1 2	IN THE STATE OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA
3	ROYAL COMMISSION
4 5 6 7	BEFORE MRS I.E. STEVENS, ROYAL COMMISSIONER
8 9 10	
11 12 13	
14 15 16	
17 18 19	HINDMARSH ISLAND BRIDGE ROYAL COMMISSION
20 21	
22 23 24	
25 26 27	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
28 29 30	
31 32 33	WEDNESDAY, 19 JULY 1995 AT 10.15 A.M.

COMSR: This is the first day of sitting of 2 this Royal Commission. I will start the hearing by 3 asking the Secretary to the Royal Commission to read the Commission. 5 **SECRETARY:** South Australia, Her Excellency, Dame

- 6 Roma Flinders Mitchell, Companion of the Order of
- 7 Australia, Dame Commander of the Most Excellent Order
- 8 of the British Empire, Governor in and over the State
- 9 of South Australia.
- 10 To: Iris Eliza Stevens
- 11 Greetings
- 12 Whereas:

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

29

30

31

- 1. On 9 July 1994, the Honourable Robert Tickner MP, 13 Minister for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander 14 15 Affairs in the Commonwealth Government signed a 16 declaration pursuant to s.10 of the Aboriginal and 17 Torres Strait Islander Protection Act, 1984, which declaration prevented the construction of a bridge 18 19 between Hindmarsh Island and the mainland at Goolwa 20 (hereinafter called `the declaration');
 - 2. In making the said declaration, the aforesaid Minister relied upon a report made to him by Professor Cheryl Saunders AO, which report made reference to secret 'women's business' which was more particularly described in sealed envelopes annexed to or attached to the report.
- 3. There have been allegations that the secret `women's 28 business' is a fabrication.
 - 4. There has been significant disagreement within the South Australian Aboriginal communities regarding the 'women's business' and the allegations.
- 32 5. The Government is or was contractually bound to 33 construct the bridge and is desirous that the 34 construction of the bridge should proceed unless to 35 do so would be unreasonable and inappropriate having 36 regard to Aboriginal tradition.
- 37 6. It is necessary to investigate the allegations in 38 order:

- (i) to provide a factual basis for the resolution of the disagreement within the South Australian Aboriginal communities; (ii) to enable the South Australian Government to determine, as a matter of policy, whether it would be unreasonable and inappropriate, having regard to Aboriginal tradition for the construction of the bridge to proceed; and
 - (iii) to enable the South Australian Government to determine whether it should make further submissions to the Commonwealth Government (including to any inquiry established by the Commonwealth Government) relating to the declaration made by the aforesaid Minister and to provide a factual basis for any such submissions.

I, the Governor, with the advice and consent of the Executive Council, do hereby appoint you to be a Royal Commissioner to inquire into and report upon the matters set out in the following Terms of Reference:

- 1. Whether the `women's business' or any aspect of the `women's business' was a fabrication and, if so:
 - (a) the circumstances relating to such a fabrication;
 - (b) the extent of such fabrication; and
 - (c) the purpose of such a fabrication.
- 2. You may seek and obtain such advice or assistance on matters relating to Aboriginal tradition as you may consider necessary for the purpose of your inquiry, and, in particular, you may seek information, including relevant documents and records, from all agencies of the Government which are hereby directed to provide you with such assistance as you may require.
- 3. In conducting your inquiry and in your report, you are required to:
 - (a) avoid prejudicing any judicial proceedings relating to the declaration made by the

37

1	Minister for Aboriginal and Torres Strait
2 3	Islander Affairs of the Commonwealth
3	Government;
4	(b) protect the confidentiality of any information
5	which could properly be regarded as confidential
6	whether pursuant to Aboriginal tradition or
7	otherwise; and
8	(c) comply with s.35 of the Aboriginal Heritage
9	Act, 1988,
10	and for this purpose, you may conduct your inquiry
11	or parts of it in private and to report in part by
12	way of confidential report and/or to report by way
13	of general descriptions or summaries, or to take
14	such other steps as you consider to be appropriate
15	to comply with paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) hereof.
16	4. This appointment does not prevent your appointment
17	to any other public office under the Crown in
18	right of the State of South Australia, which
19	appointment is intended to facilitate and assist
20	your inquiry.
21	5. You are required to make your inquiry expeditiously
22	and to furnish a final report not later than 1
23 24	September 1995.
24	
25	In these Terms of Reference:
26	`aboriginal tradition' means the body of traditions,
27	observances, customs and beliefs of Aborigines generally
28	or of a particular community or group of Aborigines, and
29	includes any such traditions, observances, customs or
30	beliefs relating to particular persons, areas, objects
31	or relationships.
32	Numerical sharp and an area the aministral and autional
33	`women's business' means the spiritual and cultural
34	significance of Hindmarsh and Mundoo Islands, the waters
35 36	of the Goolwa channel, Lake Alexandrina and the Murray
36 37	Mouth within the Aboriginal tradition of Ngarrindjeri
3 <i>1</i> 38	women which is crucial for the reproduction of the
30	Ngarrindjeri people and of the cosmos which supports

1	their	existence.
1	$u_1 c_{11}$	CAISICHCE.

- 2 3 4 5 6 Given under my hand and the Public Seal of South Australia, at Adelaide, 16th June 1995. By command, the Premier. God save the Queen.

CJ 1A

COMSR: Having heard the Terms of Reference of the Commission, it will be apparent that the matters to be inquired into by the Commission are directed to fairly specific issues. They arise from a proposal by a developer to build a bridge linking the mainland at Goolwa to Hindmarsh Island. Since the bridge was first proposed, a great many issues have arisen. A number of those issues have been given public prominence. It is useful to point out, however, that other than the matters set out in the Terms of Reference, those issues are not the subject of this inquiry.

Clearly, it is not the task of this Commission to inquire into nor report upon the merits of any proposal to construct a bridge, nor is the Commission to inquire into nor report upon the contractual obligations of any persons arising out of any such proposal. In general terms, this is an inquiry into whether or not particular representations referred to as `women's business', or any parts thereof, were fabricated and, if so found, in what circumstances did that fabrication occur, how much was fabricated and for what purpose; a difference has arisen within the Aboriginal community on this matter.

While the exact parameters of the Terms of Reference are matters upon which persons appearing before the Commission will, no doubt, wish to be heard, it is, I believe, fair to say those parameters are within definite limits. This Commission intends, as fully as it is able, to inquire into the matters referred to it.

Inevitably, where a Royal Commission is established to inquire into matters of controversy, publicly raised, there is an understandable expectation that the inquiry will be conducted in public.

As far as is possible, this Commission will be heard publicly. However, it must be said at the outset that there are reasons why it may be necessary for substantial parts of the evidence to be given in private.

The Commission, in conducting its inquiry, has

specific directions incorporated into the Terms of Reference relating to the matters of prejudice to current court proceedings, to confidentiality and to privacy. These directions will indicate the extent to which the evidence can be taken in public.

Furthermore, where matters of Aboriginal traditions, sites or religion are concerned, there are a number of statutory provisions, both Commonwealth and State, framed to protect the confidentiality of that information.

The Aboriginal Heritage Act, the Racial Discrimination Act and the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Act all have provisions relating to confidentiality. The Commission must have regard to the requirements of its Terms of Reference and to relevant legislative provisions as to confidentiality.

Finally, the inquiry is to be conducted in a sensitive manner. There may well be other submissions advanced by parties as to the need for a private hearing of particular evidence. I anticipate that parties will wish to be heard on the matters of prejudice, privacy and confidentiality.

The Commission, which was established on 16 June 1995, has, broadly speaking, three main tasks to be completed by 1 September 1995, namely: to gather information; to conduct a hearing; and, to report to the Governor.

Soon after the Commission obtained premises, the process of gathering information was commenced and it continues. The stage has been reached for the hearings to commence. However, any person who has not yet come forward and who wishes to make a submission, should advise the Commission as soon as possible.

Arrangements have been made for the media to have access, during the course of the hearings, to an area on the third floor of this building. I would ask members of the media to extend to witnesses the courtesy of not

1 approaching them within the precincts of the building. 2 I am advised that there are witnesses who could feel 3 under pressure and even threatened by such approaches. Accordingly, I ask you to respect their feelings. 5 One matter I should mention is that security matters 6 or arrangements within the Commission have been given 7 special attention; in particular, to ensure that the 8 confidentiality or secrecy of documents and records is 9 maintained. A system has been put in place to ensure 10 that any evidence or document is not accessible to 11 inappropriate persons. 12 I propose next to deal with applications for leave 13 to appear and, accordingly, I'll take a short break to 14 enable the audio and visual equipment to be removed from 15 the hearing. 16 ADJOURNED 10.26 A.M. 17 RESUMING 10.41 A.M. 18 COMSR: I propose now to deal with applications for leave to appear. Today, I won't be dealing with the 19 20 terms on which leave to appear will be given - I'll deal 21 with that at the next sitting of the Commission - but I 22 would ask counsel to indicate the names of the persons 23 for whom they are seeking leave to appear and who indicate they have a special interest in the matter. 24 25 MR SMITH: I appear with my learned friend Miss 26 Andrea Simpson as counsel assisting yourself. 27 I seek leave to appear for Mr Ian MR MARTIN: 28 McLachlan, the member for Barker. 29 COMSR: You have leave to appear. 30 MR TILMOUTH: I think we have sorted out the next 31 appearance. May it please the Commission, I appear for 32 the Ngarrindjeri men, but more particularly George 33 Trevorrow, Tom Trevorrow, Victor Wilson, Robert Day and 34 Henry Rankine. I don't formally seek leave to appear at 35 this stage because we are unaware of any precise 36 allegations, but I ask the Commission to note our 37 interest on behalf of those men at this stage. My 38 appearance is with Mr Kenny, my instructing solicitor.

COMSR: I note that you are foreshadowing that 2 you may make an application for leave. Is that the situation? MR TILMOUTH: That is the situation. We are unaware of any allegations made against those men. We have no 5 6 direct knowledge of their interest, but we foreshadow we 7 will be seeking leave, if any are made, or may be 8 seeking leave. MS LAYTON: 9 Myself and Mr Collett appear for the 10 Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement. Just to preface the 11 information that your Honour, the Commissioner, is 12 seeking, we wish to just inform the Commission on 13 certain matters of importance. 14 As the Commission knows, the Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement has taken an action in the Supreme Court 15 16 challenging the lawfulness of the Commission, and I 17 thought it important to inform the position of the 18 current state of that action at this point in time. 19 As the Commission knows, an application was taken to 20 have the action referred urgently to the Full Court of 21 the Supreme Court. That application was granted with 22 urgent dates still to be set. The interlocutory 23 injunction was refused, but an appeal has been lodged. 24 Our latest information is that a Full Court of the 25 Supreme Court may be able to be convened at 2.15 on this 26 Friday for the purposes of hearing that appeal, but we 27 will know more about that in the course of the 28 afternoon. Having said that, the ALRM, without 29 prejudice to its position with regard to that action, 30 seeks leave to appear and to be represented by myself 31 and Mr Collett. 32 Yes. The ALRM has leave to appeal. COMSR: 33 Now, you have leave to appeal to appear for the ALRM. 34 I seek leave to appear on behalf of Mr MR STRATFORD: 35 Timothy Wooley, a witness to be called before you. 36 COMSR: You have leave to appear. 37 MR MEYER: My name is Meyer and I seek leave to 38 appear for Thomas Lincoln Chapman and Wendy Jenny

1	Chapman.
2	COMSR: You have leave to appear.
3	MRS SHAW: I seek leave to appear as junior counsel
4	to Michael Abbott QC, senior counsel, on instructions
5	from Piper Aldermans. I propose to represent the five
6	Ngarrindjeri women who, in May last year, had the
7	courage to come forward and challenge the validity of
8	the Hindmarsh Island secret women's business.
9	COMSR: Perhaps if you give me the names of
10	those persons.
11	MRS SHAW: The names of those persons are: Dolcie
12	Wilson, Mrs Dorothy Wilson, Mrs Bertha Gollan, Mrs
13	Audrey Dix, Mrs Rita Wilson. I indicate to your Honour
14	that we have had contact with other Ngarrindjeri women
15	who will, no doubt, seek to be represented by us, or
16	certainly give evidence to the Commission.
17	COMSR: You're seeking leave on behalf of Mr
18	Abbott and yourself?
19	MRS SHAW: Yes, I am.
20	COMSR: You have leave to appear then in person
21	for the persons that you have named.
22	MRS SHAW: We also ask it to be noted that we have
23	the strongest objection to the ALRM being represented
24	and pursuing any particular course in the Commission.
25	But we will seek to argue that on Monday.
26	COMSR: That can be argued on the next occasion.
27	MR WARDLE: I seek leave to appear for Mrs Betty
28	Fisher.
29	COMSR: I take it that she has a specific
30	interest though, a special interest?
31	MR WARDLE: She does.
32	COMSR: You have leave to appear.
33	COMSR: You have leave to appear. MR SYKES: My name is Sykes and I seek leave to
34	appear for Allen Dell Campbell (who is more commonly
35	known as `Chirpy'), Amelia Campbell and John Gregory
36	Campbell. They are direct ascendants of the people who
37	have an interest, or planning members of the Hindmarsh
38	Island area, and they have a direct interest in this

1	Commission. I seek leave to represent them.
2	COMSR: Well, you have leave to appear then Mr
3	Sykes.
2 3 4 5	MS PIKE: I seek leave to appear with Miss Eszenyl
	for Dr Deane Fergie, a witness to be called.
6	COMSR: You have leave to appear.
7	MR BOURNE: I seek leave to foreshadow an
8	application, as Mr Tilmouth did for his clients, to
9	represent Doug Milera.
10	COMSR: I will note that you are foreshadowing
11	that you may make an application for leave to appear
12	then.
13	MR WHITE: I seek leave to appear for Russell Henry
14	Aywood Smith and John Morgan in their capacities as
15	receivers and managers of the companies Benalong Pty Ltd
16	and Marina Services Co. Pty Ltd. I say that at this
17	stage I do not foreshadow that I would seek to actively
18	appear or make submissions and that it may be more
19	appropriate to say that I seek, or indicate that I may
20	seek, leave to appear if any matters concerning those
21	companies arise in the course of this Commission, or if
22	the Commission seeks books and records which may be
23	relevant to the Terms of Reference from those companies.
24	COMSR: Again, this is more in the nature of an
25	intimation that you may, in the circumstances, seek
26	leave to appear?
27	MR WHITE: That is correct.
28	COMSR: I will note that.
29	CONTINUED

```
MS O'CONNOR:
                         I appear for the following Ngarrindjeri
2
      women before this Commission.
3
        I am instructed by Dr Doreen Kartinyeri, Margaret
4
      Roberts, Margaret Jacobs, Rhonda Agius, Edith Rigney,
5
      Denise Karpany, Glenys Wilson, Bronwyn McKenzie, Vicki
      Hartman, Shelley Sumner, Eileen McHughes, Michelle
6
7
      Saunders, Shirley Peisley, Muriel Van Der Byl, Veronica
8
      Brodie, Margaret Brodie, Grace Sumner, Selina Sumner,
9
      Janice Rigney, Dot Shaw, Hazel Wilson, Daisy Rankine and
10
       Ellen Trevorrow.
         The women do not seek representation before this
11
12
       Royal Commission. They do not recognise the authority
13
       of this Commission.
14
    COMSR:
                      I am sorry, I must have misunderstood
15
       vou.
16
         Did you say you were seeking leave?
    MS O'CONNOR:
17
                          If I have, I meant I am simply
18
       instructed by them to appear to explain to your Honour
19
       why they do not seek leave to appear before this Royal
20
       Commission.
21
    COMSR:
                      You are not seeking leave. Are you
      foreshadowing that you may be seeking leave to appear?
22
23
    MS O'CONNOR:
                          I am instructed by the women whose names
      I have mentioned. They do not seek leave to appear
24
      before this Commission. They do not seek representation
25
26
       before this Commission.
27
    COMSR:
                     I will note then that is the case.
28
    MS O'CONNOR:
                          They have reasons and they have
29
      instructed me to read a statement, prepared by them,
30
       which reflects those reasons.
31
         I understand that a formal approach was made to your
32
       Honour, on an earlier date.
33
    COMSR:
                      Just one moment.
34
         I wonder if we could deal with the applications for
35
       leave to appear, at this stage, and then perhaps you can
       explain to me what it is precisely that you wish to do.
36
37
         You are not seeking to represent anyone before the
38
       Commission?
```

1	MS O'CONNOR: That's correct.
2	COMSR: I will note that you are not seeking
3	leave to appear.
4	So, obviously, I will not be making an order that
5	you have leave to appear.
6	MS O'CONNOR: No.
7	MR GRIFFITH: I seek leave to appear for the
8	Commonwealth Minister for Aboriginal & Torres Strait
9	Islander Affairs, limited to making short submissions,
10	which I would ask leave to make now, dealing with issues
11	of Commonwealth legislation and Commonwealth power and
12	the relationship to this inquiry.
13	I would then seek to withdraw.
14	May I make those submissions?
15	COMSR: All right. You are seeking leave to
16	appear?
17	MR GRIFFITH: To appear this morning, limited to this
18	moring, and to make short submissions, and then to
19	retire.
20	COMSR: I take it that you are here from
21	interstate and will be returning, is that right?
22	MR GRIFFITH: I will not return.
23	COMSR: You are not returning. I meant
24	returning from whence you came.
25	MR GRIFFITH: I will return from whence I came, but I
26	will not be returning from whence I am.
27	COMSR: You have leave to appear.
28	MR GRIFFITH: My instructions are that there are two
29	short matters particularly that the Minister will wish
30	to put and asks merely to put them and to retire. And I
31	desire to put those, on his behalf.
32	Of course, the Commission is familiar with the
33	historical background, because the Terms of Reference,
34	which were read out this morning, are built on that.
35	But, of course, the original matter was the Minister's
36	declaration under s.10 of the Commonwealth Heritage Act
37	preventing the construction of the Hindmarsh Island
38	bridge, and the course of the enquiries that, before

making the declaration, that the Commonwealth Minister receives a report under sub-s.10 (4) of the Commonwealth Act from Professor Cheryl Saunders concerning the significance of the area to Aboriginals is described in the judgment of O'Loughlin J given 15 February 1995.

And I will not take time, this morning, to refer to that. But, as is known, there was a successful challenge before O'Loughlin J to the Minister's decision to make the declaration and that there has been an appeal by the Minister to the Full Court, which was heard in May, and judgment has been reserved on that appeal.

The Commission, I think, also is aware that, by announcement of the Minister, on 8 June 1995 - and I have furnished a copy, this morning, of the press releases, which I think the Commissioner does now have - indicating that, once the Full Court has handed down its decision, a further inquiry into the significance of the Hindmarsh Island to Aboriginal people will be conducted by Mathews J, which, depending on the outcome of the Federal Court appeal, will be directed to whether the Minister should vary or revoke the existing declaration, or make a new declaration.

I should also advise you that the Minister has also received a further application for declaration to be made under the Commonwealth Act, which will be considered in the light of the Full Court of the Federal Court's decision.

Having summarised the factual background, so far as the Commonwealth interest is concerned, may I make, in particular, two submissions and a reference to a third matter of difficulty which the Minister would desire to bring to the attention of the Commission?

The first proposition that the Minister would like to make is that he submits that the Royal Commission has no power to inquire into the conduct of a Commonwealth inquiry generally. And, in particular, into the

38 Commonwealth inquiry undertaken by Professor Saunders

under the Commonwealth Heritage Act, prior to the making of the declaration by the Minister for Aboriginal & Torres Strait Islander Affairs.

It is accepted that this Commission may inquire into the matters the subject of the Commonwealth inquiry, as it is possible for the Commonwealth and the States to conduct inquiries into the same issues.

As authority for that, I refer the Commission to R v Winneke; Ex parte Gallagher (1982) volume 1 152 CLR p.211, in particular, at p.221, Mason J.

However, Commonwealth executive power extends to the execution and the maintenance of laws of the Commonwealth.

And whether a Commonwealth inquiry is conducted under Commonwealth legislation or by executive act, it is submitted that the actual conduct of such an inquiry is within the Commonwealth's exclusive jurisdiction and, on general principles of constitutional law, any interference with its conduct by an exercise of State executive or legislative power would be impermissible.

And I refer the Commissioner to Commonwealth v Bogle (1953) 89 CLR p.229, in particular, p.260 per Fullager J. And also to the Cigamatic case (1962) 108 CLR p.372, particularly at p.377.

The second proposition the Minister would like to make as a submission to the Commission is that the Royal Commission cannot compel a person who has made representations to Professor Saunders for the purposes of her inquiry to disclose the nature of those representations or their source, as this would impair the operation of the Commonwealth Heritage Act and be invalid by virtue of s.109 of the Constitution.

S.10 of the Heritage Act provides that applications may be made by or on behalf of Aboriginal persons seeking the preservation or the protection of a specified area from injury or desecration. Where such an application is made, a report prepared by a person nominated by the Minister must be considered by the

Minister before a declaration may be made.

S.10 (4) of the Heritage Act provides that a report prepared for the purposes of the section must deal with certain specified matters, including the particular significance of the area to Aborininals and the nature and extent of the threat of injury to, or desecration of, the area.

In relation to the application made under s.10 concerning the Hindmarsh Island bridge proposal, Professor Saunders was commissioned to conduct an inquiry and to prepare a report for the Minister. Certain persons made representations to that inquiry in relation to the matters required to be dealt with in the report.

As I have mentioned, a further s.10 application has been made and a second Commonwealth inquiry is to be conducted by Mathews J in relation to the Hindmarsh bridge proposal after the decision of the Full Federal Court is given. That inquiry will be directed to whether the Minister should vary or revoke the existing declaration or make a new declaration.

S.10 of the South Australian Royal Commissions Act enables a Royal Commission in South Australia to require the attendance of witnesses, to examine witnesses on oath and to require that answers be given to questions put by the Commission and to require the production of documents.

It is submitted that the application of a State law including that law which impairs or detracts from the operation of a Commonwealth law will be inoperative by operation of s.109 of the Constitution.

And I refer to Victoria V The Commonwealth (1937) 58 CRL p.618, particularly Dixon J, at p.630.

It is submitted that, if by the use of its compulsive powers under s.10 of the South Australian Act this Commission seeks to require witnesses to the Commonwealth inquiry, which has already taken place, or those who may give evidence to the inquiry, which is to

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

occur, as to what they said in the inquiry, or to disclose their sources, the efficacy of the Commonwealth inquiry process would be impaired.

Of course, the inhibition is not merely limited to the past Commonwealth inquiry. One obvious and contrary way in which the impairment to the Commonwealth inquiry would arise is that the use of these compulsive powers in relation to such witnesses will have a chilling effect on the extent to which submissions to a future Commonwealth inquiry under the Heritage Act is likely to be made. And that is not merely limited to the foreshadowed inquiry by Mathews J. It would have a chilling effect with respect to all future Commonwealth inquiries under s.10 of the Act. An appropriate analogy is the well recognised general rule that a claim of public interest immunity privilege is available where the disclosure of information would be prejudicial to the public interest by discouraging others in similar circumstances from providing information of the kind disclosed.

It is submitted that it is an obvious inhibition to those who may give evidence to any future Commonwealth inquiry to know that, in whatever terms that evidence is given, they may be the subject of compulsive inquiry by application of a State law.

And it is submitted that, in as much as the State law has an operation which inhibits such conduct by witnesses, such State law and its operation would be inoperative.

The third matter that we draw to the attention of the Commission is that it is submitted that the Commission cannot compel Aboriginal persons to disclose secret spiritual beliefs in a manner which would infringe the Racial Discrimination Act by limiting or impairing the enjoyment of human rights of Aboriginal persons in a way that meant that they did not enjoy those human rights on an equal footing with other members of the community.

1 The Minister understands that those matters are 2 being ventilated in the Full Court by way of 3 submissions, particularly by Robyn Layton QC, who has already announced her appearance. And the Minister, for 5 the moment, does no more than confirm his view as to the relevance of the operation of the Commonwealth Racial 6 7 Discrimination Act to matters of this sort. 8 If the Commission pleases, those are the submissions 9 the Minister wishes to make and, otherwise, I seek no 10 leave to appear further. 11 COMSR: Thank you for the courtesy in attending 12 and your very helpful comments. 13 Are there any other applications for leave to appear? 14 MS O'CONNOR: Perhaps if I had worded it the same way 15 16 as the Commonwealth had worded it, I would have been 17 able to say what I wanted to say. 18 I simply seek leave to appear for the women and make 19 a statement to the Commission, then I will be 20 withdrawing. 21 The statement has been prepared by them. 22 There was an approach made to your Honour's staff, 23 earlier in the week, to be able to read out a statement. 24 At that stage, however, the staff weren't told that it 25 was the intention of the women to only be represented 26 today. This is the only opportunity that they will have 27 to have a lawyer speak for them. There are a number of women who have instructed me. 28 29 They have come a long way. Their tradition is via oral 30 tradition and it will be important to them to hear what 31 they have prepared for your Honour in an oral situation. 32 I can tender, of course, their letter, but they 33 would prefer it that your Honour hear what they have to say to the Commission in this manner. 34 35 I seek leave to read that letter. 36 COMSR: For the purposes of making a short - or a statement today, you are seeking leave? 37 MS O'CONNOR: Yes, shorter than the Federal one. That 38

36

37

38

shape or form.

Mabo is here to stay.

was two short points. Mine is probably one and a half. 2 COMSR: All right. MS O'CONNOR: This is addressed to the Commissioner from the Ngarrindjeri women whose spiritual beliefs into 5 which this Royal Commission is seeking to find 6 7 We, as Ngarrindjeri women believe the women's 8 business, the subject of the Royal Commission into 9 Hindmarsh Island is true. 10 We are deeply offended that a Government in this day 11 and age has the audacity to order an inquiry into our 12 secret, sacred, spiritual beliefs. Never before have 13 any group of people had their spirital beliefs 14 scrutinised in this way. 15 Under Aboriginal law women cannot speak about 16 women's business where there are men concerned. 17 Our law for Aboriginal women prohibits us from 18 talking about this business, not only the to any men, 19 but also to those not privileged to be given that 20 information. 21 It is our responsibility as custodians of this 22 knowledge to protect it. Not only the from men, but 23 also from those not entitled to this knowledge. We have 24 a duty to keep Aboriginal law in this country. 25 Women's business does exist, has existed since time 26 immemorial and will continue to exist where there are 27 Aboriginal women who are able to continue to practice 28 their culture. 29 It took the Mabo High Court decision to dispel the 30 myth of Terra Nullius. Eddie Mabo died before the 31 landmark decision was handed down. Acceptance through 32 the non-Aboriginal legal system that Aboriginal people 33 occupied this continent was vehemently resisted by a 34 large section of greater community in Australia. Each 35 and every citizen of Australia was affected in some way

Along with the Mabo decision came the realisation

that Aboriginal people were not just a nomadic, unorganised group of people. Aboriginal people are not all the same. We speak different languages, have different ceremonies, hunt and gather food in different ways. We are all directly associated with our physical environments. And, more importantly, there is the recognition that Aboriginal people have a spiritual link to that same whole environment - land, water and the heavens.

Enlightened people, both Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal are finally realising that there are similarities and differences among the indigenous peoples of this continent. The most common thread linking all Aboriginal peoples is the way in which we record our history. Aboriginal history is recorded orally. It is passed on orally. Does that fact invalidate our history? Aboriginal law is strict and uncompromising. Despite all the efforts both past and present of Government bodies and agents to cast the law aside, stamp it out and ignore it, business exists.

We do not seek to be represented at this Royal Commission. We do not recognise the authority of this Royal Commission to debate and ultimately to conclude that women's business relating to Hindmarsh Island exists. We know women's business exists and is true.

We do not recognise you, Madam Commissioner, as a custodian of law in our society. We shall continue to practice our customs and law according to our customs and law as Aboriginal people have since time began and especially since the invasion.

Our only motivation for protecting our stories is our responsibility to the land and surrounding waters and to our people.

We refuse outright to recognise your Commission as having any right to decide whether we have fabricated anything, when we know that we have not.

There is also the issue that there is to be a Federal enquiry into this matter. We are prepared to

33

CONTINUED

1 participate and co-operate fully with this inquiry, 2 because we feel that we can be confident that this 3 inquiry will investigate the matters sensitively and appropriately and with the respect our spirital beliefs 5 warrant. We believe the Federal inquiry will achieve 6 the appropriate goals of uncovering the actual 7 fabrications in this matter and the motives and 8 corruption associated with those fabrications, determine 9 who is to benefit from those fabrications and make the 10 necessary recommendations. 11 The timing of Premier Brown's Royal Commission is 12 entirely inappropriate. The Federal Court is still to 13 determine the appeal before it and there is an appeal 14 before the South Australian Supreme Court that has yet 15 to be determined against the refusal yesterday to grant 16 an injunction. What is the hurry? Whose interests are 17 being served by the holding of this Royal Commission 18 now? 19 In addition to that, the women come today with two 20 items they wish to show you, traditional items. One is 21 a women's law ceremonial stick and one is a painting. 22 COMSR: You will recognise that I have shown a 23 great deal of latitude as far as your statement is concerned. After all, this is an inquiry. However, 24 25 there must be some limits. What is it that you wish me to do? 26 27 The women have come here today with two MS O'CONNOR: 28 traditional items important to the knowledge that this 29 inquiry is about. One is a painting -30 COMSR: You wish to tender them? 31 MS O'CONNOR: No, they simply wish to show them to 32 vour Honour.

CJ 1C

1	One is a painting and one is a ceremonial - a women's
2	law ceremony stick which is about women's law and which
2 3	they wish to show to your Honour. They are secret
4 5	women's business items and they would like to show them
	to your Honour if all the men would vacate the room.
6	COMSR: I am sure we might be able to arrange
7	that perhaps in a more convenient way if you wish to
8	show me something of that nature. However, as I say,
9	I've been showing you a great deal of latitude,
10	considering the nature of your statement. I think I
11	will deal with the rest of the applications, if any,
12	before me and consider what you're requesting me to do
13	in respect of that. See, if the women are not going to
14	appear for any purpose other than to make a statement, I
15	take it that they are not wishing to lead any evidence?
16	MS O'CONNOR: At this stage, I am simply instructed
17	that the women do not seek to be represented before this
18	Commission. They are the extent of my instructions.
19	COMSR: I am, of course, able to inform myself
20	in any way concerning the matters that are the subject
21	of the inquiry, so that I would propose to view these
22	items, not by having all the males leave the room but by
23	arranging a suitable place where the items can be shown
24	to me. That, I take it, is the extent of your
25	appearance?
26	MS O'CONNOR: That's correct.
27	COMSR: Are there any other matters?
28	MR TOBIN: I am Frederick Tobin and I would like to
29	seek permission to make representation as a concerned
30	citizen.
31	COMSR: I'm afraid that it's necessary for you
32	to demonstrate some special interest over and above that
33	of the normal member of the public. Let me say that the
34	making of statements is not really part of the function
35	of the inquiry, but certainly I wouldn't propose to
36	allow a member of the public who has no interest that
37	could be called `special interest' to make a statement
38	to the Commission. If you wish to put in a document of

CJ 1C

```
some sort to counsel assisting me, by all means do so.
2
                       Especially to look at the philosophical
    MR TOBIN:
      implications.
    COMSR:
                      Yes. I think I've made the situation
5
      clear.
6
         Are there any other applications before the
7
      Commission at this stage? I will indicate that I
8
      propose, at this stage, to adjourn the Commission until
9
      next Monday and at that stage I will deal with the issue
10
       of the terms upon which application for leave to appear
11
       is given. Also, I'll hear submissions on the Terms of
12
       Reference and submissions on the issues of prejudice,
13
       confidentiality and privacy and any other matters of a
       preliminary nature. But, in order to expedite the
14
15
       hearing of any such applications, I request that any
16
       person who would intend to make such a preliminary
17
       application advise counsel assisting the Commission of
18
       the nature of that application by 4 p.m. on Friday, 21
19
       July 1995.
20
         I understand that persons appearing, if represented
21
       by counsel, have been supplied with a copy of the
22
       proposed procedural directions. If not, such copies are
23
       available for any counsel who wish them.
24
         I propose now, subject to any other applications -
25
       there are none - I propose then to adjourn until Monday,
26
       24 July 1995 at 10.15 a.m.
    ADJOURNED 11.15 A.M. TO MONDAY, 24 JULY 1995 AT 10.15 A.M.
27
```

COMSR STEVENS 3 HINDMARSH ISLAND BRIDGE ROYAL COMMISSION 5 MONDAY, 24 JULY 1995 6 7 RESUMING 10.15 A.M. 8 COMSR: Before we commence today, there is one 9 matter I wish to clarify as there appears to be some 10 misunderstanding by the media concerning it. It was 11 reported that on opening day after adjourning the 12 hearing until today, I held a secret session or hearing 13 with a group of Aboriginal women. That is not correct. 14 The Commission did not reconvene in any secret or 15 private session. If that had been my intention, I would have announce it to you. 16 17 After I had adjourned the hearing, in answer to a 18 request by a group of Aboriginal women to view two items 19 as a matter of courtesy, I agreed to do so. Their 20 counsel had already told me that they were not seeking 21 to lead evidence and, on that basis. I viewed the two 22 items. The view did not amount to a session or hearing, 23 secret or otherwise, of the Commission and nothing that 24 was seen or said amounts to evidence before this 25 Commission. Consistent with the latitude I allowed their counsel 26 27 in making a statement, my intention was to demonstrate 28 the readiness of the Commission to listen to the 29 concerns of all persons involved in the issues before it 30 who might appear before this Commission. 31 MR SMITH: The programme for today is: first, to 32 hear submissions from counsel on the conduct and ambit 33 of the Terms of Reference; secondly, to hear submissions 34 on any procedural matters, such as matters related to 35 confidentiality, prejudice, et cetera; and, thirdly, to 36 hear submissions, if it is appropriate at this time, on 37 the questions of conditions to be imposed on leave to 38 appear, rights of cross-examination, et cetera.

1	I indicate to you and to those at the bar table that
	I will not be making an opening statement this morning,
2 3	but I can indicate that I will be asking you to adjourn
4	to Thursday of this week, the 27th, at which time it is
5	envisaged evidence will commence. Thank you.
6	MR STEELE: I think I'm the only person here who has
7	not sought and obtained leave to appear. My name is
8	Steele and I do seek leave to appear on behalf of Dr
9	Neale Draper an anthropologist and archaeologist. Dr
10	Draper provided a report which is pertinent to the
11	matters before you.
12	HIS HONOUR: Mr Steele, I will give you leave to
13	appear and I will hear you on the conditions of that
14	leave to appear subsequently.
15	MR TILMOUTH: I seek leave to make a submission at
16	some stage in relation to representation. You may
17	recall that last Wednesday I appeared to announce who I
18	represented, but couldn't seek leave to appear at that
19	stage. That is still my position. I wish to explain
20	that at an appropriate stage. In short, at this stage,
21	the men I represent have no legal aid funding of any
22	kind whatsoever.
23	COMSR: Yes, Mr Tilmouth. Mr Smith, do you wish
24	to be heard on the question of Terms of Reference at
25	this stage?
26	MR SMITH: I think it would be appropriate if I
27	brought up the rear and that submissions be made in
28	order of seniority.
29	MR ABBOTT: Madam, we want to make some submissions
30	in relation to your terms of your Commission. We want
31	to make the submissions primarily directed towards the
32	procedure that you should follow, which we say is
33	mandated by the terms of the your Royal Commission.
34	May I take you to the preamble. You will note that
35	there are six numbered sections by way of preamble which
36	leads to a statement:
37	`I, the Governor, with the advice and the consent of the
38	Executive Council, do hereby appoint you to be a Royal

37

38

1 Commissioner to inquire into and report upon the matters 2 set out in the following Terms of Reference'. 3 Then, there is, in essence, really one substantial Term 4 of Reference which is at the top of p.2 of the copy 5 which I have which is, namely: 6 `Whether the "women's business" or any aspect of the 7 "women's business" was a fabrication ...' et cetera. 8 I observe that 'women's business' is, to some 9 extent, defined, not exclusively but inclusively, as 10 meaning: 11 `The spiritual and cultural significance of Hindmarsh 12 and Mundoo Islands, the waters of the Goolwa channel, 13 Lake Alexandrina and Murray Mouth within the Aboriginal 14 tradition of the Ngarrindjeri women which is crucial for 15 the reproduction of the Ngarrindjeri people and the 16 cosmos which supports their existence. 17 I'm not quite sure where that definition came from. I point out that it contains the phrase `Aboriginal 18 19 tradition', which in turn is, we submit, some definition 20 to an exclusive definition which is contained 21 immediately above the inclusive definition of `women's 22 business'. 23 We say that the content of your Terms of Reference 24 can be seen by looking at the specifics of `Aboriginal tradition', 'women's business', and also by going back 25 26 to the preamble on p.1. 27 Perhaps if I take it in order and I can more 28 properly approach my submissions in this way. In 29 para.2 of your Commission, we read: 30 In making the said declaration, the aforesaid Minister' 31 - that is The Honourable Robert Tickner - `Relied on a 32 report made to him by Professor Cheryl Saunders OA which report made reference to secret "women's business" which 33 34 was more particularly described in sealed envelopes 35 annexed to or attached to the report.' 36 I observe that `secret women's business' is not in

anywhere defined or the subject of any attempted

definition. That is, in our submission, of some

38

1 importance because in para.3 we read: 2 `There have been allegations that the "secret women's 3 business" is a fabrication.' The drafter of this Royal Commission, on the one hand, 5 uses 'secret women's business' in paragraph no.2 and paragraph no.3 and then deals with 'women's business' in 6 7 para.4 and `women's business' in the Terms of Reference. 8 One of the matters that you will have to consider is 9 the interaction of `women's business' as an expression 10 and 'secret women's business' as another expression used 11 in your Terms of Reference. We suggest that really what 12 the Royal Commission is talking about is for you to 13 examine, or, first of all, to obtain the material in the 14 envelopes which is the secret women's business material, 15 because it is that which is, in essence, what the 16 women's business is that you are to inquire into and 17 18 I point out that the third paragraph of the preamble 19 20 `There have been allegations that the "secret women's 21 business" is a fabrication.' 22 But your Terms of Reference are whether the women's 23 business, or any aspects of the women's business, was a fabrication. We say that and do say that the Terms of 24 25 Reference are wider than by using the phrase `women's 26 business'. It is a wider expression than `secret 27 women's business'. 28 In our submission, Term of Reference one should be 29 construed in this way: That you are to inquire into 30 'women's business' generally, and that when the Terms of 31 Reference goes on to say 'Or any aspect of women's 32 business', in our submission, that is a clear indication 33 that you are specifically to examine the secret women's 34 business which is referred to in the preamble. 35 In our submission, what this Royal Commission is all 36 about is an examination of `women's business' as it is 37 referred to in the definition section, which is a

general definition, so it's about women's business in

general. It's about `secret women's business' in particular because the preamble directs your attention to the fact that there were allegations made by unspecified persons that the secret women's business was a fabrication. So, you are directed to inquire into and report upon women's business in general, the secret women's business in particular and to investigate whether or not any aspect, including the specific aspect of secret women's business, was fabricated and, if so, the circumstances relating to such fabrication to the extent of such fabrication and the purpose of such fabrication.

It would seem to us essential, therefore, that the 'secret women's business', as it is referred to in the preamble - namely, material which is in the sealed envelopes and which was annexed to the report of Professor Cheryl Saunders AO - should be produced to this Commission. It would, in our submission, entirely frustrate the Commission were those envelopes not to be produced, because, unless you know what the secret women's business is - either the generality of it or the specifics of it - you will have no way in which you can test the criticisms that are made in respect of the generality to see if they are correct and, if so, what weight you give them.

I understand that my learned friend, Miss Layton, has given an undertaking to the Federal Court that those envelopes - and I'm only relying upon what I read in the media - will be retained to abide the result of the Federal Court appeal in another matter. I would assume that steps have been made by counsel assisting to obtain them, so that - or at least to ascertain the position of the ALRM in relation to those envelopes - so that we can see whether or not they will or will not be produced for consideration by this Commission.

The other aspect which is important is the question of fabrication. My reading of the materials, that is the media materials that I have, suggests that the word

1

38

`fabrication' was one which was first utilised by 2 members of the media in describing some concerns that 3 they, as members of the media, felt about the way in which Professor Saunders proceeded and, indeed, the way 5 in which some of the informants of Professor Saunders 6 proceeded, principally Dr Fergie and Ms Doreen 7 Kartinyeri. 8 **INTERJECTOR:** Dr Kartinyeri if you please. 9 COMSR: Dr Doreen Kartinyeri. 10 MR ABBOTT: I will refer to Miss Kartinyeri as Dr 11 Kartinyeri from now on. 12 **INTERJECTOR:** Show a bit of respect please. 13 MR ABBOTT: The situation, as we see it, is that you 14 will need to know just what the secret women's business 15 is and to investigate and come to a view in relation to 16 the issue of fabrication. You will need to decide, not 17 necessarily at the outset but at some stage during the 18 course of your inquiry, what meaning you attach to the 19 word `fabrication'. 20 As I'm sure you are aware, there are a number of 21 meanings to the word `fabricate'. We have had recourse 22 to the normal avenues of dictionaries and it is obvious, 23 of course, that 'fabrication' is open to a number of 24 meanings. The Oxford English Dictionary speaks of two 25 main definitions: The first being `making or 26 constructing'; and, the second being `inventing in the 27 sense of lying or embroidering, forging or making up a 28 story'. It may be proposed to proceed on this basis 29 that you adopt the more conservative definition 30 initially, keeping your options open as to the evidence 31 that you hear and the cross-examination on whether or 32 not the women's business/secret women's business was 33 manufactured or constructed on the one hand, or 34 invented, in the sense of made up - that is, as a false 35 and incorrect statement - on the other. It is one thing 36 to manufacture and construct, it is another to devise or 37 invent. But, it would appear to us to be essential for

you, given the Terms of Reference in 1(a) (b) and (c)

that unless you come to a decision as to the interpretation of the word `fabrication', you will be hard pressed to find what are the relevant circumstances, what is the relevant extent and what is the relevant purpose.

I emphasise that it would appear to us to be the purpose of this Commission to establish when and in what circumstances certain secret women's business came into existence, how was it created; how it was created and whether it was created upon a substratum of existing tradition, and, if so, what that existing substratum was.

For the benefit of those who seem to regard what I'm saying as in some way critical, I stress I'm making those submissions with the view of endeavouring to assist you because it seems to us that there are a number of interpretations that are possible on your Terms of Reference and that you will, no doubt, have to consider all of them and come to a view, having considered all the possible permutations.

The secret women's business which I must return to, because it looms large in the preamble - and may I say something about the position of the ladies whom I represent. They have not been able to see what is in the envelopes. They have made statements and, in due course, will, no doubt, be called and give evidence at this stage not having seen what is in the envelopes, and it will be very difficult for them to give all the evidence they want to give without being allowed to be told the substance of what is in these envelopes. I say that because obviously some of their statements are based upon a degree of assumption from the published utterances of Dr Doreen Kartinyeri and others who are, as it were, on her side of this matter.

In essence, therefore, you will need, in our submission, to know exactly what the secret women's business is. Further, you will need to decide the interaction of the secret women's business in those

1

38

envelopes with the expression 'women's business' 2 generally, because that is what your Term of Reference 3 is about. At present, we do not even know whether the secret 5 women's business falls within the definition of `women's 6 business' which is in your Terms of Reference, because, 7 apart from Dr Kartinyeri, Dr Fergie, Dr Saunders and 8 presumably some selected others, we are all in the dark 9 about the content of that material. 10 We, in due course, will be making submissions as to 11 the way in which that material can be disseminated with 12 the appropriate safeguards on it to protect the concerns 13 that some people, obviously, have about the 14 dissemination of the material. But that is not part of 15 the submissions I want to make at present. 16 Those general comments are what we want to say at 17 18 MR TILMOUTH: It would be appropriate if I go next. 19 COMSR: I understand at the present time that 20 you haven't been given leave. You are neither seeking, 21 nor have you been given leave. 22 MR TILMOUTH: I wish to make submissions to the 23 Commission about that and, more particularly, 24 submissions relating to the meaning of the Terms of 25 Reference in 1(a), the circumstances relating to such a 26 fabrication. 27 COMSR: And certainly, Mr Tilmouth, I welcome such submissions, but I am unclear what your status is 28 29 at the present time as I understand it? 30 MR TILMOUTH: Can I put it this way: The problem is and the reason why we haven't sought formally to 31 32 intervene is that all the Terms of Reference talk about 33 is `fabrication', but we have received no particulars at 34 all about whether any of the people I represent are said 35 to be involved. So, we are operating entirely in the 36 37 My submission to you was that the word

`circumstances' relating to such a fabrication must

1	necessarily include such things as: time or times, place
2	or places of fabrication, who was alleged to have been
3	present and who was alleged to have said what, if
4	anything, relating to the matter.
5	At the moment we understand there may be allegations
6	that some of the people I represent were present, were
7	involved, but we have no details. This is a very
3	important preliminary matter and we have a general term
9	for the Royal Commission, but there is absolutely no
0	flesh on the bone whatever.
	~ ~

11 CONTINUED

RF_{2B}

COMSR: This is an inquiry and, of course, it is 2 expected that the flesh will get on the bone during the course of the inquiry. MR TILMOUTH: That is my difficulty. How can I 5 represent anybody until we know what it is said, if 6 anything, that they did or did not do? We stand 7 completely in a vacuum here. Things have been said in 8 the press which may or may not be reliable and at the 9 moment we have simply been given no particulars about 10 involvement whatsoever. 11 MR SMITH: If I could be heard and interrupt my 12 learned friend? This is not an appropriate request to 13 make, particulars in a Royal Commission. In any event, 14 as much as I can, I have provided my learned friend's 15 solicitor with a view about the way in which his 16 clients, or at least some of them, are involved. 17 That information did not constitute formal 18 particulars in the way we understand it in a criminal 19 trial or a civil trial, but I would suggest to my 20 learned friend that if he confers with his instructing 21 solicitor, he will know what, in broad terms, is alleged 22 against his clients, or some of them, and he should have 23 leave and make submissions on that basis, rather than 24 have a foot in both camps, as it were. Is he appearing for the men? Does he have leave? Therefore, does he 25 26 have standing to make submissions about the terms of 27 reference? 28 COMSR: Mr Tilmouth, as I have indicated I, of 29 course, would welcome hearing anything from you by way 30 of submission, providing that you have leave to appear, 31 but I understand you are not seeking such leave. It may 32 well be, of course, that you will have instructions to 33 do so, but for the present I think it is a little 34 premature for you to be seeking to advance the argument. 35 MR TILMOUTH: It is impossible to get instructions on 36 virtually nothing. We wrote on 10 July pointing out our 37 interest in the matter and who we represented. We

RF_{2B}

1 sought assistance for legal funding. We have not had 2 the courtesy from anybody of a formal response. 3 The Attorney-General has gone on television on 15 4 July saying people will be funded, but as yet nothing 5 has been done about our application and your proper 6 inquiry, with respect, necessitates that the 7 circumstances are adequately investigated. That includes a proper and reasonable cross-examination and a 8 9 proper advance inquiry about all the participants. 10 We cannot do any of those things because we do not 11 know what is being alleged and we have got no money in 12 which to embark upon a proper process. The men I 13 represent have not even been given proper preliminary 14 legal advice about the implications of this Royal Commission for them. 15 16 COMSR: I think that is a matter that you should 17 discuss with counsel assisting, but as at present, as I 18 say, you are not making the application for leave to 19 appear and, therefore, I cannot invite you to make 20 submissions on the terms of reference, but I will 21 certainly hear from you if and when it should be the 22 case that you do appear. 23 MR TILMOUTH: As I said, we are put in this invidious 24 position. 25 COMSR: Yes, I understand your position and I am inviting you to take it up with counsel assisting. 26 MR TILMOUTH: 27 We are doing that. 28 COMSR: But not during the course of that 29 hearing. 30 MR TILMOUTH: I understand, and I would not do that, 31 but we have been doing that constantly and we cannot get 32 an answer. May I say one thing and I will leave it at 33 that? I understand, as well, that the Premier, for whom 34 this Royal Commission was instituted - it is by command of the Premier - has made public statements that he does 35 36 not believe that the secret envelopes would be part of 37 this inquiry.

