

Engaging Adolescents in High School Music

Jennifer Claire Rosevear

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Elder Conservatorium of Music

Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences

The University of Adelaide

February, 2008

CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	iv
Declaration	vi
Acknowledgments	vii
List of tables	viii
List of figures	x
List of musical examples	xiii
Chapter 1 Introduction	
1.1 Purpose of the study	1
1.2 Theoretical basis of the study	3
1.3 Research questions	4
1.4 Significance of the study	5
1.5 Context of the study	6
Chapter 2 Review of Literature on Self-perception and Motivation	
2.1 Self-concept as an umbrella term	10
2.2 Self-esteem	13
2.3 Self-efficacy and self-regulation	19
2.4 Self-concept development	25
2.5 Indicators of motivation	28
2.6 Social cognitive theories/models of motivation	30
2.7 Impact of self-perceptions on engagement, learning and achievement	38
Chapter 3 Review of Literature on Learning and Music	
3.1 Basic principles of learning	43
3.2 Principles of music learning	50
3.3 Learning to play a musical instrument	57
3.4 Singing, listening, creating and music technology	67
3.5 Musical literacy	78

Chapter 3 (continued)

3.6	Informal learning	88
3.7	Music learning and academic achievement	96
3.8	Overview of music, self-concept and identity development	99
3.9	Motivation and engagement in music learning	105

Chapter 4 Research Design and Methodology

4.1	Introduction	110
4.2	Development of survey	111
4.3	Description of method of data collection	115
4.4	Organisation of variables for data collection	116

Chapter 5 Results

5.1	Overview of data collected	122
5.2	Survey Section A – background information	123
5.3	Survey Section B – musical experiences	131
5.4	Survey Section C – Rosenberg Self-esteem Scale	149
5.5	Survey Section D – Chan’s Perceived Competence Scale	150
5.6	Academic achievement	152

Chapter 6 Discussion of Results

6.1	Research questions	154
6.2	General discussion	159

Chapter 7 Conclusions

7.1	Introduction	168
7.2	Conclusions about learning in general	168
7.3	Conclusions about music learning	170
7.4	Limitations of the research	172
7.5	Directions for future research	174

Appendices		
Appendix A	Constructivism and the SACSA Framework	176
Appendix B	Boston Globe article by Richard A. Knox: <i>Music makes you smarter</i> , October 14, 1993.	180
Appendix C	Selected music research reports included in the <i>Critical Links</i> report (Deasy, 2002)	182
Appendix D	Extract from Harland et al. (2000) report entitled: <i>Arts education in secondary schools: effects and effectiveness</i>	184
Appendix E	Survey of Musical Experiences and Self-concept	187
Appendix F	Information sheet and consent form for survey participants	200
Bibliography		203

ABSTRACT

This investigation aims to explore the attitudes of adolescents towards learning music and to gauge the extent of their involvement in the activities of playing, singing, creating and listening to music. It also seeks to determine the views of adolescents on attributions for success in various activities, including music. Beliefs about self-concept, or self-identity, and their relationships to academic achievement and musical involvement are explored.

The review of literature spans various facets of self-perception, including self-concept, self-esteem, self-efficacy, self-regulation, and self-concept development, and considers the role of music in self-concept development. The impact of self-perceptions on motivation, which is central to learning, achievement and engagement, is also considered. The basic principles of learning, and their application to learning in music, provide the foundation for specific aspects of music learning, namely, music literacy, learning to play a musical instrument, singing, music technology and informal learning. The relationship between music learning and academic achievement is reviewed. The particular importance of music in adolescence, and adolescent attitudes to school music, provide a backdrop to the analysis of the wide-ranging factors involved in self-concept development, motivation and learning principles, thus leading to an overview of the various approaches which can facilitate the engagement of adolescents in learning in general, and in high school music in particular.

A researcher-designed *Survey of Musical Experiences and Self-concept* was administered to Year 9 and 10 students in three high schools in metropolitan Adelaide, South Australia. Data from the survey, along with academic results, were collected from the 282 participants in the study. The data provide background information about each participant, along with their perceptions about perceived areas of strength and reasons for success in these areas. Information about involvement in musical activities was collated for all students, with approximately half of the students not studying music at school. Measures for self-esteem (Rosenberg, 1965) and perceived competence (Chan, 1993) were included in the survey. Using a mixture of qualitative and quantitative methods, the data were analysed in relation to the extent of involvement in

music, attitudes to learning music, students' attributions for success, and strengths of the relationships between musical involvement, self-concept and academic achievement.

