

# References

---

- Abrahart, R. J., and L. See (1998), Neural network vs. ARMA modelling: constructing benchmark case studies of river flow prediction, in *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on GeoComputation*, University of Bristol, Manchester, UK.
- Abrahart, R. J., L. See, and P. E. Kneale (1999), Using pruning algorithms and genetic algorithms to optimise network architectures and forecasting inputs in a neural network rainfall-runoff model, *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 1(2), 103–114.
- Abrahart, R. J., L. See, and P. E. Kneale (2001), Investigating the role of saliency analysis with a neural network rainfall-runoff model, *Computers and Geosciences*, 27(8), 921–928, doi:10.1016/S0098-3004(00)00131-X.
- Aguiar, H. C., and R. M. Filho (2001), Neural network and hybrid model: a discussion about different modeling techniques to predict pulping degree with industrial data, *Chemical Engineering Science*, 56(2), 565–570.
- Amari, S.-I., N. Murata, K.-R. Müller, M. Finke, and H. H. Yang (1997), Asymptotic statistical theory of overtraining and cross-validation, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 8(5), 985–996.
- Anders, U., and O. Korn (1999), Model selection in neural networks, *Neural Networks*, 12(2), 309–323, doi:10.1016/S0893-6080(98)00117-8.
- Andrews, R., J. Dieterich, and A. B. Tickle (1995), Survey and critique of techniques for extracting rules from trained artificial neural networks, *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 8(6), 373–389.
- Anmala, J., B. Zhang, and R. S. Govindaraju (2000), Comparison of ANNs and empirical modelling approaches for predicting watershed runoff, *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 126(3), 156–166, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9496(2000)126:3(156).

## References

---

- ASCE Task Committee on Application of Artificial Neural Networks in Hydrology (2000a), Artificial neural networks in hydrology. I: preliminary concepts, *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, ASCE*, 5(2), 115–123, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(2000)5:2(115).
- ASCE Task Committee on Application of Artificial Neural Networks in Hydrology (2000b), Artificial neural networks in hydrology. II: hydrologic applications, *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, ASCE*, 5(2), 124–137, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(2000)5:2(124).
- Aurelle, D., S. Lek, J.-L. Giraudeau, and P. Berrebi (1999), Microsatellites and artificial neural networks: tools for the discrimination between natural and hatchery brown trout (*Salmo trutta*, L.) in Atlantic populations, *Ecological Modelling*, 120(2-3), 313–324, doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(99)00111-8.
- Baker, P. D., J. D. Brookes, M. D. Burch, H. R. Maier, and G. G. Ganf (2000), Advection, growth and nutrient status of phytoplankton in the lower River Murray, South Australia, *Regulated Rivers: Research and Management*, 16(4), 327–344, doi:10.1002/1099-1646(200007/08)16:4<327::AID-RRR576>3.0.CO;2-Q.
- Basheer, I. A., and M. Hajmeer (2000), Artificial neural networks: fundamentals, computing, design, and application, *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 43(1), 3–31, doi:10.1016/S0167-7012(00)00201-3.
- Bates, B. C., and E. P. Campbell (2001), A Markov chain Monte Carlo scheme for parameter estimation and inference in conceptual rainfall-runoff modeling, *Water Resources Research*, 37(4), 937–947, doi:10.1029/2000WR900363.
- Beasley, J. D., and S. G. Springer (1977), Algorithm AS III: The percentage points of the normal distribution, *Applied Statistics*, 26(1), 118–121.
- Bebis, G., and M. Georgopoulos (1994), Feed-forward neural networks: why network size is so important, *IEEE Potentials*, 13(4), 27–31, doi:10.1109/45.329294.
- Beck, M. B. (1987), Water quality modeling: a review of the analysis of uncertainty, *Water Resources Research*, 23(8), 1393–1442.
- Benítez, J. M., J. L. Castro, and I. Requena (1997), Are artificial neural networks black boxes?, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 8(5), 1156–1164.

- Berger, J., and D. Rios Insua (1998), Recent developments in Bayesian inference with applications in hydrology, in *Statistical and Bayesian Methods in Hydrological Sciences*, edited by E. Parent, P. Hubert, B. Bobée, and J. Miquel, pp. 43–62, UNESCO Press, Paris.
- Beven, K. J. (1989), Changing ideas in hydrology - the case of physically-based models, *Journal of Hydrology*, 105(1-2), 157–172, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(89)90101-7.
- Beven, K. J. (1993), Prophecy, reality and uncertainty in distributed hydrological modelling, *Advances in Water Resources*, 16(1), 41–51, doi:10.1016/0309-1708(93)90028-E.
- Beven, K. J. (2001), How far can we go in distributed hydrological modelling?, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 5(1), 1–12.
- Beven, K. J., and A. M. Binley (1992), The future of distributed models: model calibration and uncertainty prediction, *Hydrological Processes*, 6, 279–298.
- Bienestock, E., and S. Geman (1994), [Neural networks: a review from a statistical perspective]: Comment, *Statistical Science*, 9(1), 36–38.
- BioComp Systems Inc. (1998), *NeuroGenetic Optimizer (NGO)*. Version 2.6.120, Redmond, WA.
- Bishop, C. M. (1995), *Neural Networks for Pattern Recognition*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Blue-Green Algae Task Force (N.S.W.) (1992), Blue green algae, *Interim report of the New South Wales Blue-Green Algae Task Force*, New South Wales Department of Water Resources.
- Borsuk, M. E., D. Higdon, C. A. Stow, and K. H. Reckhow (2001), A Bayesian hierarchical model to predict benthic oxygen demand from organic matter loading in estuaries and coastal zones, *Ecological Modelling*, 143(3), 165–181, doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(01)00328-3.
- Bowden, G., H. Maier, and G. Dandy (2002), Optimal division of data for neural network models in water resources applications, *Water Resources Research*, 38(2), 1010, doi: 10.1029/2001WR000266.

## References

---

- Bowden, G. J. (2003), Forecasting water resources variables using artificial neural networks, *PhD thesis*, The University of Adelaide.
- Bowden, G. J., G. C. Dandy, and H. R. Maier (2003), Data transformation for neural network models in water resources applications, *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 5(4), 245–258.
- Bowden, G. J., H. R. Maier, and G. C. Dandy (2005a), Input determination for neural network models in water resources applications. Part 1. Background and methodology, *Journal of Hydrology*, 301(1-4), 75–92, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.06.021.
- Bowden, G. J., H. R. Maier, and G. C. Dandy (2005b), Input determination for neural network models in water resources applications. Part 2. Case study: forecasting salinity in a river, *Journal of Hydrology*, 301(1-4), 93–107, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.06.020.
- Box, G. E. P., and G. C. Tiao (1973), *Bayesian inference in statistical analysis*, Addison-Wesley Series in Behavioral Science: Quantitative Methods, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts.
- Brooks, S. P., and G. O. Roberts (1998), Convergence assessment techniques for Markov chain Monte Carlo, *Statistics and Computing*, 8(4), 319–335, doi:10.1023/A:1008820505350.
- Brosse, S., J. F. Guégan, J. N. Tourenq, and S. Lek (1999), The use of neural networks to assess fish abundance and spatial occupancy in the littoral zone of a mesotrophic lake, *Ecological Modelling*, 120(2-3), 299–311, doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(99)00110-6.
- Brun, R., P. Reichert, and H. R. Künsch (2001), Practical identifiability analysis of large environmental simulation models, *Water Resources Research*, 37(4), 1015–1030, doi:10.1029/2000WR900350.
- Buntine, W. L., and A. S. Weigend (1991), Bayesian back-propagation, *Complex Systems*, 5(6), 603–643.
- Capblancq, J., and J. Catalan (1994), Phytoplankton: which and how much?, in *Limnology Now: A Paradigm of Planetary Problems*, edited by R. Margalef, pp. 9–36, Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam.
- Castellano-Méndez, M., W. González-Manteiga, M. Frbrero-Bande, J. M. Prada-Sánchez, and R. Lozano-Calderón (2004), Modelling of the monthly and daily be-

- haviour of the runoff of the Xallas River using Box-Jenkins and neural networks methods, *Journal of Hydrology*, 296, 38–58, doi:10.1016/j.hydrol.2004.03.011.
- Caudill, M. (1991), Evolutionary neural networks, *AI Expert, March*, 28–33.
- Chatfield, C. (1993a), Neural networks: Forecasting breakthrough or passing fad?, *International Journal of Forecasting*, 9(1), 1–3, doi:10.1016/0169-2070(93)90043-M.
- Chatfield, C. (1993b), Calculating interval forecasts, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 11(2), 121–135.
- Cheng, B., and D. M. Titterington (1994), Neural networks: a review from a statistical perspective, *Statistical Science*, 9(1), 2–30.
- Chib, S. (1995), Marginal likelihood from the Gibbs output, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 90(432), 1313–1321.
- Chib, S., and E. Greenberg (1995), Understanding the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm, *The American Statistician*, 49(4), 327–335.
- Chib, S., and I. Jeliazkov (2001), Marginal likelihood from the Metropolis-Hastings output, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 96(453), 270–281.
- Chow, V. T., D. R. Maidment, and L. W. Mays (1988), *Applied Hydrology*, McGraw-Hill Series in Water Resources and Environmental Engineering, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Côté, M., B. P. A. Grandjean, P. Lessard, and J. Thibault (1995), Dynamic modelling of the activated sludge process: improving prediction using neural networks, *Water Research*, 29(4), 995–1004, doi:10.1016/0043-1354(95)93250-W.
- Coulibaly, P., F. Anctil, and B. Bobée (2000), Daily reservoir inflow forecasting using artificial neural networks with stopped training approach, *Journal of Hydrology*, 230(3-4), 244–257, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00214-6.
- Coulibaly, P., M. Haché, V. Fortin, and B. Bobée (2005), Improving daily reservoir inflow forecasts with model combination, *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 10(2), 91–99, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(2005)10:2(91).
- Cowles, M. K., and B. P. Carlin (1996), Markov chain Monte Carlo convergence diagnostics: a comparative review, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 91(434), 883–904.