RF_{2B}

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

COMSR: Again, that is a matter that goes to 2 what the terms of reference mean and that is the matter 3 on which I have said I really cannot hear you at this 5 MR TILMOUTH: I will leave it at that but, in my 6 submission, when looking at the terms of reference the 7 public statements of the Premier are very useful in 8 construing what he meant. 9 That may be so, when your position COMSR: 10 becomes clarified I will hear you on all those matters. 11 Who is next? 12 MS LAYTON: I think in terms of seniority I am. If I could first of all deal with the terms of reference. 13

I could first of all deal with the terms of reference.
The terms of reference, as Mr Abbott has pointed out,
does have a reference in the preamble to `Secret women's
business' in the two paragraphs that he has illustrated
and then, when one gets to the terms of reference proper
as distinct from the preamble, it refers to `Women's
business' only without a reference to `Secret women's
business'.

As he points out in the definitions towards the

As he points out in the definitions towards the bottom of the page `Women's business' is described in a very broad sense, as is `Aboriginal tradition'. Whether it is inclusive or exclusive does not make a difference from the point of view of the submission I may wish to make at this point.

The ALRM submit that there are a number of ways in which one could look at what is meant by `Women's business' in a practical sense and much of that depends on what the allegations of fabrication are. In other words, this Royal Commission has been brought about by allegations made with respect to fabrication of women's business, but bearing in mind those allegations have come from a quarter that Mr Abbott represents, it seems to be putting the cart before the horse to say that a whole lot of things ought to be proffered, including envelopes which his clients say they have never read, in in order to come to the approach that the commission

RF 2B

should take to the taking of evidence and the order of evidence and the whole process.

Our submission is that where what is alleged is unspecified - namely, that it is an allegation that `Women's business' is fabricated without saying what aspect of `Women's business' - is it that which was told to Dr Fergie? Is it that which was told to Professor Saunders? Is it that which is in the secret envelopes? - it is for the persons making the allegations to assert the fabrication and not to defend the impossible and the unknown and the unspecified.

This is where the submission that Mr Tilmouth has made in respect of his client also stands generically, I would submit, for all persons who come before this commission who are not making assertions of fabrication. What is it that they are meant to answer? I talk here primarily and obviously for Aboriginal people because the ALRM represents the protection of the legal rights of Aboriginal people, but I say it would also be true in respect of most other persons who would be coming before the commission.

We would submit that, in the absence of an opening address by counsel assisting the commission, to know what the fabrication is that is alleged, without statements from the persons who say there has been fabrication, there can be no preparation by any witness for any statement about any aspect of fabrication. It is the most unusual commission to start evidence without an opening and to start evidence without being given statements.

31 COMSR: I do not think that is intended, Ms 32 Layton, that the evidence will be -

MS LAYTON: We are on Monday and I understand that we are to start Thursday and we have got nothing. This is the most rapid commission. This is no disrespect to you, commissioner, because you have been given a time frame in which to operate and have got to do the best you can within that time frame, but the net practical

4 5

reality is that there is nothing on the table from which we can ascertain what it is that we are meant to do.

If it is the broad definition of `Women's business', and we would submit that the terms of reference would lend itself to that, then that means that `Women's business' is looked at in the broad, but, again, it depends on what are the allegations of fabrication that are made?

Assuming them to be broad, and Mr Abbott seems to preface that they are broad, then that encompasses all sorts of things in respect of those who may wish to tender evidence about whether or not it is fabrication. That goes for both sides. Insofar as people may be wishing to have material that is relevant to the broad, again, they would have to have a focus to enable them to assess what aspects are relevant. In particular, anthropological evidence.

If I can move away from specific examples of the conspiracy aspect that may suggest itself, but into the anthropological. In order to look at women's business, one needs to look at it in a context of Aboriginal tradition. It is not taken in isolation, but, again, what is it that has been fabricated?

So our starting point is, first of all, it would be for the commission to consider whether `Women's business' is defined in the broad or in the very narrow and, in order to reach that position as a starting point at least, one would have to know the extent of the allegations which are being made with respect to its fabrication.

Turning now to the meaning of `Fabricated', as my friend Mr Abbott has indicated, there are a number of possible interpretations of that. We would assume that that would incorporate also: is it referring to a plan by a person or persons to lie about the existence of women's business? Is that part of the fabrication that is alleged? In which case, what was the plan and between whom? These need to be known so that the

persons who are alleged to have been involved in this plan or construction or manufacture, can know that they are one of the people being spoken of.

The second aspect of fabrication is: Is it not only suggesting that there has been a plan to manufacture women's business, but is it suggesting that women's business in itself is a lie as to its existence and its content? It would seem to me inferential, from what Mr Abbott is saying on behalf of his clients, that both aspects of that may be the subject of allegations which are being proffered by his clients. But those are two possible interpretations and I suspect both apply in respect of this Royal Commission.

With respect to the secret envelopes, this is a matter which the commission will have to decide, but, again, we submit that it depends on the allegations which are being made. Are Abbott's clients submitting that that which they have not read is a fabrication? It is quite a remarkable submission to make, but, in any event, it is not a matter that can even properly come before the commission, to consider whether or not you, Madam Commissioner, should have the secret envelopes before you until we know the extent of the alleged fabrication.

Secondly, this will herald the problems that Dr Gavan Griffith indicated to the commission when he was here on the opening day as to constitutional problems which would emerge because the envelopes themselves were part of a Section 10 Inquiry, and to the extent that the contents of those envelopes are made forcibly available to the commission will immediately bring into play questions of Section 109 inconsistency and, no doubt, the Federal Government will be wished to be heard with respect to that.

So I see the matter there as a twofold problem. One, as to the relevance in the overall context, and, two, if relevant, whether or not, because of

constitutional matters, they may ever be produced to
 this commission.
 The third aspect of that was that my friend
 indicated that I had given an undertaking to the Federal
 Court. The undertaking which I gave to the Federal

Court is that those envelopes would be kept protected and secured until such time as the Federal Court proceedings had been completed or in the event of any

proceedings had been completed or in the event of any High Court challenge, if there be one, being completed.

What happens with respect to that undertaking depends on what request is made and from whom at what point. I have given an undertaking as to their security. That is not synonymous with them being in my custody. To the extent that they are sought, other people's consent would be required and not the least of it would be Mr Tickner.

So, in summary, just on the terms of reference, and I note that there are other matters to also come up for a topic, we would submit the broad interpretation of `Women's business', that fabrication includes the two matters that I submitted, namely, whether or not there was a plan or manufacture, and whether or not women's business is itself a lie or whether it is true.

We also submit that there is nothing that can reasonably be done without knowing the exact allegations of fabrication that are to be proffered by those who allege fabrication and that that should be the starting point of any aspect of what is given to the parties to know what they are meant to face in this commission. I have nothing further on the terms of reference, if the commission please.

32 MR STRATFORD: Commissioner, I do not wish to make a 33 separate representation to you at this stage. I simply 34 adopt the reasoning put forward by Ms Layton.

35 COMSR: Is there anyone who does wish to make a representation?

37 MR WARDLE: I think I am next. The preamble asserts two things of significance to this stage of the inquiry.

1 The first is that there have been allegations and the 2 second is that there have been significant disagreements in relation to those. In my submission, it is not possible for counsel to make any useful statement about 5 the terms of reference until we have at least sufficient 6 particulars to know what the allegations actually are 7 and who made them. 8 Furthermore, we need information about the areas of 9 disagreement that are said to exist in the preamble. So 10 I join with my friend Mr Tilmouth seeking further 11 details, whether they call those particulars or 12 otherwise. 13 MR ABBOTT: Could I make one response to something 14 my learned friend Ms Layton said? 15 COMSR: Yes. MR ABBOTT: 16 My learned friend suggested that my 17 clients were making allegations of fabrication in 18 respect of something they had not read. They are not 19 making allegations of fabrication about something they 20 have not read. 21 The comments and criticisms that they have made -22 and whether they fall within the definition of 23 criticisms amounting to an allegation of fabrication 24 depends upon what construction you put upon that 25 terminology - is in relation to the reported utterances 26 of Dr Kartinyeri, Professor Saunders and Dr Fergie and 27 Dr Draper. In our submission, this Royal Commission 28 could and should not proceed without those who assert 29 that there is secret women's business being called upon 30 to put up or shutup. 31 MS PYKE: I would like to have it noted that I 32 adopt the submissions of Ms Layton and I would also like 33 to say that, at this stage, insofar as Dr Fergie is 34 concerned, we simply do not know what the allegations 35 are, whether there is some suggestion that she has been 36 implicit in some conspiracy to fabricate, whether there is an allegation that her methodology as an 37

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

1 anthropologist was defective. We simply do not know 2 what it is we are going to be called to meet. 3 I understand there is anthropological evidence that may be given. I have not got any statement. I am not 5 able to have my instructor take a proof from Dr Fergie. 6 I understand this evidence is likely to be the first 7 evidence called when the commission opens. I am in the 8 position of simply not having any idea what I am here to 9 represent in terms of representing Dr Fergie's 10 interests. 11 I reiterate that it seems to me that the allegation 12 is that there has been a fabrication. It is important 13 for us to know what it is being alleged. It is putting 14 the cart before the horse to say that secret business must be amplified when what has actually started this 15 16 commission is this allegation that it was fabricated. 17 Certainly there is some basis for the allegation of 18 fabrication and we are entitled to know that so that we 19 can adequately represent the interests of our witnesses. 20 MR STEELE: My instructions are relatively new. So, 21 in a sense, I have to reserve my position on this issue, 22 but in the light of what Mr Abbott has now said, I quite 23 clearly adopt the submissions of Ms Layton, that if it

particulars of that.

MR BOURNE: I foreshadowed previously an application for leave to represent Mr Doug Milera. I said then that he appears to be a person with a special interest in the commission. That certainly is his understanding, an understanding formed as much on assumption at this stage as on materials.

is being alleged that Dr Draper has played some part in

some alleged fabrication, then quite clearly we need

In that regard, as to the unavailability of all of the material relevant for him to assess his position and certainly to seek legal advice in respect to it, I would respectfully adopt what has been said already this morning by Mr Tilmouth and by Ms Layton, but I do seek, nonetheless, to represent Mr Milera at this stage so RF 2B

1 that I can complete the inquiry into relevant material. 2 I can make submissions on the basis that I can, as 3 presently instructed, with the view to providing such information as we can assess as being relevant to the 5 commission. 6 You have leave to appear. COMSR: 7 MR BOURNE: I wish to say, in respect of that leave, that we would not wish it to be taken to be a commitment 8 9 to give evidence in the matter, nor to be represented 10 for the duration of the commission, nor of the hearing. 11 We will assess our position as material is acquired and 12 as we become aware of the allegations which are to be 13 the subject of the inquiry. With respect to what has been said already, it does 14 15 appear to me that a substantial issue arises out of the 16 submissions made by Mr Abbott with respect to whether 17 the terms of reference are different and significantly 18 different from the preamble which follows, particularly 19 in relation to the issue of secret women's business. 20 It does seem to me that the question of whether you 21 are to investigate women's business in a general sense 22 or secret women's business needs to be addressed very 23 closely. We certainly require clarity in respect of 24 that before we can assess our position. My client has no particular knowledge of `Women's 25 26 business' or `Secret women's business'. His involvement 27 is more in relation to other matters. But with respect 28 to whether the inquiry is about 'Women's business' 29 generally or 'Secret women's business' we, for example, 30 would refer or advise the commission of the comments 31 made by the Honourable the Premier in announcing and in

to a question from a reporter: 35 `Will you make them open - '

32

33

34

36 I guess referring here indirectly to the commission:

37 `the Royal Commissioner - open the sealed envelope that

setting up the commission. He is on record, as I

understand it, from an ABC radio interview, in response

38 contains the women's business? I mean that seems to be

```
1
      the central point here.'
2
      The Honourable the Premier replied:
3
       'No, no. My view is that's not the central issue at
      all. In fact, I would doubt very much whether in fact
5
      the Royal Commissioner will be offered the envelopes.'
6
7
      `Well then how can you check whether it's true or not if
8
       you don't look what's in the envelope.'
9
      The reply:
10
       `Well that's not central to the term of reference that's
11
       been prepared by Cabinet. And you can understand that
12
       quite clearly. It has nothing to do - it doesn't refer
13
       to the secret envelopes and we are not expecting the
14
       Royal Commissioner to have the secret envelopes.'
15
                       But what has that got to do with the way
    COMSR:
16
       in which I interpret my terms of reference?
17
     MR BOURNE:
                           As I understood Mr Abbott's submission,
       it was to the effect that you must have the envelopes
18
19
       and you must investigate the secret women's business.
20
       That is the whole point of the commission. If that is
21
       the whole point of the commission, and it would seem
22
       from the preamble to the terms of reference that it
23
       looms large - if it is not the central issue, then what
24
       is the commission to achieve?
25
         The commission is here to investigate things which
26
       are extraneous, that is, 'Women's business' in a more
27
       general wider sense than the concerns that are contained
28
       in the preamble. It does seem that the terms of
29
       reference do not reflect very adequately the preamble,
30
       or they seem to address an issue which is somewhat wider
31
       than the very specific term as is used in the preamble.
32
       So, in order that I can advise my client, I would
33
       certainly be seeking a ruling as to whether `Women's
34
       business', either in the general sense or the more
35
       narrow sense, is to be the focus of the commission.
36
          My client's concerns about the building of the
37
       Hindmarsh Island Bridge are more to do with matters
38
       outside the terms of reference. It is for that reason
```

RF 2B

1	that he is not sure at the present time as to what
2 3	evidence he can usefully give to the commission. It may
3	well be that he elects to give evidence about those
4	matters, that is, the matters of interest to him to the
5	Commonwealth inquiry that has been announced.
6	COMSR: That is a matter that goes more to the
7	issue of the conditions of his leave to appear. At the
8	present moment we are addressing the issue of the terms
9	of reference as they appear in the commission and how
10	they are to be interpreted.
11	MR BOURNE: With respect to those, my client's
12	attitude will depend on what further material is made
13	available to him by way of full disclosure of the
14	material which touches upon him. I understand that he
15	is the subject of radio and/or television interviews
16	which I have not yet seen. That material has not been
17	provided.
18	COMSR: That may be the case, but I still think
19	you are addressing your remarks to the wrong issue at
20	the present moment.
21	MR BOURNE: Making those remarks by way of leading
22	to a comment, I wish to put, as to term 1 of the term of
23	reference, in particular the use in 1(a) of the terms of
24	reference, of the terminology The circumstances
25	relating to such a fabrication', it seems to me that
26	`The circumstances' as used there, must involve the time
27	or times of fabrication, the place or places of
28	fabrication, those who were present at that time and
29	place, and who said what in relation to the fabrication.
30	COMSR: I understand that to be the matters into
31 32	which the commission is to inquire.
33	MR BOURNE: My client's attitude towards allegations
34	of that sort will have to be formulated in response to
3 4 35	the material which is available which suggests that he
36	has knowledge about each of those things. At the
37	present time, I have a number of assumptions about those
31	things, but not full disclosure, and it seems that the

RF 2B

1	other parties are complaining of lack of full disclosure
2	as to exactly what those allegations are.
3	COMSR: This is not a trial though. This is an
4	inquiry into -
5	INTERJECTOR: It is a monkey show.
6	COMSR: Just a moment. This is a preliminary
7	matter on a legal issue and I would expect people in the
8	hearing to be quiet while these matters are raised so
9	that I can follow the arguments that are being put.
10	CONTINUED
11	

38

COMSR:

```
MR BOURNE:
                          My argument is in order to advise my
2
       client and obtain instructions and prepare for the
3
       hearing with respect to, in particular, the
4
       circumstances of the fabrication. I need to know what
5
       are and are not. Necessarily, today, I will need to
6
       know to enable me to prepare the matter the time and
7
       times of the alleged fabrication, who was present and
8
       what is alleged was said. Once I have the material, I
9
       will be in a position to obtain his instructions in
10
       relation to that particular Term of Reference.
11
    COMSR:
                       You're equating this as something of a
12
       request for particulars in the file; is that what you
13
       are saying?
14
    MR BOURNE:
                          To the extent that my client can
       identify himself as a person with a special interest to
15
16
       the Commission and give evidence, we need to know the
17
       matter about which it is suggested he can give evidence.
18
       At this stage, that is particularly unclear and that is
19
       the effect of what I say.
20
     INTERJECTOR:
                           No-one knows.
21
    MR BOURNE:
                          With respect to your comment as to it
22
       not being a trial, none the less with respect to the
23
       allegation that there has been a fabrication, that
24
       clearly, it seems to me, is an allegation which conveys
25
       some criminal intent. The question of onus and the
26
       proof will clearly assume some significance.
27
          It seems from what Mr Abbott has said, for example,
28
       that the onus should be on those who are alleged to have
29
       fabricated to prove beyond reasonable doubt, or to prove
30
       in some way they did not fabricate. Obviously, other
31
       parties would suggest that the onus is on those who are
32
       making the allegation to disclose what the allegation is
33
       and for them to meet whatever is the appropriate onus.
34
          In respect of that, we suggest that there is at
35
       least a Briggenshawl-type onus on those who are making
36
       the allegation. So that my client can answer that, we
37
       would seek full disclosure of what the allegations are.
```

Are there any other counsel who wishes

to address any submissions as to the Terms of Reference?
 Counsel assisting.
 MR SMITH: As to the complaint that has been

MR SMITH: As to the complaint that has been repeated a number of times concerning the lack of particularity, can I remind counsel at the bar table that this is an inquiry. An `inquiry' is held by definition because, in large measure, apart from allegations and comments in places such as the media about controversy, the case is unknown and the case will unfold in the course of the inquiry and the investigation.

I can provide to counsel a general picture of what I now know of their involvement and I will do that, and have done that. But it may be that I cannot do more and I submit to you that the general gist of the interest that various people here at the bar table have is known to them. But I will do my best to provide more, but it won't be in the nature of particulars, it cannot be.

As to my submission as to the Terms of Reference, can I start by dealing with the structure of the Commission document itself. Clauses one to five of the preamble set out, in effect, the mischief sought to be addressed by the inquiry. To some extent, those preamble clauses are a flagging of what is alleged and not alleged and what the province is.

Clause 6, the final clause of the preamble, sets out, in effect, what I would submit is a brief to you, or rather what the Government hopes to be able to achieve from your report in this matter. The core term in the Commission documents is the first Term of Reference, clause one. The balance of the terms are two to five and the definition addresses the ways and means by which the core function of the Commission is to be addressed.

I go now to clause one and `women's business' first of all. `Women's business' in clause one is clearly that which exists in appendix 2, and, as I understand it, is further explained in appendix 3 in the sealed

38

```
1
      envelopes. Because, Commissioner, the definition of
2
       `women's business' in the final paragraph of the Terms
3
      of Reference picks up the description of `women's
4
       business' in the report of Professor Cheryl Saunders
5
      dated July 1994 which, in turn, picks up the description
6
      from the report of Dr Deane Fergie dated June 1994 which
7
      will eventually be the subject of evidence. The
8
      preamble, namely clause 2, also makes it clear.
         In relation to 'women's business' - and I agree with
9
10
       my learned friends Mr Abbott and Miss Layton that there
11
       is, first of all, a broad interpretation of `women's
12
       business' and any aspect of it would capture, in
13
       particular, secret women's business.
          As to the confidential appendices 2 and 3, this is a
14
15
       difficult area and the Commission is alert to this. I
16
       submit, although I accept that it's a matter for your
17
       decision, that the contents of the confidential
18
       appendices 2 and 3 will need to be seen by at least
19
       these people: Yourself, all the Ngarrindjeri women
20
       represented in this inquiry in accordance with
21
       Aboriginal tradition and perhaps female anthropologists
22
       or historians involved in this hearing.
23
         I draw to the attention of yourself that the
24
       envelopes themselves, as the report of Professor
25
       Saunders indicates, is marked `To be read by women
26
       only'.
    INTERJECTOR:
27
                           That's right, not you.
28
    COMSR:
                      I would ask that people sitting in the
29
       hearing room not make comments because it interrupts the
30
       flow of an argument and makes it difficult for me to
31
       follow the course of the argument, so I ask of you the
32
       courtesy that you not interrupt while counsel's
33
       addressing what is, after all, a legal argument to me.
34
                        I make the -
    MR SMITH:
                           It's not a legal argument.
35
    INTERJECTOR:
36
    COMSR:
                       Just a moment.
37
    MR SMITH:
                        I make the point that the envelopes
```

themselves are marked `To be read by women only', and I

38

1 wouldn't be seeking to read them myself at any stage. 2 I point out also in relation to this phrase in the 3 Terms of Reference that the privilege of knowing what was in the envelopes has been extended, as the evidence 5 will establish, to: Dr Deane Fergie in June 1994, 6 Professor Cheryl Saunders in June 1949 and the 7 Minister's assistant Susan Kee in July 1994. Further, 8 it appears from the reports to hand and in particular 9 the report of Professor Saunders, that on 20 June at 10 Goolwa, the secret women's business was extended to some 11 of the younger women in the group of 35 gathered at a 12 meeting on that date. 13 I indicate that in relation to the secret appendices, the Royal Commission has, by letter late 14 15 last week, requested that my learned friend Miss Layton 16 QC obtain instructions to disclose the contents of the 17 confidential envelopes to certain of the Ngarrindjeri 18 women represented here. 19 I move to the question then in relation to women's 20 business. What does the mention of that in the Terms of 21 Reference mean in terms of what evidence will need to be 22 the subject of this inquiry? It's my submission that 23 the scope and presentation of evidence as to this Term 24 of Reference, in so far as it relates to women's 25 business, is really demonstrated by the two definition 26 sections in the Terms of Reference themselves. To 27 determine whether women's business is fabricated, it's 28 necessary for the Commission to examine women's business 29 within the Aboriginal tradition of the Ngarrindjeri 30 people. The Aboriginal tradition is defined in the 31 Terms of Reference as being: 32 `The body of traditions, observances, customs and 33 beliefs of Aborigines generally or of a particular 34 community or group of Aborigines and includes any such 35 traditions, observances, customs or beliefs relating to 36 particular persons, areas, objects or relationships.' 37 So, therefore, this inquiry, in looking at the

question of women's business, will necessarily look at

1 the Aboriginal biological, the Ngarrindjeri history, 2 anthropological, archaeological history. The inquiry 3 will also necessarily examine the process by which 4 Aboriginal communities became involved in the Hindmarsh 5 Island development, including the construction of the 6 bridge. It will be the process by which Aboriginal 7 people became involved in the construction of the bridge 8 which will be the Commission's focus, not, of course, 9 whether the bridge should be build, the economic 10 considerations, conservation considerations, et cetera. 11 I turn to the question of fabrication, which is the 12 next consideration in clause one. I will hand to you -13 and I won't read from a series of dictionary definitions 14 of the word `fabricated' and `fabrication', but I'm 15 referring to, for the sake of the record, the Third 16 Edition of the Oxford Dictionary, the First Edition of 17 the Macquarie Dictionary and the Collins Dictionary of 18 the English language, Second Edition. The distillation 19 of those dictionary definitions, rather than read them 20 out, produces, arguably, three aspects or meanings to 21 the transitive verb `to fabricate' -22 INTERJECTOR: Wasn't `to fabricate'. 23 MR SMITH: The word comes from the Latin word 24 `fabricare': to build or to make. I submit to you, 25 Madam Commissioner, that there are, in the context of this matter, three possible interpretations, or arguable 26 27 interpretations, of `to fabricate' or `fabrication'. 28 They are: Firstly, to make or create something new or 29 novel from actually existing components; secondly, to 30 make up or create something out of nothing, but not 31 necessarily with an intent to deceive or lie; and, 32 thirdly, to make up a story, tell a deliberate lie. 33 The Terms of Reference then require you, 34 Commissioner, if you find there to be a fabrication, 35 then you turn to the circumstances relating to such 36 fabrication, the extent of such fabrication and the 37 purpose of such fabrication. 38

If women's business is a fabrication or any aspect

of it is a fabrication, it is clear that in coming to that conclusion, you will have explored all three of those matters in any event; that is, you will have looked at the circumstances relating to the issue, you will have looked at the extent of it, you will have heard details about the purpose of it. My submission to you there is that the way in which that is phrased in clause one, you will be addressing your mind to what the Government wish you to report on.

I will now quickly deal with the balance of the clauses which I have called the directives to you about the conduct of the inquiry. First of all, you are directed to avoid prejudicing any judicial proceedings which are presently extant. You are directed to protect confidentiality. Your attention is directed to s.35 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act. You are required to look sensitively at matters of confidence, and that sort of thing.

Can I deal, first of all, with Professor Saunders' report which is at the vanguard of previous judicial proceedings. This inquiry will not be investigating the report of Professor Cheryl Saunders of July 1994 obtained by the Federal Minister pursuant to s.10(4) of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders Act, 1984. The Commission has no interest and ought to have no interest in looking at what Professor Cheryl Saunders should or should not have done, or otherwise, as to the conclusion reached in her report. Indeed, to do so would be contrary to clause 3(a) and perhaps unconstitutional. However, this Commission is entitled and must inquire into the subject matter which constitutes the surrounding circumstances of Professor Saunders' report.

The second matter is the Federal Court action. The Federal Court action between Thomas, Wendy and Andrew Chapman v the Federal Minister & Ors cannot be prejudiced by this inquiry. Again, that action concerns, if I could broadly describe it, the

36

37

38

1 administrative efficacy of the Minister's decision, or 2 the exercise of power by the Minister under the 3 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders Act 1984. This inquiry is not concerned with and cannot be concerned 5 with how the Minister exercised this power and whether 6 or not what he did was properly reviewable. 7 Again, the subject matter of and the circumstances 8 leading up to that declaration will and can be the 9 subject of this inquiry. 10 The third matter which needs care and sensitivity in 11 this inquiry is the question of racial discrimination 12 and the Racial Discrimination Act of the Commonwealth, 13 1975. It is my submission to you that the Terms of 14 Reference direct to you that sort of problem, and 15 clearly any compulsion on the Ngarrindjeri Aboriginals 16 to divulge, in contravention of Aboriginal tradition, their beliefs would have the potential to breach the Racial Discrimination Act. Therefore, you, Madam 17 18 19 Commissioner, will take care in this sensitive area. 20 Fourthly, confidential matters of Aboriginal 21 tradition, subject to the terms of authorisation of the 22 Minister under s.35 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act, the 23 South Australian Act, the following matters will, I 24 submit, need some care in this inquiry. If there is 25 evidence that there may be a divulgence which amounts to 26 a contravention of Aboriginal tradition, then the 27 Commissioner will take such evidence in camera and the 28 evidence itself will be prohibited from publication. 29 Nextly, more specifically, if there is evidence 30 which is or is claimed to be secret women's business, 31 then, additionally, the hearing room can be cleared of 32 33 Sixthly, there may be other categories of evidence 34 which may give rise to concern and require the taking of 35 such steps so as to protect confidentiality.

They are my submissions.

COMSR: I don't know if there are any further submissions on that point? I wouldn't propose to give

```
1
       my ruling on the meaning of the Terms of Reference this
2
       morning.
3
    MS LAYTON:
                          Could I ask a point of clarification. I
       want to see whether counsel assisting was indicating
5
       that he was not going to give a public opening. As I
       understood - and I might have inferred wrongly, and this
6
7
       is the information I wish to clarify - as I understand
8
       it, he was going to communicate individually to people
9
       who may be involved in it, to say what their involvement
       might be which. That seems, with respect, an
10
11
       inappropriate process where it should be, in fact,
12
       public.
13
          The trouble is that all of the people here are
14
       interrelated in some way and it should be by way of a
       public opening that says: `This is the way the evidence
15
16
       is to be led'.
17
    COMSR:
                       We have been assured that there will be
18
       an opening before any evidence is taken.
                          In particular, whether there is time for
19
    MS LAYTON:
20
       people to take instructions. I mean, my friend has
21
       indicated that certainly at this time there will be an
22
       opening. Looking at the biological side as he said it,
23
       I don't know quite how that fits, the archaeological
24
       side and the traditional evidence with respect to the
25
       Aboriginal tradition? This is an area in particular
26
       that my client is significantly interested in and we
27
       would have to have some forewarning of what it is that
28
       the witnesses will say that they intend calling, because
29
       these are areas in which we have a very specific
30
       concern.
31
    COMSR:
                       You can take those concerns up with
32
       counsel assisting, but I'm sure that if you find that
33
       you have a problem there, that you will be addressing
34
       some submission to me concerning that.
35
    MS LAYTON:
                          I am heralding that if there is not
36
       sufficient time, (a), we submit that this opening should
37
       be public. It shouldn't be a matter of conferring
38
       individually with any particular people.
```

38

COMSR: I am saying there will be an opening. 2 MR SMITH: I will confirm there will be an opening, a general opening statement, on Thursday morning. To be followed by evidence on Thursday? MS LAYTON: 5 MR SMITH: 6 That is the problem. That is exactly MS LAYTON: 7 the problem I'm heralding. There can't be an opening on 8 the same day that evidence is adduced. One of problems 9 will be that the opening will disclose certain matters 10 on which we will immediately not necessarily be in a 11 position to be able to cross-examine on. We herald 12 that. 13 COMSR: It doesn't follow that everybody can 14 cross-examine at length. Cross-examination is a matter for the discretion of the Commissioner. However, as I 15 16 say, it's always up to counsel to make a submission to 17 me concerning any problems that they're faced with. 18 MS LAYTON: If I can herald the possible problem. 19 If there is to be an opening on the Thursday for the 20 first time and to be followed immediately by evidence, 21 there may well be a problem so far as my client is 22 concerned. 23 The second aspect I wonder if I could address you 24 briefly on, and it arose in the context of the other 25 people who went before me. There was the analogy as to 26 whether it was a criminal matter or whether it was an 27 inquiry. The submission I wish to make on that is that 28 it's a matter of natural justice as an administrative 29 body, or a body that is dealing with an inquiry under 30 letters patent. It is quite clearly the need for those 31 who may be involved to know what it is that they may 32 have to face. It is not a matter of particulars in a 33 criminal matter, but to enable those that face being 34 involved to know what they're meant to meet, and that is 35 the purpose. 36 COMSR: Perhaps you might enlarge upon that 37 argument when we come to consider the terms of the leave

to appear and the conditions of the leave to appear.

CJ 2C

1	MS LAYTON: Certainly. Thank you.
2	COMSR: As I indicated, I don't propose at this
2 3 4 5	stage to give any ruling on the question of the meaning
4	of the Terms of Reference, but I propose now to move
	onto the next matter for counsel assisting, the issue of
6	confidentiality or the terms of leave to appear.
7	MR SMITH: To the extent that people have not
8	addressed those matters or they are unsatisfied with
9	some indications of what safeguards the Commission is
10	going to take, that can be addressed, but it may be that
11	no-one has anything to say about that, bearing in mind
12	what is being said.
13	COMSR: I will invite counsel if they have
14	submissions on the issues of confidentiality and privacy
15	to make any submissions on that, to do so.
16	MS LAYTON: I seem to be the only one standing on my
17	feet at this point. I will see if there are any other
18	advances, as it were.
19	COMSR: I'm more particularly interested with
20	regard to the Terms of Reference.
21	MS LAYTON: That is the confidentiality with regard
22	to the Terms of Reference. The two matters that I wish
23	to raise relate to: firstly, s.35; and, secondly,
24	confidentiality in general.
25	With regard to s.35, the ALRM is aware that an
26	authorisation has already been given by the Minister to
27	yourself and those assisting you to be able to receive
28	information in a way that without that authorisation it
29	would otherwise be an infringement of the State
30	Aboriginal Heritage Act.
31	If I could remind you, Madam Commissioner, of what
32	those terms are. It says:
33	`Except as authorised or required by this Act, a person
34	must not, in contravention of Aboriginal tradition' -

 and I underscore those words - `Divulge information in relation to an Aboriginal site or Aboriginal tradition.' The only way in which any information can be given by any person which would contravene Aboriginal tradition and the giving of evidence in accordance with Aboriginal tradition is if the Minister has given an appropriate authorisation.

The authorisation, as we are aware of at the moment - and the Commission may be aware that that has been challenged by the ALRM in the Supreme Court, but a date has not yet been set for the hearing of that challenge with regard to that authorisation - counsel assisting indicated that if there were matters that came up within the meaning of s.35, that that would have to be dealt with by evidence being taken in camera, et cetera. Now, the authorisation that that be given at the moment does not allow, in turn, any information to be given by either yourself as Commissioner nor counsel assisting to anybody else. So, unless there has been a further authorisation which the ALRM does not know about, the suggested procedure that would be suggested by counsel assisting would, in fact, offend s.35.

Now, it may well be that that has been apparently overcome, although one of the challenges that is made in respect to the overall authorisation that that be given may well be true of any subsequent one.

I won't go through what the arguments are. That is obviously a matter for the Supreme Court and not a matter for you, Madam Commissioner. This is the first thing: By what authorisation can any evidence be given in accordance with the way that counsel assisting has indicated would be the appropriate procedure?

The second aspect is connected with that, but can stand alone from that. That is: the extent to which information and the giving of it may, in fact, contravene Aboriginal tradition. Some of the methods that have been spoken of - namely, if `women's business' is to be the subject of any evidence - it's suggested

57

CJ 2C

- that the Commission could be cleared of any males. That, of itself, is not the only aspect. Males are one thing, appropriate women be they white or Aboriginal is another. They are all related to the question of what information and how information can be given that is not in contravention of Aboriginal tradition. CONTINUED 2 3
- 4 5
- 6 7

This would have to be on a case-by-case basis. In other words, we herald what the problem is and that information and the details that might be sought might have to be held on an individual basis each time as to the manner in which information should or should not be given. So those are the two matters that I indicate.

There is a third matter with regard to section 35, and that is, to the extent that information has already been given pursuant to an authorisation given by the minister, which is now the subject of legal challenge, we would ask that until such time as that legal challenge is heard, that the section 35 should in fact be adhered to. We would request that.

Of course, there is no court order as to that, but we would request it because there could be a significant embarrassment for this reason: if information is given contrary to tradition, like all confidential information, once it has gone it has gone. It cannot be taken back again. That is why it is a very significant thing for information to be given which would contravene section 35.

Section 35 was put there for the very good purpose that it was meant to maintain those matters which were traditional to Aboriginal people, for them alone, and not to be divulged inappropriately. We would submit that there is a very strong argument which will be put to the Supreme Court about that, and we ask you to bear that in mind, having regard to the challenge that we have made with regard to the section 35 authorisation. Those are our submissions that we wish to make with regard to confidentiality.

regard to confidentiality.

MS PYKE: It would be my submission that it would be inappropriate to make any order in general about the nature in which confidential information is to be dealt with. Dr Fergie, of course, occupies a particular position in these proceedings. She was an anthropologist who was commissioned by the Aboriginal

Legal Rights Movement to assist in the preparation of a

36

37

1 report for the section 10 declaration. I am not in a 2 position yet to obtain full instructions about the 3 manner in which she went about her task or the manner in 4 which confidential information was imparted to her. 5 I would seek, very particularly, to be able to 6 address the issue of the extent to which Dr Fergie 7 should or should not give evidence about information 8 that was conveyed to her on a specific case basis as and 9 when Dr Fergie gives evidence. I simply say I am just 10 not in a position at the moment to address full argument 11 on those particulars. There is a body of case law 12 relating to such issues as the extent to which 13 disclosure should be before the commission. 14 The other issue is that Dr Fergie is a person that 15 would otherwise, in my submission, come within the 16 province of section 35 of the Act, just referred to by 17 Ms Layton. I must say, I do not know if anybody else is in the same position. I have not received, nor indeed 18 19 has Dr Fergie been served with, any document purporting 20 to release her from her obligations. I would ask that 21 that be noted, and that we be provided with a copy of 22 any document from the minister which purports to 23 authorise Dr Fergie to disclose information. They are 24 my submissions. 25 MR STEELE: My position is exactly the position that 26 has just been enunciated on behalf of Dr Draper. 27 MR ABBOTT: We have a few brief submissions on the 28 issue of confidentiality. May I make one observation 29 about my learned friend Ms Layton, who acts for the 30 Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement? She said, about 31 confidential material, it is not just a question of 32 'Women' but 'Appropriate women'. If, by that, it is 33 intended to mean that my clients should be excluded from 34 seeing confidential material, when they are the very 35 people whom my learned friend says should come forward

with their allegations, it places my clients in a very

invidious position, in that they are forced to give

evidence yet not allowed to see the material in respect of which the claim of `Women's business' is made.

As I have mentioned before, so far they have had to rely on either personal communications from Dr Kartinyeri or other Ngarrindjeri community members, or what they have read in the media or what has been told to them as having been said to members of the media, I also raise the issue of my learned friend standing to make the sort of submission she made.

From our part, we would see that she would have been in some grave difficulty in getting instructions to put to you the submission as to who would be the appropriate women, since her instructions in that regard could only come from the very people who have already announced an intention to boycott the commission. We would object to the ALRM, as it were, representing the interests of those who, on the one hand say they are boycotting it, but, when it suits them, want to cross-examine witnesses.

This leads me to the issue of confidentiality in relation to witness statements. I note in the General Procedural Direction, para.4, it is suggested that: `Written statements will be distributed to counsel for such persons as ... on the specific issue or matter to which evidence relates'.

We have some concerns with the distribution of material, particularly witness statements. In our submission, there should be very strict rules relating to the distribution of witness statements. I say that not to suggest that the rules should not equally apply to us who represent a group of women. Indeed, the rules should apply across the board.

What I have in mind is this: There is obviously considerable concern in the community and obviously very great interest, as evidenced by the number of people here today, in the workings of this commission. I am concerned that witness statements are disseminated in

written form beyond counsel and that they escape from counsel and come into the community at large.

I see that the Procedural Direction provides for the statements to be distributed to counsel. In my submission, counsel should be required - I include myself - to give written undertakings that the material received will be kept by counsel and the nominated solicitor or solicitors. I am concerned that Procedural Direction No.4 contains an exception. It says:

`Except for the purposes of taking instructions there ... until a witness has confirmed it in evidence'

If, by that, it is intended to allow counsel to hand over a copy of a statement to counsel's own witness to invite counsel's own witness to comment on a written document which is put into the witness's hands, then I would ask that that procedure be tightened up.

I have read this on the basis that counsel could, only via or with solicitors, seek oral instructions on written material supplied by the Royal Commission and by counsel assisting. However, it could be said we gave witness X a copy of another witness's statement so we could get instructions on it.

Once it gets into the hands of a person who has not given an undertaking, the material can be disseminated far and wide. We should take steps, I suggest, to make sure that no material which is disseminated by either counsel assisting to counsel or solicitors involved is disseminated in any wider way.

As I have said, in another commission in which I was involved in relation to sensitive material - and I apprehend that most of the material in this commission will be sensitive - counsel were required to give written undertakings. I would urge your Honour to seek from counsel appropriate written undertakings and, indeed, from instructing solicitors.

The other matter that I want to raise is the issue of the names of deceased Aboriginal persons. It is our submission that a way needs to be formulated so that

those names are not mentioned at all and there should be some guideline promulgated by you, first of all, directing all participants not to mention the names of any deceased person, but to allow for a way in which one can ascertain who is being spoken of so that the transcript makes sense.

Normally this will be readily achieved by counsel assisting making it clear at the beginning of a passage of evidence, in a way other than speaking about it, of who it is the evidence is concerned. But that is a matter that needs to be addressed and we ask that it be addressed.

MS LAYTON: If I could respond to Mr Abbott about the secret or the confidential annexures? The letter that was sent to me - and I understand letters have not only been sent to me but also other persons - but the one that was sent to me asked if I could get instructions on divulging matters or the secret envelopes to certain persons.

If I could indicate that it is not for me to get instructions from anybody. I have only given an undertaking in the Supreme Court that those documents will remain secure. They are not matters upon which I can do anything other than that. The information that has been sent to me, I will pass on to the relevant persons.

It is not for me to get instructions from anybody on that. There is no question of me doing so, or talking on behalf of those persons. I speak on behalf of the Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement only. I do not speak on behalf Aboriginal women or a subgroup of them, and neither does Mr Collett, who also represents the ALRM.

My friend mentioned a boycott. I do not know if he was deliberately using that word. My recollection of what Ms Claire O'Connor indicated was that the women sought not to be represented at the hearing. I had not heard that there was to be any inference drawn other than that they seek not to be represented.

38

COMSR: Any other counsel wish to be heard? 2 MR MEYER: Only briefly. The suggestion by Mr 3 Abbott with regard to dissemination of witness statements has all the hallmarks of common sense. I 5 would not have any difficulty from my clients in giving 6 such an undertaking. 7 I do not think there will be any problem COMSR: 8 with that unless somebody wishes to be heard in 9 opposition to that suggestion. 10 MS PYKE: I wonder whether that might be limited 11 in the sense that, as I understand it, there is an array 12 of evidence to be called. Some of it may not be 13 contentious. I could just envisage difficulties in the 14 speed with which instructing solicitors can take 15 instructions if, in some circumstances, they cannot hand 16 over the statement to their client to go away and 17 consider. I am thinking, for example, of the experts 18 and to then instruct. I can see there will be 19 substantial delays caused in the progress of these 20 proceedings if in fact no copies of any statement can be 21 provided. Again, it could be done on an individual 22 basis rather than a blanket rule. 23 COMSR: You are suggesting that except for the 24 statement of experts, the anthropological experts? 25 No. What I am saying is that if we MS PYKE: 26 could deal with each statement as it is due to be 27 disseminated and deal with it on an individual basis, 28 because it just seems to me that to make a blanket 29 ruling may put everyone in the position of having to 30 take lengthy oral instructions during the course of 31 evidence. None of us, for example, have seen any 32 statements thus far, with evidence due to start on 33 Thursday. 34 MR ABBOTT: In my submission, that could easily be 35 achieved and was achieved. We gave written undertakings 36 that, if required by counsel assisting, we would do so 37 and, for my part, we are prepared to give, as it were, a

blanket undertaking that on any occasion on which we are

```
1
      required by counsel assisting to maintain the
2
      confidentiality in the way in which I have spoken, we
       will do so.
                        If there are no other submissions
    MR SMITH:
5
      touching on that third item, I request that we adjourn
       the commission until 10 or 10.15 on Thursday, 27 July.
6
7
    COMSR:
                      There is still the issue of the terms
8
      under which counsel have leave to appear. Are you ready
9
      to pursue that matter?
10
    MR SMITH:
                        I envisage, in light of the submissions
11
       that have been made so far, that you will receive
12
       submissions from a number of the counsel saying that
       they are not in the position to indicate the degree to
13
14
       which they intend to participate until they receive some
15
       detail of the alleged involvement of their clients in
16
       the inquiry. But perhaps you could ask for submissions
17
       about that.
18
    COMSR:
                       I take it there would be a number of
19
       counsel in that situation. Without asking you to go
20
       into any detail, I see a considerable number of counsel
21
       have indicated they would be in that position. So what
22
       you are suggesting is that I defer a ruling on that
23
       matter until after the opening? Is that what you are
24
       suggesting?
25
    MR SMITH:
                         Yes.
26
    COMSR:
                       In the circumstances, it would appear
       that there are such a number of counsel who have
27
28
       indicated that they would not be in a position really to
29
       address me on that issue, that it looks to be the
30
       sensible course to follow then to adjourn that
31
       particular matter. I do not know if any counsel wish to
32
       make any submission to the contrary, but I do it simply
33
       for the sake of convenience. I have noticed quite a
34
       number of counsel indicate that they are not in the
35
       position to make an appropriate submission at this time.
36
    MR MEYER:
                         If it assists, as you are aware, I
       appear for the Chapmans. I do not know what the
37
38
       attitude of counsel assisting is, but my position for my
```

1 clients is that we have an interest in this matter from 2 the beginning to the end, as we have had all the way in 3 many other proceedings, and we would seek that there be no limitation on our rights to cross-examine any witness 5 in any way at all. 6 Our position will not change, whatever particulars 7 are provided to whichever counsel may appear. I can 8 enlarge on that submission or, if counsel assisting says 9 that he has not got any objection to that course, your 10 Honour might be in a position to make a ruling. 11 MR SMITH: I suggest that we leave this question 12 until the resumption. 13 Yes. It seems most convenient to deal COMSR: with them all at once. Your attitude and your comments 14 15 have been noted in respect of the parties that you represent. Under the circumstances, I will adjourn 16 17 until Thursday, at 10 a.m. Some people have indicated that 10.15 is 18 MR SMITH: 19 a convenient time, but we need to set a time that will 20 involve getting the evidence through efficiently. 21 I know it might be more convenient to 22 start at 10.15, but I think realistically we have got to 23 look at a starting time of 10 a.m., given the time 24 constraints within which the commission is working. ADJOURNED 12.05 P.M. TO THURSDAY, 27 JULY 1995 AT 10 A.M. 25

```
COMSR STEVENS
3
   HINDMARSH ISLAND BRIDGE ROYAL COMMISSION
5
    THURSDAY, 27 JULY 1995
6
7
    RESUMING 10.05 A.M.
8
    MR TILMOUTH:
                          May it please the Commission. As a
9
      formal matter, I seek leave to appear with Mr Kenny for
10
      the men that I mentioned in the opening day of this
       Commission.
11
12
                      I will give you leave at present.
    COMSR:
13
       Later, I'll be requiring some more detail from all
14
       counsel as to the parameters of special interest which
15
       go to matters of the extent of cross-examination and
16
       other matters.
17
    MS LAYTON:
                         Could I mention another formal matter.
18
      I appear with Mr Bradshaw in lieu of Mr Collett for the
19
       ALRM.
20
    MR SMITH:
                       If I could set the programme, and if
21
       people have a special matter they wish to raise. The
22
       programme for today is that if you will rule on the
23
       Terms of References, I will then make a short opening
24
       statement. Then, if it's convenient, you will hear
25
       leave questions relating to the extent of rights of
26
       participation in cross-examination of witnesses.
27
         I would then be asking that you adjourn for a short
28
       period before any evidence commences, during which time
29
       written undertakings as to confidentiality will be
30
       handed out to counsel and their instructing solicitors
31
       to sign and/or to peruse and sign, and also the terms of
32
       the recent s.35 authority from the Minister.
33
         I propose the Commission will then resume to hear
34
       any argument as to the consequences of the terms of the
35
       s.35 authority. Subject to all of that, the evidence
36
       will commence and it will commence with a short eight
37
       minute film of the Ngarrindjeri, if I can.
38 MR ABBOTT:
                         The report of a curse placed on the
```

38

1 Royal Commission, this hearing room, might, if true, 2 demonstrate the lengths to which those opposed to this Royal Commission will go to. Wait a minute. What is the purpose of COMSR: 5 this? 6 MR ABBOTT: I will tell your Honour what the purpose of this is. The purpose of this is that we have received information that the ALRM and other associated 8 9 parties -10 **COMSR:** That is not - I mean, I don't want you 11 to recite the history of it. If are you making some 12 application? 13 MR ABBOTT: Yes, I am. Well, could you explain, without going 14 COMSR: into detail, what is to be the nature of the 15 16 application. 17 MR ABBOTT: The application that I'm seeking and which I propose to make is that you, Madam Commissioner, 18 take steps to assure my clients and others that the 19 20 acclaimed curse is of no effect, will not deflect you 21 from the investigation of these matters, and that you 22 will afford the appropriate protection to all witnesses, 23 and in particular my clients for whom I act, and for the 24 many other women who have come forward and asked us to -25 have given us information. I am concerned that, 26 according to the newspaper reports, the Doreen 27 Kartinyeri's camp is using the pretext of -28 **INTERJECTOR:** Disgusting. MR ABBOTT: Have got you to look at -Just a moment. 30 **COMSR: INTERJECTOR:** 31 This is a joke. 32 I want to say something about the MR ABBOTT: 33 continuing interjections we are getting. I appreciate the nature of that problem. 34 COMSR: 35 But what you're seeking, as I understand it, is an 36 assurance that, what, in fact, there was no curse? 37 MR ABBOTT: Well, we do not know what happened. I'm

concerned that, according to the newspaper reports,

1	apparently in the pretext of getting you to look at the
2	law stick and a painting, the curse was allegedly placed
2 3 4 5	on this room. If that is true, if it's true, I say that
4	there was an advantage taken of a courtesy extended by
	you on that basis, to take steps with the intention of
6	threatening and intimidating my clients.
7	COMSR: Now, as I understand it, your clients
8	are concerned that there may be a curse that has been
9	placed on the room. Unless they can be assured to the
10	contrary, they would feel intimidated by giving evidence
11	in this room. Is that what you are saying?
12	MR ABBOTT: It is not quite as broad as that. I
13	think that my clients, that those in respect of whom I
14	have announced appearances already, will not be
15	intimidated by this nonsense, but there are many other
16	Aboriginal women who have come forward to us and who are
17	concerned, to say the least, about what has been going
18	on and what has been said by way of what I can tell you,
19	Madam Commissioner, is an on-going campaign of
20	vilification and intimidation.
21	MS LAYTON: I object.
22	MR TILMOUTH: I object. You dealt with that the other
23	day in the statement you made about what happened in the
24	Commission in the opening day. That should be the end
25	of the -
26	MR ABBOTT: May I put this position. We were
27	excluded, first of all, all men then all women, or other
28	than Ngarrindjeri women, so it was said, when those whom
29	Miss O'Connor represented sought and received from you
30	the courtesy of you viewing or doing something in
31	relation to some objects. Whatever jurisdiction then
32	existed for that occasion being secret, has, in view of
33	this morning's newspaper report, now disappeared. My
34	clients need to know exactly what did happen as to that
35	so we can assure our clients that they have nothing to
36	fear. If I cannot be told exactly what went on, then
37	Mrs Shaw, my junior counsel, and Miss Freeman, my
38	instructing solicitor, can and should be told.

