Res averdiser, Nov. pt, 1911.

mained bred is were

## DEMOCRATISING THE UNIVERSITY.

## A PROFESSOR'S SUGGESTIONS.

Professor W. Jethro Brown writes:-So much has been said of late about make ing our University a more democratic institution that I have thought that you might be not unwilling to find space in your columns for a few suggestions on the subject by one who has studied the organisation of universities in many countries, and has been for many years deeply interested in the progress of the democratic movement The classic definition of democracy-government of the people, by the people, and for the people-defines the ideal organisation of the State. University is an institution within the State, and only one of the three elements included in the foregoing definition is appropriate in a literal sense. The individuals who are now in any sense subject to the government of the University are not "the people, but a section of the people—a section composed of a teaching staff, graduates, and students. Further, the functions which the University has to discharge are such as to make government "by the people" impracticable. Theoretically, of course, the electors of Parliament might also be the electors of the governing body of the University. But no one who is intimately acquainted with the problems of University administration could support the proposal. Those problems are of a kind with which the average elector is ill qualified to deal; but even if he were qualified he is not sufficiently interested in them. For practical purposes, then, the reformer who advocates the democratisation of the University may be assumed to have in view the government of the University "for the people," A university may be justly said to be a democratic institution when it is a national institution-not in the sense 'that citizen. embraces. every nou even m the sense that every. elector has a direct voice in its management, but in the sense that it is responsive to national needs and fulfils national purposes. To my thinking the foregoing conclusion implies that the University shall be properly organised, easily accessible, and adequately equipped. propose to say a word on each of these subjects.

The Organisation of the University.

The University should be so organised that its governing body shall be both eincient and representative of public opiniou. Now these objects are not easily combined. It is not difficult to create an efficient bureaucracy Nor, on the other hand, is it difficult to create a body which is democratic in spirit and yet incompetent to give intelligent expression to that spirit. I believe, however, that the aims referred to could be combined without any revolutionary reorganisation of the present system. I give it as my own opinion that the Senate of the University should retain its present function of electing representatives on the council. From the standpoint of einciency the senate is a good electoral body. (1) Its members are experts. They are themselves graduates of the University, who know from experience the aind of problems which arise in University administration, and they are able to judge who are most likely to prove efficient members of the council, or governing body. (2) Since the members of the senate are also members of the University on the democratic principle of self-government they have a special claim to share in its administration. Self-government in the University. no less than in the State is a good thing; it gives vitality to the institution and a sense of responsibility to its members. (3) The test of the institution is, how does it work, and not how does it fit in with some preconceived theory. Now senate of the University has elected in the past a governing body which has proved efficient. The members of that governing body have worked with a single view to promoting the usefulness of the University. They have no axe to grind. That they have been infallible in all their judgments and actions could not be claimed; but no one can challenge their desire to make the University an efficlent institution, or their general competence to give effect to that desire. That an institution has worked well in practice does not show that it is beyond intprovement. While I believe that the Senate should continue to elect representatives to the council. I believe that the deans of the several faculties should be, ex officio, members of the council, and that the Parliament of the State should also elect represcritatives on the council. Each of these changes deserves a word of comment.

the dean of a faculty is charged with the duty of looking after the interests of all the students in the faculty. He is therefore in close touch with those students, acquainted with their needs, and specially qualified to assist in the deliberations of the governing body. His duties as dean involve an intimate acquaintance with the practical administration of the business of the University in his own particular department. Whenever any matter affecting that department is under the consideration of the council, he should he present to inform the council alike in the interests of the students, the faculty, and the university.

The view that the Parliament of the State should be directly represented on the council is held by the council itself and supported by the recommendations of the present Royal Commissoin on Education. The main purpose of such representation would be to guarantee a responsiveness to public opinion. The representation would also serve the purpose of increasing the public confidence in the University. The number of Parliamentary representatives need not be large. In my opinion, four would be adequate, since their power would be far in excess of their numerical strength on the council. As they would speak for the Parliament of the State, they would control the purse strings Little of importance could be done with out their co-operation.

The Accessibility of the University. By the accessibility of the University I mean much more than that the University should be situated in the city, and not relegated to a suburb. The situation of the University is undoubtedly a very important matter, but fortunately not one calling for consideration in view of the fact that the University is already established on North-terrace. By the accessibility of the University I mean especially that the University shall be open to every class of tha community. No community can be said to be organised on truly democratic lines if the education of its youth is conditioned by the length of the paternal purse. This will be conceded by everyone, but a ditference of opinion may exist as to means for effecting the end in view. One thing, however, ought to be clear. University exists as a school of training for the abler youths. No doubt every citizen would be the better in a sense for a University training. But in a world where art is long and time is fleeting the imperative need is to provide an educational system which will prepare the student for hie. The time spent at the different educational institutions, and the character of the discipline imparted by those institutions, should vary according to the aptitude of individual students. The University is the summit of the educational structure, and its discipline is for those students, from whatever class they may come, who are naturally fitted to discharge the duties which are most exacting as regards their intellectual equipment and ability, The fact has an important bearing upon the problem of the means of making the University easily accessible. formers urge the abolition of fees. the following objections to this scheme, if not fatal, are at least serious:-(1) Tho charge would involve a serious additional burden on the taxpayer. (2) The change would be inadequate for the purpose of meeting the special end in view-that of enabling the child of the poorer parent to take a University course. Such a child. in many cases, especially if his parent lives out of the city or suburban area, needs maintenance as well as exemption from (3) The change in question might easily result in an excessive proportion of children of well-to-do parents crowding the University classes. Even under existing conditions, a certain number of students attend who are not specially fitted to profit by the discipline of a university course.