## References

---

- Crabb, P. (1997), *Murray-Darling Basin Resources*, Murray-Darling Basin Commission, Canberra.
- Craven, M. W., and J. W. Shavlik (1997), Using neural networks for data mining, *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 13(2-3), 211–229, doi:10.1016/S0167-739X(97)00022-8.
- Cybenko, G. (1989), Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function, *Mathematics of Control, Signals and Systems*, 2, 304–314.
- Dandy, G. C., and P. D. Crawley (1992), Optimization of multiple reservoir systems including salinity effects, *Water Resources Research*, 28(4), 979–990.
- Dawson, C. W., and R. L. Wilby (1999), A comparison of artificial neural networks used for river flow forecasting, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 3(4), 529–540.
- Dawson, C. W., and R. L. Wilby (2001), Hydrological modelling using artificial neural networks, *Progress in Physical Geography*, 25(1), 80–108.
- de Freitas, J. F. G., M. Niranjan, A. H. Gee, and A. Doucet (2000), Sequential Monte Carlo methods to train neural network models, *Neural Computation*, 12(4), 955–993.
- De Veaux, R. D., J. Schumi, J. Schweinsberg, and L. H. Ungar (1998), Prediction intervals for neural networks via nonlinear regression, *Technometrics*, 40(4), 273–282.
- De Veaux, R. D., R. Bain, and L. H. Ungar (1999), Hybrid neural network models for environmental process control (the 1998 hunter lecture), *Environmetrics*, 10(3), 225–236, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-095X(199905/06)10:3<225::AID-ENV356>3.0.CO;2-1.
- de Villiers, J., and E. Barnard (1992), Backpropagation neural nets with one and two hidden layers, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 4(1), 136–141, doi:10.1109/72.182704.
- de Vos, N. J., and T. H. M. Rientjes (2005), Constraints of artificial neural networks for rainfall-runoff modelling: trade-offs in hydrological state representation and model evaluation, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*, 2(1), 365–415.
- DeSilet, L., B. Golden, Q. Wang, and R. Kumar (1992), Predicting salinity in the Chesapeake Bay using backpropagation, *Computers and Operations Research*, 19(3-4), 277–285, doi:10.1016/0305-0548(92)90049-B.

- Dibike, Y. B., and D. P. Solomatine (2001), River flow forecasting using artificial neural networks, *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Part B: Hydrology, Oceans and Atmosphere*, 26(1), 1–7, doi:10.1016/S1464-1909(01)85005-X.
- DiCiccio, T. J., R. E. Kass, A. Raftery, and L. Wasserman (1997), Computing Bayes factors by combining simulation and asymptotic approximations, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 92(439), 903–915.
- Diskin, M. H., and E. Simon (1977), A procedure for the selection of objective functions for hydrologic simulation models, *Journal of Hydrology*, 34(1-2), 129–149, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(77)90066-X.
- Dolling, O. R., and E. A. Varas (2003), Artificial neural networks for streamflow prediction, *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 40(5), 547–554.
- Duan, Q., S. Sorooshian, and V. K. Gupta (1992), Effective and efficient global optimization for conceptual rainfall-runoff models, *Water Resources Research*, 28(4), 1015–1031, doi:10.1029/91WR02985.
- Duan, Q., V. K. Gupta, and S. Sorooshian (1993), A shuffled complex evolution approach for effective and efficient global minimization, *Journal of Optimization Theory and its Applications*, 76(3), 501–521.
- Duan, Q., S. Sorooshian, and V. K. Gupta (1994), Optimal use of the SCE-UA global optimization method for calibrating watershed models, *Journal of Hydrology*, 158(3-4), 265–284, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(94)90057-4.
- Duane, S., A. D. Kennedy, B. J. Pendleton, and D. Roweth (1987), Hybrid Monte Carlo, *Physics Letters B*, 195(2), 216–222, doi:10.1016/0370-2693(87)91197-X.
- Eiben, A. E., R. Hinterding, and Z. Michalewicz (1999), Parameter control in evolutionary algorithms, *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 3(2), 124–141.
- Elbeltagi, E., T. Hegazy, and D. Grierson (2005), Comparison among five evolutionary-based optimization algorithms, *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 19(1), 43–53, doi:10.1016/j.aei.2005.01.004.
- Eleuteri, A., R. Tagliaferri, L. Milano, F. Acernese, and M. De Laurentiis (2002), Bayesian learning techniques: application to neural networks with constraints on weight space, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2486, 216–232.

## References

---

- Engineering and Water Supply Department (EWS) (1978), *The South Australian River Murray salinity control programme*, South Australian Government, Engineering and Water Supply Department, Adelaide.
- Fahlman, S. (1989), Faster-learning variations on back-propagation: an empirical study, in *Proceedings of the 1988 Connectionist Models Summer School*, edited by D. Touretzky, G. Hinton, and T. Sejnowski, pp. 38–51, Morgan Kaufmann.
- Faraway, J., and C. Chatfield (1998), Time series forecasting with neural networks: a comparative study using the airline data, *Applied Statistics*, 47(2), 231–250.
- Féraud, R., and F. Clérot (2002), A methodology to explain neural network classification, *Neural Networks*, 15(2), 237–246, doi:10.1016/S0893-6080(01)00127-7.
- Fernando, D. A. K., and A. W. Jayawardena (1998), Runoff forecasting using RBF networks with OLS algorithm, *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 3(3), 203–209, doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(1998)3:3(203).
- Flood, I., and N. Kartam (1994), Neural networks in civil engineering. I: principles and understanding, *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 8(2), 131–148.
- Fogel, D. B. (1999), *Evolutionary computation: toward a new philosophy of machine intelligence*, 2nd edition ed., IEEE Press, Piscataway, NJ.
- Franchini, M., G. Galeati, and S. Berra (1998), Global optimization techniques for the calibration of conceptual rainfall-runoff models, *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 43(3), 443–458.
- Freedman, V. L., V. L. Lopes, and M. Hernandez (1998), Parameter identifiability for catchment-scale erosion modelling: a comparison of optimization algorithms, *Journal of Hydrology*, 207(1-2), 83–97, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(98)00131-0.
- French, M., and F. Recknagel (1994), Modeling of algal blooms in freshwater using artificial neural networks, in *Computer Techniques in Environmental Studies V*, vol. 2, edited by P. Zannetti, pp. 87–94, Computational Mechanics Publications, Southampton.
- French, M. N., W. F. Krajewski, and R. R. Cuykendall (1992), Rainfall forecasting in space and time using a neural network, *Journal of Hydrology*, 137(1-4), 1–31, doi: 10.1016/0022-1694(92)90046-X.

- Friedman, J. H. (1991), Multivariate adaptive regression splines, *The Annals of Statistics*, 19(1), 1–67.
- Frost, A. J. (2004), Spatio-temporal hidden Markov models for incorporating interannual variability in rainfall, *PhD thesis*, The University of Newcastle.
- Ganguly, A. R. (2002), A hybrid approach to improving rainfall forecasts, *Computing in Science and Engineering*, 4(4), 14–21.
- Garson, G. D. (1991), Interpreting neural network connection weights, *AI Expert*, 6(4), 47–51.
- Gaume, E., and R. Gosset (2003), Over-parameterisation, a major obstacle to the use of artificial neural networks in hydrology?, *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 7(5), 693–706.
- Gaume, E., J.-P. Villeneuve, and M. Desbordes (1998), Uncertainty assessment and analysis of the calibrated parameter values of an urban storm water quality model, *Journal of Hydrology*, 210, 38–50, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(98)00171-1.
- Gelfand, A. E., and D. K. Dey (1994), Bayesian model choice: asymptotics and exact calculations, *Journal of Royal Statistical Society. Series B*, 56(3), 501–514.
- Gelman, A., J. B. Carlin, H. S. Stern, and D. B. Rubin (2004), *Bayesian data analysis*, Texts in Statistical Science, 2nd ed., Chapman and Hall, London.
- Geman, S., and D. Geman (1984), Stochastic relaxation, Gibbs distributions and the Bayesian restoration of images, *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 6(6), 721–741.
- Gevrey, M., I. Dimopoulos, and S. Lek (2003), Review and comparison of methods to study the contribution of variables in artificial neural network models, *Ecological Modelling*, 160(3), 249–264, doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(02)00257-0.
- Geweke, J. (1989), Bayesian inference in econometric models using Monte Carlo integration, *Econometrica*, 57(6), 1317–1339.
- Goldberg, D. E. (1989), *Genetic algorithms in search, optimization and machine learning*, Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., Reading, mass.
- Gorr, W. L. (1994), Editorial: Research prospective on neural network forecasting, *International Journal of Forecasting*, 10(1), 1–4, doi:10.1016/0169-2070(94)90044-2.

## References

---

- Gower, J. C. (1971), A general coefficient of similarity and some of its properties, *Biometrics*, 27(4), 857–871.
- Gozlan, R. E., S. Mastrorillo, G. H. Copp, and S. Lek (1999), Predicting the structure and diversity of young-of-the-year fish assemblages in large rivers, *Freshwater Biology*, 41(4), 809–820.
- Grant, W. E., E. K. Pederson, and S. L. Marin (1997), *Ecology and natural resource management : systems analysis and simulation*, Wiley, New York.
- Green, P. J. (1995), Reversible jump Markov chain Monte Carlo computation and Bayesian model determination, *Biometrika*, 82(4), 711–732.
- Gupta, J. N. D., and R. S. Sexton (1999), Comparing backpropagation with a genetic algorithm for neural network training, *Omega*, 27(6), 679–684, doi:10.1016/S0305-0483(99)00027-4.
- Haario, H., E. Saksman, and J. Tamminen (2001), An adaptive Metropolis algorithm, *Bernoulli*, 7(2), 223–242.
- Haario, H., E. Saksman, and J. Tamminen (2005), Componentwise adaptation for high dimensional MCMC, *Computational Statistics*, 20(2), 265–274.
- Hanson, K. M. (1999), A framework for assessing uncertainties in simulation predictions, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 133(1-4), 179–188, doi:10.1016/S0167-2789(99)00090-1.
- Harris, G. P. (1994), Nutrient loadings and algal blooms in Australian waters - a discussion paper, *Occasional Paper No. 12/94*, Land and Water Resources Research and Development Corporation.
- Hastings, W. K. (1970), Monte Carlo sampling methods using Markov chains and their applications, *Biometrika*, 57(1), 97–109.
- Hecht-Nielsen, R. (1987), Kolmogorovs mapping neural network existence theorem, in *Proceedings of the First IEEE International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*, pp. 11–14, IEEE, San Diego, California.
- Heneker, T. (2002), An improved engineering design flood estimation technique: removing the need to estimate initial loss, *PhD thesis*, The University of Adelaide.