1	we want your assurance, Madam Commissioner, and for
2	you to give assurance, as I said, not merely to the five
2	women for whom we appeared but for many other in the
4	Ngarrindjeri Aboriginal community who, I must say, do
5	have concerns at the pressure and intimidation which is
6	currently being placed on them.
7	I haven't raised this topic before because I was
8	hoping to diffuse the issue. Today's newspaper report
9	raises it fairly and squarely and, as I've said, this
10	campaign which appears to -
11	COMSR: We are talking about a report in the
12	newspaper that -
13	MR ABBOTT: It's more than that. It is more than
14	that. I want to tell your Honour a few more things.
15	It's not just that, it seems to me that -
16	COMSR: Just a moment. Before we go on with
17	this question of you telling me a few more things, I
18	think we will deal with this one step at a time. Your
19	first - I suppose the most complete answer to the
20	concerns that have been expressed would be some
21	assurance that nothing in the nature of a curse occurred
22	on that occasion.
23	MR ABBOTT: And that assurance, firstly, should come
24	from you Madam Commissioner and, secondly, from those
21 22 23 24 25 26	who were there, or a representative of those who were
26	there. Because, Madam Commissioner -
27	COMSR: You are talking about Miss O'Connor?
28	MR ABBOTT: Miss O'Connor. Because we understand
29	that ALRM or interests associated with that -
30	MS LAYTON: I object at this stage, and I intend to
31	reply.
32	COMSR: There may be no need to go into any
33	detail because what, as I understand it, you're asking
34	for is an assurance by Miss O'Connor?
35	MR ABBOTT: Yes, and by you Madam Commissioner.
36	want to say this: We understand that a Central
37	Australian woman from the Pitjantjatjara lands was
38	amongst the group. Although the group was represented

```
1
      to you as being Ngarrindjeri, was amongst the group whom
2
       you saw. If that is so, it raises grave concerns.
3
                      The short answer to all of this, without
    COMSR:
4
      having to go into detail, is, first of all, to see what
5
      steps can be taken to get the assurance that you're
6
      seeking. If that should prove to be necessary and in
7
      the event that there is a satisfactory assurance, I take
8
      it that that would deal with the immediate problem?
9
                         That would certainly deal with the
    MR ABBOTT:
10
       immediate problem. The other matter is the abuse and
11
       continued interjections which have been coming from the
12
       participants here. I apprehend that you, Madam
13
       Commissioner, have not heard all of it because you are
14
       seated some further distance away from where we are. I
15
       can tell you that last Monday, when I was addressing
16
       you, there was almost continual interjections by a
17
       number of persons and I'm afraid that you only heard a
18
       bit of the almost continual barrage of abuse and comment
19
       that was conducted whilst I was on my feet.
20
                       I do understand that in the position I'm
    COMSR:
21
       seated it is not possible for me to hear as clearly as
22
       where you are. Of course, one cannot permit continued
23
       interjections of that sort to go on. In the event that
24
       that should be the case, well, then, of course, I will
25
       have to consider what steps should be taken.
26
    MR ABBOTT:
                          I would ask that you, Madam
27
       Commissioner, make it clear that any interjector who
28
       continues will be excluded; and, more particularly, that
29
       any person who, directly or indirectly, attempts to
30
       threaten or intimidate any proposed witnesses, not just
31
       my clients, will be subject to proceedings for contempt
32
       in that case in this Royal Commission.
33
          I submit that it's appropriate, given the report in
34
       the Advertiser this morning, that you publicly offer all
35
       witnesses, who may be minded to come before you, the
36
       protection and comfort which is within your power to
37
       give as a means of allaying any fears that those persons
38
       may have in coming to the Royal Commission and giving
```

1	evidence. They will be subject to, I say it fairly and
2	plainly, a campaign of vilification and abuse that has
3	continued almost from the day my clients made their
2 3 4 5	views about this so-called secret women's business first
5	known.
6	The other matter I should mention is that the
7	campaign of vilification -
8	COMŚR: Now, I know you categorise it as such.
9	No doubt there are specific instances which you rely on
10	for that, but -
11	MR ABBOTT: I'm not going to call them that. I want
12	to say something else about me and the lawyers who act
13	for my clients because of the rumours that are
14	circulating. I want to make it -
15	MR TILMOUTH: I object. If we are going to have a
16	Royal Commission into rumours, we will be here for
17	months.
18	MR ABBOTT: This is not a Royal Commission about
19	rumours.
20	MR TILMOUTH: Mr Abbott has talked about things that
21	there is no evidence about whatsoever. You made a
22	statement the other day with respect to what happened in
23	this room. There is no reason to believe, on the face
24	of what Mr Abbott is saying, that you can't deal with
25	the matter. What he is saying about the alleged
26	threats, and so on, is merely belittling Aboriginal
27	beliefs and religion and trying to create some kind of
28	an atmosphere of a witch doctor mentality and -
29	COMSR: Just one moment. If there is going to
30	be any further discussion of this nature, I would
31	propose that it not be before the public. But, at this
32	stage, I take it that whatever the basis of the
33	apprehension which you say that your clients feel, be it
34	true or otherwise, that there is a way of dealing with
35	it.
36	MR ABBOTT: I would hope so. COMSR: I don't think we need to take it any
37	COMSR: I don't think we need to take it any
38	further than that at this stage, because it may be that

1	we are able to, without ruling in any way on the merits
2	of what you had to say, Mr Abbott, it may be that we are
2 3 4	able to allay the fears, justified or otherwise, in that
4	respect.
5	MR ABBOTT: I'm happy to leave the rest of what I
6	have to say until another time. But - or, if necessary,
7	not in the public arena.
8	COMSR: I would think that would be certainly
9	more practical.
10	MR ABBOTT: These are important matters. Important
11	not just to my clients for whom I act, but to a large
12	number of other Aboriginal women.
13	COMSR: I take your point. I think it's more
14	properly raised before me in private than it is here.
15	MR ABBOTT: I am happy to do so.
16	MS LAYTON: Mr Abbott mentioned the ALRM on two
17	occasions in the course of what I can only call `a media
18	stunt'. This is not a parade of lawyers for the
19	purposes of making grandiose statements of a sort that
20	is reflecting on, as Mr Tilmouth said, a media
21	accusation. It is quite inappropriate to the extent
22	that the name of ALRM has been mentioned in any alleged
23	intimidation, curse or any other similar association.
24	We completely dissociate ourselves with that and want to
25	make that plain to everybody here.
26	COMSR: There is certainly no evidence that has
27	been placed before the Commission of any such
28	association, but this is a matter which I would propose
29	to refer for a hearing in chambers, as it were, before
30	me rather than to deal with it now. I take the point
31	that on whatever basis, however it may be based, there
32	is a way that the concerns may be met.
33	MR ABBOTT: I would hope so, but it will need both
34	you and Miss O'Connor.
35	COMSR: Yes. Mr Smith -
36	MR BOURNE: Before Mr Smith rises, I have a
37	preliminary matter, and a less controversial matter so
38	far as my client, Mr Milera, is concerned. However, it

38

1 is a matter of substance. My instructions today are to 2 indicate that my client no longer seeks to be 3 represented in this Commission; that is, Doug Milera. You might recall that on the two occasions on which I 5 have previously spoken in this Commission, I 6 foreshadowed that my application for leave to appear on 7 his behalf was contingent upon material which would be 8 obtained and upon which I would give him advice. My 9 instructions are to put to the Commission the reasons 10 why Mr Milera has so instructed me and then to withdraw. 11 I seek the opportunity of doing that now. 12 COMSR: Do I need to know anything more than 13 that in that sense? 14 MR BOURNE: You do, with respect. As council assisting reminded us on Monday, this is an inquiry and 15 16 not a trial. No doubt whether my client is here or not, 17 with council assisting, any person with an interest in 18 the matter may attempt to make use of material which 19 directory affects my client. He wants to have on the 20 record the reasons why he either maintains or rejects 21 information which might be put to this Commission in his 22 absence. He only seeks to do that in a very general 23 way. However, if that is not done and that is not put 24 on record here, undoubtedly there will be speculation 25 and innuendo and media reporting on a whole range of 26 things which may be said about that. It is not fair to 27 the Commission nor to those with an interest in the 28 outcome of the inquiry for that to be on trial with 29 innuendo, rumour and media speculation rather than the 30 reasons generally as they are to be placed on the 31 record. 32 It is not a trial of any sort by rumour COMSR: or speculation. This is an inquiry into an attempt to 33 34 gather the facts relating to the matters that are within 35 the ambit of the Terms of Reference. 36 MR BOURNE: Quite so. In respect of that, my client, before making a final decision, had hoped to 37

have this fully disclosed and have access to the

36

37

38

1 materials directly affecting him and the part that he 2 might play in this inquiry - I include in that media 3 tapes of interviews with him - so that he can be fully informed and to state clearly to the Commission his 5 reasons for the decision that he has reached. 6 I regret that this has not occurred, that we have 7 not got this information, despite requests and calls for 8 the material comprising, in particular, media tapes of 9 interviews and discussions. But my client is not 10 prepared to wait until that occurs before he makes the 11 decision and commits himself to the course that he is 12 concerned the Commission will take. 13 Mr Milera is concerned that before there is an 14 opening statement and before evidence commences, his position is made clear and his reasons, as I say, put on 15 16 the record. 17 In that context, I ask to put to you, by way of my 18 client's statement to the Commission, his reasons for 19 that decision -20 Commissioner, I object to this course MR SMITH: 21 being taken now. The appropriate course is for my 22 learned friend to speak to me about such matters and we 23 will resolve it. Making a statement such as this in the 24 Commission at this stage is holding up the proceedings. 25 The Commission is going to go on for a number of weeks. 26 My learned friend, Mr Bourne, and myself ought to 27 discuss this matter to find out why it is that Mr Milera 28 is not willing to assist the Commission, and I will see 29 if I can address those matters. A statement such as 30 this now is quite inappropriate. 31 MR BOURNE: I can't discuss any further matters 32 after today with Mr Smith or anyone. My instructions 33 are withdrawn. My instructions, but for putting my 34 client's reasons to you, are terminated. After that, I 35 can do no more. If by some media process, as one would

readily accept in the current climate, there is

speculation and innuendo about what the matter might

have been, that is regrettable, in my submission, and I

seek to make the statement on my client's behalf now. 2 You sought leave to withdraw. COMSR: 3 MR BOURNE: The application I made is for two reasons which my client wishes to put and one is on the 5 record. 6 COMSR: For whatever reasons, you have sought 7 leave to withdraw on the basis that you no longer have 8 instructions to act. 9 MR BOURNE: My instructions are not terminated until 10 I have done what my client instructs me to do, and that 11 is to read to you this statement: 12 `I have been -13 MR MEYER: I object. 14 COMSR: I would like to know what the tenor of this statement is. As I understand it, the application 15 16 before me is for leave to withdraw. Is there any reason 17 why I can't grant you that leave? MR BOURNE: 18 The application is not merely for leave 19 to withdraw. The application is to place on record the 20 reasons for my client's decision to withdraw. It's in 21 the public interest that those reasons, general though 22 they may be, that they be made made known to the 23 appropriate forum: and that is this Commission. It 24 says: 25 `I have been involved in the Hindmarsh Island Bridge -26 MR MEYER: I object to this course. The 27 appropriate way of putting the material in is by way of 28 giving evidence. I support what the counsel assisting, 29 Mr Smith, has put to you and I oppose the reading of the 30 statement by Mr Bourne. I must say I don't consider it an 31 COMSR: 32 appropriate course for you to adopt in the 33 circumstances. 34 MR BOURNE: With the considerable media interest in 35 the matter, there is no doubt that my client won't be 36 able to contain what will happen from here as far as 37 that is concerned. That means that the trial by media, 38 which I suggest should be avoided, is going to take a

course of its own. 2 COMSR: There won't be a trial by media within 3 this inquiry. MR BOURNE: Not within the Commission. 5 That is the point. COMSR: 6 MR BOURNE: I seek a normal ruling with respect to the application to put the reasons on record. 8 COMSR: I am prepared to grant you leave to 9 withdraw. If you wish to provide a written statement of 10 the reasons to counsel assisting -11 MR BOURNE: I don't have those instructions. 12 You have leave to withdraw then. COMSR: If the Commission pleases. 13 MR BOURNE: MR BOURNE WITHDRAWS We have reached the stage of your 15 MR SMITH: 16 address to the Terms of Reference. 17 COMSR: That's right, yes. I was about to give my ruling on the preliminary issues. 18 19 Having heard submissions on preliminary issues from 20 counsel for parties given leave to appear, I will give 21 my rulings. The first issue to be determined, issues to 22 be determined, are those of the interpretation of the 23 Terms of Reference and the extent to which those terms 24 require the exercise of my powers under s.6 and 16(a) of 25 the Royal Commissions Act either to take evidence in 26 private or to forbid the publication of specified 27 matters. 28 The Letters Patent refer to certain sealed envelopes 29 being sent to The Honourable Robert Tickner, MP, 30 Minister for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander 31 Affairs in the Commonwealth Government. These sealed 32 envelopes were reported by Professor Cheryl Saunders, 33 AO, to contain secret women's business. The `secret 34 women's business' is said to be more particularly 35 detailed in the sealed envelopes. The document refers 36 to allegations being made that the secret women's 37 business is a fabrication. The Terms of Reference 38 relate to the secret women's business as contained in

1	the sealed envelopes.
	I am not required to inquire into nor report upon
2 3	the general issue of secret women's business. The Terms
4	of Reference do not invite an extension of the inquiry
5	beyond the particular representation contained in the
6	sealed envelopes and reported on by Professor Saunders.
7	Therefore, I make the following ruling:
8	THE COMMISSIONER'S TERMS OF REFERENCE
9	(1) This clause refers to the `women's business'
10	contained in the sealed envelopes sent to the
11	Minister and reported on by Professor Saunders. The
12	Commission is directed to inquire into and report
13	upon the issue of whether that `women's business' or
14	any part thereof was fabricated. It is only in the
15	event that the Commission is able to conclude that
16	there was some element of fabrication that the
17	subsequent Terms of Reference need to be separately
18	considered. In the context of this inquiry,
19	`fabrication' involves the deliberate manufacture of
20	secret women's business where it did not previously
21	exist.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	1(a) This clause requires the Commission, in the
23	event that it determines there was some degree
24	of fabrication, to consider in what
25	circumstances the fabrication occurred.
26	1(b) This clause requires a consideration of the
27	extent of any such fabrication.
28	1(c) This clause requires a consideration of the
29	intended aims or objectives of such
30	fabrication; that is, what was intended to be
31	achieved by such fabrication.
32	PREJUDICE AND CONFIDENTIALITY
33	In relation to the powers contained in sections 6 and
34	16(a) of the Royal Commissions Act, there are specific
35	provisions in clause 3 of the Terms of Reference
36	relating to prejudice, confidentiality and
37	non-disclosure, and provision that the inquiry, or parts
38	thereof, may be conducted in private and to report, in

1	part, by way of confidential report or to report by way
2	of general description or summaries.
3	3(a) This clause requires of the Commission that it
4	avoid prejudicing the proceedings currently before
5	the Federal Appeals Court in respect of a
6	determination made on 12 May 1994 by the Minister
7	pursuant to s.10 of the Aboriginal and Torres
8	Strait Islanders Protection Act 1984 and varied on
9	9 June 1994.
10	CONTINUED

1 3(b) This clause requires the Commission to protect any 2 information that could properly be considered as 3 confidential, for whatever reason, be it because of 4 Aboriginal tradition or for some other sufficient 5 reason. 6 3(c) This clause forbids the publication to anyone, 7 except as authorised by the State Minister of 8 Aboriginal Affairs of any matters relating to 9 Aboriginal sites, and traditions, where such 10 publication contravenes Aboriginal tradition. 11 The definition clauses identify the meaning to be 12 attached to the expressions 'Aboriginal tradition' and 13 'women's business'. It is in general considered desirable that the proceedings of the Commission be 14 15 conducted in public, without restriction on publication. 16 However, the subject matter of this inquiry, and clause 17 3 of the Terms of Reference, underline the necessity to have regard to confidentiality. Substantial parts of 18 19 the evidence, unless they are already in the public 20 arena, may need to be heard in private to protect 21 confidentiality, and considerable material may need to 22 be suppressed from publication. Furthermore, as the 23 issue of whether or not the secret 'women's business' 24 was a fabrication remains to be determined, the 25 Commission will treat any information or evidence as to 26 details of such secret 'women's business' as secret and 27 requiring special provision for confidentiality. 28 In order to protect against an inadvertent 29 publication of material which might contravene clause 3, 30 I propose to make an order, suppressing from 31 publication, until further order, anything which the 32 commissioner determines: 33 (a) May prejudice or tend to prejudice any judicial 34 proceedings relating to the declaration made by the 35 Minister of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander 36 Affairs of the Commonwealth Government. 37 (b) May destroy or tend to destroy the confidentiality 38 of information which the Commissioner determines to

be information which could properly be regarded as confidential pursuant to Aboriginal tradition or otherwise.

- (c) May contravene or tend to contravene Section 35 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act.
- (d) May reveal or tend to reveal any detail of secret `women's business'.

I will hear submissions from the parties as to the making of this order. I do not propose to hear those submissions now, but there are copies of my ruling which will be handed out to counsel. I propose to hear submissions tomorrow, if that is a convenient time. I think you have in mind, Mr Smith, that you would now proceed to your opening.

MR SMITH: Yes, make an opening statement to the extent that I can. As a preliminary matter, on behalf of the Commission, I acknowledge that this inquiry, which relates to Ngarrindjeri people, is being held on Kurna lands, and this inquiry acknowledges the fact of that in the presence of an Elder of the Kurna people, Mr Lewis O'Brien.

On behalf of the Commission, I would like to emphasize, in case this object has been lost sight of in this third day, that the task of the Commission is to adduce all relevant evidence without fear, favour or bias. It therefore urges all parties interested to come forward on that understanding.

At this stage the Commission can only lead evidence that it, itself, unearths, and what interested parties bring forward. Again, the Commission assures all parties, and in particular Aboriginal interests, that it will take all reasonable steps to ensure secrecy and confidences.

On the last occasion that the Commission sat, there was much said about allegations and particulars. I indicate to counsel that the allegations spoken of in the Terms of Reference are those ventiliated in the electronic and print media in the year or so since the

declaration by the Federal Minister. Most of that material is available to all interested parties through the Commission now: That is, newsprint items and, in particular, copies of Channel 10 videos. So, in connection with allegations, I invite the parties that are represented here at the bar table to take advantage of that facility.

Moreover, the allegations, as they have been called in the Terms of Reference, are set out, if you like, in the judgment of Debelle J in the recent judgment of 26 July 1995 on pp.3 and 4. We would like to emphasize that is, the Commission - that they are not the Commission's allegations. The Commission makes no allegations at all. The Commission has an obligation to investigate.

I will not and cannot open in the sense in which I would do so in a court of law or a proceeding which is adversarial in nature. This is an inquiry. Much of the evidence is still not to hand. Indeed, the Practice Direction which was issued a week or so ago does not require statements to be submitted to myself until tomorrow, Friday 28 July.

Some parties involved in this inquiry, we accept, would not even be able to comply with that timetable. Accordingly, it is obvious that I will not know, and could not possibly know with any reasonable detail, what evidence is to unfold.

I refer my learned friends at the bar table, who on the last occasion appeared to think I had some sort of obligation in this area, to the 1982 edition of `Royal Commissions and Boards of Inquiry' by Leonard Arthur Hallet at p.217, where the learned author recommends that counsel assisting, to use his words, should do little more than generally outline the course it expects the inquiry might take. I propose to follow that course. And I now tell the Commission and those here that the evidence, as I approximately see it at this

and early stage, will be in three stages.

Stage one will constitute evidence from the South Australian Museum. This evidence will not only deal with the historical and anthropological evidence as to `women's business' general, but it will also touch upon Aboriginal traditions, heritage and culture related to the Ngarrindjeri people.

It will also touch upon certain other events in the time leading up to the Federal Minister's decision to halt the construction of the bridge. This evidence will be called immediately and, on behalf of the Commission, I indicate to counsel with a specific interest in this evidence, that by reason of the lack of notice of its content, they will be accorded an opportunity to consider and take instructions on what is said by the witnesses

Stage two of the evidence falls into two broad parts. Firstly, evidence as to the history of Aboriginal involvement in the proposed development on Hindmarsh Island, including the bridge; and the second part, the emergence of significant disagreement between the Ngarrindjeri people as to the secret `women's business'.

I return to part one of that stage two, namely, the history of Aboriginal involvement in the proposed development on Hindmarsh Island, including the bridge. This evidence will be, in the main, background material and will include evidence from Tom and Wendy Chapman and from their solicitor. Much of this evidence will be capable of proof by acknowledgment of documents and its focus will not be on the approvals, inquiries and consents which dominated the years leading up to the ban on the construction of the bridge on 9 July 1994, but will rather focus on the degree of Aboriginal involvement in consultation.

The evidence will indicate that, in this period up to 9 July 1994, there were experts' reports obtained and environmental impact statements made, and, to some extent, consultation with Aboriginals and Aboriginal

1 communities. The reports of an expert nature came from 2 Dr Vanessa Edmonds, an archaeologist; Dr Rob Lucas, 3 anthropologist; Dr Neale Draper, an archaeologist. The reports will be tendered in the course of evidence, 5 together with the environmental impact statements. 6 Importantly, this stage of the evidence will also 7 involve evidence more closely related to the events 8 which led up to the final declaration on 9 July 1994, 9 namely, as to meetings in April 1994 of Ngarrindjeri 10 women as to a particular meeting at Mouth House on about 11 9 May 1994, which resulted in a letter being faxed to 12 the Federal Minister and signed by a number of women. 13 The Commission will receive evidence just 14 establishing that on 12 May 1994 an emergency declaration was made by the Federal Minister, halting 15 16 the construction of the bridge for some 30 days, and 17 later that was extended to 60 days. Again, those events 18 will probably be proven by way of documents. 19 It is known to all here that on 23 May 1994, 20 Professor Saunders was appointed by the Federal Minister 21 to report to him pursuant to section 10, subpara.4 of 22 the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Act. In May, 23 June 1994, Dr Deane Fergie was commissioned by the ALRM, 24 firstly to facilitate a meeting of Aboriginal women with 25 Professor Saunders, and later she was commissioned by 26 ALRM to prepare a report which, together with a 27 submission from ALRM, went to Professor Saunders. 28 In June 1994, and the evidence will touch upon this, 29 there was a series of meetings involving Ngarrindjeri 30 women and others, including Dr Deane Fergie and 31 Professor Saunders, at which Aboriginal tradition was 32 discussed. 33 The Commission again encourages any person who was 34 present at those meetings to give evidence to the 35 Commission. The Commission has in place safeguards to 36 protect and maintain confidentiality of any such 37 evidence. If necessary, the disclosure of any such 38 information or evidence requiring it, may be limited to

women only in a private hearing. There may be other conditions which may be appropriate to giving of evidence at this stage and the Commission will give serious consideration to implementing proper measures by which this evidence can be advanced.

The second part of the second stage, as I said before, is the emergence of significant disagreement within the Ngarrindjeri people as to secret `women's business'. This evidence will come from Mr Abbott's clients and has been ventiliated in the media and will be the subject of evidence statements and evidence. There are at least three other Ngarrindjeri women, who are not clients of Mr Abbott, who will or may be giving evidence before the Commission.

Stage three will be anthropological evidence. The Commission will hear expert and independent anthropological evidence from Robert Tonkinson, Professor of Anthropology, University of Western Australian. It was Professor Tonkinson who wrote the extensive foreword to the book `A World That Was' which is the seminal work on the Ngarrindjeri people written by the renowned anthropologists, Ronald and Katherine Berndt with Mr Stanton.

The Commission will also hear from a female anthropologist, Dr Dianne Austin-Broos. The nature of this closing evidence will be an overview of the anthropological evidence in total and its methodology in the context of this inquiry. That is all I wish to say in terms of an opening.

MS LAYTON: I wonder if I could interrupt. If my learned friend has finished the opening, I would seek an adjournment for a short period of time for a combination of reasons, one to consider the rulings that you have given with regard to the Terms of Reference; and, two, to consider the opening that my friend has given. I just wonder if that indulgence could be given? I am only thinking of a period of ten minutes, no more.

RF 3B

38

COMSR: Probably all counsel would appreciate 2 the opportunity to consider the Terms of Reference. MR SMITH: Just so we do not have two adjournments, we wish to hand out to counsel and other legal 5 representatives of interested parties at the bar table, 6 a proposed written undertaking as to confidentiality 7 which we would be pleased to have executed by counsel 8 and instructing solicitors, and copies of the terms of 9 the section 35 authority which we received this morning 10 from the Minister, just in time to facilitate this 11 hearing today. 12 I had proposed, on handing that material out, to 13 seek a short adjournment so that counsel could consider 14 those documents and raise any matter with you, in particular, as to what steps relating to confidentiality 15 16 ought to be taken, bearing in mind the terms of the 17 Section 35 authority. 18 MS LAYTON: I wonder, in that case, whether we could 19 have half an hour? 20 Perhaps I could indicate that I will be COMSR: 21 considering the terms under which leave to appear 22 applies in each case. It will be necessary for me to 23 obtain from counsel some idea of the parameters of the 24 special interests they represent, because it may be 25 necessary for me, from time to time, to determine who 26 should remain in the courtroom at times. And there is 27 also the question of the extent to which a particular 28 party would be permitted to cross-examine, or 29 cross-examine at all, certain witnesses. 30 Although, the different groups have been permitted 31 to appear, counsel have been given leave to appear 32 before this Commission, that was in order to promote the 33 efficiency of the inquiry, having regard to the time 34 limits within which we are working. It is still 35 necessary for me to have some idea, in respect of each 36 other individual person or each group, the extent of the 37 interest of that group. Therefore, I will be asking

counsel to consider those matters after we have the

38 COMSR:

```
1
      adjournment so that you are in a position to assist me
2
      in that respect also. Are counsel agreed that half an
3
      hour would be a sufficient time for this adjournment to
      enable you to consider the documents?
5
                           Can I be bold enough to suggest quarter
    MR TILMOUTH:
6
      to 12? I have a feeling that, as things stand, time
7
      might blow out and it might be better just to be a bit
8
       cautious on that.
9
    COMSR:
                      I notice some people assenting. If it
10
       is felt necessary, yes.
11
    MR TILMOUTH:
                           Better to be safe and sure, in other
12
       words.
13
    COMSR:
                      I would sooner be in the position of
       being fully informed by each counsel as to the limits of
14
       their representation than to have to adjourn again. We
15
16
       will adjourn until quarter to 12.
17
     ADJOURNED 10.55 A.M.
18
     RESUMING 11.50 A.M.
19
    MS LAYTON:
                         I have indicated just briefly to my
20
       friend, the assisting counsel, that we haven't been able
21
       to take the full instructions that we need, particularly
22
       with regard to the Section 35 declaration. I wonder if
23
       the Commission would grant us the indulgence until after
24
       lunch, because we have instructions to get? I
25
       understand other people might share that view.
26
    COMSR:
                      I take it that other counsel join in
27
       that application?
28
    MR ABBOTT:
                          No, we do not. We want to get on with it.
29
    MS LAYTON:
                         We are not being obstructive in the way
       my friend seems to suggest. He can't help but add
30
31
       pejorative terms to everything he does.
32
                      Perhaps if I could deal with one matter
33
       first and get this out of the way. Have all counsel
34
       signed the applications as to confidentiality?
35
    MS LAYTON:
                          No.
36
    MR TILMOUTH:
                            We didn't get to it. It was nothing
37
       more than that.
```

I take it you are in the same situation?

RF 3B

1	MR TILMOUTH: Yes, I am. I should have expressly
2 3	said. I wanted to say we just haven't thought about the
	undertakings. The fact that we haven't signed them
4	means nothing more than that. We were just too busy
5	thinking about other things.
6	COMSR: I am as anxious as anyone to get a start
7	on the hearing, but clearly if the situation is that
8	counsel have not been able to properly consider the
9	implications of the material that has been placed before
10	them, it would be more advantageous to take the
11	additional hour that is involved for the adjournment,
12	rather than matters be prolonged while individual
13	counsel have got to delay matters. Would that be the
14	extent of any adjournment that is required for this
15	purpose?
16	MŠ LAYTON: I would hope so. I am trying to get
17	things done as speedily as I can.
18	COMSR: Although I sympathise with your view, Mr
19	Abbott, that it would be helpful if we could commence
20	the evidence, I feel that in the circumstances I should
21	allow the additional time and I propose to adjourn until
22	after lunch.
23	MR SMITH: Is that 2 o'clock?
24	COMSR: I will make it 2.15 in the
25	circumstances.
26	MR BOURNE ENTERS HEARING ROOM
27	MR BOURNE: Before you adjourn, I am mindful of the
28	fact that I have been granted and had leave to withdraw
29	and have withdrawn, and my client has withdrawn from
30	this Commission. I am mindful of a ruling which you
31	gave, although I don't have the transcript before me, in
32	regard to the media respecting the rights and the
33	privacy of the persons involved in the inquiry,
34	potential witnesses.
35	My client of course remains, I suppose, a potential
36	witness in the matter, and I do not know whether a
37	decision might be made to compel or attempt to compel
38	him to give evidence in the matter. I would ask you to

1 remind the media of the ruling which you gave and 2 expressly to rule that my client not be approached by 3 the media and that his wish for privacy, and to add nothing to what has already been said by him on his 5 behalf, be respected. 6 I do not know that I have so much as COMSR: 7 made a ruling as said that I would expect the media not 8 to approach any witnesses within the precincts of the 9 building because it might intimidate the witnesses. I 10 am not sure that what I said amounted to a ruling on the 11 matter. 12 MR BOURNE: Perhaps it would be sufficient at this stage if I ask you to remind the media of that 13 14 expression by you in opening the Commission. 15 I can certainly do that. Any members of 16 the media present, you have heard that Mr Milera, through his counsel, has indicated that he personally 17 18 does not wish to say anything to the media. In the 19 circumstances, I can only ask that the media to respect 20 his wishes. 21 MR MEYER: The difficulty that arises with that is 22 that, as I came back from the adjournment, I was 23 approached by the media and invited to read a statement 24 of Doug Milera, which starts off with the word `I'. It 25 is headed `Stanley and Partners' on the piece of paper, 26 but it is a direct statement which has apparently been 27 given by Mr Milera to the press. 28 I do not know how it might have found 29 its way into the hands of the press. Nor do I, but it appears that what is 30 MR MEYER: 31 happening is it is a cake-and-eat-too situation, 'I will 32 give it to the media, but I don't want to talk. 33 **COMSR:** Be that as it may, I have done the best 34 I can to encourage the media to respect the expressed 35 wish by Mr Milera not to be approached by any members of 36 the media.

ADJOURNED 11.56 A.M.

37

2

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

RESUMING 2.20 P.M.

MS LAYTON: I am particularly grateful for the 3 indulgence, until 2 o'clock this afternoon, to obtain some instructions. 4

> There are some very important matters that the Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement wish to raise as a consequence of the combination of the opening of counsel assisting as well as the rulings that the Commission has given this morning. And I wonder if I can indicate what those concerns are?

And, in doing so, I preface it by going back to the letter patents and the Terms of Reference in order to make the point.

Para.2 of the preamble of the Royal Commission refers to Professor Saunders's report having made reference to secret women's business which in the preamble says is more particularly described in the sealed envelopes.

Then para. 3 goes on to say that there have been allegations that the secret women's business was a fabrication.

The preamble continues, in para.4, to say that there has been significant disagreement within the South Australian Aboriginal communities regarding the women's business and the allegations. And I underscore allegations'.

Then proceeds, in para.6, to state:

`It is necessary to investigate the allegations.'

And then I interpolate to say that is the allegations that secret women's business was a fabrication.

Clearly then just at that point the Royal Commission in its preamble is indicating that it was designed to investigate the allegations that secret women's business was a fabrication, which, in turn, leads to the Terms of Reference in para.1 asking the Commission to inquire as to whether the women's business, or any aspect of it,

was a fabrication. 38

Our submission is that the very starting point of this Commission must be; what are the allegations of fabrication of women's business which set the parameters of the inquiry of the Royal Commission? And we submit that the Royal Commission cannot commence the taking of any evidence without identifying what those allegations are and indicating what evidence is to be adduced about those allegations. And, in particular, supplying statements from the persons who make the allegations of fabrication in the usual way that is done in Royal Commissions. Namely, Royal Commissions do not usually commence the giving of evidence without statements being provided. And in this Royal Commission we say that the statements must be related to what are the allegations of fabrication.

The Royal Commission, as you, Commissioner, have announced in your rulings is that the women's business, which is to be the subject of this Royal Commission, is to be the secret women's business which is contained in the secret envelopes. And, as you have ruled, Commissioner, it is not an inquiry into the general issue of secret women's business.

Also, counsel assisting has indicated that the allegations with regard to, I presume, secret women's business are those ventilated in the electronic media. And what he has done is he has invited counsel to look at the material which is available in order to see what it is that those allegations are, but we haven't yet seen it. There have been some documents that have been provided, which stand roughly two and a half inches high, which are alleged to be the electronic media. We don't know, at this stage, whether that is the entire media, but we do know, from looking at it cursorily, that it contains extracts only and certainly does not pretend to be a complete record of what is in the electronic media. But, in any event, before any of us have had an opportunity of looking at that, the evidence is meant to commence.

Further, he added in his outline that the allegations that are being made are those set out in the judgment of Debelle J at pp.3 and 4 which was delivered on 26 July 1995. And that, as you, Commissioner, would be aware, related to an appeal that was taken by ALRM with regard to the interlocutory injunction.

Looking at the matters that are set forth in paras.3 and 4 of his Honour's reasons, the first overt matter is that his Honour didn't have before him all of the media. He was just selecting aspects of it. And, in particular, he refers only to three named media publications together with two unnamed. And, by that, I mean undated publications. And we know, from the two and a half inches of material, that that is by no means complete.

The next aspect is this; that that is what is proffered as being the allegations of fabrication for the purpose of then dealing with para.6 of the preamble to the Royal Commission - namely, the necessity to investigate the allegations - that is put forward as the allegations. And we say that that is entirely unsatisfactory, for this reason:

Firstly, the allegations of fabrication which are proffered are derived from people and not media reports. That is how allegations of fabrication would usually come about, not secondhand and perhaps thirdhand by the time it has been edited. Also synthesized and also incomplete.

Secondly, the written media coverage is extensive and, as I have indicated earlier, contains extracts only. And we don't know whether there are to be any notes from journalists which may be associated with any of this media publication in order to know the full extent, if one is looking only at the question of media being the source of allegations of fabrication.

Thirdly, it is quite obvious, from just a cursory glance at the media publications, that those media publications indicate that allegations of any sort that

are being made are confusing, conflicting, often retracted and incomplete.

How is any person who may be interested in giving evidence before this Commission or making submissions to be sufficiently informed on what the actual allegations of fabrication are in order to proffer any evidence?

Further, how can persons who may be referred to in the media articles know whether they are meant to be part of any alleged fabrication of women's business? There are many names mentioned there as being in some way involved.

How are they to know what is being alleged as being fabrication and whether they are part of that fabrication by virtue of media articles alone?

And, in what way are they meant to be involved?

What are the circumstances in which it is alleged, obviously not by this Royal Commission, but those who allege fabrication, what is it and who is it that they are saying are involved in all of this to enable persons to proffer appropriate material, focused material to assist this Commission in its inquiry?

Further, it is submitted that, having regard to the importance of the allegations of fabrication in respect of what this Royal Commission is to do, the Royal Commission, through counsel assisting, must identify, out of that plethora of material, including what statements it may have from persons who allege fabrication, as to what aspects of fabrication are made with regard to, as the Commission has indicated, the secret women's business.

It is submitted that the Royal Commission must, before any evidence is given, have before it the statements as to what the allegations of fabrication are and from whom, before anybody should be called upon to put any statements in, or hear any evidence. There is no way in which one could cross-examine in a vacuum.

It is suggested that the first part of the material might be historical or anthropological.

There is no way in which anybody can sensibly cross-examine anybody on any aspect, without knowing what it is that are the parameters of this particular Royal Commission and what the allegations of fabrication are.

Further, the Royal Commission has identified that the only subject to be the subject of this inquiry is the secret women's business contained in the secret envelopes.

The question is, what are the allegations that have been made or are being made about fabrication of the contents of those envelopes, or even the existence of those envelopes?

It is our submission that, before any evidence is called at all, that the following should be done by way of opening and by provision to all of those - and, in particular, we talk about the ALRM - of the following matters; we ask that the following matters be identified:

- 1. What allegations are being made about the secret women's business, as contained in the secret envelopes, which is alleged to be fabrication?
- 2. Who are the persons who have alleged that the women's business, or any aspect of it, as contained in the secret envelopes, was a fabrication?
- 3. Who are the persons alleged to be involved in the fabrication and the nature of their involvement?

That cannot be merely gleaned from media reports.

4. When, where and how are persons alleged to have been involved in any fabrication?

We have heard cursory references to meetings.

Is it suggested that at any meeting or meetings there has been any grouping of people or a person who has been putting forward information which may in some way be said to be part of a fabrication of secret women's business?

Whilst my friend has indicated from the authority that he cited of Hallet that an opening can be general,

we would submit that it is entirely inappropriate, in a case like this which is all about whether or not there has been fabrication, to name the people that are alleged to have fabricated.

And 2. Say what it is that is alleged to have been fabricated.

One cannot start off in a total vacuum and have evidence unfolding at large, which is the way in which Mr Smith is submitting it will unfold. He has got categories of information. But, as I understand it, was almost apologetic, because, as he says, the date by which statements are to be given has not yet passed. Namely, by the close of business tomorrow. And yet we are embarking on the evidence. If ever I have heard the cart put before the horse that would have to be the best example. And it is done in a context which is entirely unknown and unspecified and, as I said, without the supply of statements.

So, we would submit, at this stage, two things and we ask this Commission to respond to this request:

Firstly, that there be specification of the allegations of fabrication as we have requested.

Secondly, that the counsel assisting the Commission confirm that they will provide statements to us of those who are alleging fabrication by close of business on Friday; or, if it is not received, that there be an indication, from this Commission, that, until such time as statements are received by this Commission from those who allege fabrication, that there be no continuance of that evidence.

The point that I also wish to make on this is that it is for those who allege fabrication to proffer evidence, first - to use Mr Abbott's colourful expression - to put up or shut up. They should go first and we should know what it is that we are all meant to face

Further, it has been suggested that at least five women - and I take it to be Mr Abbott's clients - will

1 be proffering evidence. 2 It seems to be a fair way down the chain of 3 evidence, if I understand Mr Smith's outline, but also there is a suggestion of another three women. 5 Now, we don't want to have a situation where we have some statements and then evidence is given and then 6 7 later on some further material comes to light. 8 If there is known evidence or suggestions of 9 evidence by those who suggest that there is fabrication, 10 that should all be taken first and those statements 11 proffered in the usual way. 12 So that is our request. 13 There is also another matter that I mention and it is unrelated to that, but it is related to the 14 15 envelopes. This was raised on Monday and the request, 16 as the Commission would know, had been made by a letter 17 to me, bearing in mind that I had given an undertaking in the Supreme Court to ensure that the envelopes were 18 19 kept safe pending the Federal Court proceedings and any 20 High Court proceedings which may occur. And the request 21 was made that I obtain instructions with regard to 22 providing those envelopes to certain named Ngarrindjeri 23 women. Namely, Bertha Gollan, Dorothy Wilson and Dulcie 24 Wilson. 25 As I indicated to the Commission, at that time, I 26 had to speak with other people. I am not the custodian 27 of that material, but I have in fact spoken to persons 28 who are not my clients and I have been informed that the 29 envelopes will not be made available and a letter has 30 been written to three Ngarrindjeri women informing them 31 as to why. And I have been sent a copy of that letter 32 and I think I should indicate that I have been requested 33 to read it out. **CONTINUED**

CJ 3D

1	It's only short and I will read it to the Commission.
2 3	This particular letter is written to Dulcie Wilson, but I understand that similar letters have been written to
4	the other two Ngarrindjeri women.
5	MR ABBOTT: Can I rise to ask whether my friend is
6	reading this out on the basis of any instructions, or
7	because she wishes to take up some time?
8	MS LAYTON: I have been given - I can answer you if
9	you don't mind sitting down.
10	MR ABBOTT: I apprehend that the ALRM, if they are
11	fulfilling their charter, should not be acting for any
12	particular group of women. I would expect my friend, if
13	she has received instructions along the line indicated,
14	would be acting for a particular group, since this
15	letter is obviously a response to my clients who do form
16	a particular group. I would conceive that the role of
17	the ALRM, in so casting themselves, appears to be
18	directly in breach - and certainly in breach - of the
19	spirit of the heart of charter.
20	MS LAYTON: I'm referring directly to a letter that
21	was written to me asking if I could get instructions
22	from certain people. I indicated I can't get
23	instructions, but I will obtain information as to the
24	issues that were raised in that letter. I indicate they
25	are not my clients, and I predicated that. I was
26	informed that the persons would not make available that
27	information and I was requested to impart the
28	information to you. That is the basis upon which I
29	intend to do it. I'm not acting as the ALRM, I am
30	acting as an officer of this Commission, if I can put it
31 32	that way, wanting to say something in response to a
33	letter that was written to me asking for certain matters to be ascertained, and this is what I have been told to
33 34	do.
35	MR ABBOTT: You're reading out a letter that is
36	addressed to my clients, aren't you?
37	MS LAYTON: Yes.
38	MR ABBOTT: Can't we wait until I get it? I have
50	Cuit no nate and I got it. That

```
never seen it.
2
    MS LAYTON:
                         I'm responding to the request made by
       the court.
    COMSR:
                      But that is a request made by counsel.
5
    MS LAYTON:
                         That's correct. I'm responding to it.
6
                      The normal way might be to -
    COMSR:
    MR SMITH:
                        Respond to me.
8
    MS LAYTON:
                         I'm happy with that. I was asked if I
9
      could convey the information to the Commission and I had
10
       thought I would do it that way because everything had to
11
       be done publicly on this aspect, and I thought that it
12
       would be appropriate to respond publicly. It's only a
13
       short letter and sets out why, and I would have thought
14
       that would have been very relevant.
15
    COMSR:
                      Perhaps the counsel -
16
    MR SMITH:
                        We are struggling to start evidence in
       this Royal Commission. Thus far, we have had three days
17
18
       which have been indispersed with the proffering of
19
       statements and utterances from the bar table. It's got
20
       to come to the evidence. The evidence must start. I
21
       object to this. The letter, I haven't received a
22
       response to the letter, as counsel assisting the
23
       Commission, or what the Commission wrote to Ms Layton.
24
       If it could come to me first, we can work from there.
25
    MS LAYTON:
                         I'm quite happy to hand it over in the
26
       light of all of that. I do not wish to do something in
27
       any way that could be construed as destructive. I hand
28
       that letter to Mr Smith as counsel assisting.
29
         At this point, I tell the Commission that I wish to
30
       make two requests: one, that particulars be given; and,
31
       two, that an undertaking - `undertaking' is the wrong
32
       word, an affirmation that statements will be provided by
33
       the close of business on Friday, or, if not, then
34
       statements be proffered by those who are alleging
35
       fabrication to all of those who should receive it at
36
       this bar table before any evidence whatsoever commences
37
       with regard to this Royal Commission. That is our
38
       request.
```

MR TILMOUTH: I would like to rise to support the 2 submissions which have been made. If I could, I 3 generally adopt the submissions made by Ms Layton. I 4 would like to add a few submissions of my own. 5 The primary dictate in the Letters Patent of this 6 Royal Commission are in para.6, being it is necessary to 7 investigate the allegations'; namely, the allegations of 8 fabrication. In my submission, for this Tribunal to be 9 properly constituted and proceed correctly, it should, 10 first, do just that, which is what the constituting 11 document of this Royal Commission requires it to do. 12 In my submission, Miss Layton is, therefore, right 13 in her submissions to you that the way to go about it is 14 to produce these statements, give us time to take instructions and consider them before the evidence is 15 16 given. 17 In fact, if the Commission pleases, I understand 18 that it is proposed this afternoon that we go into 19 evidence, albeit of a Museum nature. That appears to 20 be contrary to our expectations as raised in para.4 of 21 the general procedural direction that statements would 22 be circulated in advance, as Mr Smith said today in his 23 opening, and then with sufficient time upon which 24 instructions can be taken and investigations made, if required. 25 26 COMSR: I take it that counsel have circulated 27 to counsel assisting the statements of all the 28 witnesses? 29 MR TILMOUTH: We have got nothing. 30 MS LAYTON: Nothing. 31 MR TILMOUTH: Nothing whatsoever. 32 I'm saying that on behalf of the parties COMSR: 33 you represent, that you have prepared statements? On what? That's the point we are 34 MR TILMOUTH: 35 making. What are we supposed to prepare statements on? 36 We have no idea, with respect, what is supposed to be 37 investigated and what their involvement is alleged to 38 have been.

