USING SHELL MORPHOLOGY TO CHARACTERISE ABALONE POPULATIONS ACROSS MULTIPLE SPATIAL SCALES



THOR M. SAUNDERS

Submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
School of Earth and Environmental Sciences
The University of Adelaide
March 2009

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I acknowledge that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below*) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

- * Saunders T, Mayfield S (2008) Predicting biological variation using a simple morphometric marker in the sedentary marine invertebrate (*Haliotis rubra*). Marine Ecology Progress Series 366: 75-89 (CSIRO publishing, www.csiro.com.au)
 - Saunders T, Mayfield S, Hogg A (2008) A simple, cost-effective, morphometric marker for characterising abalone populations at multiple spatial scales. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 59: 32-40 (Inter Research, www.int-res.com)
 - Saunders T, Connell S, Mayfield S (in press) Differences in abalone growth and morphology between locations with high and low food availability: Morphologically fixed or plastic traits? *Marine Biology* (Springer/Kluwer Academic Publishers, www.springeronline.com)
 - Saunders T, Mayfield S, Hogg A (2009) Using a simple 'morphometric marker' to identify fine-scale management units for abalone fisheries. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 66: 305-314 (Oxford University Publishing, www.oxfordjournals.org)

THOR M. SAUNDERS March 6, 2009

Table of contents

Declaration	1
Table of contents	2
Abstract	
Acknowledgements	
Chapter 1: General Introduction	
•	
1.1 Notes on chapter stylePreamble to Chapter 2	
-	
Chapter 2: A simple, cost-effective, morphometric marker for characterising	
abalone populations at multiple spatial scales	15
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 Methods	
2.2.1 Study site	
2.2.2 Broad-scale	
2.2.3 Fine-scale	
2.2.4 Morphometric sampling	
2.2.5 Data analysis	
2.3.1 Broad-scale	
2.3.2 Fine-scale	
2.4 Discussion	
Preamble to Chapter 3	
Chapter 3: Predicting biological variation using a simple morphometric marl	
in the sedentary marine invertebrate <i>Haliotis rubra</i>	32
3.1 Introduction	32
3.2 Methods	35
3.2.1 Study site	
3.2.2 Growth	
3.2.3 Size at maturity	
3.2.4 Fecundity	
3.2.5 Data analysis	
3.3 Results	
3.3.1 Growth	
3.3.2 Size at maturity	
3.3.4 Relation of biology to morphology	
3.1 Discussion	
Preamble to Chapter 4	
Chapter 4: Differences in abalone growth and morphology between locations	
with high and low food availability: morphologically fixed or plastic traits?	54
4.1 Introduction	
4.2 Mathada	56