- Heskes, T. (1997), Practical confidence and prediction intervals, in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 9 (NIPS\*1996), edited by M. Mozer, M. I. Jordan, and T. Petsche, pp. 176–182, MIT Press, Denver, CO, USA.
- Hill, T., L. Marquez, M. OConnor, and W. Remusa (1994), Artificial neural network models for forecasting and decision making, *International Journal of Forecasting*, 10(1), 5–15, doi:10.1016/0169-2070(94)90045-0.
- Hipel, K. W., and A. I. McLeod (1994), *Time series modelling of water resources and environmental systems*, *Developments in Water Science*, vol. 45, Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam.
- Hornberger, G. M., and R. C. Spear (1981), An approach to the preliminary analysis of environmental systems, *Journal of Environmental Management*, 12, 7–18.
- Hornik, K., M. Stinchcombe, and H. White (1989), Multi-layer feedforward networks are universal approximators, *Neural Networks*, 2, 359–366.
- Hsu, K.-L., H. V. Gupta, and S. Sorooshian (1995), Artificial neural network modeling of the rainfall-runoff process, *Water Resources Research*, 31(10), 2517–2530, doi:10.1029/95WR01955.
- Husmeier, D., W. D. Penny, and S. J. Roberts (1999), An empirical evaluation of Bayesian sampling with hybrid Monte Carlo for training neural network classifiers, *Neural Networks*, 12(4-5), 677–705, doi:10.1016/S0893-6080(99)00020-9.
- Hwang, J. T. G., and A. A. Ding (1997), Prediction intervals for artificial neural networks, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 92(438), 748–757.
- Imrie, C. E., S. Durucan, and A. Korre (2000), River flow prediction using artificial neural networks: generalisation beyond the calibration range, *Journal of Hydrology*, 233(1-4), 138–153, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00228-6.
- Jacobs, R. A. (1988), Increased rates of convergence through learning rate adaptation, *Neural Networks*, 1(4), 295–308, doi:10.1016/0893-6080(88)90003-2.
- Jain, A., K. P. Sudheer, and S. Srinivasulu (2004), Identification of physical processes inherent in artificial neural network rainfall runoff models, *Hydrological Processes*, 18(3), 571–581, doi:10.1002/hyp.5502.

## References

---

- Jeong, K.-S., G.-J. Joo, H.-W. Kim, K. Ha, and F. Recknagel (2001), Prediction and elucidation of phytoplankton dynamics in the Nakdong River (Korea) by means of a recurrent artificial neural network, *Ecological Modelling*, 146(1-3), 115–129, doi: 10.1016/S0304-3800(01)00300-3.
- Jones, G., P. Baker, M. Burch, and F. Harvey (2003), National protocol for the monitoring of cyanobacteria in surface waters (draft), *Tech. rep.*, Australian Water Quality Centre.
- Karunanithi, N., W. J. Grenney, D. Whitley, and K. Bovee (1994), Neural networks for river flow prediction, *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 8(2), 201–220.
- Kass, R. E. (1993), Bayes factors in practice, *The Statistician*, 42(5), 551–560.
- Kass, R. E., and A. E. Raftery (1995), Bayes factors, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 90(430), 773–795.
- Kass, R. E., B. P. Carlin, A. Gelman, and R. M. Neal (1998), Markov chain Monte Carlo in practice: a roundtable discussion, *American Statistician*, 52(2), 93–100.
- Kaufman, L., and P. J. Rousseeuw (1990), *Finding groups in data: An introduction to cluster analysis*, Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- Kavetski, D., S. W. Franks, and G. Kuczera (2002), Confronting input uncertainty in environmental modelling, in *Calibration of Watershed Models, AGU Water Science and Applications Series*, vol. 6, edited by Q. Duan, H. V. Gupta, S. Sorooshian, A. N. Rousseau, and R. Turcotte, pp. 49–68, American Geophysical Union.
- Kirkpatrick, S., C. D. Gellat, and M. P. Vecci (1983), Optimisation by simulated annealing, *Science*, 220(4598), 671–680.
- Kohonen, T. (1982), Self-organised formation of topologically correct feature maps, *Biological Cybernetics*, 43, 59–69.
- Kokkonen, T. S., and A. J. Jakeman (2001), A comparison of metric and conceptual approaches in rainfall-runoff modeling and its implications, *Water Resources Research*, 37(9), 2345–2352, doi:10.1029/2000WR000299.
- Krzysztofowicz, R. (2001), The case of probabilistic forecasting in hydrology, *Journal of Hydrology*, 249(1-4), 2–9, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(01)00420-6.

- Kuczera, G. (1983), Improved parameter inference in catchment models. 1. Evaluating parameter uncertainty, *Water Resources Research*, 19(5), 1151–1162.
- Kuczera, G. (1988), On the validity of first-order prediction limits for conceptual hydrologic models, *Journal of Hydrology*, 103(3-4), 229–247, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(88)90136-9.
- Kuczera, G., and M. Mroczkowski (1998), Assessment of hydrologic parameter uncertainty and worth of multiresponse data, *Water Resources Research*, 34(6), 1481–1489, doi:10.1029/98WR00496.
- Kuczera, G., and E. Parent (1998), Monte Carlo assessment of parameter uncertainty in conceptual catchment models: the Metropolis algorithm, *Journal of Hydrology*, 211(1-4), 69–85, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(98)00198-X.
- Lampinen, J., and A. Vehtari (2001), Bayesian approach for neural networks - review and case studies, *Neural Networks*, 14(3), 257–274, doi:10.1016/S0893-6080(00)00098-8.
- Laslett, G. M., R. M. Clark, and G. J. Jones (1997), Estimating the precision of filamentous blue-green algae cell concentration from a single sample, *Environmetrics*, 8(4), 313–339, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-095X(199707)8:4<313::AID-ENV253>3.0.CO;2-V.
- Lee, D. S., C. O. Jeon, J. M. Park, and K. S. Chang (2002), Hybrid neural network modeling of a full-scale industrial wastewater treatment process, *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 78(6), 670–682, doi:10.1002/bit.10247.
- Lee, H. K. H. (2001), Model selection for neural network classification, *Journal of Classification*, 18, 227–243, doi:10.1007/s00357-001-0017-y.
- Lee, H. K. H. (2002), Difficulties in estimating the normalizing constant of the posterior for a neural network, *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 11(1), 222–235.
- Lee, H. K. H. (2003), A noninformative prior for neural networks, *Machine Learning*, 50(1-2), 197–212, doi:10.1023/A:1020258113913.
- Lee, P. M. (1989), *Bayesian statistics: an introduction*, Oxford University Press, New York.

## References

---

- Legates, D. R., and G. J. McCabe (1999), Evaluating the use of “goodness-of-fit” measures in hydrologic and hydroclimatic model validation, *Water Resources Research*, 35(1), 233–242, doi:10.1029/1998WR900018.
- Lekkas, D. F., C. E. Imrie, and M. J. Lees (2001), Improved non-linear transfer function and neural network methods of flow routing for real-time forecasting, *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 3(3), 153–164.
- Liang, F. (2005), Bayesian neural networks for nonlinear time series forecasting, *Statistics and Computing*, 15(1), 13–29, doi:10.1007/s11222-005-4786-8.
- Liong, S.-Y., W.-H. Lim, and G. N. Paudyal (2000), River stage forecasting in Bangladesh: neural network approach, *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 14(1), 1–8, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0887-3801(2000)14:1(1).
- Looney, C. G. (1997), *Pattern Recognition using Neural Networks*, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Luk, K. C., J. E. Ball, and A. Sharma (2000), A study of optimal model lag and spatial inputs to artificial neural network for rainfall forecasting, *Journal of Hydrology*, 227(1-4), 56–65, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(99)00165-1.
- MacKay, D. J. C. (1992a), A practical Bayesian framework for backpropagation networks, *Neural Computation*, 4(3), 448–472.
- MacKay, D. J. C. (1992b), Bayesian interpolation, *Neural Computation*, 4(3), 415–447.
- MacKay, D. J. C. (1994), Bayesian nonlinear modeling for the prediction competition, *ASHRAE Transactions*, 100(2), 1053–1062.
- MacKay, D. J. C. (1995a), Probable networks and plausible predictions - a review of practical Bayesian methods for supervised neural networks, *Network: Computation in Neural Systems*, 6(3), 469–505, doi:10.1088/0954-898X/6/3/011.
- MacKay, D. J. C. (1995b), Bayesian methods for neural networks: Theory and applications, *Neural Networks Summer School lecture notes*, University of Cambridge.
- MacKay, D. J. C. (1999), Comparison of approximate methods for handling hyperparameters, *Neural Computation*, 11(5), 1035–1068.
- MacKay, D. J. C. (2003), *Information Theory, Inference, and Learning Algorithms*, Cambridge University Press.