CJ 3D

Could I add the point that on the media statements that Ms Layton talked about, we were only given those at 12 o'clock today. It may not have been clear from what she said, but - and so far as we have had the opportunity to go through a small number of them, in many respects they only appear to be summaries. In some cases, they appear to be only what went to air; that the whole interview, whether it went to air or not, does not appear to be available.

Fundamental points need to be made in what, I submit, is hearsay. What is required to properly investigate, as I have submitted under para.6 of the recitals, is the original statements upon which it is said that there are reliable allegations of fabrication. With respect, your ruling in para.1 on p.2 is only in the event that the Commission is able to conclude that there was an element of fabrication. That the subsequent Terms of Reference need to be separately considered is, with respect, correct.

But, at the outset, what needs to be embarked upon is an inquiry as to whether or not there is any credible evidence of fabrication, first, and whether there is something to amount to a prima facie case. If it's not credible or not a prima facie case, there is no need to go through the Museum evidence and the anthropological evidence, and all the rest of it.

More than that, the fact of the matter is that with just general aspersions through the secondary source of the media reports is totally inadequate to convey what lies behind the true allegations. People's reputations, their careers in some cases, their credit and, indeed, their religious and cultural beliefs are all supposed to be under attack, but nobody is prepared to explain just how, why or where.

I make the final point that although Hallet still remains, I think, the only book on Royal Commissions, the opening statement that Mr Smith read, or the basis of the opening statement that Mr Smith read in the

CJ 3D

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

quotation from Hallet this morning at p.217 is supported by reference to Victorian Royal Commissions which occurred between 1966 and 1977. Since 1977, a great deal of law in natural justice has developed since then and, in my submission, things are quite different these days from what they were in those years upon which that statement is based.

The fact of the matter is that the Royal Commission, as I said in my opening submissions before I formally sought leave, encompasses, as you have ruled, the circumstances of who, whether, where, what and how and it makes direct allegations in the end result that certain people are involved in fabrication.

Now, in my submission, there must be, first of all before we can go anywhere else, a firmly based and reliable prima facie case of that, established or otherwise. If the predicate of the Royal Commission has not been satisfied, then there is no reason to make any further inquiry.

I make one final point and that is a procedural rather than substantive point. We were advised only yesterday - and some others are in the same position of limited availability of funds for legal representation. It includes no provision at all for preparation or reading. It only relates to Commission days and it also contains, for want of a better word, an embargo on the receipt of any other funding. That means, of course, that at any stage we are instructed to take any challenge to the Royal Commission, that funding will cease. Obviously, those types of conditions have grave problems and they are effectively limiting the ability to give effective and proper representation. In fact, if one construed it narrowly, to come along on Commission days and hold the hand of people for whom we represent and no more, is a token rather than a substantial gesture under proper representation under the Act.

38 MS PYKE: I would like to adopt the submissions of

38

Ms Layton. 2 MR STEELE: I adopt the position put by Ms Layton and Mr Tilmouth. I want to speak of my client because it exemplifies the possibility of the position in which 5 he and I are faced. 6 Who is your client? COMSR: 7 My client is Dr Neale Draper, who is an MR STEELE: 8 archeologist and anthropologist. He is a resident of 9 Queensland now. He is not in this State physically, nor 10 does he have the means of coming to this State to 11 provide me with instructions, nor do I have funding to 12 bring him to this State to provide me with instructions. 13 He has professional and personal responsibilities in 14 Queensland which prevent him leaving on a long-term 15 16 Until this morning, I had no means of obtaining 17 instruction from him. In any event, until this morning, 18 there was no authorisation by the Minister pursuant to 19 s.35 which enabled Dr Draper to give me any 20 instructions, except of the most general kind. 21 Obviously, an important aspect of his evidence 22 pertinent to this matter is the report which has been 23 mentioned from time to time through the submissions. I 24 have not seen that report. I have no means available to 25 advise him. I have no means available to protect him. 26 I have no means available to cross-examine any 27 witnesses. 28 I was told last night for the first time that an 29 anthropologist's evidence would commence today. I was 30 told that it would be only Museum evidence from a Museum 31 witness. I was not told who they were and what they 32 would say. I was not told whether there would be an 33 attack on my client's professional integrity. I was not 34 told if there was to be such an attack or the form of 35 that attack. I have no possibility of obtaining any 36 instructions on that at all. 37 In those circumstances, I completely agree and adopt

the submissions of Ms Layton that it is incumbent to

38

anthropologists.

1 those who are making allegations of fabrication to say 2 what the allegations are and to say what is anticipated in the making of the fabrication. Until that is done, it is a denial of natural justice to require any party 5 to participate in a sensible way. 6 COMSR: Are there any other counsel that wish to 7 be heard in respect of this? 8 MR STRATFORD: You will remember that I represent Mr Tim Wooley. Mr Wooley is a solicitor employed by the 9 10 ALRM and he initially took instructions from the Lower 11 Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee back on 12 October 12 1993. He continued to act for that committee through 13 until this Royal Commission came into being. 14 As early as 25 October 1993, he drafted letters for 15 his clients to send to the State Minister for Aboriginal 16 Affairs and the Federal Minister for Aboriginal and 17 Torres Strait Islander Affairs. 18 On 23 December 1993, he wrote to Mr Tickner, the 19 Federal Minister, seeking a s.10 declaration under the 20 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage 21 Protection Act. Between taking instructions and the 22 making of the order by Mr Tickner, he was involved in an 23 extensive exchange of correspondence with the relevant 24 Ministers and was involved in extensive discussions with 25 the anthropologists Draper, Deane and Saunders. 26 From about 20 June 1994, he was acting for five 27 senior women as well as for the Heritage Committee. The 28 nature of this secret women's business and how the 29 bridge might affect it have never been explained in any 30 detail to him. 31 It was really not until around 18 April 1994 that 32 his instructions became clear, that the main reason his 33 clients objected to the building of the bridge was 34 because it somehow upset the spiritual circumstances of 35 Hindmarsh Island and its surrounds for the women. Once 36 this secret women's business was raised, matters were 37

largely out of his hands and taken over by the

COMSR: I'm not quite clear what point you're making in reciting the background? MR STRATFORD: It is important that you understand something of Mr Wooley's position in a general way before I make the submissions that I now come to. It's on the basis of the second point that was made by Dr Griffiths when he graced us with his presence. It's fairly obvious, if his argument is valid, that Mr Wooley is not a compellable witness because he made an application on behalf of his clients pursuant to s.10 back in December 1993. So, to put it another way: Evidence disclosed by Mr Wooley in support of s.10 application and then given this inquiry might impair the efficacy of the further Commonwealth inquiry to be headed by Matthews J.

The next issue that I raise is the status of files held by the ALRM if Mr Wooley is to give evidence. Clearly, it's desirable that Mr Wooley should have access to these files to prepare his statement, to give his evidence and to have available to him if he is cross-examined. They are not his files. They are either owned by his employer or by his clients. He cannot refer to them unless privilege is waived. He can't refer to confidential information unless he can rely on the exemption that was provided this morning by the Minister.

All of this means that for Mr Wooley to provide a statement and to give evidence, the files have to be obtained from the ALRM. Further, the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee will have to be asked to waive privilege. The five women that Mr Wooley acted for will have to waive privilege and he will have to feel confident in being able to rely on the exemption provided this morning by the State Minister.

I also take up the point that has been raised by Mr Tilmouth in relation to funding. It was not until ten to six last night that I received a response to a request delivered on 13 July. This response was an

CJ 3D

- offer to pay limited costs for the purpose of proofing
 Mr Wooley and attending while he is giving evidence.
 CONTINUED

RF3E

Unless this situation changes, this means that he has no funding for any of the arguments on the issues I have raised in this submission. He will have no representation when others give evidence, and that includes anyone who might say anything, suggesting that he knew of a fabrication or was involved in it and, therefore, he will not have anyone available to cross-examine those witnesses on his behalf to test the allegations.

All of this is to be taken against the background of having only the broadest picture of secret `women's business', which he probably cannot use, and no knowledge of the nature and extent of the allegations of a fabrication involving him or anyone else. Without funding, it is difficult to see how Mr Wooley will get a fair go. They are my submissions.

MR SMITH: I can only repeat what I said this morning, that spelling out the allegations is to provide the witnesses represented by people like Mr Abbott. That cannot happen today and the statements are due to be filed tomorrow - or at least some of them.

I suggest only to the Commission that we start today with the anthropological evidence, or at least evidence from one party from the museum on that topic. I indicate to counsel at the bar table that, as they have had no adequate notice of that evidence, that you nonetheless receive it, and they be given an opportunity to cross-examine that witness at a later time in the Commission.

I have been through the question about allegations. This is not an ordinary piece of litigation, with plaintiff against defendant, where such things are appropriate. The allegations that are made have been broadcast abroad, and it is our function here to inquire into those allegations; that is, to call evidence to see if those allegations can be made out indeed; the allegations that occur in both the print media and the electronic media. So that that is the function. We,

RF3E

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

38

the Commission, do not have, as it were, a mortgage on
those allegations. They come from witnesses whom we
will call.
As to the question of calling evidence without
statements in advance, that occurs regularly in such

statements in advance, that occurs regularly in such inquiries as these. Witnesses are called often, as we put it, on the blind, and statements need not necessarily be provided in advance by counsel assisting. By and large, as this Commission gets underway, in accordance with the Practice Direction, that will happen.

We have heard now another array of submissions really that have the effect of delaying the Commission. It was my understanding that the submissions you were going to hear this afternoon were to be addressed to the question of the rights and extent of examination and cross-examination, and the impact of the Section 35 authorisation. That is all I have to submit.

18 19 MS LAYTON: At this stage we indicate, in view of 20 the fact that notwithstanding our request for 21 particulars of the allegations of fabrication, and on 22 the basis that there has been no commitment that there 23 will be no evidence adduced pending statements being 24 supplied with regard to those who allege fabrication as 25 to what those allegations of fabrication are, we will at 26 this point withdraw from the Commission, and we do so 27 for this reason. The ALRM, as you know, is a body that 28 protects the legal rights of Aboriginals and, in 29 particular, their traditional religious beliefs. We 30 cannot continue to participate in what we see to be an 31 unlawful and inappropriate investigative process. This 32 is quite apart from the application that we have made in 33 the Supreme Court with regard to the actual issuing of 34 the Royal Commission. We now say that this process that 35 is to be adopted is an abusive process and we submit 36 that we cannot continue to be part of it. 37

There are two ways in which this inquiry could have been conducted. One was to investigate the allegations RF 3E

1	of fabrication once they had been nominated. The second
2 3	was to investigate the religious beliefs of people as to
3	whether or not that included what is alleged to have
4	been fabricated. It appears as though the lack of
5	particularity about allegation means that the second is
6	the process and, in particular, the focus on the
7	confidential envelopes demonstrates more clearly than
8	ever before, that this inquiry is one as to the
9	religious beliefs of Aboriginal people and not an
10	inquiry into the allegations of fabrication, which still
11	are not articulated. On that basis, Madam Commissioner,
12	we seek to withdraw.
13	COMSR: You can, but, of course, you have made
14	some submissions to me, I have heard you and I have
15	heard counsel assisting. I do not know that I have as
16	yet indicated what I propose to do, but, however.
17	MŠ LAYTON: If there was anything different from
18	what counsel intended to do, perhaps we should hear. I
19	understood that counsel was now pursuing the calling of
20 21 22	evidence and I assumed that you, madam Commissioner, in
21	not saying anything, were accommodating that process.
22	If that is not to be the case, then I sit down at this
23	point.
24	COMSR: I must say that I was still mulling it
25	over in my mind. I have heard submissions from a
26	variety of counsel. I was considering your request that
27 28	there be at least two particulars provided.
28	MS LAYTON: I named four in all.
29	COMSR: I certainly do not propose to just, as
30	it were, dismiss it out of hand without considering the
31	matters that have been put, Ms Layton, but I am, of
32	course, mindful of the time constraints of this inquiry.
33	I have allowed counsel some time to consider the various
34	documents. I propose to consider what has been put, but
35	I note that another day has virtually gone on these
36	preliminary matters.
37	However, I have had a number of submissions put to
38	me by counsel. I do not know if it has exhausted all

RF 3E

- 1
- 2 3
- that counsel for all the parties want to say on the matter, before I consider what might be appropriate in the circumstances, but what I would propose to do is at least to take a short break while I consider the matter. I will withdraw from the hearing for a while, while I have regard to what you put to me and what the other counsel have put to me concerning the conduct of the inquiry.
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- inquiry. ADJOURNED 3.10 P.M.

RF 3E

1	RESUMING 4.32 P.M.		
2	MR SMITH: To assist you with your ruling on the		
2 3	questions raised by a number of counsel before the		
4	break, as counsel assisting the Commission, I indicate		
5	that the Commission is prepared, subject to your ruling,		
6	to make a more detailed opening, including particulars,		
7	attempting to answer the particulars sought by counsel,		
8	Ms Layton and Mr Tilmouth, on Monday. Statements for		
9	the first segment of evidence will be provided in		
10	accordance with the strictures under the Section 35		
11	authority on Monday, and the evidence to commence on		
12	Wednesday.		
13	COMSR: What you are seeking is an adjournment		
14	for those purposes?		
15	MR SMITH: Yes. I will be attempting to insist		
16	that the evidence starts and submissions finish on that		
17	day and that the Commission gets underway.		
18	COMSR: It seems to me a lot of the difficulties		
19	that are arising seem to be because the functions of the		
20	Commission - that is, its investigatory role - do not		
21	appear to be perhaps fully accepted. This Commission,		
22	of course, has been set up to collect information about		
23	the allegations of fabrication, and the allegations		
24	having been made, the inquiry has to obtain information		
25	concerning them. Those allegations have been publicly		
26	made and I understand that there is material available		
27	which, I take it, Mr Smith, you are prepared to make		
28	available to the parties.		
29	MR SMITH: Yes. I indicate that all the media		
30	evidence that we have collected will be made available		
31	to counsel.		
32	COMSR: Of course, the Commission cannot know a		
33	this stage what information might be uncovered during		
34	the course of the inquiry, because we are continuing to		
35	uncover facts as the inquiry progresses. However,		
36	counsel assisting have tried to meet the concerns		
37	expressed by counsel by undertaking to give a more		
38	detailed opening on Monday and, subject to the		

RF 3E

conditions of the authority given pursuant to Section 35, undertaking to provide statements. I am prepared to grant an adjournment to Monday for that purpose.

However, I must indicate that I will be proposing to commence with the evidence on the Wednesday and that I have to have regard to the time limits in which this Commission is to operate. However, I consider that many of the concerns that have been expressed will be met by the provision that has now been made by counsel assisting.

There is one matter though that I think I should cover before we do adjourn this afternoon, and that is a matter that is of concern to Abbott's clients that he voiced this morning. It may assist if I explain, in a general way, that there are provisions in the Royal Commission's Act to deal with disruptive conduct and intimidatory conduct. I do not expect to have to have recourse to such provisions at this inquiry. However, I point out that a Commissioner could not be expected to permit a hearing to be disrupted or witnesses intimidated.

In any event, if it proved necessary to do so, the evidence of a witness who felt intimidated could be taken in private. Having said that, I am not to be taken to be suggesting that there was any conduct in this hearing today which would call for any action on the part of the Commission. Indeed, if I might say so, I have probably had more trouble from the two benches in front of me. I am not wishing to be facetious, but I just want to make the point that there has been nothing in the conduct of anyone in the courtroom today that has caused me to make those observations that this Commission, like any Commission, would deal with disruptive conduct and take what steps were available if and when the need arose. I do not know whether that answers your concerns, Mr Abbott?

MR ABBOTT: It would answer some of them. It doesn't answer the issue as to whether or not there was

RF 3E

1	anything in the nature of a curse placed on this		
2	courtroom.		
3	COMSR: That is a matter that I haven't		
4	overlooked and I am pursuing.		
5	MR ABBOTT: I will wait until Monday.		
6	COMSR: On Monday I would expect, of course,		
7	when we are dealing with the question of releasing		
8	statements, to hear from counsel, as I have indicated		
9	previously, the extent of their special interests so		
10	that I am able to deal with the question of the release		
11	of the statements.		
12	ADJOURNED 4.40 P.M. TO MONDAY, 31 JULY 1995 AT 10 A.M.		

COMSR STEVENS 3 HINDMARSH ISLAND BRIDGE ROYAL COMMISSION 5 **MONDAY, 31 JULY 1995** 6 7 RESUMING 10.10 A.M. 8 MR SMITH: The programme for today has been 9 distributed to all counsel and other people interested 10 so that, with your leave, I immediately embark upon the 11 further opening that the Commission agreed to give. 12 By way of introduction, given the requests of 13 counsel last Thursday, the Commission now extends the 14 time for the lodging of witness statements to Friday, 4 15 August 1995 at 10 a.m. Apart from the Museum evidence 16 or statements, which statements will be distributed 17 today on the basis of certain safeguards and conditions, 18 there will be no introduction of other evidence 19 statements until the earliest Friday afternoon, 4 20 August. Again, that distribution of witness statements 21 will be subject to conditions relating to such matters 22 as confidentiality and the like. The Museum evidence 23 will commence on Wednesday at 10 a.m. 24 The following opening statement will necessarily 25 highlight the allegations of fabrication, so it is 26 necessarily a focus upon the existence of evidence 27 against there being secret and other sacred women's 28 business associated with Hindmarsh Island and it being 29 fabricated in the months leading up to the banning of 30 the construction of the bridge on 9 July 1994. 31 Some conversations to which I will be referring in 32 this opening will necessarily be modified to avoid me 33 opening on precisely what is said about secret and/or 34 sacred women's business. 35 What then is sought by way of my opening, what is 36 sought by way of further detail, is set out in the 37 transcript of proceedings on Thursday, 27 July 1995, and 38 in particular at p.93 of the transcript.

The requests are as follows: First, what allegations are being made about the secret sacred women's business as contained in the secret envelopes which is alleged to be a fabrication?; Two, who are the persons who have alleged that the women's business, or any aspect of it as contained in the secret envelopes, was a fabrication?; Three, who are the persons alleged to be involved in the fabrication and the nature of their involvement?; and, Four, when where and how are the persons alleged to have been involved in any fabrication.

I am answering these questions from a combination of witness statements already to hand from the South Australian Museum, from the Chapman group, from media material and from evidence which the Commission reliably expects to have based upon information supplied to me by counsel and the legal representives of some parties.

So, I now deal with the first question: What allegations are being made about the secret women's business as contained in the secret envelopes which is alleged to be a fabrication?

It is alleged that there is no secret and/or sacred women's business connected with Hindmarsh Island or its environs. In short, it is alleged that such business does not exist. Evidence will be led that there is no history or cultural tradition of secret sacred women's business associated with Hindmarsh Island. It is alleged that Doreen Kartinyeri fabricated the secret sacred women's business with the support and encouragement of others. It is not necessarily the existence or the fact of their being women's business in respect of Hindmarsh Island which is alleged to be fabricated by arrangement, it is the claimed existence of secret and/or sacred women's business which is challenged as a fabrication.

The second question: Who are the persons who have alleged that the women's business, or any aspect of it as contained in the secret envelopes, was a fabrication?

The persons who allege the fabrication are in five groups. Collectively, their evidence amounts to an allegation of fabrication. I deal with each of the five groups in turn. Firstly, those who were at Mouth House on 9 May 1994 and who witnessed or who participated in the discussions and who were, amongst other people, the men, namely: Victor Wilson, and Douglas Milera, to name two. These people, who were the persons who alleged the fabrication, are: Dorothy Wilson, Sarah Milera, Douglas Milera. I ask you to note that in naming Sarah Milera and Douglas Milera, I am relying solely on what they have said to the media; and, in Douglas Milera's case, to other parties, such as Kym Denver. Neither Sarah nor Douglas Milera at the present time appear to be prepared to give evidence to the Commission. None the less, it is appropriate that evidence of statements made by them to other parties be heard by the Commission.

The second group of people who are the persons who have alleged that the women's business, or any aspect of it as contained in the secret envelopes, was a fabrication, are certain Ngarrindjeri women of seniority and standing who assert that they have no knowledge of secret sacred women's business associated with Hindmarsh Island; and, furthermore, say that they would know if there was such secret business in existence. These women are: Dulcie Wilson, Phillis Byrnes, Bertha Gollan, Rita Wilson, Audrey Dix, Jennifer Grace, Betty Tatt and also Dorothy Wilson - she being not so senior as the others. Some of these women have been given some information about what is supposed to be in the envelopes.

The third group of witnesses who come under the heading of persons who, in a sense, allege that the women's business, or any aspect of it contained in the secret envelopes, is a fabrication, are two academics from the South Australian Museum, an historian and a anthropologist historian, both of whom have a long history of academic and personal contact with the

Ngarrindjeri culture and the Aboriginal people of the Lower River Murray. These men assert that the secret sacred women's business is, from their perspective, a recent invention. They are: Philip Geoffrey Jones and Philip Clarke. Their expert views about the non-existence of secret sacred women's business, based as it is on the voluminous literature about the area, is reinforced, to some extent, by their eye witness observations in the Museum of events leading to the emergence of this business.

The considerable anthropological data and literature from, amongst others, Meyer, Taplin, Tindale and, in particular, Ronald and Kathryn Berndts reveals a wealth of detail of private matters of sexuality, initiation and birthing to do with both men and women of the Ngarrindjeri people. This data purports to reach back to a time earlier than the European invasion. This data reveals no secret sacred women's business associated with Hindmarsh Island and its environs. This will be the subject of evidence before the Commission.

The fourth area of evidence that supports, if you like, or that indirectly answers the second question of 'Who are the persons who have alleged secret women's business, or any aspect of it as contained in the secret envelopes, was a fabrication?', are those people who give evidence here about the late emergence of the secret and other sacred secret women's business. In the sense, the evidence as to the late emergence of the secret and/or sacred women's business is relevant to the issue of whether it existed at all. Accordingly, what occurred or rather did not occur in the early years of the Chapman development in the Hindmarsh Island from, say, 1981 to 1993, is material - and you will hear some evidence as to it.

In this period, the evidence proposed to be adduced identifies some consultation by the Chapmans and a number of experts employed by them and Government departments with Aboriginal interests. Women's business

and in particular secret sacred women's business, is not raised or identified at any stage during this period until April 1994.

The next category of evidence that addresses this second question is to do with the Ngarrindjeri people themselves. There will be evidence of meetings of Ngarrindjeri people, including Ngarrindjeri women, and these meetings took place at some considerable time prior to the emergence of the women's business in mid-October 1993. There was a meeting of Ngarrindjeri people at Camp Coorong near Tailem Bend. At the meeting was Dorothy Wilson. The meeting was called by Daisy Rankine, Vic Wilson, George Trevorrow, Doug Milera and Robert Day. There was a discussion about the bridge at that meeting, but in the context of sacred burial sites. There was no suggestion at this meeting of women's business.

Again, in November 1993, there was, at the Port Adelaide College, a meeting of Ngarrindjeri women, including Dorothy Wilson. Again, it was at that meeting that the Ngarrindjeri people were asked to go to Goolwa and protest about the bridge.

So, they are the five areas of evidence that address, in my submission, the second question; as far as the evidence that we know of thus far.

I now move to questions three and four, which I will deal with together. Question three is: `Who are the persons alleged it to be involved in the fabrication and the nature of their involvement?'. Question four is: `When, who and how are persons alleged to have been involved in any fabrication?'. In my view, the appropriate way of answering these questions is to attempt to identify, in the evidence which is to be led to the Commission from the various interests, the context in which secret sacred women's business emerged, the persons involved and the events surrounding it.

I commence with early April 1994. Prior to 12 April 1994, a matter of days prior, Dr Doreen Kartinyeri

telephoned Philip Clarke of the South Australian Museum from her Mid-North home. She told Philip Clarke that she required information on Hindmarsh Island and said that they had very little to stop the bridge and were looking for anything that may help them. Philip Clarke provided Dr Kartinyeri with some references and agreed to give her a printout from his site database prepared for his thesis; his thesis deals with the Lower River Murray Ngarrindjeri people.

Philip Clarke's diary shows that on 12 April 1994, he reminded himself to send the material to Dr Kartinyeri, and he did so. A short time after 12 April 1994, Dr Clarke spoke again with Dr Kartinyeri. She said to him that she was interested in the similarity between the Ngarrindjeri word Kumari, meaning 'pregnant', and the place name of the island recorded by Taplin which has Kumarangk, meaning 'the points'. There was a conversation between Dr Kartinyeri and Dr Clarke about the word and its meaning.

From early April, or around about early April, the Anthropological Division of the South Australian Museum received a number of informal requests for information from Aboriginal communities. Then, there were two particular visits by Aboriginal people to the Museum to specifically look at the Tindale collection for information on Hindmarsh Island.

Firstly, Douglas Milera and Victor Wilson came into the Museum and Philip Clarke showed the Tindale collection to them, which was in the process of being unpacked in Philip Jones's room. Indeed, Tindale had died only in November 1993. Both Douglas Milera and Victor Wilson told Philip Clarke that they were looking for something that might strengthen their case to stop the bridge and that they had very little upon which to base their argument.

A few days later, in the first half, or thereabouts, of April 1994, Doreen Kartinyeri, Hilda Day, Sarah Milera arrived at the Museum and saw there Mr Steve

Hemming and Dr Philip Clarke. Dr Kartinyeri said that
 she knew something about the significance of Hindmarsh
 Island and wanted to find something to confirm it in the
 Tindale collection.
 Sarah Milera, whilst looking at the photographs
 unearthed from the Tindale collection, appeared to go

unearthed from the Tindale collection, appeared to go into some sort of trance. The collection was considerable and the ladies asked Mr Hemming and Mr Clarke to work as quickly as possible to find for them references to Hindmarsh Island and the extent of the Coorong region. They said that the `business' had become important to them as Ngarrindjeri women.

Between 15 April and 11 May, Dr Philip Clarke was on leave from the South Australian Museum. During his leave, Stephen Hemming rang him requesting all his data on Hindmarsh Island in order to help him; that is, Mr Hemming to assist Dr Kartinyeri. Philip Clarke questioned Stephen Hemming as to why he was getting more deeply involved and Mr Hemming said that Dr Kartinyeri had sought his special interest in interpreting the information. Philip Clarke gave the data to Mr Hemming.

The next event was 26 April 1994. On 26 April 1994, there was a meeting in a cafe near the North Terrace offices of ATSIC between Steven Michael Palyga, Thomas Chapman, Matt Rigney of ATSIC and Peter Walsh, an Australian Government solicitor acting for ATSIC. During the course of the meeting Matt Rigney told the gathering that Hindmarsh Island was significant and he described the shape of Hindmarsh Island in relation to a part of a woman's anatomy and he said there were women's issue to do with birth associated with the island.

I now move to what has been called the `Mouth House meeting' of 9 May 1994. The Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee called a meeting of Aboriginal women from the Nunga's Club at Murray Bridge for 9 May 1994. On Friday, 6 May 1994, Dorothy Wilson, who was the programme director of the Nunga's Club, heard about the meeting and decided to go. 15 or so Ngarrindjeri women

1	travelled to Goolwa on Sunday in a bus; Sunday, being 8
2	May. The women stayed in a place called The Bunkhouse
3	on the northern end of Hindmarsh Island. On 9 May, on
4	the Monday, at The Bunkhouse, Doreen Kartinyeri spoke to
5	the women, including Dorothy Wilson, and said, amongst
6	other things: `The men have been down here for months
7	trying to stop the bridge and they can't stop it, so
8	it's now up to us women to stop the bridge.' Dr
9	Kartinyeri then told the women the story about women's
10	business and why the island was sacred. There was
11	considerable discussion about it.
12	Then, Eileen McHugh wrote out a letter to be sent to
13	- the meeting decided it should be sent to Mr Tickner.
14	It was signed by all the women present. Sarah Milera
15	took the letter in order to fax it to the Minister from
16	Signal Point on Goolwa Wharf.
17	At the end of this meeting at The Bunkhouse, Sarah
18	Milera asked Dorothy Wilson to come to her to Mouth
19	House - which, Commissioner, is a holiday cottage at the
20	southern end of the island looking into the mouth of the
21	River Murray. Sarah and Doug Milera were, at that time,
22	living at Mouth House. The other women from The
23	Bunkhouse followed to the Mouth House cottage. At the
24	Mouth House, evidence will be led that present were
25	Shirley and George Trevorrow, Doug Milera, Sarah Milera,
26	Vic Wilson, Tim Wooley, Doreen Kartinyeri and some of
27	the other women who had come from The Bunkhouse.
28	CONTINUED

1 Doreen Kartinyeri told Sarah Milera to show the 2 letter which had been written out in Bunkhouse and 3 signed by the women there to Tim Wooley. Sarah Milera 4 gave the letter to Tim Wooley and he appeared to read 5 it. He then said that he did not think there was enough 6 in the letter to stop the bridge and that they needed to 7 have more information in the letter. There was then 8 conversation about 'Kumarangk', the Aboriginal name for 9 Hindmarsh Island, being the word for `fertile' or 10 pregnancy'. Patti Kropinyeri started writing some 11 further material in the letter, which had come from 12 Bunkhouse. It was at about this time that Vic Wilson 13 went to a colour aerial photograph of the island on the 14 wall of the shack and said `Look at the map up there.' 15 Then it is alleged Doug Milera said that the island and 16 the waters around it were sacred to Ngarrindjeri women 17 and he said `Look at the photo. What does it remind you 18 of?' And he said words to the effect that what was 19 represented in the photograph was a representation of a 20 woman's body. Doreen Kartinyeri said, after a minute or 21 so, 'Oh, yes, I can see it. Yes, it's true,' she added, 22 indicating the map and pointing to it and saying 23 something about its resemblance. Dorothy Wilson left 24 Mouth House, at about this time, and, when she returned, 25 the second part of the letter, which was originally 26 composed in Bunkhouse, had been completed. She did not 27 sign the letter again. Police officers were in 28 attendance at Mouth House, at about this time, and there 29 was talk about the protest planned for the next day. 30 The police officers talked to Vic Wilson, Doug Milera 31 and George Trevorrow and also spoke to Tim Wooley. 32 Dorothy Wilson drove Sarah Milera to Signal Point on the 33 Goolwa Wharf, where Sarah went into the office. 34 The next event, Madam Commissioner, upon which we 35 have some evidence, or indication of evidence, is 12 May 36 1994. 37 On 12 May 1994, Dr Doreen Kartinyeri, who, of 38 course, was employed in the Family History Unit in the

38

South Australian Museum, was in the museum, assisted by 1 2 Mr Stephen Hemming, to compose and send a letter to the 3 Federal Minister, Mr Tickner. The Anthropology Division's clerical officer, Ms Margaret Amon, who was 5 present, on that day, assisted with the faxing of the 6 letter to Mr Tickner and also heard conversation between 7 Mr Hemming and Doreen Kartinyeri. Some of that 8 conversation to the general effect that Mr Hemming would 9 back Dr Kartinyeri all the way. 10 It was at about this time that Dr Philip Clarke, of 11 the South Australian Museum, spoke with Mr Hemming about 12 the part played by him in the matter. In conversation 13 with Mr Clarke, Mr Hemming conceded that some invention 14 of tradition had taken place, but that he felt that overall it was valid in that Maggie Jacobs and Connie 15 16 Roberts could remember something too. Mr Clarke 17 protested to Mr Hemming about Mr Hemming's active role 18 in the matters. And Mr Hemming said that, because of 19 his close association with these elderly Aboriginal 20 people, he considered he was justified in backing the 21 effort to stop the bridge. There was conversation 22 between the two of them about the role which the South 23 Australian Museum ought to be playing in such a matter. 24 I pause here to remind you, Madam Commissioner, that 25 it was on 12 May that an emergency declaration was made 26 by the Federal Minister halting the construction of the 27 bridge. That was later extended from 30 days to 60 days 28 and finally the declaration was made on 9 July. 29 And I also pause just to note, for the sake of 30 keeping things in some chronological order, that 31 Professor Saunders was engaged in late May 1994 to 32 report to the Minister, pursuant to s.10 (4) of the 33 Torres Strait Islanders Act. 34 And it was in about May or June of 1994 that Dr 35 Deane Fergie, an anthropologist, was commissioned by 36 ALRM, firstly, to facilitate a meeting of Aboriginal 37 women with Professor Saunders and later she was

commissioned by ALRM and, in particular, Tim Wooley to

prepare a report, which, together with a submission from ALRM, went to Professor Saunders.

I now move to the next incident, which is the gathering of Ngarrindjeri women at Goolwa and the meeting with Professor Saunders. The gathering being on 19 June, that is a Sunday. And the meeting with Professor Saunders on 20 June, the Monday.

On the Sunday, going back, to 19 June, approximately 35 women journeyed to Goolwa. Included in this group were Dorothy Wilson. Doreen Kartinyeri was also present. The women travelled by bus from Adelaide and some had come from as far off as Point Pearce.

After tea on the eveing of 19 June, at Graham's Castle, at Goolwa, Doreen Kartinyeri addressed the women. She explained that Mr Tickner was sending Professor Saunders down to talk to them and that they had to convince Professor Saunders that there was women's business on the island in order to stop the bridge. She said that the men had tried to stop the bridge but couldn't. Doreen Kartinyeri said that she was present to tell the women all about the women's business at Hindmarsh Island. She said that Grandma Sally and Auntie Rose had told her of these things and she then went on and elaborated and pointed to a map. There was discussion between the women.

The next day, which was 20 June, Professor Saunders arrived with her assistant, Anne Mullins. Dr Deane Fergie was also present. On that day, there were discussions between the women and with Professor Saunders and with Deane Fergie and the party went on a tour of the island down to the Murray Mouth. The tour which involved, amongst other things, the indication of sacred burial sites, was conducted by George Trevorrow and Doug Milera.

I now move on to that week of 19 June.

Professor Saunders - and I deal with the Professor Saunders's visit to the museum - Professor Saunders visited the South Australian Museum on about Wednesday,

38

1 22 June 1994. Neither Philip Jones, who was, at that 2 time, the Head of Division of Anthropology at the 3 museum, nor the Director of the Museum, received any formal notice of Professor Saunders's visit. Just prior 5 to the visit, Doreen Kartinyeri telephoned Philip Jones, 6 from within the museum, and asked whether it was 7 possible for Professor Saunders to view material which 8 had been collected in association with a burial platform 9 from Hindmarsh Island. Philip Jones told Doreen 10 Kartinyeri that the museum did not have anything like 11 that in the collection, but that the museum did have 12 material of that kind from Salt Creek, which is well to 13 the south along the Coorong. Philip Jones asked Doreen 14 Kartinyeri from whence she had got that information and 15 Dr Kartinyeri told Philip Jones that it was from Mr 16 Hemming. Philip Jones then told Doreen Kartinyeri that 17 it was his view that Stephen Hemming knew very well that 18 the material was from Salt Creek. And that it was 19 misleading to suggest that it had anything to do with 20 Hindmarsh Island. Doreen Kartinyeri made it clear to 21 Philip Jones that she was searching hard for anything 22 convincing to place before Professor Saunders and Philip 23 Jones told her that she should be careful about making 24 connections of the sort that Stephen Hemming suggested. 25 Now, Madam Commissioner, I move to some events 26 following the declaration halting construction of the 27 bridge on 9 July, which, in my submission, are capable 28 of being relevant to the issue of whether or not there 29 has been fabrication and the purposes thereof. 30 Madam Commissioner, there is some sensitivity in the 31 Aboriginal community in mentioning the name of a 32 deceased person, particularly if the period of mourning 33 has not lapsed. A person who features, to some extent, 34 in this matter is now deceased. Her name is Nanna 35 Laura. I would be pleased if you could suppress from 36 publication the mention of her name and I won't mention 37 it again, other than to refer to her as the deceased

daughter of Pinkie Mack. And perhaps, when I conclude,

37

38

1 Madam Commissioner, I could ask you to make that order. 2 Within days of the Mouth House meeting of 9 May 3 1994, Dorothy Wilson spoke to Pinkie Mack's daughter and 4 conveyed to her two aspects of the secret women's 5 business which she understood was contended for by 6 Doreen Kartinyeri. And Pinkie Mack's daughter told 7 Dorothy that she knew nothing of such secret women's 8 business. 9 In late October 1994, Dorothy Wilson met with Doreen 10 Kartinyeri at the Murray Bridge Nunga's Club. Stephen 11 Hemming and Maggie Jacobs were with Doreen Kartinyeri, 12 at that time. Dorothy Wilson told Doreen Kartinyeri 13 that the daughter of Pinkie Mack had never heard of the 14 women's business. Doreen Kartinyeri protested that the 15 daughter of Pinkie Mack had knowledge of the business 16 and, if she was saying she didn't, she was lying. 17 Indeed, the daughter of Pinkie Mack came to the Nunga's 18 Club at Murray Bridge that afternoon in order to visit a 19 great-granddaughter there. Dorothy Wilson spoke to her 20 for a second time about this women's business and she, 21 the daughter of Pinkie Mack, repeated to Dorothy Wilson 22 that she did not know anything about the business. 23 In March of 1995, the daughter of Pinkie Mack signed 24 a letter staying that she knew nothing about the women's 25 business and this letter found its way into the hands of 26 a Member of State Parliament - a Member for the area 27 including Hindmarsh Island, Mr Peter Lewis - who tabled 28 the letter in Parliament. Soon thereafter, still in 29 March of 1995, or thereabouts, representatives of ALRM 30 visited the daughter of Pinkie Mack and apparently 31 obtained a form of retraction of that letter and that 32 retraction featured in a television interview in the 33 media, at about this time. 34 I now move to 23 April 1995, which was the date of 35 the reconciliation meeting at Graham's Castle at Goolwa. 36 A number of Ngarrindjeri women attended a meeting at

Graham's Castle, on 23 April 1995, including Dorothy

Wilson, Doreen Kartinyeri and the director of the ALRM

Ms Sandra Saunders. There was considerable discussion about the question of the women's business and Professor Saunders and the stopping of the construction of the bridge. There was anger at Dorothy Wilson who had indicated her opposition to women's business. In the course of the discussions at some stage during the meeting Dorothy Wilson complained to Doreen Kartinyeri that a number of Ngarrindjeri women in Murray Bridge did not know of this meeting and Sandra Saunders said that the meeting was only for Ngarrindjeri women who wished to stop the bridge. Dorothy Wilson then said to Sandra Saunders that their culture includes all Ngarrindjeri women who have a right to know what is going on when culture is being talked about. And Sandra Saunders's reply was words to that effect `Well, it's not about culture. It is about stopping the bridge.' Dorothy Wilson's retort was something to the effect `I knew that all along. I just wanted to hear you say it.'

I now move to other matters; conversations that took place on about 27 April 1995.

Dulcie Wilson was a person, Madam Commissioner, who spoke out early against the notion of secret women's business.

In November of 1994, she spoke at a Rotary meeting in Murray Bridge. She hails from Millicent and she was the guest speaker. The speech was printed in the Argus, a local paper, and it contained a reference to women's business and Hindmarsh Island.

On 27 April 1995, or thereabouts, Doreen Kartinyeri rang Dulcie Wilson and Dr Kartinyeri said to her that she had heard that Dulcie was not supporting women's business. Dulcie told Doreen that she didn't know anything about women's business and was never told anything by her grandmother or her aunties and, therefore, would not support something that she did not know about. Doreen Kartinyeri informed Dulcie Wilson that her grandmother had told her about it. Dulcie said that Grandmother Sally had come from Poonindie, on the

38

1 west coast. Doreen then said that Auntie Rose had told 2 her. Dulcie Wilson then said `Well, Auntie Rose did not 3 tell me anything and I am older than you.' The conversation between the two ended on the basis that 5 Dulcie Wilson indicated to Doreen Kartinyeri that, in 6 Aboriginal culture, all should know about these things. 7 And Doreen replied with words to the effect that she was 8 the only one chosen. 9 There was further conversation in which Doreen 10 Kartinyeri told Dulcie Wilson some part of the women's 11 business. Nonetheless, Dulcie did not support it. 12 Soon after that conversation, and on the same 13 evening, Sandra Saunders, from ALRM, rang Dulcie Wilson 14 and the gist of the conversation was as follows; Sandra 15 Saunders asked Dulcie Wilson `Is it true that you 16 support - ' and she named the daughter of Pinkie Mack -`who says she knows nothing about all this?' Dulcie replied `Of course I know nothing of all this.' And 17 18 Sandra Saunders said words to the effect 'You don't want 19 to forget all that has happened to the Aboriginal people 20 over 200 years ago.' There was further conversation 21 22 about atrocities and the conversation ended with Dulcie 23 Wilson insisting that she stood by what she said. 24 Namely, that she never knew anything about women's 25 business and had never heard of it. I now come to the conclusion of this further 26 27 opening, Madam Commissioner. There were further incidents at the museum which are 28 29 capable of supporting or at least are evidence relevant 30 to the allegations of fabrication. And I will briefly 31 summarise those. 32 On 11 July 1994, Dr Philip Clarke spoke to Dr Deane 33 Fergie over the telephone. Amongst other things, Dr 34 Clarke argued that Dr Fergie should consult more broadly 35 and he gave her a long list of both male and female 36 researchers who had worked in the Lower Murray. Dr 37 Fergie responded to the effect that, since none of them

had worked within the feminist anthropological

tradition, they were not crucial to the issue of whether women's business, as described by Dr Kartinyeri, existed in the Lower Murray. There were on-going vigorous discussions between Philip Jones and Philip Clarke, on the one hand, and Stephen Hemming, on the other, at the museum about such topics as whether the literature on the Lower Murray supported the notion of secret and/or sacred women's business.

I mention, by way of conclusion, Madam Commissioner, how it is that experts such as Dr Deane Fergie and, for instance, Dr Neale Draper could be of assistance to the Commission.

Dr Deane Fergie was involved in the meeting with Professor Saunders and her report supports the existence of confidential secret and/or sacred women's business. Her methodology and conclusions are very much the focus of this inquiry.

Dr Neale Draper was, during the months leading up to the banning of the bridge construction, the senior archeologist in the employ of the Government. He was involved for many months with what was happening on the island.

In his report, on 29 April 1994, compiled for the Minister of Aboriginal Affairs, he makes reference to `highly confidential cultural tradition associated with the island.'

In the light of such views and the matters raised in this inquiry, Dr Draper will have some information, I am sure, which could assist this inquiry.

I suggest, from what I have said about the matter generally, the other represented parties can identify their interest in this inquiry.

33 CONTINUED

RF4C

Can I end by making the point that this further opening is unusual, and, as I said in my opening remarks, it necessarily focuses upon the evidence that we have thus far against there being `secret sacred women's business'.

Thus far, this Commission has no indication of, at least in the form of evidence statements or the like, willing evidence to the contrary. So that, in an effort to open and answer the four questions that were raised on 27 July, I have named people, and, in particular, Aboriginal people, and, in particular, Ngarrindjeri women.

Having done this and, therefore, in a sense, raised these people into public prominence - indeed, if they are not in prominence already - I am told by their legal representatives that they do not wish to speak to any people about these matters: that is, the matters that I have raised here in this opening, other than their legal representatives and those people to whom they choose to speak. Accordingly, they do not wish to be approached by any other people concerning this, and I would ask you, on behalf of the Commission, to view any unauthorised contact with these women or with their families as being viewed very seriously by the Commission.

Could I ask you immediately then for the order suppressing from publication the use of the name `Nanna Laura'.

29 COMSR: Pursuant to Section 16A(1)(c) of the 30 Royal Commissions Act, I forbid the publication of the 31 name of the witness `Nanna Laura'.

MR ABBOTT: Before my learned friend concludes, could I make one qualification on what my learned friend has said apropos of my clients? There is always a difficulty with nomenclature. He has spoken of my

clients and their rejection of there being `women's business'. I want to make it clear that the rejection

that my clients have spoken of is, at all times, `secret

RF₄C

37

38

1 sacred women's business' in relation to Hindmarsh 2 Island. 3 MR SMITH: I did not intend to convey anything other than that. 5 COMSR: There are a few comments I would like to 6 make. You have had the benefit of the detailed opening 7 you requested from counsel assisting. At the request of 8 the parties, counsel assisting has identified, from the 9 available material, the possible manner in which parties 10 are likely to be involved. This can only be based on 11 the material which the Commission has at this stage. 12 The work of the investigation continues. Clearly, 13 there is a body of information which is not yet to hand, 14 and I would invite any person who has information concerning this matter, of course, to come forward and 15 16 make a statement to the Commission. 17 This Commission makes no allegations at all about 18 anyone who has been mentioned by counsel. Counsel has 19 supplied, from the materials available, what inferences 20 might possibly be drawn on the basis of that material. 21 However, of course, there is a whole body of information 22 that is not yet before this Commission, and I make the 23 point that that information, of course, may well cast a 24 different aspect on any inferences that might be drawn. 25 Of course, all the parties here have been given 26 leave to appear for persons. It is apparent, of course, 27 that there are various groups with adverse interests or 28 conflicting views about the issues before this 29 Commission, and it is also apparent that this Commission 30 has not heard from all of those groups. Certainly, the 31 efficacy of the inquiry would be enhanced if all those 32 groups were separately represented before the 33 Commission, and if they put material before this 34 Commission. In my view, it would be proper that all 35 groups do appear before the Commission. 36

I understood at the last hearing that some counsel were not readily able to identify in what manner they might be said to have a real or substantial reason to RF₄C

appear. The approach I adopted, in granting leave without further inquiry, was where, on the face of the public allegations, there was an apparent interest, then I granted leave.

