.2.2.5. AM phenotypes in <i>rmc</i> with fungi from Diversisporaceae and Gigasporaceae.....	130
6.2.3. Discussion.....	132
6.2.3.1. AM morphology in the wild-type with fungi from different taxonomic groups.....	132
.	
6.2.3.2. AM morphology in <i>rmc</i> with fungi from different taxonomic groups.....	134
.	
6.3. Experiment 2. Predicting <i>rmc</i> phenotype using different isolates of <i>G. intraradices</i>	136
6.3.1. Introduction.....	136
6.3.2. Materials and methods.....	137
6.3.2.1. Experimental settings and design.....	137
6.3.2.2. Harvesting and quantification of AM structures.....	138
6.3.2.3. Statistical analysis.....	140
6.3.3. Results.....	140
6.3.3.1. Fresh weight of wild-type tomato.....	140

6.3.3.2. Fresh weight of <i>rmc</i>	140
6.3.3.3. AM colonization of the wild-type and <i>rmc</i> tomato with different isolates of <i>G. intraradices</i>	142
6.3.3.4. Mycorrhizal colonization: Active EH and Appr.....	144
6.3.3.5. Mycorrhizal colonization: Active IH and arbuscules.....	146
6.3.3.6. Mycorrhizal colonization: inactive EH, Appr and IH.....	147
6.3.3.7. Mycorrhizal colonization: phenotypes with <i>rmc</i>	147
6.3.4. Discussion.....	149
6.4. General discussion and conclusions.....	152

Chapter 7 General discussion and future work

7.1. Arbuscules are an absolute requirement for completion of the life cycle of AM fungi but not for C and P transfer in AM symbiosis.....	156
7.2. Arbuscular mycorrhizas and outcomes of cellular interactions in <i>rmc</i> of tomato.....	159
7.3. Relating mycorrhizal development in <i>rmc</i> of tomato to AM fungal phylogeny.....	162

Appendix

Table A.1. Percentage of colonized length with active or dead AM structures in wild-type or <i>rmc</i> tomato colonized by AM fungi with different taxonomic affiliations.....	166
--	-----

Chapter 8 List of references	167
-------------------------------------	------------

Summary

The broad aim of the work described in this thesis was to use the arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) defective *rmc* tomato to explore the development and function of different types of fungus-plant interfaces (phenotypes) and to characterize the cellular modifications preceding colonization of *rmc* by a range of different AM fungi. Three main patterns of colonization with *rmc* have been described: 1) Pen- phenotype in which the AM fungus is restricted to the root surface with several attempts to penetrate the epidermal cells without success; 2) Coi- phenotype where AM fungi penetrate the epidermis but cannot develop cortical colonization; and 3) Myc+ phenotype (with *G. intraradices* WFVAM23), where the AM fungus penetrates the cortex and forms a “normal” colonization after a delayed penetration of the epidermal cells (Review of literature). Little is known about cellular interactions, nutrient transfer or the ability of the fungi to complete their life cycles in the different phenotypes. These aspects were the main foci of this work. In addition further fungal isolates were screened to assess their ability to colonize *rmc*.

The first experiments involved compartmented pots to follow the fungal life cycle, production of external mycelium and spores in the different *rmc* phenotypes (Chapter 3). The results showed that in the Pen- and Coi- phenotypes, AM fungi are unable to form spores to complete the life cycle. However, in the Coi- phenotype, the fungus remained alive up to week 18, suggesting that some C transfer occurred. The fungus forming the Myc+ phenotype, *G. intraradices* WFVAM23, was able to produce spores, although they were significantly smaller than those produced with the wild-type tomato. The results suggested that arbuscules are essential for completion of the fungal

life cycle. Labeled ^{32}P was used to determine whether arbuscules are also essential for P transfer (Chapter 4). A compartmented pot system was used in which only fungal hyphae but not roots could obtain ^{32}P . ^{32}P was found in the shoots of *rmc* inoculated with *S. calospora* (Coi- phenotype), indicating that interfaces other than arbuscules can be involved in transfer of P. A nurse pot system was used to obtain synchronized colonization to determine how long AM fungi stay alive during the interactions with *rmc* and to elucidate the cellular modifications preceding colonization of *rmc* by a range of different AM fungi (Chapter 5). The results showed that *rmc* did attract the AM fungi, that the plant nucleus moved to the middle of the plant cell only after fungal penetration of plant roots and that callose deposition in *rmc* was not involved in blocking the AM fungi. Fourteen AM fungi with different taxonomic affiliations and fourteen different *G. intraradices* isolates were screened to try to relate phylogeny of AM fungi with phenotypes in *rmc* (Chapter 6). There were a large number of interactions, depending on the inoculated AM fungi, and although there were some similarities in the *rmc* phenotypes within phylogenetic groups, there was no clear relationship between phylogeny and development of interactions with *rmc*.