- Maier, H. R. (1995), Use of artificial neural networks for modelling multivariate water quality time series, *PhD thesis*, The University of Adelaide.
- Maier, H. R., and G. Dandy (1996), The use of artificial neural networks for the prediction of water quality parameters, *Water Resources Research*, 32(4), 1013–1022, doi: 10.1029/95WR03529.
- Maier, H. R., and G. C. Dandy (1997), Modelling cyanobacteria (blue-green algae) in the River Murray using artificial neural networks, *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 43(3-6), 377–386, doi:10.1016/S0378-4754(97)00022-0.
- Maier, H. R., and G. C. Dandy (1998a), The effect of internal parameters and geometry on the performance of back-propagation neural networks: An empirical study, *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 13(2), 193–209, doi:10.1016/S1364-8152(98)00020-6.
- Maier, H. R., and G. C. Dandy (1998b), Understanding the behaviour and optimising the performance of back-propagation neural networks: an empirical study, *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 13(2), 179–191, doi:10.1016/S1364-8152(98)00019-X.
- Maier, H. R., and G. C. Dandy (1999), Empirical comparison of various methods for training feed-forward neural networks for salinity forecasting, *Water Resources Research*, 35(8), 2591–2598, doi:10.1029/1999WR900150.
- Maier, H. R., and G. C. Dandy (2000a), Neural networks for the prediction and forecasting of water resources variables: a review of modelling issues and applications, *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 15(1), 101–124, doi:10.1016/S1364-8152(99)00007-9.
- Maier, H. R., and G. C. Dandy (2000b), Application of artificial neural networks to forecasting of surface water quality variables: issues, applications and challenges, in *Artificial Neural Networks in Hydrology*, edited by R. S. Govindaraju and R. Ramachandra, pp. 287–309, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands.
- Maier, H. R., and G. C. Dandy (2001), Neural network based modelling of environmental variables: a systematic approach, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 33(6-7), 669–682, doi:10.1016/S0895-7177(00)00271-5.

## References

---

- Maier, H. R., G. C. Dandy, and M. D. Burch (1998), Use of artificial neural networks for modelling cyanobacteria *Anabaena* spp. in the River Murray, South Australia, *Ecological Modelling*, 105(2-3), 257–272, doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(97)00161-0.
- Maier, H. R., T. Sayed, and B. J. Lence (2000), Forecasting cyanobacterial concentrations using B-spline networks, *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 14(3), 183–189, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0887-3801(2000)14:3(183).
- Maier, H. R., T. Sayed, and B. J. Lence (2001), Forecasting cyanobacterium *Anabaena* spp. in the River Murray, South Australia, using B-spline neurofuzzy models, *Ecological Modelling*, 146(1-3), 85–96, doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(01)00298-8.
- Malakoff, D. (1999), Bayes offers a ‘new’ way to make sense of numbers, *Science*, 286(5444), 1460–1464, doi:10.1126/science.286.5444.1460.
- Marshall, L., D. Nott, and A. Sharma (2004), A comparative study of Markov chain Monte Carlo methods for conceptual rainfall-runoff modeling, *Water Resources Research*, 40(2), W02,501, doi:10.1029/2003WR002378.
- Marshall, L., D. Nott, and A. Sharma (2005), Hydrological model selection: a Bayesian alternative, *Water Resources Research*, 41(10), W10422, doi:10.1029/2004WR003719.
- Masters, T. (1993), *Practical Neural Network Recipes in C++*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- McCulloch, W. S., and W. Pitts (1943), A logical calculus of ideas immanent in nervous activity, *Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics*, 5, 115–133.
- Metropolis, N., A. W. Rosenbluth, M. N. Rosenbluth, A. H. Teller, and E. Teller (1953), Equation of state calculation by fast computing machines, *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 21(6), 1087–1092.
- Montaño, J. J., and A. Palmer (2003), Numeric sensitivity analysis applied to feedforward neural networks, *Neural Computing and Applications*, 12(2), 119–125, doi:10.1007/s00521-003-0377-9.
- Müller, P., and D. Rios Insua (1998), Issues in Bayesian analysis of neural network models, *Neural Computation*, 10(3), 749–770.
- Murray-Darling Basin Commission (MDBC) (1999), *Salinity and drainage strategy. Ten years on, 1999*, Murray-Darling Basin Commission, Canberra.

- Murray-Darling Basin Commission (MDBC) (2001), *Basin salinity management strategy 2001-2015*, Murray-Darling Basin Ministerial Council, Canberra.
- Murray-Darling Basin Ministerial Council (MDBMC) (1994), *The algal management strategy for the Murray-Darling Basin*, Murray-Darling Basin Ministerial Council, Canberra.
- Murray-Darling Basin Ministerial Council (MDBMC) (1999), *The salinity audit of the Murray Darling Basin. A 100 year perspective, 1999*, Murray-Darling Basin Commission, Canberra.
- Neal, R. M. (1992), Bayesian training of backpropagation networks by the hybrid Monte Carlo method, *Technical Report CRG-TR-92-1*, Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto.
- Neal, R. M. (1993), Probabilistic inference using Markov chain Monte Carlo methods, *Technical Report CRG-TR-93-1*, Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto.
- Neal, R. M. (1994), Priors for infinite networks, *Technical Report CRG-TR-94-1*, Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto.
- Neal, R. M. (1996a), *Bayesian learning for neural networks*, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Neal, R. M. (1996b), Sampling from multimodal distributions using tempered transitions, *Statistics and Computing*, 6, 353–366.
- Neal, R. M. (1998), Assessing relevance determination methods using delve, in *Neural Networks and Machine Learning*, edited by C. M. Bishop, pp. 97–129, Springer-Verlag.
- Nelder, J. A., and R. Mead (1965), A simplex method for function minimization, *Computer Journal*, 7(4), 308–313.
- NeuralWare (1991), *Neural Computing, NeuralWorks Professional II/Plus and NeuralWorks Explorer*, NeuralWare Inc.
- Newton, M. A., and A. E. Raftery (1994), Approximate Bayesian inference with the weighted likelihood bootstrap, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B*, 56(1), 3–48.

## References

---

- Olden, J. D., and D. A. Jackson (2002), Illuminating the “black box”: a randomization approach for understanding variable contributions in artificial neural networks, *Ecological Modelling*, 154(1-2), 135–150, doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(02)00064-9.
- Olden, J. D., M. K. Joy, and R. G. Death (2004), An accurate comparison of methods for quantifying variable importance in artificial neural networks using simulated data, *Ecological Modelling*, 178(3-4), 389–397, doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.03.013.
- Omlin, M., and P. Reichert (1999), A comparison of techniques for the estimation of model prediction uncertainty, *Ecological Modelling*, 115(1), 45–59, doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(98)00174-4.
- Osborne, J. W. (2002), Notes on the use of data transformations, *Practical Assessment, Research and Evaluation*, 8(6).
- Osborne, J. W., and E. Waters (2002), Four assumptions of multiple regression that researchers should always test, *Practical Assessment, Research and Evaluation*, 8(2).
- Ossen, A., and S. M. Rüger (1998), Weight space analysis and forecast uncertainty, *Journal of Forecasting*, 17(5-6), 471–480, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1099-131X(1998090)17:5/6<471::AID-FOR708>3.0.CO;2-U.
- Papadopoulos, G., P. J. Edwards, and A. F. Murray (2001), Confidence estimation methods for neural networks: a practical comparison, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 12(6), 1278–1287.
- Qi, M., and G. P. Zhang (2001), An investigation of model selection criteria for neural network time series forecasting, *European Journal of Operational Research*, 132(3), 666–680, doi:10.1016/S0377-2217(00)00171-5.
- Raftery, A. E. (1996), Approximating Bayes factors and accounting for model uncertainty in generalised linear models, *Biometrika*, 83(2), 251–266.
- Ragg, T., W. Menzel, W. Baum, and M. Wigbers (2002), Bayesian learning for sales rate prediction for thousands of retailers, *Neurocomputing*, 43(1-4), 127–144, doi:10.1016/S0925-2312(01)00624-5.
- Rasmussen, C. E. (1996), A practical Monte Carlo implementation of Bayesian learning, in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 8 (NIPS\*1995), edited by D. S. Touretzky, M. C. Mozer, and M. E. Hasselmo, pp. 598–604, MIT Press.

- Rasmussen, C. E. (2001), Occam's razor, in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 13 (NIPS\*2000), edited by T. Leen, T. Dietterich, and V. Tresp, MIT Press.
- Reckhow, K. H. (1994), Water quality simulation modeling and uncertainty analysis for risk assessment and decision making, *Ecological Modelling*, 72(1-2), 1–20, doi:10.1016/0304-3800(94)90143-0.
- Reckhow, K. H. (1999), Water quality prediction and probability network models, *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 56(7), 1150–1158.
- Recknagel, F. (1997), ANNA - artificial neural network model for predicting species abundance and succession of blue-green algae, *Hydrobiologia*, 349(1-3), 47–57, doi: 10.1023/A:1003041427672.
- Recknagel, F., M. French, P. Harkonen, and K.-I. Yabunaka (1997), Artificial neural network approach for modelling and prediction of algal blooms, *Ecological Modelling*, 96(1-3), 11–28, doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(96)00049-X.
- Reed, R. (1993), Pruning algorithms - a survey, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 4(5), 740–747.
- Refenes, A.-P. N., and A. D. Zapranis (1999), Neural model identification, variable selection and model adequacy, *Journal of Forecasting*, 18(5), 299–332, doi:10.1002/(SICI) 1099-131X(199909)18:5<299::AID-FOR725>3.0.CO;2-T.
- Reichert, P., and M. Omlin (1997), On the usefulness of overparameterized ecological models, *Ecological Modelling*, 95(2-3), 289–299, doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(96)00043-9.
- Reynolds, C. S. (1984), *The Ecology of Freshwater Phytoplankton*, Cambridge Studies in Ecology, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Riedmiller, M. (1994), Advanced supervised learning in multi-layer perceptrons - from backpropagation to adaptive learning algorithms, *Computer Standards and Interfaces*, 16(3), 265–278, doi:10.1016/0920-5489(94)90017-5.
- Rios Insua, D., and P. Müller (1998), Feedforward neural networks for nonparametric regression, in *Practical Nonparametric and Semiparametric Bayesian Statistics*, edited by D. Dey, P. Müller, and D. Sinha, p. 181193, Springer-Verlag, New York.