Of course, no-one better than the person applying would know how he or she was likely to be affected. However, if any counsel now considers that the party they have leave to represent - he or she - does not have a real or substantial interest, then I would be prepared to consider any applications by counsel if they wish to withdraw.

I point out that the Commission has certain coercive powers under the Royal Commissions Act. It has refrained from using its coercive powers in such a sensitive inquiry as is before it. Furthermore, there are legal issues yet to be resolved by the courts of the extent to which those coercive powers are curtailed by the provisions of the Commonwealth Racial Discrimination Act.

The Commission does have certain statutory powers in relation to intimidation of witnesses who appear before it. Given that complaints of intimidation have been made, I make the general observation that I will take whatever steps are available to me, and appear to be most effective, to protect any witness from harassment or intimidation. I note, in particular, the request of Mr Abbott, that the parties he represents ask that they not be approached by anyone with respect to the evidence that they will give. I also would like to remind the press that their presence and their questions can be intimidating to witnesses, and repeat my request that they not approach any witnesses in the precincts of the building.

There is another matter that I thought I would mention. I referred briefly to the matter of statements which are made and published in the media or shown on television by persons who apparently have knowledge of the matters being inquired into by the Commission. A RF4C

statement to a newspaper or shown on television is not evidence before the Commission. It does not become evidence until such time as the person appears at this hearing and, in the witness box, gives testimony, or until his statement is tendered and received in evidence. Counsel are no doubt well aware of this.

A great deal of the work of the Commission is of a preliminary nature and it consists of gathering statements from potential witnesses. The mere collection of statements or documents does not make them evidence.

On the last occasion on which the Commission sat, counsel for one of the parties, who had been released from appearing, sought leave to read a statement from the party. This was refused. He then asked that I protect the party from the attentions of the press. He later apparently distributed a statement to the press. The publication of that statement in the newspapers and on the television does not make it evidence before me. That material could only become evidence if the witness appeared or if, in some way, the evidence was received before me. I make those observations.

I propose that we will adjourn this hearing until Wednesday of this week, but before I do so, I will ask if there are any applications by counsel. There are some procedural issues which I think are best dealt with perhaps informally at this stage. I would propose, after the adjournment of this hearing today, that I meet with counsel, as it were, in chambers, to discuss a few of those procedural issues so that they can be dealt with before this hearing resumes and before the taking of evidence commences.

33 MR SHORT: If there are no other applications by
34 Counsel, my name is Short. I seek leave to appear for
35 Advertiser Newspapers Limited in relation to a discrete
36 issue, which I understand was canvassed when the
37 Commission last sat, in relation to the publication of
38 the proceedings of the Commission. Perhaps initially, I

RF₄C

38

```
1
      seek leave to make submissions in relation to that
2
      issue. Do you wish to hear me any further in relation
      to the issue of leave?
                      I do not know if counsel assisting
    COMSR:
5
       wishes to.
6
    MR SMITH:
                        I could probably assist. I think Mr
      Short would be content with just being given leave to be
7
8
      heard at the appropriate occasion if there is an order
9
      of suppression or an order relating to confidentiality
10
       or the restriction of material, rather than to have a
       general right of appearance. The Commission's position
11
12
       would probably be that that is the position in respect
13
       of all media.
14
    MR SHORT:
                         That is certainly so, Commissioner.
                       All right, Mr Short, I am prepared to
15
    COMSR:
16
       grant leave for that restricted purpose only. That you
17
       have leave to make submissions at appropriate times, if
18
       and when I am contemplating making an order restricting
       publication or any other order as to confidentiality.
19
20
                         Just on that matter, from reading the
    MR SHORT:
21
       transcript on Friday, it appeared that you were
22
       proposing to make an order in relation to four separate
23
       matters. Is it convenient for the Commission to make
24
       submissions on that proposed order at this time, or
25
       would you prefer that to be at some other time?
26
    MR SMITH:
                        Again, I could probably allay my learned
27
       friend's fears. If there is a suppression looming, I,
       as counsel assisting, will communicate with the media
28
29
       and with those media organisations who are represented,
30
       to let them know what parts of the evidence are going to
       be suppressed and why. I think at each stage of that,
31
32
       the media or the legal representatives of the media can
33
       be heard, if they want to be.
34
          For instance, there is some evidence in the museum
35
       evidence, as I have called it, which is both expert
36
       evidence and narrative evidence. The expert evidence
       cannot be the subject of wider publication because of
37
```

the implications of Section 35 of the Aboriginal

RF₄C

26

```
1
      Heritage Act. Not so the narrative component. So I
2
      will advise the media as to all of that, and my learned
3
      friend, Mr Short, too. If he has some problem with that
4
      method of dealing with it, he can deal with it at the
5
      time of the order.
6
         Can I add, in case all the media present are going
7
      to flee off and get a legal representative to make a
8
      similar application to that of Mr Short, that will not
9
      be necessary. I will do my best to communicate with the
10
       media on this topic, whether they are represented or
11
       not.
12
    COMSR:
                       Will that be sufficient as far as you
13
       are concerned?
14
     MR SHORT:
                         Yes, that is a very sensible approach.
                       What I propose to do is adjourn at this
15
    COMSR:
       stage, take a short break and then, as I have indicated,
16
       discuss some procedural issues with counsel. I think
17
       the only room large enough will be in this room, so I
18
19
       suggest perhaps if we resume with counsel only, because
20
       the hearing will have been adjourned at that stage.
21
    MR MEYER:
                         Does your Honour include in that
22
       instructing solicitors?
23
    COMSR:
                      Yes. I meant that it was implicit in
24
       that. However, we will adjourn now until Wednesday, 2
       August 1995 at 10 a.m.
25
```

ADJOURNED 11.05 A.M. TO WEDNESDAY, 2 AUGUST 1995 AT 10 A.M.

```
COMSR STEVENS
3
   ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE HINDMARSH ISLAND BRIDGE
5
    WEDNESDAY, 2 AUGUST 1995
6
7
    RESUMING 10.03 A.M.
8
    MR STEELE:
                       On Monday, I reserved my position with
9
      respect to Dr Draper's appearance, continued appearance,
10
       in the Commission. I have now had the opportunity to
11
       take instructions. As I indicated to you on Monday, Dr
12
       Draper is resident in Queensland and his sole source of
13
       income is from a consultancy which he conducts in
14
       Queensland. If he attends in Adelaide, he is without
15
       income - which, of course, he is not in a position to be
16
       in. The Government has declined to fund him a witness
17
18
         In the absence of allegations made against him in
19
       the further opening by my learned friend Mr Smith, Dr
20
       Draper's instructions are that I should withdraw, if
21
      only temporarily. It may be that allegations will be
22
      made against him either personally or professionally in
23
       the course of evidence. If that is so, my friend Mr
24
      Smith will advise me in advance and I will return to the
25
      Commission at that time. It may be that Dr Draper will
26
      be called to give evidence in the matter and I would
27
       seek to return to the Commission at that time. Pending
28
       those develops I would withdraw.
29
    COMSR:
                     I note that you are withdrawing and will
30
       be returning in that event.
31
    MS NELSON:
                        I seek leave to appear for Stephen
32
       Hemming.
33
    COMSR:
                      Stephen Hemming has leave to appear, has
34
       he not?
35
    MS NELSON:
                         Not that I'm aware of.
36
    MR SMITH:
                       Certainly our position was that he
37
       should get leave and until now he hasn't had counsel,
38
       but simply -
```

1	COMSR: Perhaps if you could explain his
2	application, the basis on which you are seeking leave.
2 3	Has he a special interest, or is he likely to be
4	prejudiced by some evidence led during the course of the
4 5	inquiry? Is that the basis of your application?
6	MS NELSON: Certainly that is correct. My client
7	will be called to give evidence by counsel assisting the
8	Commission and I understand, although I wasn't here, but
9	I've had the benefit of some precis that in his opening
10	my learned friend, Mr Smith, made certain allegations
11	relating to my client which affect him both personally
12	and professionally and there is a statement which was
13	published in yesterday morning's Advertiser which
14	suggests, at least by innuendo, that he has somehow been
15	a party to the fabrication of material to be
16	investigated by you. To that extent, he does have a
17	special interest and that requires protection.
18	COMSR: I think perhaps if I clarify something.
19	As far as I'm concerned, the Commission isn't making
20	allegations against anyone. Mr Smith was asked to
21	outline, as I recall, on what basis it could be
22	suggested from the evidence that he has to hand that
23	certain matters of fabrication arise. But I don't
24	understand it to be any allegation either by Mr Smith or
25	coming from the Commission itself. You appreciate that?
26	MS NELSON: Now I do. That is not, of course, how
27	it is reported.
28	COMSR: I appreciate how it is reported and that
29	it might be slightly different.
30	MS NELSON: I have assumed that Mr Smith is, in
31	effect, putting forward material which are allegations
32	likely to be made by witnesses before this Commission.
33	But to encapsulate, that is basically -
34	COMSR: That is the basis on which you seek
35	leave?
36	MS NELSON: That's right, at this stage.
37	COMSR: I take it from what Mr Smith has said
38	that he doesn't wish to be heard on that?

1	MR SMITH: I accept, Madam Commissioner, that Mr
2	Hemming has a vigorous interest in the matter.
3	COMSR: Yes. Miss Nelson, you have leave to
4	appear on behalf of Mr Hemming.
5	MS NELSON: May I indicate that because I'm only
6	recently instructed, although Mr Smith very carefully
7	provided me with all the material which is relevant to
8	my interest in the matter, I'm not confident that I can
9	necessarily do justice to my client's case without some
10	time to consider that material. I'm not seeking an
11	adjournment at this stage.
12	COMSR: You would be hard-pressed to get one at
13	this stage.
14	MS NELSON: I thought I might be pushing my luck a
15	bit. I foreshadow, I believe that the first witness
16	will be Philip Clarke and I do have a vigorous interest
17	in cross-examining Mr Clarke. It may be that I cannot
18	do justice to the full extent in that cross-examination
19	without appropriate time for consideration.
20	COMSR: I appreciate there are some concerns
21	about that. I am sure Mr Smith will address that
22	matter.
23	MR LOVELL: I seek leave to appear on behalf of Mr
24	Christopher Kenny, who, as I understand from discussions
25	with Mr Smith, may be a witness later in the Commission.
26	COMSR: Well, it is not apparent to me at this
27	stage quite what his special interest might be. Are you
28	seeking leave at this stage, or is it a matter that can
29	be deferred?
30	MR LOVELL: It certainly is a matter that can be
31	deferred.
32	COMSR: I will note that you are making the
33	application and that when you wish to pursue it I'll
34	bring it on again.
35	MS LAYTON: We have been instructed by the ALRM that
36	it no longer seeks to be represented at the hearings of
37	this Commission. Whilst it's inappropriate in this
38	Commission to give reasons, for reasons that you have

36

37

38

raised before, because of the public importance of this 1 2 decision and the fact that it hasn't been taken lightly, the ALRM would seek to make four short points and to make one or express one legal concern. I would wish to 5 do that. 6 Are these matters that are more COMSR: 7 appropriately brought forth in another forum, and 8 probably have already been brought forth in another 9 forum? MS LAYTON: 10 We consider not. We submit that the 11 transcript ought to record why a body such as the ALRM 12 seeks to withdraw, and we only seek to make them 13 briefly. The legal matter that we wish to raise is 14 something that should definitely be recorded, in view of the evidence which is to be given this morning. The 15 16 four short times are these, that -17 COMSR: Are you simply going to nominate the points? 18 MŜ LAYTON: 19 I'm not going to enlarge on them. In respect of the matters that you have indicated before, 20 21 it's quite inappropriate to give lengthy reasons. 22 The first short point is that the ruling of the 23 Commission as to its Terms of Reference and also the 24 further opening given by counsel assisting have 25 confirmed that this Royal Commission will inevitably 26 inquire into Aboriginal traditional beliefs. You are 27 already aware that there is a Supreme Court action 28 seeking declarations. There will, therefore, be a 29 further ground added as a result of the opening which 30 occurred last time. 31 As you know, the Royal Commission is proceeding on 32 what the ALRM is challenging as unlawful authorisation 33 by the Minister. There is a further authorisation and 34 the evidence which will be given today is based upon the 35 validity of that authorisation. So, the first point is

The second point is that the Terms of Reference of

unlawful proceedings.

that the ALRM cannot here endorse what it believes to be

1	the Royal Con	nmission have included that the resolution	
2	of the disagree	ement within the South Australian	
2 3		mmunity has been one of the reasons for the	
4		Commission. However, we see that this	
5	can never resolve such a disagreement and is being		
6	further devisive and includes the anthropological		
7	profession. We cannot be part of a process which		
8		s the Aboriginal community.	
9	The third point is that the ALRM has not and cannot		
10	represent any particular Aboriginal groups - and that		
11	has included the women who were represented by Miss		
12	O'Connor, which included Doreen Kartinyeri. To the		
13	extent that it r	nay have been inferred that by ALRM that	
14	Miss O'Conn	or's clients could be represented by us, we	
15	wish to disab	use anybody of that position.	
16	COMSR:	You are saying that it is mutually	
17	inconsistent t	o be -	
18	MS LAYTON:	That is correct. Therefore, that	
19		een Kartinyeri and those whom Clare O'Connor	
20	represented a	nd who still remain unrepresented before	
21	this Commis	sion.	
22	MR ABBOTT:	By choice.	
23	MS LAYTON:	This is my turn, Mr Abbott. The ALRM	
24		r wishes to be represented as an	
25		but any of its employees whose names may	
26		vidence may wish to be separately	
27		ike, for example, Tim Wooley has been in	
28	this Commis		
29		in summary on those points, the ALRM has	
30		ald not continue to seek protection of	
31		ditional beliefs by challenging the	
32		e Royal Commission, including its	
33		t, at the same time, participate in what it	
34		be an unlawful process.	
35		oint that we wish to make today,	
36		aving regard to the planned evidence, is	
37	this -		
38	COMSR:	Well now, you want to make a legal point	

1	here?
2	MS LAYTON: Yes. It is appropriate that we make it
2 3	here, if the Commission pleases, because the Commission
4	may wish to consider this point in the light of what it
4 5	seeks to do by way of planned evidence this morning. We
6	consider it appropriate to raise it. It's only short.
7	COMSR: Well, as you are withdrawing from the
8	Commission, it really seems inappropriate to me that you
9	would be seeking to raise a legal point.
10	
11	\mathcal{C}
12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	<i>y y</i> 1
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	, I
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	1
38	client.

38

COMSR: In respect of what? Now, we have a 2 programme for this morning, Mr Tilmouth. I can't be spending the day listening to statements. I understand that there is a programme MR TILMOUTH: 5 today. The first point I want to make is that we still 6 haven't been given any statements whatsoever. That's right. COMSR: 8 MR SMITH: I will deal with that. 9 COMSR: Mr Smith will deal with that. I'm going 10 to ask Mr Smith to outline what is going to occur today 11 and that might well traverse the matters that are of 12 concern to you. 13 MR TILMOUTH: I seek to be heard after he raises those 14 matters. 15 COMSR: We will see. 16 MR SMITH: The authorisation of the Minister for Aboriginal Affairs, the last authorisation dated 27 July 17 18 1995, by clause 8 empowered you to require undertakings 19 to make directions and to impose requirements in respect 20 of persons taking the benefit of the authorization. 21 Accordingly, by letter dated 28 July 1995, the 22 Commission required that persons having information 23 divulged to them, pursuant to the authority, agreed to 24 give evidence if required as a precondition to being 25 forwarded certain reports and evidence statements; in 26 particular, evidence statements from the witnesses from 27 the South Australian Museum. Some parties did not give that undertaking. Others did. Accordingly, there are 28 29 some parties represented in this inquiry who do not have 30 notice of the evidence about to be led from the 31 employees of the South Australian Museum. 32 Now, it could be said: be that on their own heads. 33 But, it's the position of the Commission, as I 34 understand it, that, none the less, some leeway will be 35 granted to those people. So that the evidence from the 36 South Australian Museum will be called by me commencing 37 this morning and will be in-chief over the next two to

three days. That other counsel, if they wish, can

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

reserve their rights to cross-examine on Monday, 7
August - that's depending on what occurs and subject to review by you, Madam Commissioner. Material, of course, will be handed out this morning.

As to rights of cross-examination and subject to what occurs in the next two days, that may not arise until Monday. The order of cross-examination normally will be based upon the degree of interest in the witness.

Taking Mr Clarke as an example, I would envisage that Miss Pyke for Dr Fergie, perhaps, and also Miss Nelson for Mr Hemming would have the most interest in the witnesses Clarke and Jones, and Mr Abbott perhaps the least. The converse would be true in the case of Mr Hemming's evidence.

I emphasise to counsel on your behalf that it's always the case that the extent to which cross-examination over the same ground will be permitted is in your discretion.

I mention, by way of an opening statement, the s.35 problems and the question of media reporting. Evidence will be led from the Museum witnesses by the tendering of statements of evidence settled by oral testimony, as there is a mixture of expert and eye witness evidence. Taking the case of Dr Philip Clarke, for instance, his statement of evidence and his oral testimony can be or will be in three parts. First of all, he will tell you and those here of the history of the Ngarrindjeri people - and that part of his evidence will also include a short eight minute film. The second part of his evidence will be an examination by him of the culture and tradition of the Ngarrindjeri people with particular reference to women's business and secret sacred women's business. The third part will be what I've called `narrative evidence' as to certain events in the South Australian Museum in the months leading up to and beyond the banning of the bridge on 9 July 1994.

Madam Commissioner, I would contend to you that the

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

second section of this evidence has, at least, a risk of involving discussion of or divulgence of information in contravention of Aboriginal tradition, in breach of s.35 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act - subject, of course, to the authorization. So, I will be suggesting to you, Madam Commissioner, that the court be cleared for that section of the evidence and that there be a suppression order on production of that part of the evidence and that part of the transcript until the Commission is 10 satisfied that there is no such illicit divulging.

For the sake of those present, by `clearance' it is meant that only Commission staff, parties given leave to be represented on their behalf who have an interest in the area of the evidence and their legal representives should be present. There may be other occasions which arise in the evidence which will be dealt with in like

I suggest, if it be possible before we start with Mr Clarke's evidence, that you deal with the question of who should be entitled to be present in that middle section of the evidence of those at the bar table.

Before I sit down, there must be a signed undertaking as to confidentiality by counsel before they have an entitlement to even ask for leave to remain in the closed hearing. If I could have an assurance from counsel that the original confidentiality undertaking, not the second one, be executed.

28 CONTINUED RF 5B

```
MR ABBOTT:
                          We are able to give that assurance.
2
    MR TILMOUTH:
                            That assurance is given, but I still
       seek leave to make a submission to you on the process
      that has been undertaken today. I can put it briefly.
5
                       If, at the conclusion of the evidence,
    COMSR:
6
      you feel that fairness to your client demands or
7
       suggests that certain concessions be made, I will hear
8
       you then, but, as I understand it, your concern is with
9
       having sufficient time, is it?
10
     MR TILMOUTH:
                            The concern is with the whole process.
11
       It is better to divulge the material from the start, so
12
       that we can have adequate notice rather than to give it
13
       on the blind. In my submission, that whole process is
14
       totally unsatisfactory, but I will just have to wait and
15
       see, on the current proposal, where we stand.
16
          May I make the point as well, without elaborating,
17
       the question of funding with respect to my client is
18
       still not resolved. At the current stage, I propose to
19
       leave Mr Kenny here to deal with the situation.
20
                          I give a similar assurance relating to
    MS NELSON:
21
       the undertakings. I just want to raise something
22
       briefly in relation to the foreshadowed application to,
23
       in effect, hold certain parts of the hearing in camera.
24
       I would not be concerned if that were to happen,
25
       provided that, at the end of that period, I was entitled
26
       to invite you to review that situation.
27
          My client's position is that he has been very
       publicly vilified and that is likely to be happening in
28
29
       the context of the narrative of events that took place
30
       and discussions that it is alleged he had with, for
31
       example, Philip Clarke, and what he may or may not have
32
       said in those discussions. I cannot see that, on the
       face of it, there is any reason why, for example, his
33
34
       explanation of what happened, and his denial of certain
35
       allegations that I understand Philip Clarke will make,
36
       should not be made public, because it really does not
37
```

offend against any of the matters that attract

RF 5B

```
1
       confidentiality. I would be disturbed if that aspect of
2
       the evidence were somehow closed to the public.
3
         If I can indicate that my interest is confined to
4
       that aspect of it. I accept that there are other
5
       aspects of it that do attract confidentiality, but
6
       perhaps it is better to look at it in context when you
7
      actually come to that situation.
8
                       Perhaps I will just clarify, Mr Smith,
    COMSR:
9
      is the portion of the evidence that Ms Nelson has
10
       alluded to, part of that which you say must, of
11
       necessity, be heard in private?
12
                         No, the narrative part is not to be
     MR SMITH:
13
       heard in private. It does not involve any divulgence
14
       which would amount to a contravention of Aboriginal
       tradition - at least, the in-chief part of that.
15
16
     MS PYKE:
                        Perhaps I will make one comment. It
17
       seems to me that in terms of the closing of the court,
18
       that anything that otherwise is in the public arena, the
19
       court should not be closed for. It might be that
20
       aspects or parts, for example, as my friend has just
21
       opened, may need to have the court closed, but it seems
22
       to me that a whole lot of evidence about culture and
23
       tradition is quite readily available and forms part of,
24
       if not the public record, certainly is in the public
25
       domain. I think to close the court unnecessarily is, I
       would submit, inappropriate. Certainly there will be
26
27
       issues that perhaps require the court to be closed.
28
                       The point is this though, that I have to
29
       ensure that the terms of the authorisation are not
30
       exceeded and that there is no divulgence of information
31
       contrary to the provisions of Section 35 of the
32
       Aboriginal Heritage Act. Of course, that can happen by
33
       inadvertence, it can happen during the course of
34
       questioning, and, as I see the situation, that
35
       authorisation should be strictly construed, and the
36
       possibility that there will be some inadvertent
37
       divulgence must be guarded against.
```

1 As that particular section deals with matters of 2 Aboriginal tradition, I do not think that we can be 3 closing the court, opening the court, closing the court, as each section is dealt with. In my opinion, the only 5 practicable way is to deal with the whole of that 6 section in private, otherwise there is at least the 7 possibility that the terms of the authorisation will not 8 be complied with. 9 MS PYKĖ: Our concern is this: if there is, what I 10 might term, healthy debate between anthropologists, that 11 should be in the public arena and not behind closed 12 doors. 13 COMSR: I appreciate your concern, but I, of 14 course, am constrained by the terms of the authorisation and I have to ensure that the possibility of something 15 16 being revealed that should not be revealed does not 17 occur, because once the damage is done, it cannot be 18 undone. 19 MS PYKE: Might I say, part of our difficulty is 20 that we do not have any of the material, so we fall in 21 that category. 22 In that case, the sooner the evidence COMSR: 23 gets underway, the sooner it will be known to you. 24 MR ABBOTT: Could I make a suggestion that was followed in the State Bank Royal Commission, where one 25 26 of the Terms of Reference were to avoid any damage to 27 lenders from the State Bank, and this occurred 28 regularly? The evidence was taken in camera, as it 29 were, and the lively debate did or did not occur in 30 camera, and the Commissioner then decided whether parts 31 or the whole should be released to the media and to the 32 public generally. That proved to be, I suggest, a 33 satisfactory way of dealing with it. 34 There is no reason why such a procedure could not be 35 adopted here. That would have the effect of not 36 stifling the debate. At the same time, if it transpired 37 that none of the debate transgressed the provisions of

38

```
1
      Section 35, the material could then be released for
2
      public inspection and examination.
3
                      That is something I could bear in mind.
    COMSR:
      At this stage I have not -
5
    MR ABBOTT:
                         Any evidence taken in camera does not
6
      have to always remain in camera.
7
                      That is so, but I am certainly not
8
      making a ruling on that at this time.
9
                       Can I suggest at this stage, before we
    MR SMITH:
10
       embark on Mr Clarke's evidence, which we are ready to do
11
       right now, that we be given five minutes to just ensure
12
       that all the people who are entitled to be present
13
       during that part of Mr Clarke's evidence, for which the
14
       court will be closed, have signed the requisite
15
       undertaking. Therefore, we can just move straight into
16
       that section of the evidence without any problems.
17
          The counsel, instructing solicitors and any clients
18
       that are likely to be given leave by you to remain,
19
       should sign this undertaking now. We have the forms
20
       present. It would take five minutes perhaps. Can I
21
       indicate, just for those present who are interested in
22
       the commencement of this evidence, that the commencing
23
       evidence will take approximately an hour, in any event,
24
       before any orders are to be made by you.
25
    COMSR:
                      We will take a short break.
26
     ADJOURNED 10.33 P.M.
27
     RESUMING 10.51 A.M.
28
    MR SMITH:
                        Madam Commissioner, we have reached a
29
       considerable milestone, I am about to call a witness.
30
    COMSR:
                      I take it that you are in receipt of the
31
       necessary undertakings as to confidentiality?
32
                        Yes. Perhaps there is one matter we
    MR SMITH:
33
       could settle. Mr Sykes, who represents Mr Campbell,
34
       wishes to leave the hearing, but with a reservation.
35
     MR SYKES:
                         Yes. Commissioner, I addressed you on
36
       Monday in connection with my client's interest in the
37
       inquiry, and I have leave. I will not be in a position
```

to be here this afternoon. There will be occasions when

28

I cannot be here throughout the hearing of the evidence 1 2 of the witnesses. 3 I have spoken to counsel assisting and I would be available to read the transcript, and I would like, in 5 fact, a copy of the transcript so that, when I do appear, I am fully apprised of what the witnesses have 6 7 said in their evidence. 8 The reason why I cannot be here is simply because of 9 other commitments. Some of those commitments are, in 10 fact, the taking of statements which, of course, are 11 due. I have to attend to those, so I physically cannot 12 be in two places at once. 13 If I have access to the transcript then I will be fully apprised of the proceedings and be in a position, 14 15 when I do appear, to proceed to represent my client's interest. I understand that that is acceptable to 16 17 counsel assisting. Mr Sykes would be a person who, you 18 COMSR: would say, should be permitted to remain? 19 20 He would be a person permitted to remain MR SMITH: 21 during the closed session and, therefore, would be a 22 person entitled to any suppressed portion of the 23 transcript. 24 COMSR: Under those circumstances, I am prepared 25 to release a copy of the transcript, on your undertaking 26 as to confidentiality. 27 MR SYKES: I signed the undertaking. I can assure

the Commission that that is so.

- 1 MR SMITH CALLS
- 2 PHILIP ALLAN CLARKE SWORN
- 3 EXAMINATION BY MR SMITH
- 4 Q. Mr Clarke, I think you are a historian and
- 5 anthropologist currently in the employ of the South
- 6 Australian Museum. Is that correct.
- 7 A. Well, rather than an historian, I would rather classify 8 myself as an anthropologist and a human geographer, but 9 I do frequently employ the methodology of an historian.
- 9 I do frequently employ the methodology of an historian, so it is still correct, yes.
- 11 Q. I think it is the case, isn't it, that in connection
- with this matter, you have prepared a lengthy report
- which touches on a number of matters, but, in
- particular, the history of the Ngarrindjeri people,
- matters relating to `women's business', as well as a
- documented narrative of the part you have played, or you
- have observed being played, in the development of the
- Hindmarsh Island Bridge matter, is that so.
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Looking at this document produced to you, is that indeed
- the report you have prepared for the purposes of this inquiry and signed by yourself.
- 23 A. Yes, it is.
- 24 O 141: 1 : 1
- Q. I think, in broad terms, that report is divided into two sections, is it not.
- 26 A. That's right.
- 27 Q. You would describe those two sections as what. Perhaps
- I could lead you on that. I think it is the case that
- 29 what has been regarded as your expert view is set out
- 30 under a number of headings.
- 31 A. That's right.
- 32 Q. And then, in addition to that, you have set out, in a
- chronological way, your observations of certain events
- leading up to the banning of the Hindmarsh Island Bridge
- and the aftermath, is that correct.
- 36 A. That's right.
- 37 Q. I think the report, in the sense that it is signed by
- you, is dated 26 July, 1995, is that right.

P.A. CLARKE XN

A. That's right. 2 MR SMITH: I intend now to go into that report. It 3 might be the most expeditious way to have it tendered at this stage, subject to me taking Dr Clarke through it. 5 I seek to tender that report. I have handed copies to all my learned friends. 6 EXHIBIT 1 Report of Philip Allan Clarke tendered 8 by Mr Smith. Admitted. COMSR: 9 Of course, Exhibit 1 will have to be 10 protected by some order as to privacy. 11 MR SMITH: Yes, because some sections of the report 12 canvass the matters that are possibly sensitive, you 13 will need, at this early stage at least, to suppress it in its entirety. 14 15 COMSR: Yes, I do. I make an order suppressing 16 the Exhibit 1 from release, and I forbid the publication 17 of any portion of the report. MS PYKE: 18 Commissioner, at this stage can I simply 19 say that this was handed to me as Mr Smith got to his feet. I am not able to indicate whether I have 20 21 objection to any part of it. I wish to reserve my 22 right, once I have read it, to make any objections. 23 I appreciate that. COMSR: 24 MR SMITH: That is taken. Meanwhile, of course, the report has 25 COMSR: 26 been suppressed and no portions of it are to be made 27 available for publication, so I think your interest is 28 sufficiently protected there. 29 XN 30 Q. Can I ask you, first of all, to turn to page 24 of the

- 31 report. I think at page 24 of the report, you set out
- 32 there, your present position and the history of your
- 33 work experience. Is that so.
- 34 A. That's so.
- 35 Q. And also, could you tell us, in addition to what you
- 36 have set out there, for how long you have been working
- 37 at the museum.
- 38 A. A little over 13 years.

- Q. You then list your formal qualifications; Bachelor of
- 2 Science majoring in botany and zoology, University of
- 3 Adelaide, 1979 to 1981. Bachelor of Arts majoring in anthropology, University of Adelaide, 1983 to 1986.
- 5 Master of Arts Qualifying course in geography,
- 6 University of Adelaide, 1989 and a PhD in social
- 7 anthropology and human geography, university of
- 8 Adelaide, 1990 to 1994 and that is correct, is it.
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Can you tell Madam Commissioner when it was that you 11 started work at the museum.
- 12 A. Early in 1982.
- 13 Q. What did you do, what did that involve.
- 14 A. I had a number of jobs, in that year. First doing
- voluntary work and then working on a grant conducting 15
- 16 research into the materials we had in the museum that
- 17 related to the Darwin area. So, I was employed to
- 18 compile lists of publications, researching the archives
- 19 and to compile lists of the objects that we had in the
- 20 museum that related to this research project. That was 21
- 22 Q. In 1983, I think you started working on something to do 23 with secret sacred men's objects, is that correct.
- 24 A. That's right. In 1983, the museum was being approached
- 25 by various Aboriginal organisations with a view of
- 26 repatriation of certain classes of objects. At that
- 27 stage, the museum didn't really know what we had in the
- 28 way of such objects in our collection, so I was employed
- 29 to go through the registers and start coming up with a
- 30 list of objects to enable, sometime later, negotiations
- 31 and consultations to take place. I also started
- 32 physically organising those objects in an appropriate 33 storage area.
- 34 Q. I think it was later in 1983 that you took up a 35 permanent position at the museum, is that so.
- 36 A. That's right. As a museum assistant, at that stage.
- 37 Q. Your direct superior was, whom.
- A. Mr Steve Hemming. 38

P.A. CLARKE XN

- 1 Q. I think it was in the 1983 year that you started your
- 2 Bachelor of Arts degree, is that so.
- 3 A. Yes, that would be right, yes.
- 4 Q. What was your major, when you started your degree.
- 5 A. The Bachelor of Arts?
- 6 Q. Yes.
- A. It was a double major in anthropology, but I did other subjects in archaeology and human geography.
- 9 Q. I think one of your tutors, during the 1983 year, was Dr Deane Fergie, was it.
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. Over the years following 1983, I think you moved through
- the following hierarchical steps in the museum;
- registrar, collection manager, curator and senior
- 15 curator, is that right.
- 16 A. That's right.

28

- 17 Q. What is your position now.
- 18 A. I am a senior curator, at the moment, working on a
- 19 project which is of with a national focus; and that is
- the compiling of an inventory of restricted men's
- objects from right across the country: objects from
- other museums and other cultural institutions.

 O. Do you have a status in some part of the Aborigina
- Q. Do you have a status in some part of the Aboriginal
 community in Australia which enables you to do this sort
 of work.
- 26 A. In order to work with restricted men's objects, I do
- 27 have an informal status as a senior man who can work
 - with such materials when senior men come down from
- 29 remote regions in northern Australia, to either take
- 30 back or store or just talk or use objects that are in
- our collection. So I and one or two other senior people
- in the museum do have an informal initiated status.
- Q. Having graduated, I think, in 1986 with your BA majoring in anthropology, you commenced your Masters Qualifying
- course in geography, is that correct.
- 36 A. There was a couple of year break for that, in which I
- was engaged in field work and publishing, but, yes, the
- 38 qualifying course I commenced in 1989.

- Q. Were you under the supervision of any particular person.
- A. In that year, Professor Fay Gale.
- Q. I think that was a bridging course to your PhD, was it
- 5 A. Yes, it was a course that was offered by Professor Gale 6 to enable me to get into a postgraduate degree, without 7 having to spend several years doing an honours degree in 8 anthropology or geography.
- Q. You then advanced to your PhD and I take it that you had 9 10 supervisors for that, did you not.
- 11 A. Yes, I had three supervisors: I had Dr Kingsley Garbett 12 from the Anthropology Department of the University of
- 13 Adelaide; I had Mr Peter Smailes from the Geography
- 14 Department of the University of Adelaide, and I had a
- third supervisor, Dr Chris Anderson, who was, for the 15 16 most of the degree, Head of the Anthropology Division,
- 17 but, towards the end, he was and is the Director of the
- 18 South Australian Museum.
- 19 Q. Your PhD I think was conferred on you in April 1995, is 20 that correct.
- 21 A. That's right.
- 22 Q. It therefore took approximately four years.
- 23 A. Yes, I submitted it in March 1994 and it took - it 24 wasn't conferred until April the following year.
- 25 Q. Is that about average, or is that a -
- 26 A. No, that would be considered very fast, but, because of 27 my extensive field work in the Lower Murray region,
- 28 which was the focus of the PhD, I was basically able to
- 29 commence writing as soon as I was formally enrolled in 30
- the postgraduate degree.
- Q. At p.25 of your, I will call it 'report', Exhibit 1, you 31
- 32 have listed your publications there, haven't you.
- A. That's correct. 33
- 34 Q. I think the final publication you have mentioned, over
- 35 on the bottom of p.26, is, indeed, your thesis.
- 36 A. That's right.
- Q. Entitled `Contact, Conflict and Regeneration. 37
- 38 Aboriginal Cultural Geography of the Lower Murray, South

- Australia'. The title itself suggests that it had very much to do with the Lower River Murray region; and, to ask you an obvious question, that is the case, is it.
- 4 A. That is the case. Lower Murray defined as the cultural and physical region roughly from the tip of Cape Jervis in a straight line through to Wellington and then down to Kingston. That sort of triangular area that takes in the lower lakes, the Coorong and the coastal region of Encounter Bay.
- Q. The publications you have mentioned, leading down to your thesis, at the bottom of p.26, in excess of 20 or so publications, how do you regard a publication. What is a publication, in the context of your discipline.
- 14 A. The publications I have put here are the more major ones 15 that I produced. Ones that reflect my field work and 16 research interests. So, starting from the mid 1980s, my 17 main publications are to do with Aboriginal use of the 18 physical environment; particularly in the Lower Murray, 19 but also the southern South Australian region in 20 general. There are also publications relating to my 21 professional role in the museum in relation to computers 22 and object registers. But, during the last five years, 23 I have been moving into the area of Aboriginal
- cosmology, the more cultural aspects of Aboriginal perception and use of the landscape, and they are the publications that I am currently working on, and I have
- got a number that are in the process of being submitted.
 Q. The book I produce to you, is that, indeed, your thesis.
- 29 A. That's correct.
- 30 Q. That runs to some, just to be precise, 425 pages.
- 31 A. That's correct.
- Q. The field work that you did to compile that thesis, can
 you give us and the Commissioner an idea of just how
 much of the work involved field work and what it was.
- 35 A. Yes, early in the 1980s, I accompanied mainly Stephen 36 Hemming on quite a large number of field trips to the
- Lower Murray and South East region, where we were
- 38 contacting Aboriginal people in the community who were

- 1 regarded by the rest of the community as knowledgeable
- 2 informants on particular aspects of Ngarrindjeri
- 3 culture. My particular interest earlier on, as I have
- 4 already stated, is the more hunting and gathering
- 5 technology part of it. But, as time went by, my
- 6 interests emerged into the mythology and history. Steve
- 7 Hemming's interest was mainly in the Aboriginal history
- 8 side of that field work. Sometime in the late 1980s, my
- 9 relationships with the Aboriginal community became less
- formal, for a number of reasons. And my field work,
- from that stage, tended towards more participant
- observation type work and less, sort of, formal museum
- visits. So, there are a number of tapes that document
- the early part of that field work, but, over the last
- seven or so years, I have mainly relied on participating
- and just picking up knowledge through that
- 17 participation.
- 18 Q. By the time of the conferring of your PhD, in April
- 19 1995, you were married, were you not.
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. I think your wife is Susan, is that correct.
- 22 A. Yes, she was Susan Rankine, formerly a resident of Point
- McLeay.
- 24 Q. And Susan is a Ngarrindjeri lady.
- 25 A. That's correct.
- 26 Q. And the daughter of Henry and Jean Rankine.
- A. That's correct.
- 28 Q. Of Point McLeay.
- 29 A. That's correct.
- 30 Q. Would you describe Henry and Jean Rankine as prominent
- 31 Ngarrindjeri people.
- 32 A. Yes, very much so.
- 33 Q. We are to see a film entitled `Ngurunderi. A
- Ngarrindjeri Dreaming', prepared by the South Australian
- 35 Museum.
- 36 A. Yes, mainly by Steve Hemming.
- 37 Q. I think your wife features in that wife, does she not.
- 38 A. Yes, she is one of the Ngurunderi's wives.

- Q. Before I move into your report with you, you have been
 embroiled in the debate about the Hindmarsh Island
 bridge, have you not.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Could you tell the Commissioner to what extent that has
 caused problems for you and the Ngarrindjeri people you
 know.
- 8 A. It has caused quite a few problems and, initially, I tried to maintain a very impartial position on the
- 10 Problem, but, as it has developed among Aboriginal
- people and anthropologists, it has been increasingly
- difficult to stand back. So, my views that I have put
- forward today would be entirely my own and certainly, or
- not necessarily the views of my extended Aboriginal side
- of my family. But, yes, a number of personal and
- professional relationships that I have had with
- Aboriginal people have suffered and I suspect, in a
- couple of cases, they will never be back to the way they
- were, before the Hindmarsh Island bridge issue.
- Q. Do you hope that this inquiry will have somewhat of a cleansing effect.
- 22 A. Yes, I suppose I am here, to some extent, representing
- the anthropology profession, because I feel that
- anthropology, as a discipline, has let down Aboriginal
- people in this issue, but I am also here as someone who
- has a lot of knowledge about the region and I hope that the sharing of my knowledge with the Commission will
- the sharing of my knowledge with the Commission will help to bring about some resolution.
- Q. Can I take you to p.24 of your report, where, under the heading `Formal Qualifications', you have included a
- 31 heading 'Experience with Aboriginal Culture'. Is it the
- 32 case that your field work in the Lower River Murray
- began in the 1980s with work you were doing with Stephen
- Hemming on the Ngurunderi Exhibition.
- 35 A. Yes, that was a project that dominated our activities
- 36 through the early and mid 1980s.
- 37 Q. You have a botany and zoological background. At least,
- your primary degree was focused on that, wasn't it.

- 1 A. That's right.
- Q. Did your initial work in the Lower River Murray with
 Stephen Hemming then concentrate on that.
- 4 A. Yes, I concentrated on developing lists of plants that
 5 Aboriginal people utilised and recording the knowledge
 6 that they had about how those plants were used. And,
 7 yes, that eventually led me into looking at the cultural
 8 aspects of plants and animals as well.
- Q. Did that necessarily bring you into contact, in any
 formal way, with Aboriginal people and, in particular,
 Ngarrindjeri people.
- 12 A. Yes, we were in contact with quite a few elderly and middle aged Aboriginal people. And, particularly in the 13 case of the elderly Aboriginal people, most of them are 14 now deceased. For anyone who is - who looked through 15 16 the acknowledgement section of my thesis, they will see 17 that we were working predominantly with women and 18 certainly the more informal part of my field work was 19 dominated with work and activities with Ngarrindjeri
- women in the region.
 Q. Your field work has been on-going since the 1980s, is
 that so.
- 23 A. In relation to the Lower Murray, it has never stopped.
- Q. No doubt it has some informality about it, by reason of your marriage, also.
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you spend then time, social time, family time, in the Point McLeay area.
- 29 A. Yes.
- 30 Q. Turning to your thesis, for a moment; it is entitled Contact, Conflict and Regeneration'. Could you paint
- 32 us a picture of what it is about.
- 33 A. Yes, I guess to reduce it to just a few sentences; what
- I was trying to do was develop a model of an indigenous culture that was going through rapid transformation. A
- model that explained how they related to the landscape
- which, in itself, was rapidly transforming. So, parts
- of the thesis deal with the preEuropean period. Parts

- deal with the nature of European and Aboriginal contact. And then several chapters deal with the contemporary ethnographic situation which was largely based on the more informal part of my field work.

 CONTINUED 2 3

- 1 So that there's, you know, three main clumps and I've
- 2 tried through the thesis to come up with a model that
- 3 explained how Aboriginal people relate to the modern
- 4 landscape and tried to draw out threads of that
- 5 relationship that relate specifically to pre-European
- 6 influences and other influences that have really been
- derived from their interaction with a sort of broader
- 8 non-Aboriginal controlling force.
- 9 Q. To prepare that thesis, to what extent did you familiarise yourself with the existing data; talking
- about both data, such as material culture and culture as set out in the literature.
- 13 A. Yes. My thesis has over 500 references ranging from fairly brief historical accounts from explorers to, you
- know, more detailed ethnographic work in the region.
- There is a lot of material there. Then, in order to use
- such widespread material generated from different
- sources, I had to develop a framework which gave the
- reader of the thesis some idea of what the biases would
- be for particular parts of that very large resource of literature that I was drawing upon.
- Q. Would you or would you not be able to say that, in the course of preparing that thesis and studying generally, you read all there is to be read.
- 25 A. As far as I know.
- 26 Q. In relation to the Lower Murray.
- 27 A. Yes. As far as I know, I've, you know, read every major
- 28 piece of ethnographic material that relates to the
- region. Since submitting the thesis, one or two minor
- things have cropped up. and, of course, the Berndt
- book that is Ronald and Katherine Berndt's book of
- 32 The Yaraldi World That Was' was available after my
- last draft of the thesis was already prepared, so I have
- incorporated some of that material into the thesis.
- 35 That was a major body of work that wasn't addressed in
- any major way, just because it came out just prior to
- 37 submitting the thesis.
- 38 Q. That's Berndt, B-E-R-N-D-T.

- 1 A. That's right.
- 2 Q. And Yaraldi.
- 3 A. Y-A-R-A-L-D-I.
- Q. At the time of the conferring of your PhD in April 1995,
 you having submitted it in March 1994, the Berndt book
 was published, wasn't it.
- 7 A. That's right; it was available.
- Q. Published in 1993. During the course of preparing your thesis, were you able to refer, in any formal way, to
 it.
- 11 A. I knew of its existence within the Museum, but there was a rather torturous history of trying to get it published
- and I was reluctant to refer to the thesis until I knew
- not the `thesis', refer to the Berndt manuscript until
- I knew what its status to be. I was hoping it would either appear earlier than the thesis, or appear well
- 17 after it.
- Q. When it was finally published in 1993, the Berndt book I should say, you had substantially finished writing up your thesis; is that the case.
- 21 A. That's right.
- Q. When you had finally been able to read the Berndt book,
 did you make any comparisons as between their data and
 your own.
- A. Yes. I would have to be honest and say I was worried before reading the Berndt book that it may present data
- 27 that led away from the picture that I was portraying
- through the thesis. I found that in every major respect
- 29 that it reinforced aspects in my thesis. So, initially,
- I had permission from my supervisors not to refer to the
- 31 thesis, but there were some examples in the Berndt book
- that were very pertinent to my thesis, so I still
- included them in a brief form.
- Q. Did any aspects of the Berndt writings, as published in the book, initially give you any concern.
- 36 A. Well, as Professor Tonkinson said in the foreword in the
- book, it's an old-style ethnography. It's trying to
- portray a culture in its totality without really

- 1 providing a lot of, you know, comparative material and 2 sort of historical framework. So, the book is really 3 the research notes, field notes of the Berndts and not a great deal more. I guess I was worried that there was 5 quite a bit of historical material that they could have 6 referred to but didn't. But, on the other hand, you 7 know, others would regard that as the beauty of the book 8 in that it's, you know, their sort of account from the 9 1940s without much influence from other research. So, I 10 guess with its perceived weaknesses, in another light 11 would be seen as its strengths.
- 12 Q. There were some topics of Aboriginal culture, in 13 particular the Ngarrindjeri culture, which were dealt 14 with rather frankly, were there not, which interested 15 you.
- 16 A. Yes. I was aware, you know, through talking to people 17 like Steve Hemming, who was fairly familiar with the 18 manuscript, that there was a great deal of scorcery, 19 certainly in the manuscript, the Berndt manuscript. I 20 did raise on a couple of occasions with other staff 21 members that that might be a source of problem for 22 publishing the book. Scorcery is, you know, basically 23 used and portrayed in the book as a major weapon for 24 killing people, and I had concerns about how the 25 contemporary Ngarrindjeri community would view the 26 rather frank treatment of that.
- Q. There were other topics dealt with rather frankly in theBerndt book.
- 29 A. Yes. I guess I was, well, I was definitely more worried 30 with the scorcery. There is quite a bit of material on 31 the sort of female realm of Ngarrindjeri life; that is, 32 childbirth, menstruation, abortion, that type of thing. 33 There are initiations described. So there are quite a 34 few things that, if the publishing was going to become 35 more widely spread, particularly in schools, that would 36 cause problems.
- Q. I return briefly for the moment to your list of
 publications at p.25. The list shows, does it not, that

- your early publishing career reflected your botany background; is that so.
- 3 A. That's so, yes.
- 4 Q. Then, in 1990, if your publications are any indication, your interest broadens.
- 6 A. Yes. I suggest that my interests broadened earlier than that.
- Q. There was certainly a couple of years' delay when you didn't publish anything.
- 10 A. In the late '80s I became much more interested in the cultural aspects of plants and animals and how they
- fitted into the Ngarrindjeri cosmos. So, in a sense, my
- earlier work had pretty well exhausted the historical
- literature and a lot of those informants of the
- biological side of my interests, you know, were
- deceased. So, you know, it was a natural progression on
- to sort of, you know, bigger and broader and more challenging topics.
- Q. Of course, there is a gap in your publishing career, it seems, between 1991 and 1995 and that was because you were working on your thesis in that time.
- A. Yes. I didn't want to string out my thesis, so all of my spare time - and I was never formally given any time off from work to work on my thesis - so all my spare time that I normally had would be taken up writing
- papers which was used up to produce the thesis.
- 27 Q. Can I go to your commencement of your report, if I could
- call it that, Exhibit P1. You deal in the first section of your report with the history of the Ngarrindjeri
- people. I think in conjunction with the history, as set
- out there, you have divided that into: The pre-European
- 32 period and the effects of European settlement. In
- conjunction with that, you have actually provided a
- chronology or what you could call a `Time Line'.
- 35 A. That's right.
- Q. Can you refer us to that. That is in appendix A at p.11.
- 38 A. Yes. That's a Time Line out of the back of the thesis.