4.2.2 Reciprocal transplant experiment 50 4.2.3 Measurements of blacklip density, topography, water movement and algal cover 55 4.2.4 Data analysis 66 4.3.1 Reciprocal transplant experiment 66 4.3.2 Spatial variability in environmental factors 66 4.3.3 Relationship between growth and environmental factors 66 4.4 Discussion 66 Preamble to Chapter 5 66 Chapter 5: Using a simple morphometric marker to identify management units for abalone fisheries 77 5.1 Introduction 70 5.2 Methods 77 5.2.1 Study site 77 5.2.2 Broad-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip 70 5.2.3 Fine-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip 70 5.2.4 Estimates of biological parameters for potential MUs 70 5.3.1 Broad-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip 70 5.3.2 Fine-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip 70 5.3.3 Estimates of biological parameters for potential MUs 70 5.3.4 Fine-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip 70 5.3.5 Fine-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip 70 5.3.1 Broad-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip 70 5.3.2 Fine-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip 70 5.3.3 Estimates of biological parameters for potential MUs 80 5.4 Discussion 80 Chapter 6: General discussion 80 6.1 Identification and characterisation of blacklip populations using a morphometric marker 80 6.2 Environmental effects on blacklip morphology 90	4.2.1 Study site	56
cover		
4.2.4 Data analysis	4.2.3 Measurements of blacklip density, topography, water movement and	algal
4.3 Results 6 4.3.1 Reciprocal transplant experiment 6 4.3.2 Spatial variability in environmental factors 6 4.3.3 Relationship between growth and environmental factors 6 4.4 Discussion 6 Preamble to Chapter 5. 6 Chapter 5: Using a simple morphometric marker to identify management units for abalone fisheries 7 5.1 Introduction 70 5.2 Methods 77 5.2.1 Study site 70 5.2.2 Broad-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip 70 5.2.4 Estimates of biological parameters for potential MUs 70 5.3 Results 70 5.3.1 Broad-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip 70 5.3.2 Fine-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip 70 5.3.3 Estimates of biological parameters for potential MUs 70 5.3.1 Broad-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip 70 5.3.2 Fine-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip 80 5.3.3 Estimates of biological parameters for potential MUs 80 5.4 Discussion 80 Chapter 6: General discussion 80 6.1 Identification and characterisation of blacklip populations using a morphometric marker 80 6.2 Environmental effects on blacklip morphology 90	cover	59
4.3.1 Reciprocal transplant experiment	4.2.4 Data analysis	60
4.3.2 Spatial variability in environmental factors		
4.3.3 Relationship between growth and environmental factors	4.3.1 Reciprocal transplant experiment	61
4.4 Discussion		
Preamble to Chapter 5	4.3.3 Relationship between growth and environmental factors	64
Chapter 5: Using a simple morphometric marker to identify management units for abalone fisheries		
for abalone fisheries	Preamble to Chapter 5	69
for abalone fisheries	Chanter 5: Using a simple morphometric marker to identify management u	ınits
5.1 Introduction		
5.2 Methods	for abalone fisheries	70
5.2.1 Study site	5.1 Introduction	70
5.2.2 Broad-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip	5.2 Methods	73
5.2.3 Fine-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip	5.2.1 Study site	73
5.2.4 Estimates of biological parameters for potential MUs	5.2.2 Broad-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip	74
5.3 Results	5.2.3 Fine-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip	76
5.3.1 Broad-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip	5.2.4 Estimates of biological parameters for potential MUs	79
5.3.2 Fine-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip	5.3 Results	79
5.3.3 Estimates of biological parameters for potential MUs 5.4 Discussion 80 Chapter 6: General discussion 82 6.1 Identification and characterisation of blacklip populations using a morphometric marker 89 6.2 Environmental effects on blacklip morphology 90	5.3.1 Broad-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip	79
5.4 Discussion	5.3.2 Fine-scale spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted blacklip	80
Chapter 6: General discussion		
6.1 Identification and characterisation of blacklip populations using a morphometric marker		
morphometric marker	Chapter 6: General discussion	89
morphometric marker	6.1 Identification and characterisation of blacklip populations using a	
6.2 Environmental effects on blacklip morphology90		89
	1	
6.3 Identifying units of management for blacklip using a morphometric marker 9.	6.3 Identifying units of management for blacklip using a morphometric market	
6.4 Future Research94		
6.5 Conclusion99		
References99		
Annendiy A	Annendiy A	110

Abstract

Many sedentary marine invertebrates have a fine-scale (100s m) population structure that complicates their conservation and management. This is a consequence of the limited information on the boundaries between component populations and the biological variability among them. Blacklip abalone (Haliotis rubra) form discrete populations many of which are 'stunted' with individuals reaching a maximum length less than those in adjacent areas. A range of morphological measurements from samples of stunted and 'non-stunted' H. rubra collected from sites spread across broad (10s km) and fine (100s m) spatial scales in southern South Australia. In addition, information on the growth, size at maturity and fecundity of H. rubra was obtained from these same sites. The ratio between shell length and shell height showed clear and significant differences among samples from stunted and non-stunted sites. The fine-scale morphometric collections suggested that stunted populations existed at smaller spatial scales compared to those for non-stunted populations. Spatial variation in these key life history parameters could primarily be attributed to differences between stunted and non-stunted sites. Relationships between each of these parameters and the ratio between shell length and shell height were also examined. The spatial patterns in morphology and biology were highly correlated suggesting that shell length:shell height ratio can be used as a simple 'morphometric marker' to distinguish among populations of abalone and identify their biological characteristics.

The detection of differences *H. rubra* morphology among variable environments cannot determine whether these differences represent a plastic response to the local environment, or whether morphology is genetically fixed. A reciprocal transplant experiment was used to test whether stunted *H. rubra* are the result of a plastic response to the environment or fixed genetic trait. Furthermore, environmental factors that affect food availability were related to differences in morphology. Morphological plasticity was confirmed as the mechanism causing morphological variation in *H. rubra*. Individuals transplanted to sites with non-stunted *H. rubra* grew significantly faster when compared to stunted controls, while individuals transplanted to stunted sites grew significantly slower compared to non-stunted controls. It is suggested that these differences are related to resource availability with areas limited in food supply

resulting in stunted populations and areas with abundant food resulting in non-stunted populations.