## References

---

- Ripley, B. D. (1994), [Neural networks: a review from a statistical perspective]: Comment, *Statistical Science*, 9(1), 45–48.
- River Murray Catchment Water Management Board (RMCWMB) (2003), Catchment water management plan for the River Murray in South Australia: 2003-2008, River Murray Catchment Water Management Board.
- Roberts, G. O. (1996), Markov chain concepts related to sampling algorithms, in *Markov chain Monte Carlo in practice*, edited by W. R. Gilks, S. Richardson, and D. J. Spiegelhalter, pp. 45–57, Chapman and Hall, London.
- Rogers, L. L., and F. U. Dowla (1994), Optimization of groundwater remediation using artificial neural networks with parallel solute transport modeling, *Water Resources Research*, 30(2), 457481, doi:10.1029/93WR01494.
- Romanowicz, R. J., K. J. Beven, and J. Tawn (1994), Evaluation of predictive uncertainty in nonlinear hydrological models using a Bayesian approach, in *Statistics for the Environment 2: Water Related Issues*, edited by V. Barnett and K. F. Turkman, p. 297317, John Wiley, New York.
- Rumelhart, D. E., G. E. Hinton, and R. J. Williams (1986), Learning internal representations by error backpropagation, in *Parallel Distributed Processing*, edited by D. E. Rumelhart and J. L. McClelland, MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Sarle, W. S. (1995), Stopped training and other remedies for overfitting, in *27th Symposium on the Interface of Computing Science and Statistics (Interface '95)*, pp. 352–360, Pittsburgh Pennsylvania.
- Sarle, W. S. (2002), *Neural network FAQ*, periodic posting to the Usenet newsgroup comp.ai.neural-nets, <ftp://ftp.sas.com/pub/neural/FAQ.html>.
- Schwarz, G. (1978), Estimating the dimension of a model, *The Annals of Statistics*, 6(2), 461–464.
- See, L., and R. J. Abrahart (2001), Multi-model data fusion for hydrological forecasting, *Computers and Geosciences*, 27(8), 987–994, doi:10.1016/S0098-3004(00)00136-9.
- Senate Standing Committee on Environment, Recreation, and the Arts (1993), *Water Resources - Toxic Algae*, The Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.

- Sexton, R. S., and R. E. Dorsey (2000), Reliable classification using neural networks: a genetic algorithm and backpropagation comparison, *Decision Support Systems*, 30(1), 11–22, doi:10.1016/S0167-9236(00)00086-5.
- Sexton, R. S., and J. N. D. Gupta (2000), Comparative evaluation of genetic algorithm and backpropagation for training neural networks, *Information Sciences*, 129(1-4), 45–59, doi:10.1016/S0020-0255(00)00068-2.
- Sexton, R. S., R. E. Dorsey, and J. D. Johnson (1998), Toward global optimization of neural networks: A comparison of the genetic algorithm and backpropagation, *Decision Support Systems*, 22(2), 171–185, doi:10.1016/S0167-9236(97)00040-7.
- Sexton, R. S., R. E. Dorsey, and J. D. Johnson (1999a), Optimization of neural networks: a comparative analysis of the genetic algorithm and simulated annealing, *European Journal of Operational Research*, 114(3), 589–601, doi:10.1016/S0377-2217(98)00114-3.
- Sexton, R. S., R. E. Dorsey, and J. D. Johnson (1999b), Beyond backpropagation: using simulated annealing for training neural networks, *Journal of End User Computing*, 11(3), 3–10.
- Shahin, M. A., H. R. Maier, and M. B. Jaksa (2004), Data division for developing neural networks applied to geotechnical engineering, *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 18(2), 105–114, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0887-3801(2004)18:2(105).
- Shamseldin, A. Y. (1997), Application of a neural network technique to rainfall-runoff modelling, *Journal of Hydrology*, 199(3-4), 272–294, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(96)03330-6.
- Sharma, A. (2000), Seasonal to interannual rainfall probabilistic forecasts for improved water supply management: Part 1 - a strategy for system predictor identification, *Journal of Hydrology*, 239(1-4), 232–239, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00346-2.
- Sherman, B. S., I. T. Webster, G. J. Jones, and R. L. Oliver (1998), Transitions between *Aulacoseira* and *Anabaena* dominance in a turbid river weir pool, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 43(8), 1902–1915.
- Shi, J. J. (2000), Reducing prediction error by transforming input data for neural networks, *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 14(2), 109–116, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0887-3801(2000)14:2(109).

## References

---

- Silverman, B. W. (1986), *Density Estimation for Statistics and Data Analysis*, Mongraphs on Statistics and Applied Probability, Chapman and Hall, London.
- Solomatine, D. P. (2002), Data-driven modelling: paradigm, methods, experiences, in *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Hydroinformatics*, edited by R. A. Falconer, B. Lin, E. L. Harris, C. A. M. E. Wilson, I. D. Cluckie, D. Han, J. P. Davis and S. Heslop, pp. 757–763, IWA Publishing, Cardiff, UK.
- Soofi, E. S., J. J. Retzer, and M. Yasai-Ardekani (2000), A framework for measuring the importance of variables with applications to management research and decision models, *Decision Sciences*, 31(3), 595–625.
- Sudheer, K. P. (2005), Knowledge extraction from trained neural network river flow models, *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 10(4), 264–269, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(2005)10:4(264).
- Sudheer, K. P., and A. Jain (2004), Explaining the internal behaviour of artificial neural network river flow models, *Hydrological Processes*, 18(4), 833–844, doi:10.1002/hyp.5517.
- Sudheer, K. P., A. K. Gosain, and K. S. Ramasastri (2002), A data-driven algorithm for constructing artificial neural network rainfall-runoff models, *Hydrological Processes*, 16(6), 1325 – 1330, doi:10.1002/hyp.554.
- Sullivan, C. (1990), Phytoplankton, in *The River Murray*, edited by N. Mackay and D. Eastburn, pp. 251–261, MDBC, Canberra, Australia.
- Thiemann, M., M. Trosset, H. Gupta, and S. Sorooshian (2001), Bayesian recursive parameter estimation for hydrologic models, *Water Resources Research*, 37(10), 2521–2535, doi:10.1029/2000WR000405.
- Thirumalaiah, K., and M. C. Deo (2000), Hydrological forecasting using neural networks, *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 5(2), 180–189, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1084-0699(2000)5:2(180).
- Thodberg, H. H. (1996), A review of Bayesian neural networks with an application to near infrared spectroscopy, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 7(1), 56–72, doi:10.1109/72.478392.

- Thoms, M., P. Suter, J. Roberts, J. Koehn, G. Jones, T. Hillman, and A. Close (2000), *Report of the River Murray scientific panel on environmental flows: River Murray - Dartmouth to Wellington and the lower Darling River*, Murray-Darling Basin Commission, Canberra.
- Thyer, M., G. Kuczera, and B. C. Bates (1999), Probabilistic optimization for conceptual rainfall-runoff models: A comparison of the shuffled complex evolution and simulated annealing algorithms, *Water Resources Research*, 35(3), 767–773, doi: 10.1029/1998WR900058.
- Thyer, M., G. Kuczera, and Q. J. Wang (2002), Quantifying parameter uncertainty in stochastic models using the Box-Cox transformation, *Journal of Hydrology*, 265(1-4), 246–257, doi:10.1016/S0022-1694(02)00113-0.
- Thyer, M. A. (2001), Long-term persistence in hydrological time series, *PhD thesis*, The University of Newcastle.
- Tibshirani, R. (1996), A comparison of some error estimates for neural-network models, *Neural Computation*, 8(1), 152–163.
- Tickle, A. B., R. Andrews, M. Golea, and J. Diederich (1998), The truth will come to light: directions and challenges in extracting the knowledge embedded within trained artificial neural networks, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 9(6).
- Titterington, D. M. (2004), Bayesian methods for neural networks and related models, *Statistical Science*, 19(1), 128–139, doi:10.1214/08834230400000099.
- Tokar, A. S., and P. A. Johnson (1999), Rainfall-runoff modelling using artificial neural networks, *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 4(3), 232–239, doi:10.1061/(ASCE) 1084-0699(1999)4:3(232).
- Toth, E., and A. Brath (2002), Flood forecasting using artificial neural networks in black-box and conceptual rainfall-runoff modelling, in *Integrated Assessment and Decision Support, Proceedings of the First Biennial Meeting of the International Environmental Modelling and Software Society*, vol. 2, edited by A. E. Rizzoli and A. J. Jakeman, pp. 166–171, iEMSSs, Lugano, Switzerland.
- Toth, E., A. Brath, and A. Montanari (2000), Comparison of short-term rainfall prediction models for real-time flood forecasting, *Journal of Hydrology*, 239(1-4), 132–147, doi: 10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00344-9.

## References

---

- van der Smagt, P., and G. Hirzinger (1998), Solving the ill-conditioning in neural network learning, in *Neural Networks: Tricks of the Trade, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 1524, edited by G. Orr and K.-R. Müller, pp. 193–206, Springer-Verlag.
- Vehtari, A., and J. Lampinen (2002), Bayesian model assessment and comparison using cross-validation predictive densities, *Neural Computation*, 14, 2439–2468.
- Vehtari, A., S. Särkkä, and J. Lampinen (2000), On MCMC sampling in Bayesian MLP neural networks, in *IJCNN'2000: Proceedings of the 2000 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*, vol. 1, edited by S.-I. Amari, C. L. Giles, M. Gori, and V. Piuri, pp. 317–322, IEEE, Como, Italy.
- Vila, J.-P., V. Wagner, P. Neveu, M. Voltz, and P. Lagacherie (1999), Neural network architecture selection: new Bayesian perspectives in predictive modelling - application to a soil hydrology problem, *Ecological Modelling*, 120(2-3), 119–130, doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(99)00096-4.
- Vítkovský, J. P., A. R. Simpson, and M. F. Lambert (2000), Leak detection and calibration using transients and genetic algorithms, *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 126(4), 262–265, doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9496(2000)126:4(262).
- Vivarelli, F., and C. K. I. Williams (1997), Using Bayesian neural networks to classify segmented images, in *Proceedings of the Fifth IEE International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks*, pp. 268–273, IEEE, London.
- Vivarelli, F., and C. K. I. Williams (2001), Comparing Bayesian neural network algorithms for classifying segmented outdoor images, *Neural Networks*, 14, 427–437.
- Vrugt, J. A., and W. Bouten (2002), Validity of first-order approximations to describe parameter uncertainty in soil hydrologic models, *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 66(6), 1740–1751.
- Vrugt, J. A., H. V. Gupta, L. A. Bastidas, W. Bouten, and S. Sorooshian (2003), Effective and efficient algorithm for multiobjective optimization of hydrologic models, *Water Resources Research*, 39(8), 1214, doi:10.1029/2002WR001746.
- Walter, M., F. Recknagel, C. Carpenter, and M. Bormans (2001), Predicting eutrophication effects in the Burrinjuck Reservoir (Australia) by means of the deterministic model SALMO and the recurrent neural network model ANNA, *Ecological Modelling*, 146(1-3), 97–113, doi:10.1016/S0304-3800(01)00299-X.