37

38

P.A. CLARKE XN

1 I have truncated it in order to present it in a usable 2 form. I've - once having collected all of the 3 historical and ethnographic information available, it was quite clear that there were three distinct phases 5 that Aboriginal people fell into in the sense of their 6 relationship with the landscape. Q. Those three distinct phases are. 8 A. The exploration phase, which commences with - well, it 9 commences with the British Empire expanding into the 10 Pacific region. In terms of the Time Line, as I 11 presented here, it goes from Sydney being established 12 and goes through rather the severe small pox epidemic, 13 various sealers informally settling the southern coastal 14 regions of South Australians and, therefore, beginning 15 to interact with the Lower Murray people, the 16 Ngarrindjeri people. Then, the official colonisation, 17 the colonists who expressly came out from England to 18 settle in South Australia arrived in 1836. For a few 19 years, there was still much exploring to do and overland 20 routes to be established. However, as I have set out 21 here, by 1859, the first Aboriginal mission in Australia 22 was set up, and I called the commencement of that phase 23 `The colonial incorporation phase'. It was this phase 24 that Aboriginal people, those who had survived small 25 pox, were, you know, largely dispossessed of their land 26 and eventually forced onto missions, such as Point 27 McLeay which was established in 1859. However, 28 throughout the 19th century, there were still quite a 29 few Aboriginal groups in the Lower Murray who were 30 living a sort of joint hunting and gathering sort of 31 European existence and they had freedom, more or less, 32 to move around as they saw fit, particularly in the 33 southern and eastern parts of the Lower Murray. The 34 western side of the Lower Murray, by the late 19th 35 century, had largely been taken up by pastoralists and 36 farmers. Then, by the turn into the 20th century, the

Government started getting more heavily involved in

Aboriginal affairs. Through the 19th century, they were

P.A. CLARKE XN

- 1 more remotely involved. They left the running of 2 missions to, more or less, private organisations, but, 3 starting with the Aboriginal Aborigines Act in 1911, 4 Aboriginal people were officially segregated from main-5 stream Australian society and places like Point McLeay 6 became even more important in terms of being a place 7 where the majority of Aboriginal people from the Lower 8 Murray Region were placed for one period or another. 9 Then in 1911 is what I would call the commencement of 10 the third phase, the final phase, or the Government 11 welfare phase. That's to signal the role of Government 12 increasing in relation to Aboriginal affairs. The Time 13 Line goes right through to the present with the activity around the 1960s when Aboriginal people gained more 14 rights as citizens, right through to the early '70s when 15 16 missions were, Government mission stations were starting to be handed back - well, handed to Aboriginal-run 17 18 councils for management right through to the present. 19 But the influence of or support of Government is still 20 strong in the Aboriginal, in those Aboriginal
- 22 Q. You come then to the conclusion on p.3.5 of your report 23 that Aboriginal culture is really a group of mission 24 cultures, do you.

25 A. Yes.

settlements today.

21

38

- Q. In broad terms, that is what you include.
- 26 27 A. Yes. One of the findings in my thesis was that although 28 Aboriginal people often appropriate terms from the sort 29 of what we call in anthropology as 'tribe literature' to 30 classify their various groupings to date, in terms of 31 interaction within the Aboriginal community, the 32 tendency is towards finding people on whether they are 33 from Koonibba on the West Coast, to Point Pearce on 34 Yorke Peninsula, to Point McLeay, or as they prefer to 35 call it Raukkan. So, the roles of these Aboriginal 36 settlements - they are still Aboriginal settlements 37 today, but the roles of these former missions are very

important in terms of how Aboriginal people identify

- 1 themselves today. So that is some indication as to the
- 2 fact that the modern Nunga community, which encompasses
- all of those southern Aboriginal groups, is largely
- 4 built upon pre-European elements of Aboriginal culture.
- 5 But, very heavily influenced by basically the race
- 6 relations then between them; that is, the Aboriginal
- 7 people and the colonisers, the Europeans. In order to
- 8 provide a working model of contemporary Aboriginal
- 9 culture is not sufficient to look back into the
- 10 pre-European Aboriginal literature and just extrapolate
- the differences. There is a lot that the contemporary
- 12 Aboriginal community have developed which would neither
- be regarded as assimilated European forms, nor could it
- be regarded as pre-European forms. We are looking at
- a group of people who have developed on their own line
- and are not on some point between a pre-European pastand a European present.
- 18 Q. I think that you personally take the view and I'm
- referring to your Time Line and the history that you set
- 20 out that there were two significant events in the
- 21 history of the Ngarrindjeri people which has really
- affected their cultural development.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Those two things are: the arrival of the white sealers
- and the arrival of small pox.
- 26 CONTINUED

37

P.A. CLARKE XN

A. Yes. 2 Q. Why, of the sorry tale that is set out in your Time Line, do you see those two events as significant. A. I will take the arrival of small pox first. There are 5 various estimates of the effect that small pox had on 6 South-Eastern Australian Aboriginal populations, but 7 even fairly conservative estimates would place that a 8 majority of Aboriginal people suffered and possibly died 9 as a result of two waves of small pox which occurred 10 prior to official settlement in 1836. There are many 11 reports from colonists and early recorders of Aboriginal 12 culture, that record right from the Darling, right along 13 the river, Adelaide, through the South-East into Western 14 Victoria, that Aboriginal people who survived, that were 15 middle aged people by this stage, still bore the marks 16 of the small pox on their faces and bodies, and that the majority of their groups had died through the result of 17 18 those two waves. I suppose it is really only a guess 19 what effect that would have had on Aboriginal society, 20 but it is possible that quite a few of the ceremonies, 21 and certainly the mourning rituals, were truncated in 22 order to get by such a large number of people dying in a 23 fairly short space of time. Yes, my statement is in 24 terms of the perceived impact that that had on the 25 society in terms of what Aboriginal people thought, 26 backed up by quite a few references in the easterly 27 ethnography. When the sealers arrived, and they appear 28 to have arrived during roughly one of the waves of small 29 pox, they tended to set up their sealing and, in some 30 cases, whaling depots on islands off the mainland, so 31 that they could avoid reprisals from mainland Aboriginal groups. But it has been recorded, particularly for the 32 Tasmanian end of that sort of Southern Australian 33 34 coastline, that the effects of sealers forcibly 35 abducting Aboriginal women from the coastal regions led 36 to many groups virtually disappearing altogether. So

the sealers taking away women of reproductive age from

- 1 coastal communities certainly had a major impact on the demography of the coastal region.
- Q. Is it the case that many of the present Ngarrindjeri people are descended from sealers and whalers.
- 5 A. Yes. It is difficult to know exactly how many, but with 6 the Wilson family and their connections to virtually 7 every other Ngarrindjeri family, we could be looking at 8 a thousand or more Ngarrindjeri people who are descended 9 from one of two sealers, Wilkins or Wilson.
- Q. In 1830 or thereabouts, your research has thrown up how
 many sealers and whalers that were working in the
 southern waters near the Lower River Murray.
- A. If we take in the whole region of Southern South
 Australia, it is estimated if we count the Aboriginal
 wives, who were a major labour force for the sealers as
 well there has been an estimate of roughly 200. Other
 people have suggested that is probably a bit
 extravagant, but it does indicate, nonetheless, that
 there were quite a large number of boats moving up and
- there were quite a large number of boats moving up and down the coast from Tasmania, right through to the Great Australian Bight and beyond.
- Q. So the reason why you see those two events, apart from what has been called `Europeanisation', as significant, is because of its devastating impact on the culture of Ngarrindjeri people. Is that correct.
- A. Yes. We have got a tendency of describing pre-European culture as the Berndts do with the title of their
- book, 'Yaraldi: A World That Was' in terms of
- 29 Aboriginal culture as it was recorded when the
- missionaries, in particular the German missionaries,
- 31 arrived after official settlement. But what I am saying
- is that there have been several major effects resulting
- from European settlement that had occurred before those
- earlier ethnographers that is, the missionaries had
- arrived in South Australia. So really, in order or
- strictly speaking, to speak of pre-European culture, we
- would have to rely more on archaeology and less on
- social anthropology. So I have discussed that in the

- 1 thesis and I have tended to, rather than talk about
- 2 pre-European culture, which Aboriginal people and others
- would often describe as traditional I have tended to
- 4 talk about Aboriginal culture in the earliest years of
- 5 European settlement.
- 6 Q. So, is this the point then: that, even by the time of the missionaries coming on the scene, as it were, that
- 8 the exploration events, including two catastrophes of
- 9 the arrival of the white sealers and the small pox, had
- left Ngarrindjeri culture, to some extent, a crumbling culture.
- 12 A. It certainly had a significant effect. `Crumbling'
- would imply some judgment on what invasions, you know,
- or truncations that the survivers would have done in
- order to keep things going, so I perhaps would not
- describe it as a `crumbling' culture, more as a culture
- that was reeling from the shocks of the first events
- brought on by European colonisation.
- 19 COMSR
- 20 Q. Would you describe it as a transitional culture.
- 21 A. I guess all culture is transitional, in that culture is
- constantly changing, including our own culture. But
- 23 there are certain events that bring upon change much
- 24 quicker, and I think, in one context, it is acceptable
- 25 to describe it as a transitional culture, yes.
- 26 XN
- Q. With that in mind, can you compare the situation of the Ngarrindjeri people with, say, the people in the Central
- or Western Deserts of Australia.
- 30 A. Yes. The frontier, in terms of European intrusion into
- 31 Australia in relation to the Lower Murray, is really
- around the turn of the century, the 18th into the 19th
- century and Encounter Bay, which is in the Lower
- Murray and when Adelaide was set up in 1836, the
- frontier then started moving sort of inland. In terms
- of places like the North-West of South Australia, the
- frontier, in terms of European intrusion, didn't arrive
- until the 1930s. By the 1930s the ways that the

- 1 Europeans were reacting to Aboriginal affairs had
- 2 changed somewhat. So you can sort of build up a model
- 3 that explains why some communities have been able to
- 4 maintain their ritual religious life relatively intact,
- 5 whereas other communities, which have been heavily
- 6 missionised through that earlier period, because there
- 7 the frontier passed them much earlier that is why that
- 8 aspect of their life has suffered more.
- 9 Q. Are there then Aboriginal people, say in the North-West area, who have not long ago existed in the
- 11 hunting/gathering mode of pre-European culture.
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. But that was a long-gone situation as far as the
- 14 Ngarrindjeri people were concerned.
- 15 A. That's right. Around the turn of the century there were still one or two Aboriginal groups living a modified
- hunting/gathering existence down the southern end of the
- 18 Coorong, but they were roped into the mission around
- about 1911, and due to the change in legislation.
- Q. On p.3, the second paragraph of your report, you make the point that I made earlier to you, that the
- Ngarrindjeri culture today is a group of mission
- cultures that, together, comprise what is known as the
- Nunga Community.
- 25 A. I was going to say, Ngarrindjeri culture, to some
- extent, equals Raukkan or Point McLeay culture. They
- are not completely the same thing, but can often be used
- interchangeably in the same context. So if we look at
- Nunga culture as being all of the Aboriginal people who
- 30 could well, who have sections of their community
- 31 living in Adelaide, then we would be looking at people
- from Koonibba, Point Pearce and Point McLeay mainly, and
- they are people that have been living in Adelaide at
- least since the Second World War and, collectively, tend
- 35 to call themselves Nungas. Ngarrindjeri culture is
- something that has existed perhaps earlier, but it is an
- identity that is still being shaped and modified through
- the central role of the Point McLeay Mission.

- Q. So the conclusion you make there about that, bears on this question in what way.
- A. In this report, I summarise what was the state of play in terms of what we were able - Steve Hemming and I,
- 5 that is - to discuss with Aboriginal people through the
- 6 1980s, and there was a general pattern of that repeated
- 7 everywhere, and that is that, although certain myths -
- 8 there was a lot of knowledge about the big sort of
- 9 dreaming epics, there seems to be virtually no
- 10 information available, and, even when elderly people did
- 11 know of the story, they didn't know too many of the
- 12 sites. So, in my thesis, I basically explain that by
- 13 being - through the rather restricted relationship that
- 14 Aboriginal people have with the landscape being based on
- 15 missions rather than, you know, moving across the
- 16 landscape and relating with various mythological sites.
- 17 So the model of having a - or looking at a contemporary
- 18 culture as one based around these important places in
- 19 the history of Aboriginal people over the past 200 years
- 20 is quite important in determining the contemporary world 21
- 22 Q. So you are really saying to us that we should recognise, 23 in looking at Aboriginal culture, the corrupting 24
- influences of European exploration and what followed.
- 25 A. I would perhaps hesitate towards calling it
- 26 `corrupting'. I would be trying to look at it in more 27 anthropological and less emotive terms. But there has
- 28 certainly been, you know, very heavy influences on
- 29 Aboriginal culture and restrictions - very heavy
- 30 restrictions on the passing down of information and the
- 31 transference of that knowledge from roughly 1800 through 32 to the present, 1995.
- 33 **COMSR**
- 34 Q. Just so I can clarify what you are saying. You are
- 35 saying that culture is dynamic and it evolves with
- 36 changing circumstances.
- 37 A. Yes, constantly changing.

P.A. CLARKE XN

1 XN

- Q. Can I take you to the topic of the Ngurunderi epic. The
 museum have a display which features the Ngurunderi
 epic, isn't that the case.
- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. I think you, predominantly, Stephen Hemming and Philip
 Jones, worked on that display and exhibition, did you
 not.
- 9 A. Yes. Steve Hemming was the curator, who had the task of 10 putting it together. Philip Jones was employed as a 11 research officer initially, to compile a bibliography 12 and to conduct the research that would be needed to 13 start building cases. My initial role was as a museum 14 assistant, and then as a biologist, who could add 15 something in terms of the more hunting and gathering 16 interaction with a physical environment than of the 17
- Q. I think the museum produced a film which is a distillation, is it not, of aspects of the differing stories about Ngurunderi. Is that correct.
- 21 A. Yes. There is no one correct version of Ngurunderi. I 22 have written a paper, which is referred to in my report, 23 which will appear later this year in the museum records, 24 whereby I put forward a great number of these variations 25 and account for them in terms of the socio-political 26 environment which produced all the variations. In terms 27 of the display upstairs, one version had to be picked if 28 we were to produce a film, and that is mainly the Berndt 29 version, which was taken from a publication which was a 30 precursor of `Yaraldi: A World That Was', a publication 31 from 1940. Steve Hemming consulted with the Aboriginal 32 community and there were a couple of points which needed
- modification in order to reflect the contemporary
- situation and on how people viewed the past. But
- perhaps Steve would be a better one to flesh that in.
- But, in a sense, the Ngurunderi video is a version that
- has come about in its own right for the display. It is

- not a version that would, in its totality, have been received from an Aboriginal person.

 MR SMITH: If now is an appropriate time, I would like to show that video and seek to tender it. 2 3
- 5 VIDEO SHOWN
- CONTINUED

KC 5F

1	VIDEO ENDS
2	EXHIBIT 2 Video cassette tendered by Mr Smith.
2 3	Admitted.
4	XN
5	Q. The landscape in and about Hindmarsh Island and the
6	Coorong and the Murray Mouth, in relation to Ngurunderi
7	and the literature, is there any analogy drawn, or is
8	there -
9	A. Ngurunderi's activities, or his perceived activities in
10	the Dreamtime were considered by Aboriginal people to
11	have created at least some of the major landscape
12	features throughout the Murray basin. In terms of the
13	actual course of the river, that was through chasing a
14	cod. The lake already appears, in terms of the main
15	versions of Ngurunderi, to have existed, although other
16	versions state that the lakes were created by Ngurunderi
17	to drown his two wives. But then there are other
18	versions of the Ngurunderi story where the wives are the
19	pages. So, there is almost an endless series of
20 21	variation in terms of how the various bits of landscape were formed. There are a few of the place names,
22	particularly around the Murray Mouth, which refer to
23	body parts. And it is published, in the Berndt book,
24	that the Younghusband Peninsula and the Sir Richard
25	Peninsula are the legs of Ngurunderi. So, we do see
26	aspects of Ngurunderi in the landscape.
27	MR SMITH: Madam Commissioner, we have now reached
28	the stage, in Dr Clarke's evidence, where he is to deal
29	with women's business.
30	COMSR: You say it is to do with women's
31	business. It is not to deal with it in such a way that
32	all males at the bench need to leave, I take it?
33	MR SMITH: It is to deal with in a way in which
34	s.35 comes into play. I could perhaps indicate that
35	this section of the evidence would be substantially over
36	by the luncheon adjournment.
37	COMSR: I understand there is some problem with
38	the air conditioning in this room. It is not working,

KC 5F

KC 5FP

3

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

RESUMING 12.25 P.M.

2 COMSR: I just want to ensure that there is

no-one in the hearing room, other than those persons who

4 have been permitted to remain. That is, parties and

5 their legal representatives and hearing staff and

6 reporters and counsel assisting and the witnesses. 7

Are there any other persons, in any other category?

8 Yes, there is one other category. That MR SMITH:

9 is, there are two anthropologists, in addition, who have

10 given an undertaking, who have a vigorous interest in

the anthropological evidence, and those two people are 11

12 here.

13 COMSR: Who are they?

MR SMITH: Rod Lucas and Philip Jones. 14

15 COMSR: Are they to be witnesses before the

Commission? 16

17 MR SMITH: At least one of them is.

18 COMSR: In the event, which one are we talking

19 about?

20 MR SMITH: Philip Jones is the next witness. And

21 Mr Rod Lucas reported in the early years of the Hindmarsh

22 Island development's development. And his report is

23 going to be one of the exhibits. And he may well be

24 giving evidence, but that is a matter that is still,

25 shall I say, a contingency. He should be entitled to be

26 here, because this material may be canvassed with him.

27 I will permit the two anthropologists to

28 be present during this private hearing of the

29 Commission.

30 WITNESS P.A. CLARKE, EXAMINATION BY MR SMITH CONTINUING IN

31 **PRIVATE**

Q. Can I take you to p.3 of your report, Exhibit 1, under 32

33 the heading 'Women's Business'. First of all, can I

34 just take you away from the document, for a moment, and

35 take you to the Berndts; Ronald and Katherine Berndt:

36 Their work `Yaraldi. A world That Was', is that

37 regarded, at least until your thesis happened on the KC 5FP

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

- scene, as the seminal work on the Ngarrindjeri people.
- 2 A. Seminal, published work, yes.
- Q. It certainly is an intensely detailed, what would you call it, ethnographic work, is that fair.
- A. Yes, it is a social anthropologist's description of what
 they considered to be a well, it is really a language
 group-cum-tribe, but a complete society.
- 8 COMSR: I just wonder, before we proceed, if I 9 shouldn't formally make a direction in connection with 10 the hearing.

I think I will formally do so.

I direct that all persons, except the witness, legal advisers, Inquiry attendants and the transcript reporters leave the Inquiry and that this evidence be heard in private. Parties given leave to remain can remain and also the two named anthropologists.

I further direct that copies of the transcript of this private part of the hearing not be given to, nor made available for inspection by any person, other than the legal representives of the persons given permission to remain in the hearing.

Does that cover the situation?

MR SMITH: Yes, I was going to say, it doesn't cover Michael Sykes, but he is here.

25 XN

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I appreciate that the answer to this question may require a degree of interpretation, but, if it doesn't, say so. The Berndts' work does not refer to any secret sacred women's business, does it.
- 30 A. No.
- Q. Ronald and Katherine Berndt worked extensively around
 wider Australia in addition to the Lower River Murray
 region, did they not.
- 34 A. That's correct.
- 35 Q. The work they did in the Lower River Murray with Albert
- Karloan and Pinkie Mack was done in the 1940s, wasn't
- 37 it.

176

KC 5FP

P.A. CLARKE XN
(CLOSED HEARING)
(SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)
A. That's right. And there were two main field work periods around the 1940s, yes.

- 1 2

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

- Q. Would you spell Karloan for the reporters.
- A. K-A-R-L-O-A-N.
- Q. Could you tell us a bit about the Berndts. First of all, did you know them, did you come to meet them.
- A. Yes. Professor Berndt mainly, sometimes with his wife 6 Dr Katherine Berndt. They used to pass through Adelaide

7 on their way back to their home in Perth. So, from

8 about the early to mid-1980s right up to, well, both of

9 their deaths within the last few years, they were

- 10 visitors perhaps once, sometimes twice, a year at the
- 11 South Australian Museum. Professor Berndt in particular
- 12 was keen to keep up a rapport with us because he, after
- 13 40-odd years of recording this Lower Murray material,
- 14 this Yaraldi material, he was starting to work on it in
- 15 the 1980s and he was quite enthusiastic about it and he
- 16 knew of our interests in the Lower Murray as well. So,
- 17 in our area of the anthropology division, we knew the
- Berndts reasonably well. 18
- 19 O. They had no children.
- 20 A. That's correct, as far as I know.
- 21 Q. They were both dedicated anthropologists.
- 22 A. Yes. Anthropology was obviously their whole life. They
- 23 were a team. Professor Berndt would often tackle the 24
- parts of Aboriginal culture that were seen as more in 25 the male realm and Katherine Berndt worked often with
- 26 Aboriginal women by themselves, but she was particularly
- 27
- interested in the female realm. And I think it's fair 28 to say that her writings and body of writings on, you
- 29 know, that female realm of Aboriginal culture would
- 30 place her as one of the first feminist anthropologists
- 31 in Australia working with Aboriginal culture.
- 32 Q. They worked intensely in the Lower River Murray area in 33 the '40s.
- A. That's right.
- Q. You said that they have recently died, deceased, both of 35
- 36 them.
- 37 A. Yes.

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

- Q. In the time between then and, say, the publication of their work in 1993, did they continue to work throughout Australia.
- 4 A. After working the Lower Murray, they did intensive field work in the west coast Ooldea region of Central
- 6 Australia, Arnhem Land, a bit of work in the Kimberlies.
- 7 I know that there are at least some publications in
- 8 relation to parts of Queensland although I don't think
- 9 that was a stronger area and a little bit of work in
- 10 Melanesia.
- 11 Q. Your position is that in their work `Yaraldi, A World That Was', there is no reference to sacred women's
- business.
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. Were they keen publishers of the work.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. They did.
- 18 A. Yes. Their publication record is immense. They were
- probably the only anthropologists in Australia who had
- 20 this cross-the-continent overview of the Aboriginal
- 21 culture as it was in its more pre-European state, due to
- 22 the fact that they were working often in sort of
- frontier fringe situations, but also working with the
- people like Karloan and Pinkie Mack, whom they
- considered to be part of what they call a `memory
- culture'; that is, people who had lots of records in
- their head which related to a much earlier period.
- Their field work was very intensive right across
- 29 Australia, and particularly with Katherine Berndt there
- was a sort of sharp focus on her work on things that, in
- a general broad sense, we would call using Aboriginal/
- 32 English women's business.
- 33 Q. Their publications in relation to their works in other
- areas of Australia, did any of those publications allude
- 35 to secret business of any sort.
- 36 A. Well, Professor Berndt put out an iconography series
- where he looked at religion cycles and the role of

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

- 1 mythological and sacred sites. That is a fairly major
- 2 part of his work. The work of Katherine Berndt was in
- the area of woman's knowledge of ritual religion and
- 4 sacred sites is quite significant as well, and there are
- 5 in her material for parts of Australia, such as Central
- Australia, she does afford a status of secret sacred at least to some of that knowledge.
- Q. Is this the position: That in some of the published
 works of the Berndts in respect of other areas of
 research in Australia, they have alluded to secret
 sacred business.
- 12 A. That's right. In their book `The Yaraldi, A World That Was', they make a broad comparison with other regions
- where they worked. And it was fairly obvious to them -
- and they used to say this during their visits and they
- have said it in print as well that it was really the
- 17 Central Australian Western Desert Region which, in their
- opinion, there was a perception of a sharp distinction
- between things that are secret sacred men's business and
- secret sacred women's business. But, in much of the
- 21 rest of Australia, particularly coastal regions like
- parts of Arnhem Land and Melville and Bathurst Islands
- on the coast of Northern Australia, where they did a lot
- of work, and in particular the Lower Murray, they
- couldn't find evidence for that same gender distinction.
- And Tononkinson, in his foreword to `The Yaraldi, A
- World That Was', also comments on that overview that the
- 28 Berndts used to give in relation to the role of men and
- women in Aboriginal society across Australia.
- Q. In your report, Exhibit 1, there is a discussion of women's business on the one hand and secret and/or
- 32 sacred women's business on the other.
- 33 A. Yes.
- 34 Q. Is it important to make a distinction in the context of
- 35 this inquiry between those concepts.
- 36 A. Well, the term `secret sacred women's business' is
- really a description of what has been put forward by the

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

- 1 supporters of the secret sacred women's business as it
- 2 relates to the Hindmarsh Island side of the issue.
- 3 There is certainly no argument from me that women's
- 4 business exists in all Aboriginal cultures across
- 5 Australia. `Women's business' is a realm of knowledge
- 6 often relating to dance and ritual that was, you know,
- 7 largely held by Aboriginal people, by Aboriginal women
- 8 as distinct from Aboriginal men. Where I differ from
- 9 the other people who support the women's business on
- Hindmarsh Island is the fact that, whether it was secret
- sacred women's business and secret sacred women's
- business would go against the ethnography from the Lower
- Murray which affords men's business and women's business
- on equal footing and, therefore, so much
- interconnection, that it can't really be separated out.
- 16 Q. I was asking you whether the Berndts had, in contrast to
- what they published about the Lower River Murray in
- other areas, published material alluding to secret
- sacred business, and your answer that was, yes, they have.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. No doubt you take some solace then from the absence of that in the Berndts book in relation to the Lower River
- 24 Murray in terms of your views about it.
- 25 A. Yes. A lot could be said about what the Berndts did say
- or didn't say. They were the people who recorded that
- 27 material in the 1940s. They had a specific interest in
- the role of gender in that society. So, their own
- 29 conclusions, or their own material must be considered to
- 30 be more significant than perhaps a reappraisal of that
- 31 same material in the present light without there being
- significant additional material collected from the same
- time as the Berndts.
- 34 Q. Whilst we have been pre-occupied with the Berndts, there
- are numerous other ethnographers who have done work in
- that area; isn't that the case.
- A. Yes. There are several periods of ethnographic work in

CJ 5GP

- the Lower Murray. If we broaden ethnographic work to
- 2 include any historical record of Aboriginal people and
- 3 their customs and beliefs in that region, we are looking
- 4 at what I've argued is the most heavily recorded area in
- 5 southern Australia, or certainly southeastern Australia.
- 6 So, the Lower Murray Region is not a gap in the
- 7 literature from that point of view. In terms of
- 8 ethnography, a single study of an Aboriginal culture is,
- 9 in its totality, a single Aboriginal culture. There are
- very few studies anywhere in southern Australia and
- the Berndts work is significant outside the Lower Murray
- Region for that very reason.
- Q. You have done some work, some anthropological work, as it were, in Australia yourself.
- 15 A. That's right. It's more I've worked in virtually all
- parts of South Australia in relation to my current role
- in terms of identifying and recording men's secret
- sacred objects. I have been having to deal with
- 19 Aboriginal councils right across Australia, and in many
- cases not just dealing with senior male elders from
- those groups who have come down to Adelaide, but also to
- visit them out in their homelands. So, I've been -
- 23 that's the role in terms of my overall career, the role
- of compiling this massive inventory of secret sacred
- objects. I have visited places such as the Kimberlies
- and the Macdonnell Ranges and Musgrave Ranges over the
 last few years.
- Q. There has been reporting by scholars, such as yourself, in the course of time as to the topic of secret sacred
- women's business in published materials, has there not.
- 31 A. What published materials are you referring to?
- 32 Q. I am thinking of Keen, for instance. He has published
- 33 material concerning secret sacred matters.
- 34 A. Yes. His volume, which I think was published last year,
- is specifically focused upon the role of sacred
- 36 knowledge in the Yolngu area of the Arnhem Land. There
- have been that's the volume that Chris Anderson's

CJ 5GP

37

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

- editing at the moment which has got a number of articles from anthropologists who have been working with land councils and working with the secret sacred objects repatriation issues over the last few years. So, there will be another book very soon that will have a number of papers that deal with the role of sacred objects and secret sacred information in relation to Aboriginal society.
- Q. What of the suggestion, however, that male
 anthropologists and male publishers of information such
 as this wouldn't be likely to hear about it.
- 12 A. I think the overall pictures is far more complex in 13 saying yes or no to that question. For instance, some 14 of our most important - I will give you an example which 15 shows why. Some of our most important secret sacred 16 men's objects that we have in the Museum were collected 17 by female anthropologists, particularly during the 1930s through to the 1950s. For the purposes of the men who 18 19 gave those objects up, it's quite clear that they 20 regarded the European women as basically being without 21 gender. So, there are examples, such as that, that show 22 that it's not just a simple case of saying `Well, white 23 female anthropologists work with Aboriginal women and 24 male white anthropologists work with Aboriginal men'. 25 It's far more complicated than that. Sometimes a 26 person's life history might be important in terms of, as 27 an anthropologist, how they will be received by a 28 community. Certainly, you know, having children and 29 being middle-aged is probably going to give someone 30 better access than being a young honours, or - well, a 31 young graduate student in anthropology. It's a lot more 32 complicated than providing a simple model. In terms of 33 - I mean, a big part of this issue is whether men could 34 even have knowledge that the secret sacred women's 35 business could even exist. There's - one opinion is 36 that it could exist without men knowing. If that was

the case, then we are not looking at secret sacred

CJ 5GP

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

- women's business, but secret secret sacred women's 2 business. And I would argue that knowledge, regardless 3 of what gender, if it's considered to be secret sacred, 4 could not exist in a complete vacuum. There would have 5 to be enough knowledge at least of its existence and its 6 general structure in order for those who are to lie as 7 outsiders to be able to know where the boundaries of 8 that knowledge would lie in order not to transgress what 9 the rules associated with that knowledge may be.
- 10 Q. For instance, if I could give a lay example: If there was a sacred object in a cave. 11
- 12 A. The women would have to know that that cave, or perhaps 13 that side of the hill was to be kept away from. I know from field work up in the northwest of South Australia, 14 15 that some of the most important sites are right on the edge of major settlements, and because there's general 16 knowledge, even with children, about keeping away from 17 18 such places and even the broadest terms why they have to 19 keep away from those places, then, you know, things 20 proceed reasonably well. There is no sort of constant 21 break in the rules or trespass onto those areas. And 22 people who don't have, you know, rights to see or 23 observe objects, there is no threat of those people 24 coming in contact with such material. So, there has to 25 be at least the barest knowledge of the secret sacred 26 business which has a gender which may be ascribed to. 27 There has to be the most basic information available in 28 order to define insider versus outsider, and it's that
- 30 talks about in his publication. 31 Q. In any event, is it not the case that of all the
- 32 chronicles of ethnographic data, not Lower River Murray, 33 there are some women who have published.

aspect of sacred, secret sacred application that Keen

- 34 CONTINUED

29

RF 5HP

- A. Yes. I have already mentioned how it is a very heavily 2 worked area, but there are women who have worked in the 3 region. Alison Harvey is quite significant in the 4 context of this Commission. She worked in the late 30s 5 with people like Pinkie Mack in the sort of Wellington 6 area. There is Katherine Berndt, of course, whose work 7 we have already talked about. There are people such as 8 geographers, who have worked from the late 50s through 9 to the 80s, people such as Faye Gale and some of her 10 female students, Jane Jacobs and Joy Wundersitz, being 11 people who have had some exposure to Lower Murray 12 culture. There are also people that are a bit hidden, I 13 suppose, like Dorothy Tindale, who was often used by 14 Norman B. Tindale, curator of the museum, that is 15 significant, if not in his own right. Dorothy was often 16 used to get information from Aboriginal women that 17 Norman B. Tindale felt that he perhaps couldn't ask, or 18 it would constitute what, in Aboriginal English would be 19 called, shame. So there are women who have worked in 20 the Lower Murray region as ethnographers.
- Q. You would expect at least to have reported the fact of,
 if not the contents of any secret sacred `women's business'.
- A. Yes. I would expect that, even if information was not forthcoming, that the reasons why that information wasn't given, would have been spelt out and, in particular, would have appeared in Katherine Berndt's material because of her special interest in that area.

 But I would also expect to see it in at least some of the other work of those female anthropologists and geologists.
- Q. From a lay reader's point of view, there appears, in the
 Berndt work at least, to be quite some intimate detail
 about matters of sexuality, birthing, menstruation and
 that sort of thing.
- A. Yes. There is quite a bit of detail in there, and itappears to have been gathered from both Albert Karloan

RF 5HP

- and Pinkie Mack. So even the source of the information
 wasn't restricted to either male or female, and it
 doesn't appear to have been a major impediment to be
- either male or female in terms of being the recorder.
 Q. Can you offer any explanation, as an anthropologist, for the distinction that you make the point about between, say, the desert Aboriginal people from North-West and
- 8 Central Australia, as opposed to the people of the Lower River Murray.
- 10 A. It is difficult to come up with a definitive answer, but it may some of the factors that would need to be taken
- into consideration I think would be the social
- organisation of the Western Desert, Central Australian
- Region being quite a bit different from most other
- regions, particularly temperate regions such as
- South-Eastern Australia. It has been suggested by more
- than one archaeologist that the Murray Darling Basin,
- not just the Lower Murray but extended right through to
- 19 New South Wales, was the area where there was the
- 20 highest density of Aboriginal people living before any
- 21 European settlement. So we could be looking, in the
- Lower Murray, of quite a large population that didn't
- 23 move about to the same degree as the Western Desert,
- 24 Central Australian groups had to. Their social
- organisation, therefore, and the way that their society
- was organised, would naturally have been along quite
- 27 different lines. So it may not have been possible to
- have areas of knowledge and areas of the landscape that
- 29 had very sharp determinations or divisions on exactly
- who could go there. We are looking at a landscape that
- was full of people basically.Q. And sedentary or, at least, to some extent -
- 33 A. Approaching the sedentary, but, you know, use of the
- landscape, yes.ADJOURNED 1.00 P.M.
- 33 ADJOURNED 1.00 F.N
- 36 RESUMING 2.20 P.M.
- 37 COMSR: Perhaps, I had just better formally get

185

RF 5HP

P.A. CLARKE XN
(CLOSED HEARING)
(SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)
an acknowledgment that there is no-one present in this

1

RF 5HP

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

1 room that has not been permitted by me to be present.

2 You cannot see anyone, Mr Smith, who is not?

3 MR SMITH: No. I have had a look, there is nobody.

I tender Philip Clarke's thesis. I do not expect you to

5 read it, but I tender it only on the basis of

6 establishing his expertise and scholarship in this area.

7 EXHIBIT 3 Thesis by Philip Alan Clarke's tendered by Mr Smith. Admitted.

9 XN

- Q. You have in front of you Exhibit 1, your report. Could you go to p.4, the last paragraph. Under the heading Initiation' you make the point first that women are very much a part of the decision-making life of the
- very much a part of the decision-making life of the Ngarrindjeri people. Is that correct.

15 A. That's correct.

- Q. You then move on to talk about initiations of both boys
 and girls, and make the point that it was centred around
 a certain mythology. Would you spell that and pronounce
 it for us.
- 20 A. I would pronounce is as Waiyungari, and I spell it here 21 W-A-I-Y-U-N-G-A-R-I.
- 22 Q. Why is that significant.
- A. What it does show is that, although males and females were initiated at different times, they were still put

25 through the same myth cycle. They were considered, both

- 26 males and females, to be actors, if you like, in the
- same myth. So what it does do is show that, in the area
- of initiation, it is the same basic information which -
- 29 cultural information that is being used to initiate

30 people.

- Q. Does that diverge in any way from your experience of other Aboriginal groups in other parts of Australia.
- A. Female initiations are not that well recorded in other
- regions, but I am sure that I could find examples where
- 35 there were differences between males and females, but I
- am not putting myself forward as an expert in female
- initiation anywhere else.

areas as well.

RF 5HP

13

- Q. I produce to you the Berndts work. Can I take you to 2 the foreword of Professor Tonkinson. First of all, in 3 relation to him, I imagine he is but can you tell us 4 whether or not it is true, he is a person of standing in 5 the anthropological community.
- 6 A. He is a significant anthropologist, both in terms of his 7 academic standing as Professor of Anthropology at the 8 University of Western Australian, but also as someone 9 who has done field work. Although I have read some of 10 his material, I am not intimate with it. But, from my understanding, he has done extensive field work in the 11 12 northern parts of Western Australian, and probably other
- 14 Q. You have read the Berndt work, of course.
- 15 A. Yes, I have gone through it several times, albeit for different reasons, but I am reasonably familiar with it. 16
- 17 Q. The foreword is unusually long and detailed, am I 18
- 19 A. Yes. I think the reason for that is the fact that this 20 body of work, in the way it is structured - I am talking 21 about chapters and the way the information is parcelled 22 up - would be considered a bit old fashioned in terms of 23 what anthropologists are writing now. So Tonkinson had 24 to put this ethnography into context. The Berndts kept 25 to the structure because their 1940s data presumably was 26 organised along these lines. They didn't want to 27 significantly re-work it. They did want to sort of 28 bring their better overview of - a more informed 29 overview of Australia in, but, at the same time, they 30 didn't want to re-work all their data, so they have 31 stuck to this sort of structure, and Tonkinson comments
- 32 on that on a few issues. Tonkinson thought it was worth 33 drawing out a couple of points from it. Gender
- 34 relations is one example. But there are other things
- 35 that Tonkinson obviously thought needed to be said in
- 36 order to put the work into context.
- Q. Can I take you to page XXII of the foreword.

RF 5HP

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

- A. Yes.
- Q. You see at about point 6 of the page, Tonkinson makes

3 the point `Certainly no evidence exists that there was

- 4 any issue of secret sacred versus public sacred or
- 5 non-sacred material that could conceivably have divided
- 6 senior Yaraldi people in terms of whether or not to
- 7 divulge such information to outsiders.'
- A. Yes, I see that. 8
- Q. That is your finding as well from the material. 9
- 10 A. Yes, it does back up my statements.
- Q. As we come over to the end of your treatment of `women's 11
- 12 business', about p.5, para.2, you set out there your
- 13 conclusion, do you not, from all documented evidence
- 14 available.
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. Just to complete that for the record `From all 16
- 17 documented evidence available, the only possible
- 18 conclusion to draw is that there was no secret sacred
- 19 aspects of the ritual and ceremony of Ngarrindjeri women
- 20 in the pre-European period that could be described as
- 21 women's business.' Then at the end of the next
- 22 paragraph, you conclude by saying 'Women's business, as
- 23 a secret sacred realm of Ngarrindjeri culture,
- 24 originates from people in the urban context of the 25 1990s'.
- 26 A. That's correct.
- 27 Q. Is it your position then that any notion of secret
- 28 sacred women's business is simply not documented in the
- 29 wealth of material available in this area.
- 30 A. That's right.
- 31 Q. And ought to be documented, if it existed.
- 32 A. It ought to be documented. What is documented are
- 33 separate roles of women to do with dance and ritual. I
- 34 mean, there are documents, particularly from outside of
- 35 the immediate Lower Murray area and further up the
- 36 river, that demonstrate that women, in ceremonies, had
- 37 particular roles, and they were, for those phases of

189

RF 5HP

P.A. CLARKE XN
(CLOSED HEARING)
(SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)
those ceremonies, different, but that is an enormous

1

RF 5HP

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

- leap away from elevating that type of separateness to 2 completely secret sacred realm of female knowledge.
- 3 Q. I suppose it is one thing to say it is not documented and, therefore, it doesn't exist. Can you deal with it 5 on a higher plain by saying: What is documented gives 6 you an indication of what should or should not exist.

7 Do you know what I mean by that.

- 8 A. What is documented is that, in the Lower Murray region, 9 women and men had a great deal of overlapping knowledge 10 on most parts - or much of their culture, and in the part of their culture that related to what we perhaps 11 12 call religion, to do with their beliefs about the cosmos 13 and dreaming, senior women were certainly authorities and had the status of being knowledgeable people in 14 15 relation to that knowledge and to initiations, but there 16 appears to be restrictions for younger people about 17 whether they could have that knowledge. So what we are 18 really seeing is, rather than there being a strong 19 gender division of that knowledge, being one where age
- 20 would have been more decisive on what knowledge people 21 had. So the indications are here, not just from the 22 Berndts' material, but also backed up, as is stated in 23 my report, from other sources such as Tindale, that the 24 picture that we get from all of that is that women and
- 25 men, in terms of their knowledge of the dreaming, had 26 pretty much the same knowledge. There may have been, as 27 Berndt suggests in a couple of points in the book, some 28 aspects of certain dreamings that women would perhaps 29 give more emphasis to. There were parts of the myth and 30 knowledge of the dreaming that women would have a 31 varying account of, so they would be giving different 32 emphasis to different parts of that dreaming. But there 33 is no evidence that suggests a body of knowledge that 34 women had that men didn't. And I would say the same
- 35 would happen the other way, that because senior women 36 were involved, to a large degree, in the critical life
- 37 of a Ngarrindjeri society, including the initiations and

RF 5HP

	(SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)
1	that level of activity, that you couldn't really argue
2	that there would be a separate secret sacred men's
3	business in the Lower Murray. So what has to be - you
4	know, for there to be another conclusion other than
5	that, then there really needs to be some hard evidence,
6	not evidence that cannot really be taken into
7	consideration, I believe, as evidence that just shows
8	some separation between what men do and women do, either
9	to do with birthing, menstruation or hunting. There has
10	to be more than just separation. There has to be more
11	than just separation in activities. A lot of the
12	factors that led to women having separate activities to
13	men are more or less explained by Aboriginal - in this
14	case Ngarrindjeri beliefs - about contamination. I am
15	referring specifically here to blood, but it also goes
16	for pregnant women. There was a prohibition commonly
17	called taboo in Ngarrindjeri society, and it seems to
18	have been widespread throughout at least South-Eastern
19	Australia, whereby women who were pregnant or who were
20	menstruating were not allowed to come in contact or
21	anywhere near water courses because it was considered a
22	contaminating influence on the fish in that water, and
23	they would be netted and speared as a consequence.
24	CONTINUED

- As a consequence, that is an example. And there are other examples, but that is an example of a separation of activity that is not based on separate knowledge, but it is more separate practice and it is explained by their perception of the world.
- Q. At Roman numeral 22 of the foreword of Berndt, I omitted to draw your attention to the last sentence, that paragraph at about .6. `All the material gathered by the Berndts, including that pertaining to sorcery, beliefs and practices, was freely available and public.
- Just as it had been traditionally according to the
- Berndts' "Yaraldi Teachers". And that is what you
- found, in your all researches.
- A. That certainly appears to have been the case back last
 century when there were people still actively
- practising sorcery. I was certainly worried, as has
- already been stated, about how contemporary Ngarrindjeri
- people would view that, but the fact is that, as far as
- 19 I know, there hasn't been any complaints about the
- sorcery in this book. And, so, in a sense, the
- 21 contemporary situation is along the lines of as the
- situation last century. So obviously sorcery practices
- were fairly openly talked about and the informants of
- the Berndts didn't have any problem with talking about
- it. And, yet, these are practices that are attributed
- 26 to killing quite a few people, even earlier this
- century. So that is an example of knowledge that was
- considered very powerful, but it was not veiled with
- any secrecy to any large degree. And, from the
- material in this book, it is clear that both men and
- 31 women could be sorcerers.
- 32 MR SMITH: I tender the Berndts' work, because it
- is to be the subject of much examination and
- 34 cross-examination.
- 35 It is `A World That Was', by Berndt and Berndt,
- 36 1993.
- 37 EXHIBIT 4 Book, `A World That Was', tendered by Mr

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

Smith. Admitted.

2 XN

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

Q. Your report under this heading then deals with a number of headings: `Oral History', the effect of Christianity, and a heading `Not Known By Men?'. First of all, `Oral History'; you there are addressing the suggestion, are you, that, notwithstanding the absence of material in the literature, could this sort of information or knowledge be exclusively the domain of oral history.

knowledge be exclusively the domain of oral history. A. That question sort of raises a number of points, but, as I say in the report, oral history has this tendency of coming into direct conflict with recorded sources of history. So, by its very nature, oral history is changes its very form virtually with every speaking of it. So, it is - although certain themes are likely to remain through several tellings of a particular story or a historical account, or postEuropean account, the actual stress, the meaning put into those facts and some of the outcomes are likely to change with each telling. So, there is a real problem with putting forward oral history as something that is a valid alternative to academic history. But, I mean, oral history in the way that I have used in my thesis is a cultural artefact in itself and worthy of study and it does tell a lot about the speakers of that oral history. But, as a narrative in its own right which informs people about the past, it cannot be taken as a replacement to Aboriginal history. It needs very careful use and the researcher, in this

that oral history that he or she may collect.

Q. The framework or the structure, if you like, of the culture of the Ngarrindjeri people does not leave any room, or does it, for any contention that secret sacred women's business focused in and around Hindmarsh Island

case, say, an anthropologist, would have to put a lot of

effort into developing a framework in order to interpret

and that area could possibly have missed the

ethnographers and be handed down.