Conclusions can be drawn based on the survey data and the literature review, and these emphasise the importance of the social nature of learning and of providing opportunities for creative work in classroom activities. The most outstanding finding to be highlighted from the study was the aspect of enjoyment as a reason for achievement which appears to feature prominently in students' responses, yet it is less widely recognised in the literature.

DECLARATION

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

Signed: _____

Date: _____

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to acknowledge the following people for their support and encouragement without which I would not have been able to complete this study. Prior to his retirement at the end of 2001, my first supervisor was Dr Warren Bourne, whose guidance in assisting me to formulate the original research proposal was much appreciated. I am grateful to Dr. Jolanta Kalandyk in Canberra, who was willing to discuss early ideas and whose book entitled *Music and the self-esteem of young children* provided some original inspiration when embarking on this study. The late Professor Kevin Marjoribanks, Head of the School of Education at the University of Adelaide, was always willing to provide constructive feedback. He was instrumental in helping to fine tune the research methodology, and I learned to use the SPSS software by attending a course that he taught.

I have been inspired by the work of Dr. Doreen Bridges over many years and I am grateful that I have been able to discuss with her various facets of the study over the last couple of years, and I am especially appreciative of her feedback on some draft material in the latter part of 2007.

My external co-supervisor, Dr. Neryl Jeanneret (initially at the University of Newcastle and over the last two years at the University of Melbourne) has provided timely and beneficial feedback whenever I have sought it, and I am very appreciative of her efforts and support. My principal supervisor, Professor Charles Bodman Rae has assisted greatly in the latter stages of the study, especially in helping me to formulate realistic goals and timelines. His encouragement and belief in me have made a significant impact on my approach to completing the study, and I greatly value his strong support. I am also appreciative of the ongoing support of the Postgraduate Coordinator at the Elder Conservatorium, Associate Professor Kimi Coaldrake.

I am indebted to the following colleagues and friends whose moral support and inspiration have been most welcome: Dr. Pauline Carter, Carl Crossin OAM, Associate Professor Elizabeth Koch OAM and Dr. Diana Weekes. Finally, I wish to acknowledge my daughter, Miri, my mother, Kath, and my late father Jim, who have always been most supportive of my efforts.

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1 Comparison of likely outcomes based on efficacy beliefs (adapted from Bandura, 1997, p. 39).	21
2 Summary of learning goals as opposed to performance goals (based on description by Maehr et al., 2002, p. 360).	36
3 Two goal orientations and their approach and avoidance states (Pintrich, 2000, p. 100).	36
4 Gardner's intelligences applied to music (Hallam, 1998a, p. 35).	50
5 Self-regulatory processes for effective music practice (McPherson and Davidson, 2006, p. 342).	66
6 Comparison of formal and informal learning practices (Green & Walmsley, n.d., p. 2).	95
7 List of quantitative variables from <i>Survey of Musical Experiences and Self-concept</i> used in SPSS for data collation.	115
8 Positive and negative statements in Rosenberg's SES.	116
9 Cognitive, social, physical, and general perceived competence subscales in Chan's PCS.	118
10 List of Variables from closed questions in <i>Survey of Musical Experiences and Self-concept</i> .	119
11 List of Variables from open-ended questions in <i>Survey of Musical Experiences and Self-concept</i> .	120
12 Number of participants and sub-groups.	121
13 Perceptions of which were best subjects when one, two or three subjects were listed, as a percentage of responses.	123
14 Reasons given for best school subject.	124
15 Types of pursuits based on perceptions of being good at these pursuits.	126
16 Reasons given for being good at various pursuits.	127
17 Pearson correlation coefficients for the Perceived Competence Subscales.	150
18 Significant t-values for academic achievement.	152