To reduce the risks of over-fishing and localised depletion of *H. rubra*, management units (MUs) that encompass individual populations need to be determined and then managed according to their life-history characteristics. Potential MUs in the South Australian abalone fishery were identified from the broad-scale, spatial distribution of stunted and non-stunted populations of H. rubra, by applying the morphometric marker to commercial shell samples. Key life-history parameters of the H. rubra populations within the potential MUs were estimated using relationships between this marker and H. rubra biology. Data from fine-scale systematic sampling by commercial fishers were used to validate spatial patterns observed from the more broadly distributed commercial catch samples. The location, distribution and size of potential MUs were largely inconsistent with that of current management. The locations of two MUs were consistent across the broad- and fine-scale datasets with the fine-scale samples being more informative for identifying a potential boundary between these. These results suggest that this morphometric marker can used as a tool for the spatial management of abalone fisheries by simply and inexpensively inferring key biological parameters for individual populations and identify the boundaries among these based on these differences. This approach is among the first to provide a practical means of more closely aligning the scales of assessment and management with biological reality for sedentary marine invertebrates.

Acknowledgements

Thesis acknowledgements

Firstly I would like to thank my two supervisors, Stephen Mayfield and Sean Connell. Your dedication and enthusiasm to your work was extremely motivating and was one of the key factors in my timely completion of this thesis. Our discussions throughout the course of this project offered substantial contributions to its development and completion. As a result I have learnt a great deal about conducting research in marine science and it has been a privilege to work with both of you.

I would also like to thank Andrew Hogg, who tirelessly helped me out with the field and laboratory work throughout the course of this project. Your commitment to this work was exceptional and made those long days and nights collecting and measuring abalone much more enjoyable than they should have been!

To all my friends and co-workers at SARDI that assisted me with fieldwork thankyou. It is not an easy job working in the cold southern ocean out of a small boat where the conditions are rarely conducive to having a pleasant dive followed by a bit of sun tanning on the deck!

I would also like to thank my family and friends for always supporting me with what I turn my hand at. Although many of us live far apart the support is always a solid feeling.

Finally I would like to commemorate all of the abalone that unwittingly sacrificed themselves for this project. I hope this research contributes to this species living long into the future!

Chapter acknowledgements

CHAPTER 2: We would like to thank A/Prof Sean Connell, Dr. Tim Ward, Cameron Dixon, Dr. Jeremy Prince and Dr. Reyn Naylor for commenting on earlier versions of the manuscript. We would also like to thank Neal Chambers, Steve Coe, Peter Hawthorne, Matthew Hoare and Alan Jones for assistance with diving. This research was part of a Fisheries Research and Development Corporation (FRDC) grant (Project Number 2004/019, Principal Investigator Stephen Mayfield) awarded to SARDI.

CHAPTER 3: We would like to thank Associate Professor Sean Connell, Dr. Bayden Russell and Rowan Chick for commenting on earlier versions of the manuscript. We would also like to thank Andrew Hogg for extensive assistance with field and laboratory work and Neal Chambers, Steve Coe, Peter Hawthorne, Matthew Hoare and Alan Jones for assistance with diving. This research was part of a Fisheries Research and Development Corporation (FRDC) grant (Project Number 2004/019, Principal Investigator Stephen Mayfield) awarded to SARDI.

CHAPTER 4: We would like to thank Associate Professor Andy Davis, Dr Tim Ward, Erin Sautter and Ian Carlson for commenting on previous drafts of this paper. We would also like to thank Andrew Hogg for extensive assistance with field and laboratory work and Neal Chambers, Dan Gorman, Kylie Howard, Alan Jones and David Sturges for assistance with diving. SARDI aquatic sciences provided funds for this research.

CHAPTER 5: We would like to thank Rowan Chick, Associate Professor Sean Connell, Dr. Jeremy Prince and Dr. Michael Steer for commenting on earlier versions of the manuscript. We would also like to thank SZ license holders and divers, Dover Fisheries, Southern Canning and Souwest Seafood for providing commercial shell samples. This research was part of a Fisheries Research and Development Corporation (FRDC) grant (Project Number 2004/019, Principal Investigator Stephen Mayfield) awarded to SARDI.