- A. In my opinion, based on particularly in my field work 2 and history of close interaction with Aboriginal people, 3 I could would say the answer to that is, no. That I
- 4 have been struck, while doing field work, that there are
- 5 a number of themes that are often spoken about by
- 6 Aboriginal people when they are talking about the past. 7
- And there is some consistency throughout the community,
- 8 and that is on the basis of their shared history.
- 9 Individuals put the stress in different ways and
- 10 sometimes change the facts and get them the other way
- around, but you can still almost like a mythology, you 11
- 12 can still see some underlying structure there that
- 13 relates accounts, say, on early mission history or
- 14 shipwrecks down the Coorong, you can still see some
- 15 overlying structure that lumps all the accounts
- 16 together. I believe, if there was secret sacred women's
- 17 business relating to Hindmarsh Island, that there would
- 18 be more indication of it. If not by myself, as a male,
- 19 if it did have that prohibition about me, as a male,
- 20 hearing about it, I would still have expected that there
- 21 would be very firm evidence, from female researchers,
- 22 who have worked in the area. And I mean actual
- 23 contemporaneous notes from the 1960s right through to
- 24 the present, at least stating that such knowledge
- 25 existed, even if there was no detail. But I am not
- 26 aware of any evidence of that kind. And, until that
- 27 evidence has been brought out, I would say that my
- 28 answer to that question, whether it could exist as an
- 29 oral history independent of any record of it, I would 30 say the answer is, no.
- 31 Q. You then deal with Christianity and the point you are 32 addressing there is, is it, that the missionary society
- 33 that developed, as it were, provides some sort of
- 34 barrier for received culture. Is that the point that
- 35 you are addressing there.
- A. There is several points I have got in the `Christianity' 36 37 part of my report. I suppose, to start with the more

36

37

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

preEuropean sounding of the subject matter, I deal with 2 I have got here the example of preEuropean mythology. 3 That is of Ngurunderi, who we have already seen the 4 video about. That mythology having been taken by 5 Aboriginal people and adapted and modified into a form 6 of Christianity. And, by that, I mean that Ngurunderi 7 essentially became God, or perhaps God became 8 Ngurunderi. It is not a one way process. It is a 9 blending, you could say. But, initially at least, 10 Aboriginal people in the Lower Murray absorbed Christianity as if they were absorbing a new Dreaming 11 12 and, as is often the case, they absorbed that new 13 Dreaming by implanting the names of ancestors from their own Dreaming upon it. So, this is - this comes out very 14 15 strongly in the Taplin Journals. And I refer to a 16 Couple of entries in the Taplin Journals in this report. 17 But, there is even elements of it today. In this 18 report, I talk about a young adult who was, in this 19 case, female, who told me, in the 1980s - late 1980s, 20 that `Ngurunderi is like Jesus. God gave Ngurunderi to 21 the people. God spoke to the people through Ngurunderi.' And I have got other examples there of a 22 23 syncretism of tradition, which I won't go into, but 24 there are other examples of mythology blending with 25 Christianity. I suppose the point of what you started 26 off with with this question was really will the relationship of the church in the mission - and I mean, 27 28 by definition, a mission is based around a church, or 29 certainly the missions that were being set up in South 30 Australia last century. The church was - had a central 31 role in the community. And some of the main families 32 that produced people who later on main informants for 33 anthropologists' interview are represented in those 34 church records. So, the point I make here is that the 35 Point McLeay church, originally it was congregational;

I think sometime this century it became Salvation Army.

The church has had an impact on every Ngarrindjeri

- 1 family, in one way or another. In some families, it has
- been more so than others. And I refer specifically to
- 3 Dulcie Wilson here, because I know that there is at
- 4 least one theory that she perhaps did get this secret
- 5 sacred women's business, because she was a church
- 6 person. I am saying that that, from my experience and
- 7 what I know of the history, her church background,
- 8 wouldn't have been an impediment to her receiving this
- 9 business. There are examples in Central Australia of
- prominent law people, as they are called, law men and
- women, who are considered custodians of a great number
- of Dreamings. They are cut throughout their country and
- yet they still have senior status as Christians. So,
- there is not a dichotomy between a believer in
- 15 Aboriginal mythology and the Christian. So, I reject that outright.
- 17 Q. You then move on to deal with 'Not Known By Men?', and,
- to some extent, you have dealt with that already, haven't you.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. In answering other questions.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. But could you sum up what you have said there about why
- it is that an answer to this question about the
- existence or nonexistence of secret sacred women's
- business is not as simple as saying `You are a man, you
- wouldn't know.'
- 28 A. Yes, as I was saying before, if the secret sacred
- women's business did exist in the Lower Murray, then
- there would still be enough knowledge of it, outside of
- 31 the group of women who were the custodians, just to
- define who was an insider and who was an outsider. So,
- it is not in my opinion, it is not possible that that
- information could have existed in a complete vacuum.
- And, as I said, earlier, if it did and I don't think
- it did but it would then have to be called secret
- secret sacred information, but I reject that, as a

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

- category. And I think even the well, I know that the 2 Central Australian material that I am familiar with 3 would also reject that there would be a sort of corpus 4 of information that could exist in a complete vacuum. 5 By 'complete vacuum', I mean no knowledge whatsoever
- 6 that that secret sacred information existed. 7 Q. Is the other way of approaching that to do, what, to

8 look at the model of the culture that the ethnographers 9 have built up as not affording any support to even the 10

secret secret sacred women's business.

- 11 A. There are sort of, you know, broad models which describe
- cultural trends across Australia and, in terms of the 12 13 Western Desert, the practices of subincision and
- 14 circumcision are quite important and have had an effect
- 15 on - I believe have had an effect on how those societies
- 16 in the Western Desert Central Australian region have
- 17 dealt with the secret sacred category. Areas such as
- 18 the Lower Murray and the Murray Mallee and down to the
- 19 southeast Western Victoria, that was part of Australia
- 20 which didn't have subincision circumcision. Whereas the
- 21 evidence suggests that the Adelaide-Mid North-Flinders
- 22 Ranges area did have some of these practices, but it may
- 23 have been a relatively recent movement from out of the
- desert region. So, it is possible to broadly classify 24
- 25 societies around Australia in terms of the types of
- 26 ceremonies and whether they have initiations and what
- 27 type of initiations they are. You see a little bit of
- 28 this on Norman B. Tindale's map. I am not suggesting
- 29 the tribes are necessarily meaningful, but he does have,
- 30 on that big map that was published in 1974, he does put
- 31 in the circumcision subincision lines and it is
- 32 interesting that it is really the desert cultures that
- 33 have such practices. The coastal regions, generally,
- 34 don't. I have already mentioned the demography of
- 35 Aboriginal society that could also have had or probably
- 36 would have had a major effect on how people define
- 37 secrecy.

- Q. Moving to the next heading, the passing on of the business, you talk there of you quote there something obviously said by Dr Fergie like `Women's business is stated to be "like a fission-reactor".'
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What did you understand that to mean, having dealt with 7 it there.
- A. I imagined that Dr Fergie was trying to make the point that this knowledge to Ngarrindjeri women like Dr
 Kartinyeri was so incredibly important to them that it was as powerful as a nuclear generator, but it also had the potential of destroying them. So, yes, I imagine
- that is what Dr Fergie meant by using that metaphor.
- Q. You then say you move from that metaphor on to saying that it is inconsistent with the components of the knowledge for it to be handed down to Dr Kartinyeri,
- when she was a young girl. Can you explain that to us.

 A. As I have in the next sentence, which I will probably read out, that is probably the best way of explaining
- it. I have got here `If such business existed, it would
- almost certainly have been handed on to mature
- Ngarrindjeri women who have proven themselves in the
- eyes of the community. In particular, senior
- postmenopausal women.' If knowledge which is so
- important to be compared to something like a
- 26 fission-reactor was to be handed down, it would be
- handed down to someone who had proven themselves, that
- 28 they could deal with that information and could be
- trusted and knew what to do with that information. I
- don't believe that I certainly know of no examples in
- 31 the literature of such important knowledge that would
- have been handed on to someone who was fairly junior and
- particularly back then when it has been the demography
- of all small towns, I suppose, that the majority of
- young people leave the region.
- 36 CONTINUED

- Then, Ngarrindjeri being in that process as well, the 2 city and other regions have been pulling people out of 3 places like Point McLeay, you know, since the Second 4 World War. So, it doesn't seem consistent that such 5 important information would have been handed down to 6 just one or two or three or, for that matter, young 7 women who were basically just starting their life. In 8 Central Australia, knowledge is handed down in bits and 9 pieces and the emphasis is on the person receiving the 10 information proving that they are worthy to receive the information and knowing how to deal with it. And it's 11 12 not conceivable, in my opinion, that someone - and I 13 believe Dr Kartinyeri's, on a couple of occasions, varied who she actually got the information from, but I 14 15 believe that it's not consistent that whoever that 16 person was would have trusted a young girl. And there 17 were certainly many mature Ngarrindjeri women who were 18 in middle age around at that time. It's usual that the 19 middle-aged people in every society are the most 20 politically active and they would have been the people 21 who would have sought out the information if they had 22 known it existed. The two generations involved in the 23 passing down of this information - supposedly an elderly 24 woman, who I don't know who that would be, and a young 25 girl, who Dr Kartinyeri was then a young girl - those 26 two generations, I don't think, would have been the way 27 that the information would have been transferred. It 28 would have had to have been transferred on to mature, 29 probably middle-aging Ngarrindjeri women who knew the 30 landscape, knew other myths and the like and had a world 31 view that was, you know, worthy to hand this information 32 down to.
- Q. You make mention there that this sort of knowledge is typically stored latterally.
- A. Certainly that is the case in other parts of Australia where important knowledge that may be associated with increased ceremonies that is ceremonies that keep on

- 1 rebuilding the proproductive potential of the world in
- 2 the eyes of those Aboriginal people that that
- 3 knowledge is not just handed down in one direction.
- 4 That people who are often described as 'law people' have
- 5 also received that information. They may not have
- 6 rights to speak of it, but they receive that
- 7 information. And elderly women who had that role of
- 8 receiving really important information even in the
- 9 Western Desert you could have a normal line where
- information is transferred down and coming to an abrupt
- end, and yet there are people in the system who may not
- have rights for the information but could then speak
- with authority. And the information, the business, to
- use that Aboriginal English, could then be set back on the right track again.
- Q. You then end up under that heading of `Passing on the
 business' to make the point that Albert Karloan and
 Pinkie Mack instructed the Berndts in a last resort way.
- 19 A. Yes. Certainly the picture that the Berndts portray is that Albert, and possibly to a lesser extent Pinkie, but
- both of them, were very keen to record their culture.
- They were aware that they were the last people who had
- relatively intact knowledge about the pre-European
- culture. The Berndts also talked to a couple of other
- people, such as Mark Wilson, but, in terms of what the
- 26 Berndts considered intact knowledge, it was Albert
- Karloan and Pinkie Mack. And so, you know, they were
- considered by the Berndts to be the most knowledgeable
- in form, certainly to do with the Yaraldi and of the
- 30 Ngarrindjeri constellation.
- Q. You move from that proposition to the proposition thenof the `Absence of a mention'.
- A. Yes. The absence of at least a mention of secret sacred women's business is a very important point. I mean,
- 35 there could be a lot of discussion trying to explain why
- Pinkie, for example, wouldn't have told Katherine. But,
- I mean, my trust is in that the Berndts were excellent

- 1 social anthropologists and they would have tried in 2 every possible way to at least map out the area, the 3 state of play that their informant's knowledge was at. 4 Although Pinkie Mack, in the 1940s, for example, 5 couldn't remember some of the initiation songs that were 6 sung 60 years ago, we cannot sort of take that fact and 7 then say 'She was holding back', because people do 8 forget things; and it is a memory culture that the 9 Berndts are describing. They make it quite clear that 10 they couldn't describe a pre-European type society and they were describing a society from the point of view of 11 12 a handful of knowledgeable informants. So, yes.
- Q. Your final paragraph is under the heading `The invention of tradition'. To put that in sentence, you are there suggesting to us that secret sacred women's business has been recently invented.
- A. Yes. I mean, the term 'invention of tradition' is a 17 18 title of a book, an anthropological book, which actually 19 looks at changing tradition among European society. So, 20 I'm not suggesting that Aboriginal people are the only 21 people who re-invent tradition or invent totally knew 22 traditions. It's the fact of culture that, from time to 23 time, the perception of the past and, you know, the role 24 of the past in framing the present is going to be 25 massively changed. There are minor changes in culture 26 all the time. But there are incidents through our own 27 history where we have basically, as Australians for 28 example, re-invented our past. And I'm involved in
- writing at the moment a number of papers where I'm writing Aboriginal people back into the colonial history
- of South Australia, because, through our own
- re-invention of our own past, we tended to write them
- out. That is an example of the types of processes I'm
- talking about. There is some literature on the way that
- 35 Aboriginal people, you know, as historians come up with
- explanations of events that were, you know, often
- separated considerably by space and time, they

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

- amalgamate all of these events and places into one 2 single narrative and place them in one part of the 3 landscape. I refer to a couple of papers. There is 4 quite a bit of literature on this. I sort of go on in 5 the report to put forward a possible explanation. I say 6 'possible' because I don't know what is inside the 7 sealed sections of the Fergie report. I put forward my 8 view of how - what I've said in terms of invention of 9 tradition, to a large extent, explains some of the 10 information that Dr Kartinyeri and others have been giving you through the press in relation to the 11 mythological significance of Hindmarsh Island. 12
- Q. You mentioned writing back into Australian or colonial
 history, or Australian history, the Aboriginal role.
 That's by a process of academic investigation.

16 A. That's right.

- 17 Q. You are not suggesting that is the case here, or are you.
- 19 A. No. I'm saying that my own research - I'm trying to 20 correct what Australians have done to Aboriginal people 21 in the sense of writing them out of the fairly major 22 roles in our own, that is European Australian's, 23 settlement of Australia. So, I was - I may have 24 confused people. I was basically saying that this is an 25 example of how I, as an academic, as a professional 26 anthropologist, am trying to go against an invention of tradition that Europeans and Australians had in relation 27 28 to how they came to a wild landscape and tamed and 29 settled it. Going back to my report, in terms of how 30 Aboriginal people often bring together all of these 31 events that they relate to and places they relate, this 32 is in the single account. The importance here is that 33 to Aboriginal people, these accounts have to make sense
- in Ngarrindjeri history, in the case of the Lower
- Murray, but it's the truth which lies in the overall
- message, not the fact. So, it's for that reason that I
- believe that Dr Kartinyeri does believe in the basic

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

account that she has to do with Hindmarsh Island. She

- 1 2 does believe in it because of her knowledge - and it's
- 3 not knowledge through, necessarily through academic
- 4 history, but through her own extensive work. But, you
- 5 know, it's knowledge that she's made sense of, she's
- 6 worked it out and she's come up with a narrative and
- 7 she's placed it in the landscape. So - but how, and
- 8 this relates back to the oral history part of my
- 9 testimony, how academic history is to treat that is
- 10 another thing.
- **COMSR** 11
- 12 Q. If you were to write into Australian history the
- 13 Aboriginal presence, if I can put it that way, would you
- 14 be in any sense rewriting our history of Australian
- 15 history.
- 16 A. Yes. I mean there are various, you know, trends in
- 17 Australian history which I wouldn't, by any means, be an
- 18 expert in. There has been a Manning Clarke style,
- 19 basically writing the story and about the nation of
- 20 Australia - and which I argue is a bit of a myth - but,
- 21 in the process of doing that, being fairly selective
- 22 with the information that it incorporates. And,
- 23 according to, say, a post-modern feminist critique of
- 24 that, he is basically replicating - not `replicating',
- 25 creating a model of Australia which is overtly
- 26 masculine, you know. So, it denies in many cases the
- 27 role of women. But it certainly denies the role of
- 28 sealers because they weren't official explorers. It
- 29 denies the role of Aboriginal people who were trackers.
- 30 In the case of the early phase of settlement in South
- 31 Australia, they were, you know, doing a lot of the
- 32 collecting food and all of that essential level of work
- 33 when the first settlers arrived. What I'm doing is
- 34 trying to go back and be more objective. And armed with
- 35 perhaps a more informed, more critical view of what
- 36 previous historians have done, I'm coming up with
- 37 another story. And I suppose history will, in the

- future, possibly take my accounts and modify them. That 2 is the nature of the academic work.
- 3 Q. That is the difference between what you are doing, that is, rewriting what was Australian history by introducing 5 the Aboriginal presence, and you say there by obtaining 6 a more complete and accurate portrayal of what took 7 place.
- 8 A. What I'm doing is going back through diaries of early 9 merchants who were coming through the region, the first 10 settlers, and using a sort of a scientific - yes, a
- scientific approach of what is collecting data and then 11
- 12 building a picture from that. So, it's become
- 13 abundantly clear to me that without Aboriginal people in
- 14 the landscape, European settlement in South Australia
- 15 could not have gone the way it did. I mean, even the
- 16 location of Adelaide where we are sitting now was
- 17 impacted upon through the link that the sealers had with
- 18 Aboriginal women and the fact that it raised the fact
- 19 that the Aboriginal people in the Peninsula were less
- 20 conducive with the interaction with Europeans.
- 21 Aboriginal people, through the burning regime of the
- 22 landscape on the land, as distinct from Kangaroo Island,
- 23 produced a sort of a much more open landscape that was
- 24 suitable for grazing of stock and moving around. So, I
- 25 mean, I'm sort of making lots of jumps here of the types
- of points that I brought out particularly in one chapter 26
- 27 in the thesis where I argue that, you know, we have
- 28 totally, in many cases, totally ignored the impact and
- 29 that the pre-European population had no terms of
- 30 modifying the landscape. Also, the impact of all of
- 31 that upon European settlement. Also, the role of
- 32 Aboriginal people in terms of showing Colonel Light
- 33 where the Onkaparinga River would go, for example, and
- 34 showing people where the soakages were.
- 35 Q. As I understand it, you justified what, I suppose, is 36 rewriting the history on the basis that it's legitimate
- 37 to do so from the sources which you consider are

- 1 reliable and trustworthy.
- A. Yes. I have gone back and started from as close as possible from primary sources where I can't say -
- Q. I appreciate that. You see nothing wrong in producing an account which was not previously there. What I mean is, you don't say that that is not a legitimate exercise.
- 8 A. Well, I think -
- 9 Q. Leaving aside for one moment what you consider to be the reliability of the background source material, you would say that there are circumstances in which it's a
- legitimate exercise to rewrite the culture or the history.
- A. Yes. What I'm doing, I'm as much a part of that cultural process as what I'm describing in a sense. I mean, it's no accident that someone like me in the 1990s
- is, through my training and the influence I've had from
- various trends, from the sort of academic area that I'm
- going back and not taking as gospel certain standard histories, I am trying to come up with a more balanced
- approach. But I'm not arrogant enough to think that
- that is going to end there. I think society does have to come to terms with, you know, who it is, you know,
- from time to time. We are approaching another milestone
- and I think that is one in terms of the change in the
- next century and the republic and everything. People are being a lot more aggressive in challenging some of
- the bases upon which the Australian society is built
- upon, and Aboriginal people are certainly part of that process.
- 31 Q. What I'm trying to follow is: You think, as I understand
- it, that it's a legitimate exercise to reappraise the culture at this stage by delving into the past on
- settlement and what is already written, as it were, on
- 35 the white culture.
- 36 CONTINUED

- 1 A. I think things should be able to be challenged at any
- 2 time. I do not hold that there is any part of
- Australian society that is sacred, if you want to use that.
- Q. I appreciate that. You say you think that is legitimateon the basis of anthropologically acceptable facts.
- 7 A. Historical.
- Q. Do you rule out the possibility that it would also beacceptable on the basis of some other approach.
- 10 A. Are you talking about an Aboriginal approach of formulating?
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. That is a problem that I have within myself, in that,
- had there not been a question to do with this Hindmarsh
- 15 Island business of: does secret sacred women's business
- exist say before the 1990s, then I could quite easily
- accept contemporary Aboriginal views of their past as
- being real, if they believe them. So I'm not
- challenging anyone's view of the past, except in the
- 20 context of: Was there secret sacred women's business
- 21 connected with Hindmarsh Island before the 1990s? I am
- having to sort of step back from an anthropologist's
- position, whereby everything is real and therefore
- everything is unreal type position, basically the post
- 25 modern world view, which is that there is no sort of
- single world view. I am having to basically become more
- scientific and factual, and try and document when it was
- that people came up with a new formulation. Whereas
- often anthropologists would probably not be as
- interested in the historical side of what they are
- 31 presented with, but be more interested in what they
- 32 could describe in the present.
- 33 Q. I just want to be sure I am following what you have had
- to say concerning the formulation of `secret women's
- business' and the way in which, as I understand it, you
- 36 think modern Aboriginal women have persuaded themselves
- it existed. That is, that they have gone back to the

- past and obtained and have, as it were, concentrated 2 material from several sources and introduced it into the present.
- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And the distinction that you see between that process 6 and your process of righting an historical account of 7 white culture, is that you believe in the reliability of 8 your sources.
- 9 A. Well, in a way. Although, there is some overlap in what 10 I would be trying to do as a white academic, and what Aboriginal people would be trying to do in terms of 11 12 explaining their own contemporary situation. The 13 reasons why I, as a white academic, am doing it are 14 quite different, in that therefore the methodology, the 15 tools that I use, are quite different. Normally, I 16 wouldn't put forward my views of the past on the basis 17 of what I had records for, to challenge what a 18 contemporary Aboriginal person thought was the case. I
- 19 would not do it. If there are Aboriginal people, and 20 particularly if it is more than just an individual, a
- 21 number of people have got a certain belief, I certainly 22 would not go out of my way to challenge their view, but,
- 23 as I said before, unfortunately, this very issue about
- `Did it exist?' is important and, therefore, I am coming 24 25 up head on with an Aboriginal interpretation. I
- 26 wouldn't say, by any means, a widespread belief in the 27 past, but at least a few women have come up with this
- 28 moral. I am coming up, you know, head on with them, 29 whereas, normally I would avoid that.
- 30
- 31 Q. Have you any experience of any other, say, Western 32 Desert or Central Australian concept that might explain
- 33 what has happened here. For instance, I have heard
- 34 talk, in the context of this case, about the Seven Stars
- 35 Dreaming. Does that, in your view, have any relevance
- 36 to what has developed here in relation to Hindmarsh
- 37 Island.

30

31

32

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

- A. That is a mythology that, on the basis of what I know -2 and, again, I don't know what the core of the secret 3 sacred women's business is about - but, from my 4 knowledge, that was mythological information that was 5 overlaid, if you like, once the basic secret sacred 6 women's business had been formulated. There are 7 examples, some of them documented, whereby particularly 8 Western Desert people have used their dreamings, and 9 they tend to use more pan-Aboriginal dreamings like the 10 Seven Stars, but used dreamings such as that to extend 11 their sphere of influence. I know, among anthropologists, we often describe the Pitjantjatjara as 12 13 the imperialists of the Aboriginal world through this very process. So they have been increasing their sphere 14 15 of influence, and they often do it through these types 16 of issues. So there is some consistency there in terms 17 of recent history. But if we were to go back and look 18 at the cultural blocs, as they are referred to in my 19 thesis, and look at the distribution of culture early 20 last century, then it is quite clear that the Western 21 Desert culture had only marginal influence in the sort 22 of Flinders Ranges to Adelaide region, and no influence 23 in terms of language or shared ceremony, or any of those 24 sort of parameters, no influence on the Lower Murray 25 region. So, I suppose - I was going to call it an importation of mythology, but I would argue it is really 26 27 an export of mythology from the point of view of the 28 Western Desert. It is something that has been going on 29 for presumably hundreds of years, but in relation to
- couple of years. 33 Q. So the Seven Stars Dreaming is some mythological women's 34 business matter - dreaming, is it.

Adelaide and the Lower Murray it is very recent, and in

relation to Hindmarsh Island, I would say it is just a

- 35 A. Yes, you could call it women's business, in terms of how 36 that would be defined in the Western Desert. With all
- 37 this talk of women's business, no-one has really

- explained it. But in terms of Aboriginal pigeon,
- 2 'business' refers to just general ritual or ceremony.
- So that `women's business' would be ritual or ceremony, not necessarily secret, sacred at all.
- Q. Who are the appropriate custodians for knowledge such asis contended for here, secret sacred women's knowledge -
- A. Often in these situations, right across Australia, the ultimate authorities are spoken of as being `The old people' and there are elements of that, from my
- perception in looking through the media, in this issue,
- that initially people who were active on the Hindmarsh
- 12 Island issue were active on behalf of `The old people'.
- But, as so often happens, old people are at the stage of
- their life where they don't really want to be heavily
- involved in such things. So there is often a little bit
- of tension between older people and very politically
- active middle aged people. So, although, that is an
- aspect of this issue, I think that's something that you
- would see in the politics of quite a few more remotely placed Aboriginal communities around Australia.
- Q. My question really was, in the context of this matter, do you understand who the alleged custodians of the secret sacred women's business are.
- A. I have heard several reports. Did you want me to name them?
- Q. Can I suggest to you that they are suggested to be
 Doreen Kartinyeri, Connie Roberts, Maggie Jacobs and
 Edith Rigney, amongst others.
- 29 A. They are the names that I've heard.
- 30 Q. As opposed to Dulcie Wilson, Bertha Gollan, Rita Wilson,
- 31 Audrey Dix, Jenny Grace, Betty Tatt, Phillis Byrnes and
- 32 Margaret Lindsay. Can you help us, from your knowledge
- of the family structures and genealogies of Ngarrindjeri
- people whom you know, in terms of saying what group may
- or may not be custodians in the way contended for.
- 36 A. I suppose if there is any pattern, it is the fact that
- both camps probably don't have a pattern. The

- 1 custodians are people, although roughly of similar age -
- 2 I think Mrs Jacobs would be the oldest they have had
- 3 quite different life histories. Mrs Jacobs, for
- 4 example, left Raukkan as a young girl and has lived a
- 5 lot of time in Darwin and, I believe, Cairns. Mrs
- 6 Roberts has spent time in fringe camps in the Lower
- 7 Murray and the latter part of her life up in the
- 8 Riverland. And Doreen, of course, leaving as a young
- 9 girlfriend, being in Adelaide and then Point Pearce.
- Edi Rigney, from my knowledge I have always known her
- as someone that has either lived on Point McLeay or at
- Murray Bridge. But we have got four fairly different -
- at least in terms of location life histories there.
- In terms of the other women, I think they are also sort
- of fairly widely spread. So, yes, I couldn't guess at
- who would have more rights. I suppose -
- 17 COMSR
- 18 Q. If you couldn't guess, I don't suppose it is going to
- 19 help me very much.
- 20 A. Okay, I will leave it.
- 21 XN
- 22 Q. I produce to you a copy of the report of Dr Deane
- Fergie, dated 4 July 1994.
- 24 MS PYKE: I am not sure whether my friend is about
- 25 to try to have the witness refer to that or tender it,
- or what. Perhaps I will wait and hear.
- 27 MR SMITH: Simply identify it. I will mark it for
- 28 identification.
- 29 XN
- 30 Q. You have received a copy of that document, which
- purports to be a report of Dr Deane Fergie dated in July
- 32 1994, is that correct.
- 33 A. That's correct.
- Q. You have looked through that, I think, haven't you.
- 35 A. Yes, I have.
- 36 Q. You have considered its contents.
- 37 A. Yes, I have.

- Q. I just want you to answer this question in one line. Do you agree with that report or not.
- 3 COMSR
- 4 Q. We don't want you to go into an explanation. I think it leaves open to a yes or a no virtually.
- 6 A. I was going to sorry, can you ask that question again?7 XN
- Q. It is not my place to canvass that report with you at
 all, but I just want you to acknowledge that you have
 seen it and considered it, and what is your view about
- 11 it.
- 12 A. As shortly as possible, I would say -
- 13 COMSR: Is this going to -
- 14 MR SMITH: I am just going to mark that for 15 identification.
- 16 COMSR: I know, but the witness is being invited now to a discourse on it, as it were, on the contents of
- it. Is that what you are -
- MR SMITH: I just want the witness to say, in a short sentence, his view about the opinion. Then I am going to leave the matter.
- 22 COMSR: Whether he concurs or disagrees with the 23 opinion?
- 24 MR SMITH: Yes.
- 25 COMSR
- Q. Are you able to say whether you concur or whether you disagree, or is that not possible to do it in that way.
- 28 A. Well, I disagree with the report.
- 29 MR ABBOTT: I am concerned that we have counsel for
- 30 Dr Fergie, making some assertion to you, Madam
- Commissioner, that we don't know this is Dr Fergie's
- report, and how can we prove it. Dr Fergie is
- represented by counsel. This document has been
- 34 circulated. If there is any claim that this is not Dr
- Fergie's report, let it now be stated so we can get on
- with examining what is Dr Fergie's report.
- I suggest that much time is going to be wasted if

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

there is some plan that this report has to be strictly 2 proved in some way as emanating from Dr Fergie. Dr 3 Fergie has been given permission to stay throughout this 4 examination, and I would have thought that that 5 permission is on the basis of at least a limited degree 6 of co-operation with this Commission. If that limited 7 degree of co-operation does not extend to acknowledging 8 what is plainly her own report, let us hear it. 9 It is not for me to conduct the case of MS PYKE: 10 counsel assisting. As I indicated earlier, it is not, by any stretch, clear whether Dr Fergie will or will not 11 12 be giving evidence, for the reasons we outlined earlier 13 in the week. I have been given permission to -14 MR ABBOTT: Is it his report or not? 15 MS PYKE: I haven't seen it. It has not been 16 distributed to me. 17 COMSR: This is one of the problems that seems 18 to be rather difficult to counter, is the suggestion 19 that this is a trial and that counsel assisting is 20 conducting a case in some way. This is, of course, an 21 inquiry, and my role is to obtain information. 22 Counsel's role in these circumstances is not that of 23 conducting a case against anyone. You seem to be 24 assuming that this is a courtroom situation in what you 25 are putting to me under those circumstances. If I could show Ms Pyke the report and, 26 MR SMITH: 27 if she agrees it is Dr Fergie's report, then I propose 28 to tender it. I am not doing this in a back-door way to 29 avoid calling Dr Fergie or anything like that. It is 30 just that there is going to be an anthropological debate 31 here. Dr Fergie is in the debate. Are we going to 32 pretend that her report doesn't exist, that it didn't 33 play a part in this affair? I am happy to show Ms Pyke 34 the report. I cannot believe she hasn't got a copy of 35

36 MS PYKE: Might I say, that I understand, for whatever reason, various documents that my friend is in

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

1 the process of tendering have been circulated to some of 2 us who are at the bar table, but not others. I haven't 3 been privy to the documents that are going to be tendered. Clearly some others have. But my fundamental 4 5 submission would be that it would not be appropriate, 6 notwithstanding that this is an inquiry and not a court 7 of law, to tender as an exhibit, a report, if the maker 8 of that report is not called to give evidence. 9 I am able to receive evidence. I am not COMSR: obliged to comply with the Rules of Evidence. There is 10 11 nothing inappropriate about that course being adopted for a Commission of inquiry. 12 If that is the plan that you are 13 MS PYKE: 14 adopting, which is that you will receive into evidence 15 reports, notwithstanding that the maker of the reports 16 may or may not be called to give evidence, I cannot make

any other submission, but I would want you to rule on
that.
CONTINUED

20

KC 5MP

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING)

(SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

COMSR: No, I am in a situation where the legal 2 representative of a person who is given leave to appear 3 can verify whether or not it is the report. That is the situation that confronts me, at the present time. 5 As I say, I am not privy to the MS PYKE: 6 circulated material.

7 MR SMITH: There was a reason for that. Ms Pyke

8 wouldn't agree to proffer Dr Fergie voluntarily as a 9 witness and that was the condition as to the

10

distribution. There was no sinister reason why she didn't get the material and there isn't and anything 11

12 that is tendered in the course of evidence today will be

13 distributed to everybody, but perhaps I will show Ms

14 Pyke a copy of the report.

15 MR KENNY: If I may also say, I haven't got a copy 16 of that report.

17 MR SMITH: If it gets tendered, everyone will have

18 a copy of it.

MS PYKE: 19 I might have to show it to Dr Fergie, to 20 get her to have a look at it, which I do.

21 Yes, there is some difficulty about the report and 22 markings on it that my preliminary instructions would 23 indicate are on the -

24 COMSR: I see, there are some markings added to 25 the report, is there?

26 MS PYKE: Yes.

Is there a clean copy available that can 27 COMSR: 28 be handed to Dr Fergie?

29 MS PYKE: Dr Fergie is just looking at it. I 30 haven't been able to speak to her. It seems to me it

31 can be marked for identification totally and as and when 32 we indicate that it is indeed Dr Fergie's report as she

33 prepared it, we will let you know.

Yes, but we won't be proceeding with any 34 COMSR: 35

examination on the report before it goes in.

36 No, I don't intend to do that, even if MR SMITH:

37 it is accepted as an exhibit. It is not my role. KC 5MP

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING)

(SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

Is that not going to advance any further then?

2 MS PYKE: I am not going to hold things up while

3 Dr Fergie is looking at it.

4 Dr Fergie is looking at an unmarked COMSR: 5

copy, I take it, of the report?

6 MS PYKE: Perhaps I can indicate this: that there 7 are markings on this document which Dr Fergie says are 8 not hers and, of course, it doesn't have the

9 confidential appendices. It is not complete, in that

10 sense.

COMSR: 11 But, apart from that, she acknowledges it as her report, is that the situation? 12

13 MS PYKE:

14 MR SMITH: On that basis, then, I tender the 15 report. And I indicate that, to the extent that it has

16 got scribbled marks on it, we should ignore those. We

17 will replace the exhibit with a clean copy. That would 18 be the best.

19 COMSR: Yes, I think that would be preferable. 20

It is a report of Dr Fergie without any confidential appendices attached.

22 It has one appendice attached. It MR ABBOTT: 23 doesn't have appendices 2 and 3.

Confidential report of Dr Fergie and 24 EXHIBIT 5 25 appendix 1 tendered by Mr Smith.

26 Admitted.

27 COMSR: At this stage, it has been acknowledged 28 as Dr Fergie's report and can be - you are tendering it, 29 at this stage, are you?

30 MR SMITH: Yes.

31 It will be admitted and marked Exhibit COMSR:

32 5.

21

33 MR SMITH: This next document I want to show the

34 witness has got a special problem. It was provided to 35

the Commission, at the moment, on the basis that it be 36 shown only to the anthropologists: the three museum

37 anthropologists. So that, could I - and I want to put KC 5MP

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

it to this witness. But I can't, at the moment, until

2 we are released from that undertaking, show it to 3

anybody else, other than to identify it.

I could do this probably next week, because Dr 4

Clarke is back next week. 5

6 COMSR: Yes, I think that it would be the

7 preferable course to do that. Mind you, it being marked

8 for identification, doesn't make it available to anybody

9 to look at.

10 MR SMITH: I would like to get it on the record, at 11

the moment, and have it marked.

Yes, if it is marked for identification, 12 COMSR:

we shall ensure that it is not made available for the 13

perusal of anyone other than this witness. 14

15 MR SMITH: At the moment. Although, Mr Hemming and

16 Mr Jones have seen it.

17

18 Q. Looking at the statement - and I can identify the

statement, produced to you, which I could describe as 19

20 statement from Elizabeth Marie Fisher, which has a date

21 stamp on it of 31 July 1995; you have seen that

statement, this morning, I think, haven't you. 22

23

A. That's right.
Q. To the extent that you have taken on board, as it were, 24

25 the information in that statement, what effect does it

have on your opinion. 26

27 COMSR: That is not in evidence, at this stage,

28 is it?

33

34

29 MR SMITH: No, it is not in evidence yet.

I don't want it to get in, as it were, 30 COMSR:

31 by a back door method through the witness.

32 MR SMITH: Everyone at the bar table, for the time

being, won't be able to ask questions about it until the

profferer of that document releases us from that

35 undertaking.

MR ABBOTT: We will agree to that. Obviously the 36

witness can give evidence about it, if he has taken it 37

KC 5MP

P.A. CLARKE XN (CLOSED HEARING) (SUPPRESSION ORDER LIFTED 3.8.95)

into account.

2 MR SMITH: Yes, all the anthropologists - although 3 with the exception of Dr Fergie and her husband Mr Lucas 4 haven't seen this, I should say - but the three museum 5 anthropologists were given permission, by the maker of 6 the statement, to see it. We want to extend that, of

7 course, because it is unsatisfactory, to that extent. 8

Could I have an answer from Dr Clarke?

9

- Q. Do you remember the question now. 10
- A. I think it was, does it change my -11
- Q. Does it change anything that you have expressed an 12 13 opinion on today.
- A. A lot of it supports my general views of the landscape 14 15 and how people were relating to it. There are a couple

16 of statements in here that, if they - if the proof was

- 17 produced, that those statements had been recorded in
- 18 1967, would - yes, I would have to modify my views. So,
- 19 what I am saying is, this is a statement about evidence
- 20 that, at this stage, I can't look at, so I can say that
- 21 this statement supports a lot of what my views would be.
- 22 But there are one or two parts of it that say there is
- 23 information elsewhere and it hints at what that
- information is. And I would need to see that 24
- 25 information, in order to come to some decision about
- 26 what this statement is about.
- 27 MR SMITH: I won't take it any further than that.
- 28 And I just ask that be marked.
- 29 MFI 6 Statement marked 6 for identification.
- 30 MR SMITH: We have finished now the topic that was
- 31 feared to be sensitive. So that the hearing room can be
- 32 opened.
- 33 COMSR: Perhaps we will take a five minute break
- now and we will open up the hearing room then. 34
- ADJOURNED 3.45 P.M.

KC 5M

38

in fact, should be released.

P.A. CLARKE XN

RESUMING 3.55 P.M. 2 MR MEYER: Before my friend, Mr Smith, proceeds, in 3 relation to the material that has been given by way of evidence since the order was made to clear the court, I 5 make an application that, in fact, all of that material 6 be now released. If we consider the point from where it 7 started - and I won't go into the detail, obviously, 8 because that would defeat the purpose of having it 9 closed - but it started with a reference to Professor 10 Berndt and his published works and it progressed from 11 there and dealt with material which is in the public 12 domain and not gained from any source which has been the 13 subject of a dispensation pursuant to s.35. S.35 of the 14 Aboriginal Heritage Act provides: 15 `Except as authorised or required by this Act, a person 16 must not, in contravention of Aboriginal tradition, 17 divulge information relating to an Aboriginal site, 18 object, or remains, or Aboriginal tradition.' 19 The material, in respect of which evidence has been 20 given, doesn't traverse any of the matters that are 21 covered by s.35. In fact, it all related to published 22 material, either by way of the publication of `A World 23 That Was' by Berndts and Berndt, or a publicly received 24 thesis by Dr Clarke, which has been placed before the 25 court. The only possible area which is subject to a 26 limitation in relation to what I will call the MFI 27 exhibit, without disclosing it further than that, 28 because of the limitations that were placed on it on the 29 basis upon which it was put before the court, but, apart 30 from that, there is no material which should not be 31 released. 32 In support of the application, I say, clearly, it is 33 in the public interest that as much of this Inquiry, 34 this Commission, be in the public domain. And, whilst 35 there is an obvious need to, not only comply with the 36 relevant legislation, but a need to be properly 37 sensitive to it also, the material that has come forth,

KC 5M

P.A. CLARKE XN

That is my application. 2 MR KENNY: As you are aware, I act for a group of 3 Aboriginal men, in relation to this matter. 4 I have some concerns about what my friend has said 5 on the divulgence of that material. I mean, some of 6 that material, I suggest, that we did talk about may 7 well have arisen from the Berndts and Berndts report, 8 but I would say that this Commission has no idea 9 concerning whether that divulgence of that information 10 is in contravention of Aboriginal tradition. I think 11 the position, is we simply, at this stage, do not know. 12 You will appreciate I don't have instructions in 13 relation to that, because, actually, this is the first time that I have seen or heard it. I do, however, 14 15 maintain my objection in relation to the release of that 16 information, even without my client's instructions, 17 because I am aware that they do have serious concerns about the matter. I think, at very least, we should 18 19 perhaps have the opportunity to consider whether they 20 believe the divulgence of that information is in 21 contravention of Aboriginal tradition or not. Despite 22 the fact that this perhaps is in the public domain in 23 other places. They have serious concerns about a lot of the information. And I suggest that some of the 24 25 information that we did talk about, that is contained in 26 that book, could very easily raise concerns. 27 MR KENNY: I don't want to list them, in open 28 court. 29 COMSR: No, certainly not. I wouldn't expect 30 you to. 31 MR KENNY: I think there are some matters there 32 that do raise some serious concerns and I would at least 33 like to speak to my clients about it, before it is 34 received. 35 COMSR: Yes, I am in the position of not being 36 able to consider that information, in its entirety. 37 And, of course, s.35 is designed to protect against 38 unauthorised divulgence any information concerning

KC 5M

P.A. CLARKE XN

1	Aboriginal tradition where it is contrary to Aboriginal
2	tradition to do so. And any authority that I have I
3	consider that I should construe very strictly. So that
4	I have to be assured, in my own mind, that there is no
5	information of the sort that we have been discussing,
6	before I would approve the release of any of that
7	information. And it will take some consideration. I
8	don't think I am in a position, at this stage - I am not
9	saying it won't be done - I am just saying that I don't
10	consider that I am in a position, at this stage, to
11	confidently release the transcripts of what took place,
12	during the private hearing.
13	MR ABBOTT: We suggest, as a first step, that you
14	ought to ask this witness - and that is not the end of
15	it - this witness's view, but he ought to be asked,
16	without detailing it, whether there is anything that he
17	regards as appropriate, under s.35. And, if there is
18	not, that is at least a factor you can take on board.
19	COMSR: Yes, but counsel have put to me that he
20	is not in a position, as yet, to do that and he doesn't
21	know what the concerns are. And I certainly want to be
22	sure, in my own mind, that there is no problem there, Mr
23	Abbott, because it is my responsibility.
24	MR ABBOTT: Exactly, which is why I am suggesting
25	that each witness that gives evidence you ought to at
26	least make an enquiry of them, because it may assist you
27	in making a decision. I am suggesting an enquiry ought
28	to be made of this witness.
29	COMSR: I don't know that that is the end of
30	it.
31	MR ABBOTT: I think it specifically wouldn't be the
32	end of it, but at least it is a start.
33	COMSR: Yes, I don't think I can too hastily
34	accede to any such request. I am not denying it, I am
35	not dismissing it. I am just saying that it is a
36	situation that requires me to proceed with a degree of
37	caution.
38	MR ABBOTT: Undoubtedly.

- 1 XN
- 2 Q. Can I take you now to what we have been calling the narrative of events'.
- 4 COMSR: I suppose we should note that the inquiry has now resumed in public session.
- 6 XN
- Q. As at April 1994, Dr Doreen Kartinyeri was an employeeof the Museum, wasn't she.
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 Q. She was employed in what capacity.
- 11 A. She was employed in the Aboriginal Family History
- Project, and more specifically she was employed to do
- research into Aboriginal families and publish
- information she gathered into family history books.
- 15 Q. As at April 1994, she had been working at the Museum for some time.
- 17 A. Yes, for some time. Although she had been working from 18 her home, she got special permission to work away from
- 19 the North Terrace site.
- 20 Q. Her home was where, as you understood it.
- 21 A. Up in the Mid North. She was at Warooka and then she
- 22 moved to Port Germein. I have never visited her in
- either of those places. I'm not quite sure of her exact movements.
- Q. There was an occasion in early April 1994 when shetelephoned you at your Museum.
- 27 A. That's right.
- Q. You had a conversation with her, and in relation to that conversation supplied her with some material; is that right.
- 31 A. That's right.
- Q. Is there any documentation at all concerning either the conversation or the supply of materials.
- 34 A. I've got a copy of the material I faxed to her. I kept
- 35 those copies because I thought that I would probably be
- asked for that material from other people; and, in fact,
- I did use some of that material for other people some
- months later. So, I do have that material with me here

- l today.
- Q. I'll come to that in a moment. Did you make any note either in connection with the conversation or the material and, if so, where.
- 5 A. Yes, in my normal diary, as distinct from the field 6 notebook. In my normal diary, I made a reference that 7 was of one word, the word `Carter', and that word
- 8 Carter' related to one of the photocopies. And from my
- 9 memory Doreen rang me a couple of times for the
- material, and whilst still on the phone I just wrote
- that name on a certain page, a page of my diary, so I
- wouldn't forget to fax it to her.
- 13 Q. Have you got your diary with you.
- 14 A. Yes, I have.
- 15 Q. I want to know the date in which you wrote this entry.
- You did it, yes, and would you please refer to your diary.
- A. Yes. Actually, the entry that I put on this page is Carter burial' and the date is April 12th.
- 20 Q. Of what.
- 21 A. 1994.
- Q. So, 12 April 1994 in conjunction with that entry means what exactly is that, the day you sent the material off,
- is it, or the day that you got the telephone call.
- A. That would have been the day that I received a telephone call and that was written down to remind me to get the
- 27 materials together and send them to her.
- Q. Can you throw your mind back then to the telephone conversation.
- 30 A. Yes.
- 31 Q. What was said by Dr Kartinyeri to you and what did you
- 32 say in reply, as near as possible.
- 33 COMSR
- 34 Q. Could I establish something first. Do you have any
- record of the conversation, or are be relying on your
- 36 recollection of it.
- 37 A. I don't have any notes taken on that day. I have my
- memory, and the faxes that I sent to her I do have.