This study showed the following. 1) Arbuscules/arbusculate coils are necessary for the completion of the AM fungal cycle. However, intraradical hyphae also participate in transfer of both P and C as demonstrated with the Coi- phenotype. 2) *rmc* clearly attracted AM fungi and the fungi stay alive and induce plant cellular responses such as nuclear movement only after penetrating *rmc* roots. 3) Plant defense responses such as callose deposition are not involved in blocking AM fungi in *rmc*; and 4) there was no relationship between the phenotypes described in *rmc* and phylogeny of the *Glomeromycota*.

Publications from this thesis

Journal Paper

Manjarrez Maria, F. Andrew Smith, Petra Marschner and Sally E. Smith (2007) Completion of the life cycle of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi showing different colonization phenotypes in the *reduced mycorrhizal colonization (rmc)* mutant of tomato: implications for C transfer. *New Phytologist*. Submitted.

Conference posters and oral presentations

Manjarrez, Maria, Smith, S.E., Marschner, P., Dickson, S. and Wallwork, M. (2005) Completion of the life cycle of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a reduced mycorrhizal colonisation (rmc) tomato mutant. COMBIO 2005. Adelaide Convention Centre, Adelaide, South Australia. 25-29 September 2005. Poster

Manjarrez, Maria, Smith, S.E., Marschner, P., Smith, A., and Wallwork, M. (2006) Can AM fungi transfer C and P in a mutant tomato lacking arbuscules? Centre for Plant Root Symbiosis. The University of Adelaide. Adelaide, South Australia. Oral presentation.

Manjarrez, Maria, Smith, S.E., Marschner, P., Dickson, S. and Wallwork, M. (2005) Outcomes of cellular interactions between AM fungi and the *rmc* tomato mutant. Centre for Plant Root Symbiosis. The University of Adelaide. Adelaide, South Australia. 15-17 February 2005. Oral presentation.

Manjarrez, Maria, Smith, S.E. and Smith, F. A. (2006) Arbuscules are not an absolute requirement for P transfer in AM symbiosis: evidence from ^{32}P transfer. 5th International Conference on Mycorrhiza. Granada, Spain. 23-27 July 2006. Hosen for oral presentation.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Consejo Mexicano de Ciencia y Tecnologia (CONACyT) for awarding me a full scholarship to undertake my Ph D in The University of Adelaide. To The Australian Research Council (ARC) for awarding S. E. Smith, S. Barker and F. A. Smith with a project grant to investigate the mutant tomato. Research from this thesis is part of that project, from which money made possible my travelling to visit Dr. Jan Jansa at ETH (Institute for Plant Research), Zürich, Switzerland. And to the Research Abroad Scholarship from The University of Adelaide for supporting my travel expenses to Zürich, Switzerland.

I am deeply grateful to Sally E. Smith. I am a product of her guidance. My Ph D has been an every day improving experience because of her vision not only of the mycorrhizal world but also of the simple things of this life. And to Andrew Smith, whose deeply thinking of common science facts made me wonder and love AM mycorrhizas even more than before.

I would like to thank Petra Marschner and Sandy Dickson for valuable comments, interesting discussion and help during the development of this thesis. To Jan Jansa for his energetic approach to the research conducted in Switzerland and his assertive comments on my lab work. Especially thanks to Meredith Wallwork, my good friend; for being there during that difficult part of my Ph D life and making confocal microscopy looks “easy piece”.

To all members of the Soil Biology group for all those days of healthy discussions, friendship and mutual help; I have really appreciated the moments (and the comments!).

To my Australian Mum and Dad: John and Lelene Schwerdt and the “Schwerdt clan”. Thanks for the love and care that you have given me.

This thesis is dedicated to my God, who makes every thing happen.

To my family, Rodrigo, Diana and Gabriela for all your love and support.

To my Mum, brothers and sisters.

Declaration

I declare that this thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

July 2007

Ma de Jesús Manjarrez-Martinez