- Wasserman, L. (2000), Bayesian model selection and model averaging, *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 44(1), 92–107, doi:10.1006/jmps.1999.1278.
- Wei, B., N. Sugiura, and T. Maekawa (2001), Use of artificial neural network in the prediction of algal blooms, *Water Research*, 35(8), 2022–2028, doi:10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00464-4.
- Weigend, A. S., D. E. Rumelhart, and B. A. Huberman (1990), Predicting the future: a connectionist approach, *International Journal of Neural Systems*, 1(3), 193209.
- White, H. (1989), Learning in artificial neural networks: a statistical perspective, *Neural Computation*, 1, 425–464.
- Whitehead, P. G., A. Howard, and C. Arulmani (1997), Modelling algal growth and transport in rivers: a comparison of time series analysis, dynamic mass balance and neural network techniques, *Hydrobiologia*, 349(1-3), 39–46, doi:10.1023/A:1003089310834.
- Wilby, R. L., R. J. Abrahart, and C. W. Dawson (2003), Detection of conceptual model rainfall-runoff processes inside an artificial neural network, *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 48(2), 163–181.
- Wurbs, R. A. (1995), *Water management models: a guide to software*, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey.
- Yao, X. (1999), Evolving artificial neural networks, *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 87(9), 1423–1447.
- Yapo, P. O., H. V. Gupta, and S. Sorooshian (1996), Automatic calibration of conceptual rainfall-runoff models: sensitivity to calibration data, *Journal of Hydrology*, 181(1-4), 23–48, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(95)02918-4.
- Yitian, L., and R. R. Gu (2003), Modeling flow and sediment transport in a river system using an artificial neural network, *Environmental Management*, 31(1), 122–134, doi: 10.1007/s00267-002-2862-9.
- Zealand, C. M., D. H. Burn, and S. P. Simonovic (1999), Short term streamflow forecasting using artificial neural networks, *Journal of Hydrology*, 241(1-4), 32–48, doi: 10.1016/S0022-1694(98)00242-X.

## References

---

- Zhang, G., B. E. Patuwo, and M. Y. Hu (1998), Forecasting with artificial neural networks: the state of the art, *International Journal of Forecasting*, 14(1), 35–62, doi:10.1016/S0169-2070(97)00044-7.
- Zhang, G. P. (2001), A simulation study of artificial neural networks for nonlinear time-series forecasting, *Computers and Operations Research*, 28(4), 381–396.
- Zhang, Q., and S. J. Stanley (1997), Forecasting raw-water quality parameters for the north Saskatchewan River by neural network modeling, *Water Research*, 31(9), 2340–2350, doi:10.1016/S0043-1354(97)00072-9.

# **Appendices**

# **Appendix A**

# **Training Investigation Results**

---

**Table A.1** MSE results for data set I trained with BP

Hidden Nodes	Weight Initialisation					Standard	
	1	2	3	4	5	Average	Deviation
1	<b>0.453</b>	<b>0.453</b>	<b>0.453</b>	<b>0.453</b>	<b>0.453</b>	0.453	0.000
2	0.448	<b>0.438</b>	0.448	0.448	<b>0.438</b>	0.444	0.005
3	0.443	<b>0.434</b>	0.442	0.440	0.435	0.439	0.004
4	0.427	0.427	0.429	0.433	<b>0.418</b>	0.427	0.006
5	<b>0.417</b>	0.431	0.421	0.425	0.427	0.424	0.005
6	<b>0.412</b>	<b>0.412</b>	0.413	0.418	0.416	<b>0.414</b>	0.003
7	0.410	0.412	0.407	<b>0.398</b>	0.412	0.408	0.006
8	0.403	0.414	0.406	<b>0.400</b>	0.404	0.405	0.005
9	0.393	0.406	<b>0.377</b>	0.388	0.406	<b>0.394</b>	0.013
10	0.396	0.388	0.386	<b>0.374</b>	0.392	<b>0.387</b>	0.008

**Table A.2** MSE results for data set I trained with the GA

Hidden Nodes	Weight Initialisation					Standard	
	1	2	3	4	5	Average	Deviation
1	<b>0.450</b>	<b>0.450</b>	<b>0.450</b>	<b>0.450</b>	<b>0.450</b>	0.450	0.000
2	<b>0.438</b>	<b>0.438</b>	<b>0.438</b>	<b>0.438</b>	<b>0.438</b>	<b>0.438</b>	0.000
3	0.440	0.442	<b>0.436</b>	0.438	0.438	0.439	0.002
4	0.431	0.435	<b>0.430</b>	0.434	0.433	0.433	0.002
5	0.431	0.435	<b>0.427</b>	0.430	0.442	0.433	0.006
6	0.431	0.430	<b>0.418</b>	0.429	0.420	0.425	0.006
7	0.425	<b>0.408</b>	0.422	0.423	0.430	0.422	0.008
8	<b>0.404</b>	0.414	0.410	0.424	0.411	0.413	0.007
9	0.424	0.419	<b>0.404</b>	0.406	0.406	0.412	0.009
10	0.399	0.403	<b>0.395</b>	0.405	0.428	0.406	0.013

**Table A.3** MSE results for data set I trained with the SCE-UA algorithm

Hidden Nodes	Weight Initialisation					Standard	
	1	2	3	4	5	Average	Deviation
1	<b>0.448</b>	<b>0.448</b>	<b>0.448</b>	<b>0.448</b>	<b>0.448</b>	<b>0.448</b>	0.000
2	<b>0.438</b>	<b>0.438</b>	<b>0.438</b>	<b>0.438</b>	<b>0.438</b>	<b>0.438</b>	0.000
3	0.434	0.435	0.436	<b>0.426</b>	0.436	<b>0.433</b>	0.004
4	<b>0.422</b>	0.429	0.431	0.428	0.427	<b>0.427</b>	0.004
5	<b>0.412</b>	0.427	0.426	0.419	0.416	<b>0.420</b>	0.006
6	<b>0.411</b>	0.419	0.423	0.421	0.415	0.418	0.005
7	0.410	<b>0.401</b>	0.416	0.402	0.403	<b>0.407</b>	0.007
8	<b>0.390</b>	0.401	0.400	0.400	0.393	<b>0.397</b>	0.005
9	0.400	0.395	<b>0.391</b>	0.396	0.395	0.396	0.003
10	<b>0.386</b>	0.405	0.394	0.403	0.396	0.397	0.007

---

**Table A.4** MSE results for data set II trained with BP

Hidden Nodes	Weight Initialisation					Standard	
	1	2	3	4	5	Average	Deviation
1	<b>0.641</b>	<b>0.641</b>	<b>0.641</b>	<b>0.641</b>	<b>0.641</b>	0.641	0.000
2	0.631	<b>0.558</b>	0.631	<b>0.558</b>	0.631	<b>0.602</b>	0.040
3	0.629	0.606	<b>0.485</b>	0.622	0.548	0.578	0.061
4	0.497	0.521	<b>0.478</b>	0.589	0.511	0.519	0.042
5	0.471	<b>0.463</b>	0.531	0.536	0.492	0.498	0.034
6	<b>0.458</b>	0.466	0.515	0.464	0.470	0.475	0.023
7	0.463	0.448	0.468	<b>0.438</b>	0.451	<b>0.454</b>	0.012
8	0.448	0.449	<b>0.433</b>	0.484	0.435	<b>0.450</b>	0.020
9	0.432	0.434	0.488	0.429	<b>0.420</b>	<b>0.441</b>	0.027
10	0.424	0.434	<b>0.414</b>	0.446	0.425	0.429	0.012

**Table A.5** MSE results for data set II trained with the GA

Hidden Nodes	Weight Initialisation					Standard	
	1	2	3	4	5	Average	Deviation
1	0.641	0.641	0.641	0.641	<b>0.640</b>	0.641	0.000
2	0.633	0.634	0.633	<b>0.609</b>	0.617	0.625	0.011
3	0.527	0.610	0.629	0.537	<b>0.515</b>	0.564	0.052
4	0.625	0.538	0.498	0.506	<b>0.481</b>	0.529	0.057
5	0.485	0.486	0.479	0.493	<b>0.474</b>	0.483	0.007
6	<b>0.459</b>	0.526	<b>0.459</b>	0.477	0.470	0.478	0.028
7	0.482	0.459	<b>0.456</b>	0.463	0.464	0.465	0.010
8	0.487	<b>0.453</b>	0.454	0.478	0.471	0.469	0.015
9	0.447	0.475	0.465	0.447	<b>0.446</b>	0.456	0.013
10	0.460	0.460	<b>0.445</b>	0.447	0.448	0.452	0.007

**Table A.6** MSE results for data set II trained with the SCE-UA algorithm

Hidden Nodes	Weight Initialisation					Standard	
	1	2	3	4	5	Average	Deviation
1	<b>0.639</b>	<b>0.639</b>	<b>0.639</b>	<b>0.639</b>	<b>0.639</b>	<b>0.639</b>	0.000
2	<b>0.629</b>	0.632	<b>0.629</b>	<b>0.629</b>	0.632	0.630	0.001
3	0.621	0.620	<b>0.480</b>	<b>0.480</b>	<b>0.480</b>	<b>0.536</b>	0.077
4	<b>0.471</b>	0.473	0.473	0.477	0.473	<b>0.473</b>	0.002
5	0.467	<b>0.465</b>	0.506	0.468	0.469	<b>0.475</b>	0.018
6	0.460	0.462	0.462	<b>0.459</b>	0.463	<b>0.461</b>	0.002
7	0.459	0.453	<b>0.450</b>	0.454	0.492	0.462	0.017
8	<b>0.447</b>	<b>0.447</b>	0.451	0.455	0.450	0.450	0.004
9	0.449	<b>0.445</b>	0.446	0.462	0.459	0.452	0.008
10	0.440	0.470	0.446	0.444	<b>0.433</b>	0.447	0.014