19	Pearson correlation coefficients for the Rosenberg Self-esteem Scale, the Chan Perceived Competence Sub-scales and academic achievement, all participants (N=282).	155
20	Pearson correlation coefficients for the Rosenberg self-esteem scale, the Chan perceived competence sub-scales and academic achievement, males and females.	156
21	Pearson correlation coefficients for the Rosenberg self-esteem scale, the Chan perceived competence sub-scales and academic achievement, Music and non-Music participants.	156
22	Significant t-values for comparing types of musical involvement and academic achievement.	158

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Self-concept as an umbrella term (Lawrence, 1988, p. 2).	11
2	The process of self-perceiving (Beane & Lipka, 1984, p. 17).	13
3	Diagram of self-efficacy and self-regulation parameters.	19
4	Phases and sub-phases of self-regulation, Zimmerman and Campillo (2003). Cited in Zimmerman and Kitsantas (2005, p. 515).	24
5	Interactions between individual and environmental factors in determining motivation (Hallam, 2006a, p. 143).	32
6	Consequences of attributions (based on description by Maehr, Pintrich & Linnenbrink, 2002, p. 358).	34
7	Basic types of attributions to explain success or failure (Austin, Renwick & McPherson, 2006, p. 228).	35
8	Musicianship x Musical Challenge = Musical Values (Elliott, 1995, p. 122).	38
9	Cycle of interaction between self-concept, motivation and achievement.	40
10	Student learning in music. (Department of Education, Science and Training, 2005, <i>National Review of School Music Education</i> , p. 83).	52
11	The various aspects of content in music (Hoffer, 2001, p. 40).	53
12	The parameters of music education (Swanwick, 1979, p. 45).	54
13	Relationships between different levels of music participation (Department of Education, Science and Training, 2005, <i>National Review of School Music Education</i> , p. 79).	55
14	Model of instrumental learning (Hallam, 1998a, p. 129).	58
15	Defining five aspects of musical performance (McPherson, 1995, p. 59).	59
16	Teaching via imitation. (Kohut, 1985, p. 15).	60
17	Bypassing musical thinking.	83
18	A 'globe' model of opportunities in music education (Hargreaves, Marshall & North, 2003, p. 158).	90

19	The potential outcomes of music education. (Hargreaves, Marshall & North, 2003, p. 160).	101
20	Systems profile for optimally motivated music student (Austin, Renwick and McPherson, 2006, p. 232).	106
21	A conceptual model of the social antecedents of musical excellence (Chaffin & Lemieux, 2004, p. 30).	108
22	Main reasons for being best at particular school subjects.	125
23	Frequency of reasons for being good at various pursuits.	127
24	Frequency of main reasons for doing well in schools subjects and various pursuits.	128
25	Summary of parental background.	129
26	Estimate of frequency of listening.	130
27	Estimate of hours of listening per week.	131
28	Favourite styles of music for listening.	132
29	Frequency of names of favourite performers.	133
30	Frequency of names of favourite pieces of music.	134
31	Number of instruments (including voice) learned.	136
32	Aspects liked best about learning a musical instrument.	137
33	Aspects liked least about learning a musical instrument.	138
34	Frequency of practice sessions per week.	139
35	Reasons for ceasing instrumental/vocal lessons.	140
36	Instruments played in music classes at primary or high school.	141
37	Frequency for instruments being either self-taught or taught by friend.	142
38	Number of family members who play instruments at home.	142
39	Playing in ensembles, at school and outside of school.	143
40	Participation in singing in an ensemble.	144
41	Frequency of prior involvement in the South Australian Public Primary Schools' Music Festival.	145

42	Aspects liked most about Music as a school subject.	146
43	Aspects liked least about Music as a school subject (N=124).	147
44	Self-esteem scores.	148
45	Mean scores for Perceived Competence Sub-Scales.	149
46	Academic achievement in core subjects (English, Mathematics, Science, and Studies of Society and Environment).	151
47	Summary of findings.	167

LIST OF MUSICAL EXAMPLES

Example		Page
1	A meaningful whole. (Mills and McPherson, 2006, p.164)	84
2	Individual notes. (Mills and McPherson, 2006, p.164)	85
3	A comparison of staff notation and tab notation.	86
4	Grid notation using two different symbols.	87
5	An interpretation of the grid using two different symbols (from Example 4), written in traditional percussion staff notation.	87
6	An example of grid notation converting to standard rhythmic notation.	88