If the fees were abolished, this class of student would be increased. For these reasons I am personally not inclined to view with layor the proposal to abolish the University fees. I think the end in view would be more advantageously met by a liberal system of State bursaries, so far as concorns candidates for a University degree. A great deal might be said, bowever, in favor of reducing the fees in the case of students attending evening classes at the University for the purpose of studying particular subjects. I limit my remarks to the class of student for which the University discipline is especially prowided-the candidates for a degree.

Adequate Equipment of the University. A university which is to fulfil patricely purposes must not only be oven to use talented youth of every class. When it receives those youths it must be note to give them the best possible training. The interests of the community as a whole are vitally concerned in this matter. It is a fact which cannot be general that the pational well-being is conditioned by the progrees of other countries. Seeley, when speaking of the advantages of political organisation on a vast scale, remarks that the small State may flourish in a world of small States, but in a world of large States it can only exist by sufferance. Its economic efficiency and its very existence are endangered. A similar reflection is suggo-ted by the rapid advance and diffusion of education. If a community is indifferent to the efficiency of its higher education, its indifference is not so vital a matter where other communities are also indifferent. But if, as is the case to-day, other communities are not indifferent, the community which is indifferent imperils the material interests of every class of its citizens. The conflict of nations to-day is not a conflict of arms so much as a conflict in industry in a wide sense. In the course of that conflict success will depend not alone upon the skill of the worker, but also and even more upon trained intelligence. The strong arm is good, but the determining factory in the struggle will be brains. The more brains in the community, and the more highly trained those brains, the greater will be the chance that the community will hold its own in the struggle for existence, "Brute force, strength, bravery, and material welfare," says hard Pearson, "have been in turn dominant in the State. . To-morrow will be marked by the dominence of intelligence. The most

intelligent nation will be victorious in the

struggle." "We are suffering to-day," says

a recent president of the British Associa-

tion, "because trade no longer follows the

flag as in the old days, but follows the

brains. In one chemical establishment in

Germany 400 doctors of science, the best

those universities can turn out, have been

employed at different times in late years

In the United States the most successful

students in the higher teaching centres are

snapped up the moment they have hnished

their course, and put into charge of large

concerns." There are some people in this State today who look upon the University as a mere institution for the training of lawyers and doctors. Even if it were such an institution, it would, of course, serve highly important public purposes, as the individual who finds himself in the law courts or the hospital will discover. As a matter of fact, bowever, the law and medical students are only a small section of the University students-a section which, at any rate, so far as the law students are concerned, is mainly self-supporting. The vast majority of the students belong to other classes. There are courses of study for those who are to become the teachers of the primary, high, or secondary schools throughout the country. There are courses of study in commerce, finance, accountancy, &c., for those who are to become the captains of industry in the future. There are courses in law, economics, and politics for those who are to become, it may be, statesmen or judges. There are courses in engineering and in mining. The University is, or at least should be, an institution for training the leaders of men in every department of the national life, and for making available for all classes of the community the latest researches of modern culture and science. It is a wise policy to look well after the training of the soldiers in our industrial army; but if in doing so we fail to provide an adequate training for our captains and generals, we invite catastrophe in the sphere of industrial conflict as certainly as

when it places good soldiers under the guidance of indifferent generalship. Now, if a university is to serve these great pur poses it must be maintained in a high riegree of efficiency. If it is to be so maintained, it must be generously endowed either by private individuals or by the State. If, for example, its teaching staff is less generously treated than the teaching staff in rival institutions elsewhere, it will be difficult to get the best talent, and still more difficult to retain it. Further, the library, apparatus, &c., must be up-to-date At the very foreground of all means for democratising a university I place the maintenance of a high standard of efficiency, so that the youth of this State will be at least on a level with the youth of other States in the opportunities afforded for their mental development. For if this danger be not guarded against the whole community must suffer. These remarks have a special application to-day, when our community is enjoying the results of an unexampled period of prosperity. But even apart from such prosperity, the argument would hold good. When Prusoa by bleeding after the historic defeat of Jens daming d in prestige and empohed in finance it laid a sure foundation for the future by at once establishing four new universities The effort involved immediate sacrifices, but the outlay has been rewarded a hundredfold, and the German nation is probably the most efficient community industrially of the modern world.

a nation is doomed on the field of battle