**Table A.7** MSE results for data set III trained with BP

Hidden Nodes	Weight Initialisation					Standard	
	1	2	3	4	5	Average	Deviation
1	<b>3.543</b>	<b>3.543</b>	<b>3.543</b>	<b>3.543</b>	<b>3.543</b>	3.543	0.000
2	2.592	2.592	<b>2.403</b>	<b>2.403</b>	3.030	2.604	0.256
3	1.693	<b>1.419</b>	<b>1.419</b>	2.004	1.693	<b>1.646</b>	0.243
4	1.652	<b>0.653</b>	<b>0.653</b>	1.646	<b>0.653</b>	1.051	0.546
5	<b>0.559</b>	<b>0.559</b>	0.635	<b>0.559</b>	0.580	0.579	0.033
6	0.558	0.575	0.582	<b>0.521</b>	0.536	0.554	0.026
7	<b>0.516</b>	0.531	0.553	0.558	0.519	0.535	0.019
8	0.582	0.517	0.518	<b>0.509</b>	0.522	0.530	0.030
9	0.523	0.512	0.513	<b>0.502</b>	0.518	<b>0.514</b>	0.008
10	<b>0.489</b>	0.506	0.497	0.497	0.501	0.498	0.006

**Table A.8** MSE results for data set III trained with the GA

Hidden Nodes	Weight Initialisation					Standard	
	1	2	3	4	5	Average	Deviation
1	<b>3.540</b>	3.541	<b>3.540</b>	<b>3.540</b>	<b>3.541</b>	3.541	0.000
2	2.551	2.554	2.417	2.544	<b>2.414</b>	2.496	0.074
3	2.524	<b>1.449</b>	1.730	2.480	1.732	1.983	0.488
4	1.858	0.756	0.942	0.752	<b>0.737</b>	<b>1.009</b>	0.482
5	0.644	0.639	0.738	<b>0.603</b>	0.643	0.653	0.050
6	0.693	<b>0.536</b>	0.601	0.611	0.625	0.613	0.056
7	0.605	0.560	<b>0.549</b>	0.639	0.637	0.598	0.042
8	0.631	0.571	0.605	0.561	<b>0.540</b>	0.582	0.036
9	0.572	<b>0.539</b>	0.546	0.556	0.558	0.554	0.013
10	<b>0.531</b>	0.544	<b>0.531</b>	0.541	0.557	0.541	0.011

**Table A.9** MSE results for data set III trained with the SCE-UA algorithm

Hidden Nodes	Weight Initialisation					Standard	
	1	2	3	4	5	Average	Deviation
1	<b>3.538</b>	<b>3.538</b>	<b>3.538</b>	<b>3.538</b>	<b>3.538</b>	<b>3.538</b>	0.000
2	<b>2.356</b>	<b>2.356</b>	<b>2.356</b>	<b>2.356</b>	<b>2.356</b>	<b>2.356</b>	0.000
3	<b>1.656</b>	<b>1.656</b>	<b>1.656</b>	<b>1.656</b>	<b>1.656</b>	1.656	0.000
4	1.620	<b>0.600</b>	1.627	1.640	<b>0.600</b>	1.218	0.563
5	0.566	0.529	0.545	0.527	<b>0.526</b>	<b>0.539</b>	0.017
6	<b>0.518</b>	0.520	0.567	0.519	0.528	<b>0.530</b>	0.021
7	0.513	0.517	<b>0.511</b>	<b>0.511</b>	0.512	<b>0.513</b>	0.003
8	<b>0.511</b>	0.514	0.537	<b>0.511</b>	0.513	<b>0.517</b>	0.011
9	0.524	<b>0.516</b>	0.520	0.519	<b>0.516</b>	0.519	0.004
10	0.526	0.549	0.538	0.550	<b>0.520</b>	0.537	0.013

# **Appendix B**

## **Results of Assessment of Input Importance Measures**

---

In the tables presented in this appendix, the methods used for assessing relative input importance are numbered as follows:

- (1)** Connection Weight Approach
- (2)** Garson's Method
- (3)** Modified Connection Weight Approach
- (4)** Modified Garson's Method

**Table B.1** RI results for data set I trained by BP.

Hidden Nodes	(1)			(2)			(3)			(4)		
	$y_{t-1}$	$y_{t-4}$	$y_{t-9}$									
1	20.71	41.59	37.70	20.71	41.59	37.70	21.24	41.15	37.61	20.71	41.59	37.70
2	27.43	59.21	13.36	20.66	39.36	39.98	24.27	41.03	34.70	21.11	40.21	38.68
3	11.76	37.15	51.09	16.35	29.73	53.92	15.58	45.73	38.70	14.11	37.24	48.65
4	22.55	42.59	34.86	20.93	38.33	40.75	21.96	39.60	38.45	21.09	40.04	38.87
5	50.30	14.64	35.06	31.46	31.73	36.81	22.98	37.19	39.83	18.57	48.60	32.83
6	34.09	44.65	21.26	30.93	36.22	32.86	29.46	62.92	7.62	69.83	27.19	2.98
7	42.87	50.53	6.60	21.29	47.64	31.08	33.48	48.58	17.93	32.92	48.91	18.17
8	26.69	57.77	15.53	27.04	39.24	33.72	31.97	51.58	16.45	27.09	50.87	22.04
9	31.12	31.29	37.60	35.77	31.22	33.01	21.69	44.55	33.75	19.39	49.97	30.64
10	1.62	33.52	64.87	18.89	38.80	42.31	8.48	37.44	54.09	4.75	32.39	62.85
Mean	26.91	41.29	31.79	24.40	37.38	38.21	23.11	44.98	31.91	24.96	41.70	33.34
St. Dev.	14.14	13.25	17.97	6.44	5.41	6.68	7.49	7.86	13.79	17.41	7.99	16.57

**Table B.2** *RI* results for data set I trained by GA.

Hidden Nodes	(1)			(2)			(3)			(4)		
	$y_{t-1}$	$y_{t-4}$	$y_{t-9}$									
1	20.76	41.85	37.39	20.76	41.85	37.39	21.27	41.40	37.33	20.76	41.85	37.39
2	17.69	43.74	38.57	24.46	39.82	35.73	18.33	42.89	38.78	20.46	41.92	37.62
3	20.14	42.90	36.96	28.40	38.30	33.30	19.02	42.78	38.20	17.28	44.24	38.48
4	24.07	44.24	31.69	25.28	42.26	32.46	19.54	44.64	35.82	19.38	45.50	35.12
5	19.72	43.61	36.67	19.05	52.58	28.37	20.96	40.02	39.01	16.22	47.95	35.83
6	19.69	38.99	41.32	38.74	33.57	27.68	22.12	40.78	37.10	24.81	42.85	32.35
7	22.18	38.70	39.12	32.38	41.31	26.30	22.36	38.47	39.17	22.40	42.27	35.32
8	25.99	42.61	31.40	28.04	37.44	34.52	28.08	42.18	29.75	27.74	44.42	27.84
9	20.09	37.40	42.51	33.73	27.02	39.25	19.49	42.59	37.92	21.04	35.66	43.30
10	28.08	38.35	33.57	19.54	50.55	29.91	30.50	36.06	33.44	40.41	26.63	32.96
Mean	21.84	41.24	36.92	27.04	40.47	32.49	22.17	41.18	36.65	23.05	41.33	35.62
St. Dev.	3.24	2.59	3.76	6.52	7.43	4.34	4.02	2.48	2.98	6.96	6.07	4.12

**Table B.3** RI results for data set I trained by SCE-UA.

Hidden Nodes	(1)				(2)				(3)				(4)			
	$y_{t-1}$	$y_{t-4}$	$y_{t-9}$													
1	20.75	41.81	37.45	20.75	41.81	37.45	21.21	41.40	37.39	20.75	41.81	37.45	20.75	41.81	37.45	
2	19.29	43.12	37.59	19.09	43.27	37.65	21.09	41.31	37.60	19.09	43.27	37.65	20.32	41.99	37.68	
3	20.32	41.99	37.68	21.15	41.80	37.05	20.94	41.11	37.95	16.92	44.04	39.03	20.44	42.67	36.90	
4	19.71	43.27	37.02	19.63	42.09	38.28	19.84	42.26	37.89	18.39	42.74	38.87	19.74	44.26	36.00	
5	19.22	43.10	37.68	21.02	40.40	38.59	19.45	42.59	37.96	19.23	41.02	39.75	23.28	41.48	35.25	
6	19.53	44.03	36.44	17.06	49.35	33.60	19.31	44.20	36.49	11.93	55.21	32.85	22.01	41.67	36.31	
7	20.43	42.74	36.83	21.13	42.78	36.10	20.86	42.19	36.95	18.63	45.16	36.21	1.30	0.98	0.82	
8																
9																
10																
Mean																
St. Dev.																

**Table B.4** RI results for data set II trained by BP.

Hidden Nodes	(1)				(2)				(3)				(4)			
	$y_{t-1}$	$y_{t-4}$	$y_{t-9}$	$x_t$												
3	8.51	13.26	10.51	67.73	10.18	18.56	19.02	52.23	26.04	40.04	31.52	2.40	20.98	38.24	37.94	2.84
4	11.94	19.96	18.14	49.95	8.47	15.38	15.76	60.39	21.81	34.37	30.42	13.40	19.25	34.96	34.26	11.52
5	17.75	31.67	28.11	22.46	8.19	15.00	14.16	62.65	23.04	35.69	32.43	8.85	18.18	33.28	31.43	17.11
6	8.38	26.03	18.07	47.52	10.31	13.87	15.62	60.20	21.15	36.98	32.17	9.70	18.85	32.58	32.49	16.07
7	15.25	36.28	17.86	30.61	9.22	16.77	18.21	55.81	23.03	45.07	28.21	3.68	14.29	38.18	22.53	25.00
8	15.17	21.98	15.70	47.15	7.59	12.45	12.42	67.55	20.93	37.06	27.63	14.38	13.70	26.35	23.17	36.78
9	12.49	34.91	21.91	30.69	10.57	14.71	14.98	59.74	11.33	49.34	34.57	4.75	6.34	35.59	28.94	29.14
10	12.40	22.43	19.98	45.19	11.28	22.62	14.54	51.56	21.96	38.67	29.25	10.13	17.59	32.27	30.07	20.08
Mean	12.74	25.82	18.78	42.66	9.48	16.17	15.59	58.76	21.16	39.65	30.78	8.41	16.15	33.93	30.10	19.82
St. Dev.	3.28	7.97	5.04	14.28	1.31	3.18	2.15	5.36	4.28	5.10	2.35	4.43	4.66	3.83	5.25	10.55

**Table B.5** RI results for data set II trained by GA.

Hidden Nodes	(1)				(2)				(3)				(4)			
	$y_{t-1}$	$y_{t-4}$	$y_{t-9}$	$x_t$												
3	9.35	17.14	19.23	54.28	7.46	15.79	14.56	62.18	19.36	34.64	37.93	8.08	17.70	34.72	33.52	14.07
4	8.83	13.82	11.16	66.19	7.60	14.98	14.52	62.90	26.50	41.02	31.51	0.96	19.54	38.50	33.59	8.38
5	11.84	20.13	17.54	50.49	7.78	15.01	13.18	64.02	23.56	36.38	27.31	12.75	18.91	35.11	30.22	15.76
6	16.99	34.08	30.19	18.74	6.92	15.39	11.98	65.71	20.63	37.06	32.77	9.54	18.43	32.14	31.06	18.38
7	11.63	18.04	17.89	52.44	9.79	16.48	16.75	56.98	22.96	32.10	29.52	15.42	18.05	35.05	32.90	14.00
8	6.70	14.15	10.21	68.95	8.48	12.54	18.87	60.11	19.09	37.80	36.02	7.09	15.84	29.52	19.66	34.97
9	24.81	56.87	18.10	0.22	8.25	18.11	14.04	59.60	24.24	43.09	16.76	15.90	29.86	42.63	23.13	4.38
10	16.52	27.46	22.92	33.09	11.61	17.36	13.38	57.65	23.87	38.36	26.72	11.05	18.72	32.40	34.43	14.45
Mean	13.33	25.21	18.40	43.05	8.49	15.71	14.66	61.15	22.53	37.56	29.82	10.10	19.63	35.01	29.81	15.55
St. Dev.	5.86	14.54	6.33	23.91	1.52	1.70	2.19	3.08	2.60	3.45	6.57	4.88	4.28	4.06	5.46	9.00

**Table B.6** RI results for data set II trained by SCE-UA.

Hidden Nodes	(1)				(2)				(3)				(4)			
	$y_{t-1}$	$y_{t-4}$	$y_{t-9}$	$x_t$												
3	9.49	13.08	11.30	66.13	10.07	18.85	19.14	51.94	27.31	37.86	32.74	2.09	17.42	32.59	33.09	16.91
4	11.26	17.54	14.62	56.58	10.52	19.22	19.89	50.37	24.84	36.68	30.44	8.04	21.17	38.68	39.36	0.79
5	10.96	19.25	16.76	53.03	8.92	15.97	16.98	58.13	23.01	37.03	31.41	8.56	18.30	32.94	32.10	16.66
6	15.29	38.03	5.66	41.02	7.55	15.73	17.68	59.04	23.39	39.17	22.90	14.55	20.18	42.04	28.91	8.87
7	15.83	13.67	0.43	70.07	18.39	31.04	18.08	32.50	38.83	29.46	20.28	11.42	30.92	34.27	21.49	13.32
8	12.98	21.57	21.08	44.37	8.36	17.03	12.11	62.50	20.83	33.50	32.87	12.80	16.31	33.80	28.86	21.03
9	16.78	27.79	24.91	30.53	8.73	13.13	15.13	63.01	24.79	36.46	31.83	6.93	17.27	31.51	30.02	21.21
10	13.86	26.19	17.92	42.04	8.81	20.18	18.16	52.85	25.44	36.72	27.78	10.06	17.74	34.20	33.30	14.76
Mean	13.31	22.14	14.09	50.47	10.17	18.89	17.15	53.79	26.05	35.86	28.78	9.31	19.91	35.01	30.89	14.19
St. Dev.	2.59	8.32	8.05	13.46	3.45	5.40	2.48	9.83	5.50	3.04	4.77	3.87	4.73	3.55	5.09	6.75

**Table B.7** RI results for data set III trained by BP.

Hidden Nodes	(1)					(2)					(3)					(4)				
	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$
5	12.75	10.82	19.59	37.25	19.58	30.80	32.26	26.08	6.96	3.89	13.55	17.67	7.36	40.23	21.20	11.29	5.90	14.35	44.13	24.33
6	12.54	31.84	2.54	35.82	17.27	33.39	26.68	25.78	8.91	5.25	13.89	30.73	0.63	36.82	17.93	1.43	27.44	7.79	40.62	22.72
7	6.74	6.75	4.92	54.42	27.18	37.90	26.57	20.19	10.00	5.34	6.42	16.10	1.30	50.63	25.55	18.60	8.10	5.82	44.71	22.78
8	26.19	31.25	2.09	28.28	12.19	31.67	30.98	25.62	7.35	4.38	19.59	17.11	2.28	41.85	19.16	16.35	9.93	21.56	33.38	18.78
9	24.31	28.32	1.57	34.35	11.45	33.14	33.55	20.67	8.12	4.52	14.62	24.61	6.63	35.29	18.85	18.37	24.94	6.83	31.55	18.31
10	42.55	12.76	2.17	30.39	12.13	36.45	28.05	19.33	10.01	6.16	20.58	16.44	1.49	42.56	18.93	25.96	0.75	7.48	43.21	22.60
Mean	20.85	20.29	5.48	36.75	16.63	33.89	29.68	22.95	8.56	4.92	14.78	20.44	3.28	41.23	20.27	15.33	12.84	10.64	39.60	21.59
St. Dev.	13.00	11.38	7.01	9.29	6.12	2.75	2.99	3.19	1.30	0.81	5.08	5.95	2.93	5.41	2.80	8.29	10.81	6.15	5.73	2.44

**Table B.8** *RI* results for data set III trained by GA.

Hidden Nodes	(1)					(2)					(3)					(4)				
	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$
5	21.65	35.24	13.87	18.77	10.48	31.13	28.90	25.74	9.31	4.92	25.19	22.30	3.94	31.09	17.49	6.71	2.98	24.51	42.49	23.31
6	0.12	3.41	1.34	63.33	31.79	27.50	25.41	28.69	11.98	6.42	16.74	5.40	4.53	48.57	24.76	11.22	1.44	11.66	49.27	26.41
7	49.66	2.32	2.23	30.54	15.25	35.32	26.96	20.92	10.53	6.26	34.22	4.31	3.37	38.19	19.90	22.62	5.97	6.53	41.48	23.40
8	32.07	26.48	0.05	26.48	14.92	29.30	33.02	21.11	11.48	5.09	15.97	8.14	2.56	46.36	26.98	6.97	28.24	3.19	40.78	20.82
9	11.15	8.42	5.87	45.92	28.64	32.48	25.40	23.15	13.40	5.57	4.16	5.78	10.26	49.46	30.34	7.76	6.79	3.83	57.33	24.30
10	16.18	6.43	6.10	46.65	24.64	27.70	30.30	26.53	10.13	5.34	6.78	4.58	7.96	52.37	28.31	1.25	16.11	11.99	44.88	25.78
Mean	21.81	13.72	4.91	38.62	20.95	30.57	28.33	24.36	11.14	5.60	17.18	8.42	5.44	44.34	24.63	9.42	10.25	10.29	46.04	24.00
St.	17.30	13.74	5.02	16.34	8.59	3.03	3.01	3.14	1.46	0.62	11.26	6.93	3.01	8.07	5.00	7.21	10.19	7.91	6.33	2.00
Dev.																				

**Table B.9** RI results for data set III trained by SCE-UA.

Hidden Nodes	(1)					(2)					(3)					(4)				
	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$
5	11.05	7.95	36.10	29.33	15.57	34.12	35.65	22.61	4.80	2.82	12.25	13.27	23.98	32.97	17.53	9.23	5.40	4.46	52.28	28.63
6	20.06	35.22	2.05	27.53	15.14	33.26	36.58	22.61	4.58	2.96	23.81	19.93	0.09	36.20	19.98	15.97	25.25	21.59	23.08	14.11
7	31.72	36.70	4.84	15.92	10.82	41.63	39.02	10.77	6.29	2.28	27.65	32.28	5.79	20.22	14.07	36.39	30.05	3.93	19.35	10.27
8	18.53	35.00	6.40	26.77	13.30	32.62	29.05	26.59	7.10	4.64	9.42	21.71	5.45	41.82	21.60	24.63	10.02	8.06	36.26	21.03
9	19.07	31.20	6.78	27.01	15.94	29.04	40.36	19.62	7.28	3.70	17.05	13.05	16.48	34.84	18.59	13.98	41.18	11.11	22.36	11.37
10	22.31	4.83	1.26	47.65	23.95	36.17	27.20	22.51	9.23	4.90	8.46	14.34	3.02	49.67	24.50	20.32	2.77	12.45	43.98	20.48
Mean	20.46	25.15	9.57	29.03	15.79	34.47	34.64	20.79	6.55	3.55	16.44	19.09	9.13	35.95	19.38	20.08	19.11	10.27	32.89	17.65
St. Dev.	6.70	14.68	13.19	10.30	4.43	4.21	5.36	5.38	1.73	1.05	7.88	7.41	9.15	9.80	3.57	9.58	15.38	6.52	13.42	7.02