- 1 XN
- Q. So, tell us of the conversations.
- A. Well, it's very hard to reconstruct the exact words, but the gist of it was that she was, you know, desperate for information in relation to Hindmarsh Island and the environs around Hindmarsh Island, so.
- 7 Q. What did she say about that.
- A. Just that she needed the information and it was in relation to the Hindmarsh Island issue. It was a general policy, you know, that we give out raw data and she's a colleague and she asked for the raw data and I undertook to send her that raw data.
- 13 Q. Was there something said about the bridge in this conversation.
- A. It was in relation to the bridge, that's all that I can remember. There certainly wasn't any mythological or there was no secret sacred business, or anything like that. It was strictly she needed all the information she could get and it was in relation to stopping the Hindmarsh Island bridge.
- 21 Q. Did she say anything to you about what she had.
- A. She gave me this very strong impression that she had nothing, or next to nothing. I can't remember whether she said she had little or nothing, but it was certainly the message that I got that she was starting at, you know, at the base line.
- 27 Q. What was your response to that.
- A. My response was that, you know, to give her the raw data
 and I discussed with her roughly, from my knowledge of
 the literature, what was around. And the note here
 Carter burial' refers to a newspaper reference that I
 had only just been, some time around about then, given
 by a friend and colleague Robert Foster. I had that
- with me, as well as I had a data base that I constructed a couple of years previously as part of the build-up for
- writing the thesis. So, in fact, it probably would have
- been four or five years old, the data base, and that
- data base contained basic references to Taplin and

- Bellchambers and basically gave a rough sketch on the
- 2 few records there on the Aboriginal people connected
- somehow to Hindmarsh Island and the Goolwa region.
- Q. You had faxed off that material to Dr Kartinyeri.
- 5 A. That's right.
- Q. You have actually a copy of that material.
- A. That's right. I have it with me.
- 8 Q. For anyone that wants to see it.
- A. Yes. 9
- 10 Q. Can you produce it so that we can see what it looks 11
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 WITNESS PRODUCES DOCUMENT
- 14 Q. That is the copy of the material that you sent off to Dr 15 Kartinyeri.
- 16 A. That's right, yes.
- 17 Copy of faxes sent to Dr Kartinyeri EXHIBIT 7 18 tendered by Mr Smith. Admitted.
- 19 Q. Did you talk to Dr Kartinyeri subsequent to sending her 20 that material.
- 21 A. In relation to the material, yes, I possibly spoke to 22 her several times, but certainly one time sticks in my 23 memory.
- 24 Q. Tell us what was said if it sticks in your memory.
- 25 A. Doreen was very interested in the apparent similarity
- 26 between Taplin's recorded place name, Aboriginal place
- 27 name for Hindmarsh Island which was 'Kumarangk'. Taplin
- 28 recorded that in 1874 and it apparently had the literal
- 29 meaning of `The points'. However, Doreen said that it
- 30 was remarkably similar to a word that she had used for
- 31 pregnant' called Kummari - I mean there could be other
- 32 ways that a linguist would spell it. I was aware of
- 33 that word being what some of the more older people
- 34 around Point McLeay would use for 'pregnant' where - I
- can't say the contemporary word that the young people 35
- 36 would use today - would be Munthana. M-U-N-T-H-A-N-A -
- 37 I might spell that again later. Anyway, the point is
- 38 that she saw a relationship between a word that she knew

- 1 as a Ngarrindjeri word for 'pregnant' and Taplin's word 2 for `Hindmarsh Island'. I cautioned her from making a 3 hasty conclusion about that by saying that, you know, 4 from my experience, only a linguist could tell whether 5 there was likely to be any relationship between the two 6 words. And it's been my experience that words that 7 often sound similar, a linguist would say are totally 8 unrelated. And I'm not here as an expert in 9 linguistics, although I do have expertise in Aboriginal
- 10 English. 11 Q. The role of the Museum in terms of, say, the dispute 12 over the bridge at Hindmarsh Island in terms of giving
- 13 data out, was what exactly, as you saw it. A. Even before the Hindmarsh Island bridge issue, it was an 14 15 agreed - it was arranged through the Museum that Museum 16 staff had to be extra careful in giving out data which 17 could possibly lead to some form of legal action. And 18 there have been a couple of court cases where the Museum
- 19 had been basically in trouble through giving out faulty 20 advice. There were several cautions received from the,
- 21 or both the last two directors in relation to the
- 22 Museum's obligations in terms of giving data. And the 23 upshot was that we can give out raw data, that is raw in
- 24 the sense of being, you know, public domain - you know,
- 25 readily accessible if not from the Museum then from the
- 26 Library or out from, information from the public domain, 27 or written records which were historical in the archives
- 28 and part of the State collection. But, when it came to
- 29 interpreting that information, then we needed to take
- 30 more caution. And, on any issue that is likely to lead
- 31 to an investigation on the status of an Aboriginal site, 32
- or an object, or anything of that nature, then we always 33 insisted that there be a formal request in writing and
- 34 that that request would then be discussed with the
- 35 relevant curator, head of the division, and in some
- 36 cases the director. So, it was an understanding in the
- 37 Museum and there are various circulars and notes and
- 38 things which indicate that curators had to exercise a

1	fair degree of caution in terms of their relationship
2	with outside bodies and giving information to outside
3	bodies.
4	COMSR
5	Q. By `curator', what does that mean it was.
6	A. In the Museum, curators are the people who are employed
7	as researchers to do research, but also to have an
8	opinion in certain disciplines, whereas we have other
9	officers who, managers and information officers, who are
10	able to give out information. But that would be
11	restricted solely to the public domain area, whereas a
12	curator is someone who is regarded as having a more
13	research-orientated, you know, higher profile role
14	within the Museum.
15	CONTINUED

- XN
- 2 Q. Can I take you to later in April. I think the
- anthropology division received a number of informal
- requests for information from the Aboriginal community 5 at large.
- A. That's right. Just phone calls.
- Q. Then that was followed by specific visits by two groups 8 of people, wasn't it.
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. Tell us of the first. 10
- 11 A. The museum had just received the Tindale collection,
- 12 which was covered in the press, and it was seen as a
- 13 great wealth of material, particularly in relation to
- 14 the Lower Murray and Coorong area. For that reason,
- people, such as members of the Lower Murray Aboriginal 15
- 16 Heritage Committee, were eager to tap into this resource
- 17 in relation to the Hindmarsh Island Bridge issue. We
- 18 had barely unpacked it - the material arrived some time
- 19 in February, I believe, and we were still unpacking it
- 20 through the first part of April, but the main body of
- 21 journals had just been unpacked and we had several
- 22 visits from Aboriginal people from all over Australia,
- 23
- but in particular we had a visit from the two Aboriginal
- 24 men who were representing the Lower Murray Aboriginal
- 25 Heritage Committee, and that was Mr Doug Milera and Mr 26 Victor Wilson.
- 27 Q. Where was the collection at this time.
- 28 A. The collection was in an unused office out the back of 29 the museum. It was in a high security area, but it was
- 30 pretty well cramped into one office. It is an office
- 31 space that is currently being used by Philip Jones.
- 32 Q. Doug Milera and Vic Wilson were shown the collection by 33 whom.
- 34 A. By me. Just by myself.
- Q. Did you speak with them during the course of doing that. 35
- 36 A. Yes. They obviously thought that the collection was,
- 37 you know, well organised. So they came thinking that it
- 38 was just a matter of pulling down a volume, you know,

- 1 entitled `Hindmarsh Island', but they quickly saw that
- the information was far more dispersed through I am just guessing about 50 or 60 odd volumes of journals
- just guessing about 50 or 60 odd volumes of journals and numerous books of photographs and card files and
- 5 mountains of loose paper. So they asked that I and/or
- 6 other museum people look through that collection for
- 7 anything on Hindmarsh Island, and they were also
- 8 desperate I mean, they desperately needed cultural
- 9 information. They put it across that they needed to
- stop the bridge and they needed information to stop the bridge.
- 12 COMSR
- Q. It was quite apparent, was it, to you at that time that the Aboriginal community as a whole was against the
- building of the bridge.
- A. At that stage, it was more just the groups that, as a museum, we tend to interact with, like the Lower Murray
- 18 Aboriginal Heritage Group, who were against it.
- 19 XN
- Q. So I suppose you would expect those groups to be interested in the anthropological material that was
- 22 available.
- 23 A. Yes. We had had several other similar sort of heritage issues in relation to Granite Island and Cape Jervis.
- issues in relation to Granite Island and Cape Jervis, and others. And at that stage - in the stage of this
- 26 Hindmarsh Island visit I and the rest of the museum
- were treating it in just the same way, that we had a
- public collection and we would give out the information
- but we wouldn't get involved, and if we were asked by
- other parties for that information, that they would get
- 31 it as well.
- 32 COMSR
- Q. But nothing unusual that you could see in the request to peruse the available material.
- 35 A. Not at that stage. That's right.
- 36 XN
- 37 Q. Did you say anything to them about that request to find
- 38 something.

- A. I mean, I told them that there was difficulties with the 2
- collection. I told them that there was secret sacred 3 objects from other regions that were sketched and
- 4 photographed in it. I wanted them to get the picture
- 5 that it wasn't just Lower Murray material in the Tindale
- 6 collection. I also said - and this was speaking from my
- 7
- authority of having done the thesis that, in my
- 8 opinion, it would be very unlikely - the Tindale
- 9 collection, that is - to have much information on
- 10 Hindmarsh Island in view of the bias that the literature
- 11 has towards the Coorong and the Southern Eastern side of
- 12 Lake Alexandrina, and also because Tindale's main
- 13 informants being people whose main sort of country was
- 14 peripheral to Hindmarsh Island. So I didn't want them
- 15 to get their hopes up that there was something there. I
- 16 said I would look through it, and I did. But, as it
- 17 turns out, I was correct and there was very little
- 18 information in the Tindale collection.
- 19 Q. A few days after that visit from Doug Milera and Vic 20 Wilson, did you have a visit from another group of 21 Ngarrindjeri people.
- 22 A. Yes. On this occasion it was Doreen - Dr Kartinyeri,
- 23 Mrs Hilda Day and Mrs Sara Milera, three women. On this
- 24 occasion, I had forewarning enough that they were
- 25 coming, so I contacted Steve Hemming, who was then
- 26 working out at the Fullarton Road annexe, I believe, to
- 27 come in and help me out. So all five of us went into
- the room where the Tindale collection was stored. 28
- 29 Q. About what time. What are we talking about here. What 30 time in April. Approximate date.
- 31 A. I would have to look through my notes. It was before I
- 32 went on leave, and it was obviously after we unpacked
- 33 the Tindale collection. It was after the Mr Milera and
- 34 Mr Wilson visit.
- Q. What notes do you need to look at just to fix that time. 35
- 36 When you went on leave.
- A. No, it would have been prior. Have you got the figures 37
- 38 of when I went on leave? I can look them up.

- 1 Q. You have to supply them, I am afraid.
- 2 MR MEYER: I would have thought the date he went on
- leave would have been non-contentious. For my part, I
- 4 certainly do not object to him being led on that.
- 5 XN
- 6 Q. I think you went on leave on 15 April.
- 7 A. Yes, that is what my book says.
- 8 Q. So it is before 15 April.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And after the 12th, you have said.
- 11 A. Some time around about then. It is very difficult to
- put it at an exact date, but I have got an early and a
- late date as a range to give it a fix.
- 14 Q. Is the sequence of events: the telephone call from
- Doreen Kartinyeri, that you have told us about; some
- visits from Aboriginal people, but, in particular, a
- visit from Doug Milera and Victor Wilson.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Then another visit from Ngarrindjeri people, being
- 20 Doreen Kartinyeri, Hilda Day and Sara Milera.
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And Stephen Hemming came in from the annexe.
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. Tell us what happened and what was said.
- 25 A. On that occasion it struck me straight away that there
- was a difference in the way that Doreen was talking
- about the Hindmarsh -
- 28 COMSR
- 29 Q. I wonder if you could tell us what was said on that occasion.
- 31 A. Okay. The basis of what happened on that occasion, what
- was said, was along the lines that Dr Kartinyeri said
- that they now knew something, as Ngarrindjeri women,
- about the Hindmarsh Island area. It was quite clear
- 35 that she wasn't going to go any further than that, but
- 36 she said that they were now wanting material to back
- 37 that up from the Tindale collection.

RF 5O

- 1 Q. She wanted to see if there was anything of relevance in that Tindale collection, did she.
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 XN
- 5 Q. Did you assist her with that. Did anybody.
- 6 A. We had a look through a few of the volumes, steering
- 7 away from ones that we thought would have some
- 8 restricted material from other areas in them. So we
- 9 looked at photos. While looking at those photos, Mrs
- Sara Milera suddenly appeared to be in a trance and said
- she saw something spiritual in a particular photo, but
- 12 Dr Kartinyeri and Mrs Day didn't seem to be caught up in
- that belief. So it was basically looking through the
- material, and it was left with Dr Kartinyeri instructing
- 15 Steve Hemming and myself, with some urgency, to go
- through as much of the collection as we could, looking
- for things to do with Hindmarsh Island and the adjacent
- 18 Coorong/Goolwa region.
- 19 Q. I think you then went on leave on 15 April.
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And that leave, was that 15 April to 11 May.
- 22 A. Yes. I had to extend it a bit. I took leave because my
- wife was due to have a baby and that baby arrived some
- ten days late. So I was sort of tacking on as much
- leave as possible, but, again, that event meant that I
- was able to use that event to sort of fix the position of what happened earlier on in my leave.
- of what happened earlier on in my leave.

 Q. By the time you went on leave, had you searched the
- journals for references to Hindmarsh Island.
- 30 A. Yes, I had been completely through the journals at least
- 31 twice, and parts of the journal I went back a third and
- a fourth time because I was following up leads relating
- to other projects as well. So at that stage I was
- probably the most familiar person in the museum on the
- journals relating to the southern regions of South
- 36 Australia.

P.A. CLARKE XN

- Q. I think whilst you were at home on leave you had a telephone call from one of your colleagues at the museum.
- 4 A. Yes, Steve Hemming rang me. It was during the day. It was early in my leave. As I have said, I know that
- because I was caught up in other events later on and
 didn't have any contact with the museum. He rang up
- 8 early during my leave and requested that I give him,
- 9 over the phone, all of the information I had on
- Hindmarsh Island and the related areas.
- 11 Q. At that time it was the case, wasn't it, that Dr
- 12 Kartinyeri had been sent, by you, all the relevant
- historical data from your thesis material.
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. That is already Exhibit 7. So what was your response then to Mr Hemming.
- 17 A. I questioned why he needed that information, because
- Doreen already had it, and in response to that he said
- that he was assisting Dr Kartinyeri in interpreting that
- 20 material. So my interpretation of that was that -
- 21 OBJECTION Ms Nelson objects.
- 22 COMSR
- Q. Don't tell us what you thought about that.
- 24 XN
- 25 Q. Don't give us your interpretations about that. What did
- you do then. Did you speak with him, say anything more to him, or did you comply with his request.
- 28 A. I complied with his request.
- 29 Q. How did you do that.
- 30 A. The phone was in my living room and the computer was in
- 31 the other end of the house, so I had to boot up that
- computer, get into the data base, call up the records,
- scribble down the information on scraps of paper, and go
- back to the phone and give that information to Steve
- 35 over the phone.
- 36 CONTINUED

37

KC 5P

P.A. CLARKE XN

1 He was particularly interested in page numbers of books. 2 He had a rough idea of where much of the information 3 was, but he needed it quickly and he knew, of course, that I had this data base. 5 MR KENNY: Before we finish today, the witness has 6 referred to his diary, on a number of occasions, for the 7 purpose of fixing dates. 8 I am wondering if my friend was going to tender 9 that? 10 MR SMITH: I can hold it. I wasn't going to tender 11 it. 12 COMSR: You would like an opportunity to peruse 13 it? MR KENNY: 14 Certainly. MR SMITH: Why don't we just mark it, for the 15 16 moment? MR KENNY: 17 I would be happy with that. I would just like to make sure there is access. 18 19 MFI 8 Witness's diary marked 8 for 20 identification. MR ABBOTT: 21 I take it he will only be allowed to 22 examine the relevant entries? He may not want his 23 diary -24 COMSR: No, Mr Smith will be able to go through 25 with the witness and mark the relevant passages.

ADJOURNED 4.37 P.M. TO THURSDAY, 3 AUGUST 1995 AT 10 A.M.

COMSR STEVENS 3 ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE HINDMARSH ISLAND BRIDGE 5 THURSDAY, 3 AUGUST 1995 6 7 RESUMING 10.33 A.M. 8 9 MR KENNY: Before we start this morning, I don't 10 want to go into great detail, but I didn't note my 11 objection to the tender yesterday of Dr Fergie's report. 12 I want to perhaps adopt the submissions made by Griffiths when he was here -13 14 COMSR: I will deal with that later. There is 15 another issue I wish to deal with at this time. Counsel 16 assisting the Commission have advised me that there have 17 been grave concerns expressed by the women who are prepared to come forward and have co-operated to date 18 19 with the Commission, for which I give them full credit. 20 I am concerned about statements made in open hearing and 21 in press releases to the media which have intimidated 22 these women and have been contemptuous to the Commission 23 itself. 24 Additionally, the Commission has made every effort 25 to resolve the issue of the fears that a curse has been 26 placed on this hearing and the Commission itself. A 27 request for reassurance has met with no co-operation 28 from those representing the women concerned. It is a 29 serious issue for the women who feel under threat. 30 In order to address these serious matters, I propose 31 to adjourn the hearing this morning until 2.15 p.m. when 32 a statement will be made by me concerning these matters. 33 MR SMITH: Before you leave, Madam Commissioner, I 34 ask you to dispose of one outstanding matter which was 35 left over from yesterday, and that is the question of 36 the release of the evidence taken in camera from Dr 37 Philip Clarke and his report Exhibit 1. It is my advice 38 to you, based on the anthropological advice to the

1	Commission and concurred with by Miss Francis Nelson for
2	Steven Hemming, who is also an anthropologist, that the
3	evidence is not capable of being regarded as in breach
4	of s.35 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act, and so can be
5	divulged.
6	MR KENNY: I have objected to this material being
7	released yesterday. I have obtained brief instructions
8	from my client broadly outlining the evidence - not in
9	any detail I might add. He hasn't signed the
10	undertaking as he is not here in Adelaide and not able
11	to do so. I can assure you that they consider that the
12	material that was discussed in camera yesterday did, in
13	our opinion, concern Aboriginal tradition, their
14	tradition.
15 16	MR ABBOTT: How can it be their opinion if you
17	haven't talked to them? MR KENNY: In that case, I seek that this material
18	,
19	be withheld from release until I have had the
20	opportunity to obtain the necessary releases and show
21	them copies and take detailed instructions from them in relation to it. It is a serious concern. They are very
22	
23	concerned that this discussion or that discussion was
24	about their traditions and that they believe it's
25	improper that that be discussed in open forum. Even
26	that sort of material in general is, some may say is,
27	and they have very serious concerns about it. COMSR: This can be quickly disposed of by
28	COMSR: This can be quickly disposed of by recalling the witness briefly to the stand and obtaining
29	from him his opinion as to whether or not these matters
30	are already in the public arena.
31	MR KENNY: If I may submit, that is not the
32	problem. A lot of that material, I concede, is in the
33	public arena. That was released, I say, in the public
34	arena at a time when they did not have the powers they
35	do today to prevent that release and they are most
36	concerned that it not be released. It is not a question
37	of whether it is already in the public arena - I agree a
38	lot of it is - but the point is it is still their belief
50	for or it is - out the point is it is suit their other

1	that that material should not be discussed openly and in
2	public. I'm not saying that it is not already in the
3	public arena or not available for those who wish to go
4	and look. They believe that this Commission should not
5	be party to release this information as it is offensive
6	to them.
7	MR SMITH: I call Dr Philip Clarke.
8	MS NELSON: Before Dr Clarke gives his evidence, I
9	want to make my position clear, if I may. Mr Smith
10	raised the issue with me and I said that in my opinion,
11	as a matter of law, I felt that the matters discussed
12	yesterday were in the public domain and that the release
13	would not contravene s.35. I simply don't want it to be
14	interpreted as my client having expressed that opinion.
15	That is my opinion, not his.

P.A. CLARKE XN

- WITNESS P.A. CLARKE CONTINUING 2 **EXAMINATION BY MR SMITH** Q. I remind you that you are still on oath. You heard the discussion that has passed concerning whether or not the 5 contents of your evidence yesterday taken in camera and 6 that part of your report or statement of evidence, 7 Exhibit 1, has the potential or contravenes the 8 provisions of s.35 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act and, 9 in particular, involves a divulgence which is in 10 contravention of Aboriginal tradition. Could you 11 proffer your advice to the Commission about whether
- 13 A. It's my opinion that there is nothing in what I said 14 yesterday that would contravene s.35.
- 15 Q. Your witness statement or report Exhibit 1.

there is any such divulgence.

- 16 A. There is nothing there that I would consider would 17 contravene s.35.
- 18 **COMSR**

12

- 19 Q. I take it from that that these are matters are already 20 in the public arena and would not be contrary to 21 Aboriginal tradition to divulge them.
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 MR KENNY: I seek to ask the witness a couple of 24 short questions. About this matter only.
- 25 On what instructions? Does he give an MR ABBOTT: undertaking to give evidence? 26
- 27 I think it is a matter for me to COMSR:
- 28 determine this. I have to satisfy myself whether or not 29
- the authority that has been given to me under s.35
- 30 necessarily comes into play. On what has been put to me 31 and on my reading of the evidence, I can see nothing in
- 32 the publication of what would contravene s.35. I
- propose, therefore, to release that evidence. 33
- 34 I ask that you note my client's MR KENNY:
- 35 objection to that and also note that my request is that
- 36 you conduct a fuller inquiry in relation to whether or
- 37 not it is contrary to Aboriginal tradition not to
- release the information. We have here one witness whom 38

1	I have sought to cross-examine about those matters and I
2	have been prevented from doing so, so there has really
3	been only one side of the evidence presented in relation
4	to the release of the material. On that basis, I make
5	my objection.
6	MR SMITH: Could I add only perhaps the release of
7	the transcript of evidence from yesterday because this
8	witness has not yet finished his evidence and it would
9	be premature to release Exhibit 1.
10	COMSR: I release the transcript of this
11	witness's evidence taken during the private hearing
12	yesterday.
13	MR SMITH: And Dr Fergie's report still remains
14	suppressed?
15	COMSR: Yes. I'm only releasing the transcript
16	of evidence and not the exhibit that attaches to that
17	evidence at this stage. As I indicated, unless there is
18	any further matter, I will be adjourning until 2.15 this
19	afternoon, at which time I'll make a statement
20	concerning those issues which I indicated are of
21	particular concern to the Aboriginal women who have been
22	prepared to co-operate with the work of this Commission.
23	ADJOURNED 10.44 A.M.

37

38

Commission.

RESUMING 2.20 P.M. 2 I consider it appropriate at this COMSR: 3 juncture, in the light of recent events, to clarify a 4 number of issues. I said on 24 July 1995 that my 5 intention was to demonstrate the readiness of this 6 Commission to listen to the concerns of all persons 7 involved in the issues before it who might appear before 8 this Commission. 9 Thereafter, I have attempted to conduct the 10 Commission in the spirit of encouragement to all persons 11 to come forward to present evidence before it, knowing 12 of the tensions within the Aboriginal community. In 13 such a climate, the suggestion of coercion would appear 14 to be counterproductive. 15 In the result, unfortunately, rather than evidence 16 being proffered to the Commission, there have instead 17 been statements made both inside and outside the 18 Commission which have undermined the work of this 19 Commission and have, I have been informed, had the 20 effect that they have intimidated those who are prepared 21 to come before the Commission to give evidence. 22 I wish to make it clear that I propose to continue 23 the work of this Commission, unless, of course, some 24 legal restraint is imposed, and not to be diverted by 25 actions outside the Commission by parties who have 26 chosen to withdraw from the Commission. 27 On the opening day I courteously allowed the legal 28 representative of a group of Aboriginal women to make a 29 statement, and I also met informally with a large group 30 of Aboriginal women to view two items, at her request. 31 This latitude was to demonstrate the willingness of the 32 Commission to hear what the concerns of this group were. 33 Unfortunately, this courtesy resulted in fears that 34 both this hearing room and the Commission were cursed. 35 The very real concerns that there was a curse cannot 36 lightly be dismissed. If it is of concern to potential

Aboriginal witnesses, then it is of concern to this

In order to dispel those fears for prospective Aboriginal witnesses, counsel assisting wrote to the legal representative, asking her to get instructions as to whether or not those fears were unfounded. No proper response, either to allay those fears or to confirm them, has been forthcoming.

I have instructed counsel assisting to pursue the matter vigorously. I understand that those fears of a curse persist. Therefore, this morning, I have made arrangements to provide an alternative hearing room for any Aboriginal witness who feels threatened by those rumours. I can assure anyone who feels so affected that they need not give their evidence in this hearing room.

Statements have been made outside this Commission, asserting that the inquiry will be divisive and that the process is adversarial. I have attempted to emphasize that the Royal Commission will hear from any person who chooses to give evidence, relevant to its Terms of Reference, whether such evidence supports or refutes such allegations of fabrication.

Those who have already indicated their willingness to participate can only be applauded. Given the tensions within the Aboriginal community, I can appreciate the pressure their decision has caused them and will no doubt continue to cause them.

I deplore that continuing statements have been made to the media suggesting that the Commission is unlawful before that issue has been resolved in the proper forum, that is, in the Supreme Court. An inference might be drawn that it is intended to discourage people coming forward to give evidence. I am most concerned to hear that it is having that effect on Mr Abbott's clients. And, if that should continue, then I will take steps to counter it. The Commission encourages people to come forward. It will consider all evidence impartially.

I mention another matter. It now appears from the response given to the Commission by Ms Layton QC, that the confidential envelopes will not be provided to the

1	Commission. I can make it clear that I do not intend to
2	compel witnesses to disclose the contents of the
3	envelopes. I do not consider that it is necessary for
4	me to view those envelopes consistent with the Terms of
5	Reference. That material can, in my view, be obtained
6	from other sources, such as anthropological sources;
7	statements made to others, and given in evidence; and
8	from individuals such as Mrs Betty Fisher. It is from
9	those sources that it appears to the Commission that it
10	is
11	not necessary that any coercive steps be taken, even if
12	it were possible to do so, to obtain access to the
13	contents of those secret envelopes. I do not wish to
14	add anything further to that.
-	

- 1 WITNESS P.A. CLARKE, EXAMINATION BY MR SMITH CONTINUING
- Q. Dr Clarke, we had reached the stage yesterday where you
- 3 were telling us of certain events that you observed
- taking place at the museum, and we had reached about mid
- 5 May of 1994, after you had provided some data to Mr
- 6 Hemming on the telephone whilst on leave.
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. I think you came to know, in about mid May of 1994, that
- 9 a letter had been sent from the museum to the Federal
- 10 Minister, Mr Tickner. Is that correct.
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. I think at about this time you had conversations with
- 13 your colleague, Mr Stephen Hemming, concerning the
- Hindmarsh Island issue; is that not so.
- 15 A. Yes. I had several conversations with him, one of which
- would have been shortly after I came back from leave in mid May of 1994.
- 18 Q. Was the topic of the letter to Minister Tickner one item
- of conversation upon your return.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Can you tell us, so far as you can remember, what was
- said between you and Mr Hemming about the letter to the Minister.
- 24 A. The gist of that part of our conversation was that Steve
- considered that he was merely acting as a servant and
- that he wasn't composing the letter, but just simply typing it out for Dr Kartinyeri.
- Q. Was there conversation about the topic of the validity of the women's business.
- 30 A. Yes, there was.
- 31 O. What was said.
- 32 MS NELSON: If my friend is leaving the topic of the
- letter, I note that the question he put to the witness
- was 'Did you become aware that a letter had been sent
- from the museum to the Minister' and the witness said
- Yes'. It is not for me to know whether there was more
- than one letter, but it is clear, as the questioning has
- gone on, that my learned friend, Mr Smith, is referring

- 1 to a letter sent by Dr Kartinyeri to the Minister, and
- 2 that letter did not emanate from the museum. I think
- 3 that should be clarified because, as it stands, it is
- 4 not correct.
- 5 MR ABBOTT: It is a fax from the museum. It did emanate from there.
- 7 MS NELSON: I don't know where it was faxed from,
- 8 but it is not a letter from the museum.
- 9 COMSR: Rather than counsel debate the issue, we
- will have the matter canvassed by the evidence.
- 11 XN
- 12 Q. Just to make it clear, the question of the letter that
- went to the Minister, you were not present when such a
- letter was composed, were you.
- 15 A. No, I was not present.
- Q. You learned about such a letter being composed byspeaking to somebody else.
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. Who was it you spoke to. Don't tell us the
- 20 conversation, but to whom did you speak.
- 21 A. Mrs Margaret Amon.
- 22 Q. She is the anthropology clerical officer, is that correct.
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. Have you, yourself, seen that letter.
- 26 A. I've recently seen a copy.
- 27 Q. But only recently.
- 28 A. Only recently.
- 29 Q. I am not leading this as to the truth of it, but what
- did you understand, in other words, what was in fact
- 31 told to you by Mrs Amon, about the letter.
- 32 A. The subject matter of the letter was unclear to me. The
- fact that Margaret Amon told me -
- 34 OBJECTION Ms Nelson objects on the grounds of
- hearsay and that Mrs Amon is not to
- be called as witness.
- 37 MR SMITH INDICATES MRS AMON IS TO BE CALLED
- 38 XN

P.A. CLARKE XN

- 1 Q. Tell us what Mrs Amon said to you about the letter.
- A. It was the issue of the involvement of Steve Hemming in supporting the writing -
- 4 OBJECTION Ms Nelson objects.
- 5 A. No, this is what I was told, that Steve Hemming actively supported the writing of the letter.

7 XN

- Q. Did Mrs Amon tell you when it was that the letter was
 prepared in the museum, in relation to your
 conversation.
- 11 A. In relation to the conversation, it was days before the conversation.
- 13 Q. That became the topic of conversation between you and Mr Hemming.
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. You have told us about that. I had gotten to the stage of there was discussed between you and Mr Hemming, the topic of the validity of the women's business.
- 19 A. That's right.
- Q. Could you then, please, tell us what was said between you and Hemming about that topic.
- A. The gist of that conversation was that Steve Hemming agreed with my model of `Invention of tradition' to the

24 point that there was some of the reporting of the

- women's business, he agreed, was a recent invention of tradition. However, they were my words Invention of
- tradition'. I was referring to anthropological writing on the topic and `The invention of tradition', as I said
- 29 yesterday, is a title of a book. Steve Hemming said
- that he was familiar with that writing and he agreed that it explained some of the women's business.
- However, he made the point that Margaret Jacobs or
- Auntie Maggie to him and Mrs Connie Roberts, knew
- something, and, on the basis that there was some truth
- in it, he was supporting the issue overall.
- 36 COMSR
- Q. I just want to clarify something, because when you usewords such as `Invention of tradition', that might sound

- like a manufacture of it. Whereas, as I understand you,
- 2 this was significant in anthropological terms rather
- than a suggestion that someone has done something.
- 4 A. It is referring to a cultural process whereby events are reshaped, the perception of the past is reshaped to make a new sense of the present. So it is in cultural
- 7 terms, it is a manufacture.
- 8 XN
- 9 Q. Can you throw your mind back to the conversation on the
- topic of the validity of the women's business, and give us the words, as near as possible, that were exchanged
- between you and Mr Hemming, rather than drifting into a
- summary on them or a commentary on them. The gist of
- the words.
- 15 COMSR
- 16 Q. Can you remember what he said to you and you said to him, in other words.
- 18 XN
- 19 Q. Or the gist of it.
- 20 A. Well, that Auntie Maggie and Auntie Connie can remember
- something, and because of that, he that is Steve
- Hemming believed that there was at least some basis to
- women's business in relation to Hindmarsh Island.
 Q. Going back to a stage earlier than that, the topic must
- have been introduced between you, wasn't it.
- 26 A. Yes.
- Q. Can I take you back to that earlier part of the conversation. What was said.
- 29 A. We were talking in general terms about museum
- involvement in issues such as the Hindmarsh Island debate.
- Q. And there was some talk on the topic of the invention of tradition between you and him.
- 34 A. That's right.
- 35 Q. And at some stage it got to mentioning Auntie Maggie and
- 36 Auntie Connie.
- 37 A. That's right.
- 38 Q. I want you to tell us the gist of what preceded that.

- A. In this particular conversation, which is the one that 2 happened soon after coming back from leave, we were 3 talking about museum involvement in the Hindmarsh Island issue. I had the view that we had a role simply as 5 giving out raw data, that is public domain information. 6 Mr Hemming, however, had views that he, in particular, 7 was justified in being more active than that.
- 8 **COMSR**
- 9 Q. So there was something in the nature of an academic 10 dispute between you as to your roles, or your 11 professional roles, was there.
- 12 A. Yes, yes.
- 13 XN
- 14 Q. Then the topic of the women's business, or the validity 15 of it, arose.
- 16 A. Yes, and because - and I stress they were my words that 17 I put to him `Invention of tradition' - through him agreeing that that model did partly explain women's 18 19 business on Hindmarsh Island, on an academic level we 20 were at least partially in agreeance. However, the 21 difference between his academic position on the issue 22 and mine was that he still believed that there was some 23 core truth to women's business as it was being put 24 forward by Dr Kartinyeri and others.
- 25
- 26 Q. Was the topic of stopping the bridge the subject of that 27 discussion.
- 28 A. Only in that it was something that meant that the museum had to be very careful in being involved with. Again, 29 30 it goes back to my earlier point that we were talking 31 about the museum's role in that debate and any other 32 debate along similar lines involving Aboriginal 33 interests - Aboriginal contemporary interests in parts 34 of the landscape.
- **CONTINUED** 35

P.A. CLARKE XN

Q. You became aware, I think, that, on 9 July 1994, there was a ban placed on construction of the bridge, for 25

years.

- 4 A. That's right.
- Q. At least by then it was clear that the issue was no longer just a local Aboriginal heritage matter.
- 7 A. That's right. That event signalled to me that ultimately something like a core challenge, a
- 9 Commission, or some more serious activity than the normal was going to happen.
- 11 Q. I think you had a telephone conversation with Dr Deane Fergie, about this time, is that correct.
- 13 A. Yes, I have made a note in my notebook and the date on that note is 11 July 1994.
- 15 Q. You refreshed your memory, as to the date, by looking in your notebook, did you.
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Is that the diary that has been tendered, or been marked for identification.
- 20 A. No, it is my professional field notebook.
- 21 Q. Do you have that with you.
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- 23 Q. Could you produce that.
- 24 COMSR: Mr Smith, there is one aspect of
- concern: we are not intruding into any area that is
- 26 covered by the Terms of Reference, are we?
- 27 MR SMITH: Nothing that touches on problems with s.35, do you mean?
- 29 COMSR: No, I just want to clarify, before we go any further, that nothing that is being traversed here
- 31 could be seen to have any possibility of prejudicing any
- 32 Federal proceedings?
- 33 MR SMITH: No.
- 34 XN
- 35 Q. Do you have your note your original notebook.
- 36 A. I have the original and a photocopy.
- 37 MR SMITH: I will just pause, for a moment, and
- show that to my learned friends.

P.A. CLARKE XN VOIR DIRE (MS PYKE)

- 1 XN
- 2 Q. When did you make a note of that conversation.
- A. That would have been later on the day of the conversation.
- Q. At the time you made that note, were the facts of that
 conversation, that is, what was said between you and Dr
 Fergie, fresh in your memory.
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9 Q. Does your note accurately reflect the conversation.
- A. My note is a broad overview of that conversation. The conversation between Dr Fergie and I probably lasted a half an hour or more. My note was just a very broad summary of that conversation.
- Q. I want to ask you now to relate to the Commission that conversation, as near as possible, in sequence. Do you ask Madam Commissioner's permission to refresh your memory from that note, in order to give that evidence.
- 18 A. I would like to have it in front of me, yes, just to refresh my memory.
- 20 MR SMITH: Subject to any questions on the voir 21 dire, I propose to.
- 22 COMSR: Are there any questions on the voir 23 dire?
- 24 MS PYKE ON VOIR DIRE
- MS PYKE: I would simply ask this: I know that the suggestion is from the witness that he made the notes on that on the day of the conversation with Dr Fergie.
- 28 A. That's right.
- 29 MS PYKE
- 30 Q. Whilst the conversation was still fresh in your memory.
- 31 A. That's correct.
- 32 Q. I note that your notes don't purport to actually record the conversation.
- 34 A. Yes, the notes are were written for my benefit, in
- order of reminding me that I had a conversation and the
- day that I had that conversation.
- Q. But they don't in anyway purport to be details of the

P.A. CLARKE VOIR DIRE (MS PYKE) XN

- conversation as opposed to a broad summary of topics discussed.
- 3 A. That's right. Had I written down the detail, it would have taken more than just a few minutes that it did
- 5 happen in order for me to note the fact the conversation
- 6 took place. I was becoming more interested in the issue
- 7 and was starting to take more attention - pay more
- 8 attention to the media exposure. And I was starting, in
- 9 the notebook, to write down various facts that may give
- 10 some indication to me what the cultural information that was being put across was all about. I was not writing a 11
- record that I considered to be which would eventually 12
- 13 be used in a Commission or a court.
- Q. So, in fact, your notes don't record the conversation, 14 15 as opposed to a general summary.
- A. Yes, it is a general summary. It is not a detailed 16
- 17 record of a phone conversation.
- 18 Q. Because, in fact, it is not even a summary of the 19 conversation, as such. It is your interpretation of the
- 20 conversation; if I can put it that way.
- A. That is a fair way of putting it. 21
- 22 MS PYKE: Yes, I object to the witness referring 23 To his notes, in those circumstances.
- 24 MR SMITH: It is a relatively contemporaneous
- 25 record of the conversation, albeit in summary form. It
- 26 is appropriate that the witness be, in order to give his
- 27 evidence about the conversation, able to refresh his
- 28 memory, when he needs to, from the summary.
- 29 COMSR: I haven't seen the book.
- 30 It is a record that a conversation took place, I
- 31 understand, on that day, and the topics of conversation?
- 32 MR SMITH: I will ask the witness.
- 33 XN
- 34 Q. Is it the case, that the various topics discussed, or at
- 35 least some of them are canvassed in the note.
- 36 A. At least some of them. Others, because it was a - I
- 37 wouldn't say heated, but it was a vigorous discussion

- 1 between Dr Fergie and I, other aspects of that
- conversation are ones that are very clear in my mind,even today.
- 4 MS PYKE: I still continue my objection. It
- doesn't purport to be the conversation as opposed to the witness's views of matters.
- 7 COMSR: In view of the fact that the witness is
- 8 claiming to have a very clear recollection of it -
- 9 MR SMITH: Let's forge on with the conversation and 10 see how we go.
- 11 XN
- 12 Q. Just put the notebook aside then, for the moment; first of all, it was on the phone in your office, was it.
- 14 A. No, I was in another office. The phone rang and I
- simply answered it. It was actually the phone of Mrs
- 16 Kate Allport, a colleague at the museum. I answered her
- phone and it was Deane Dr Fergie on the other end of that phone.
- 19 Q. Does at least the date in your it is your journal, 20 isn't it.
- 21 A. That's right.
- 22 Q. Does that correctly record the date of the conversation.
- 23 A. That's right.
- 24 MR SMITH: I take it you have no objection to the
- witness referring to it, to give us the dates?
- 26 COMSR: For the purposes of telling us the date,
- 27 no.
- 28 XN
- 29 Q. Can you give us the date.
- 30 A. 11 July 1994.
- 31 Q. Can you take it slowly as you like; tell us what was
- 32 said in the conversation.
- 33 A. That was the first conversation that I had had with Dr
- Fergie on any aspect of the Hindmarsh Island issue. I
- put it to her that I thought that it was actually a poor
- claim; that I didn't believe in the existence of the
- women's business, as it had been could be gleaned from
- 38 the media. So, that was the that was, sort of, the

- opening part of the conversation.
- Q. Interrupting you there; were you aware, at that time,
 that Dr Fergie was involved, if I can use that word.
- 4 A. My memory of exactly when I heard that Dr Fergie was
 5 involved is not that clear to me. But I believe that it
 6 was some days before that conversation.
- 7 Q. That you learnt that.
- 8 A. That I learnt that Dr Fergie was involved.
- 9 Q. What then was said between you: you made your position clear about women's business.
- 11 A. Yes, I gave her reasons, you know, based on my own
- 12 knowledge of the ethnography; my own field work:
- Reasons which I considered meant that there could not be
- women's business, as had been reported in the media.
- 15 MS PYKE: This is purportedly the conversation. 16 Perhaps if we can get it into the I said/she said?
- 17 A. Okay. However, Dr Fergie believed that -
- 18 COMSR
- 19 Q. Did she say something.
- 20 A. Yes, okay. Dr Fergie first of all, I put to Dr Fergie
- a similar explanation that I had already put to Mr Steve Hemming, and that was talking, once again, about
- 23 invention of tradition.
- 24 MS PYKE: Again, can we try and have the
- conversation, as it unfolded?
- 26 COMSR
- Q. Yes, are you able to put it 'I said', 'she said', in that form.
- 29 A. I will try. I said that the women's business, as it
- relates to Hindmarsh Island, was an invention of
- 31 tradition. Dr Fergie conceded that -
- 32 Q. What did she say.
- 33 A. She said that it was a possibility that some aspects of
- the women's business were an invention of tradition, but
- 35 she said that she was aware of the literature that I was
- 36 referring to. However, she said that she believed that
- there was still some basis to the women's business. She
- also considered, she said, that she had 35 women who

KC 6C

- 1 believed in it. Therefore, it had a validity that she,
- 2 as an anthropologist, had to recognise. I argued and
- 3 said to her that nothing like the women's business had
- 4 been recorded in the literature, the ethnographic and
- 5 historic literature, relating to the Lower Murray area.
- 6 She said that she would have expected that it would have
- 7 been. She had the view that not much work had been done
- 8 in the region. I argued that it is a very heavily
- 9 worked region and I offered the names of Meyer, Taplin,
- Brown, Tindale, Berndt. She came back with the fact
- that none of those people and, in particular, I also
- had mentioned Allison Harvey and a few women who had
- worked in the region she came back with the statement
- that, in her opinion, they hadn't been working in the
- 15 feminist anthropological tradition and, therefore, she
- didn't consider that she needed to refer to those works
- in any major way in order to do the work that she was or
- had just done in relation to women's business on
- 19 Hindmarsh Island.
- 20 XN
- Q. Was there conversation between the two of you about confrontational issues and that sort of thing.
- A. Yes, in part of the conversation, when we were talking about invention of tradition, it was I put forward the view that -
- 26 MS PYKE: Again, can we have `I said'?
- 27 A. I said that it was my opinion that, although identity
- building and changing culture does take place, that I
- was not comfortable that it was coming about through a
- development, basically a development issue. She came
- 31 back with the comment that this type of identity
- building often does occur in such confrontational issues
- as a part of the process of confrontation over such
- things as development sites. So she was still adhering
- 35 to her position that she was working on, in her opinion,
- an authentic tradition.
- 37 XN
- 38 Q. Apart from women's business, was there talk between you

KC 6C

- about women's issues.
- 2 A. We never got to the point in the discussion of defining
- 3 secret sacred women's business, or women's business,
- 4 although I had put forward a model of the Central
- 5 Australian influence upon Doreen Kartinyeri and
- 6 mentioned to her the influences that Doreen had on her
- 7 through her extensive connections with people from the
- 8 Ooldea west coast region of South Australia. She
- 9 dismissed that view that there was a Central Australian
- influence involved. And my reason for introducing that
- fact, and I told Deane, was that it was my opinion that
- the definition that Doreen was using of women's business
- was an importation from Central Australia. She rejected
- 14 that idea.
- 15 COMSR
- 16 Q. So, again, there was a difference of professional opinion, was there.
- 18 A. We had a yes, a difference. It was a professional
- difference, yes.
- 20 XN
- Q. Was there a discussion about the topic of consultation
 and the breadth of consultation.
- 23 A. Yes, I was trying to get as much information out of her
- in terms of who were the 35 women and who they
- 25 represented and I offered a number of names to her, as a
- way of trying to find out who the 35 women that she had
- 27 just spoken of to me were. And I offered some names, a
- couple of which, from memory, she confirmed were there.
- 29 Others she couldn't remember.
- 30 CONTINUED

- Q. Was there also any conversation between you about methodology, anthropological methodology, in terms of -
- 3 A. I've already mentioned the part of the conversation
- where we were talking about other people who had worked in the area. She told me that, you know, she it
- 6 wasn't important to her work to do the level of
- 7 historical work that I was suggesting.
- 8 Q. In connection with methodology, was the topic of broad consultation discussed.
- 10 A. I offered a few names to her of people that I
- 11 considered, through my field work, would be considered
- by other Ngarrindjeri people to be knowledgeable on
- things to do with mythological and what is often
- described as `old people's culture', the culture of the old people.
- 16 Q. What was her response to that.
- 17 A. There was from memory, there was no response one way or another.
- 19 Q. What names did you provide, do you remember.
- 20 A. I remember a few of the names. The name of one person
- 21 would have been the person in the Commission we are
- calling the daughter of Pinkie Mack. Another name I
- offered was Dulcie Wilson, another name was Bertha
- Gollan, and I would be guessing if I added other names
- 25 to that list.
- 26 OBJECTION Miss Pyke objects.
- Q. Do your notes record the names.
- 28 A. No, they don't.
- 29 Q. Did Dr Fergie respond to you with any names.
- 30 A. No. I was well, in the sense that I was exploring who
- 31 the main women were, I receive names such as Maggie
- 32 Jacobs and Connie Roberts and Doreen, of course and
- other names weren't given. She obviously didn't have
- 34 the list in front of her and she was not expecting to
- 35 speak to me.
- 36 Q. Can you remember then anything else that was spoken of
- between you on this topic.
- 38 A. As I'm sitting here, no.

P.A. CLARKE XN VOIR DIRE (MS PYKE) VOIR DIRE (MR ABBOTT)

- Q. If you were to look at your notes that we have had a discussion about, would that help you or at least alert you to any other topics that you haven't mentioned.
- 4 A. I will have a quick look.
- 5 Q. Don't do that yet, not yet. But can you tell me whether
- 6 that may refresh your memory as to other topics at least.
- 8 A. It might.
- 9 Q. So, do you ask Madam Commissioner's permission to refer
- 10 to your notes just for that purpose; that is, to remind
- you if there are any other topics noted that you haven't
- 12 explored.
- 13 A. All right.
- 14 MS PYKE: I maintain my objection and I might ask
- 15 a question.
- 16 MS PYKE ON VOIR DIRE
- 17 Q. Have you recently looked at those notes.
- 18 A. I haven't looked at them probably for a week or more.
- 19 MS PYKE: I maintain my objection.
- 20 MR ABBOTT ON VOIR DIRE
- 21 Q. You have now exhausted your memory of topics that you
- 22 questioned in your discussion with Dr Fergie and that is
- 23 why want to refresh your memory at present.
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. As I understand your notes, they contain if not word for
- word, then at least a number of topics that you noted
- down at or about the time of your discussions with DrFergie.
- 29 A. That's correct.
- 30 Q. It's those list of topics that you now want to refer to
- 31 for the purpose of refreshing your memory.
- 32 A. That's correct.
- 33 MR ABBOTT: I submit that he ought to be allowed.
- 34 COMSR: The witness may look at his notes simply
- for the purpose of refreshing his memory as to this
- 36 topic. Mr Clarke, you have leave to refer to your
- 37 notes.

254

CJ 6D

P.A. CLARKE XN

1 PERMISSION TO REFER TO NOTES

- 1 XN
- Q. Have a quiet look through them. Perhaps if I give you a hand. First of all, is there a mention of `court' as a topic.
- 5 A. Yes, there is mention of a `court'.
- 6 Q. Tell us what was said between you about that.
- 7 A. Just that it was expected that there would be am I
- 8 allowed to look at my notebook again?
- 9 COMSR
- 10 Q. I understand your notebook only assists you to this
- extent and I may be wrong as I haven't seen it that
- it refreshed your memory as to the topic, but not the
- content of the conversation.
- 14 A. That's right.
- 15 XN
- Q. There is a note in your notebook, isn't there, about the topic of `court'.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. There is a note, albeit in summary form, of what was
- said by Dr Fergie about that, isn't there.
- 21 A. That's right.
- 22 Q. Do you ask Madam Commissioner's permission just to look
- at the note to refresh your memory as to what she said,
- albeit that you recorded it in a summary form about
- 25 `court'.
- 26 A. Okay.
- 27 Q. Do you.
- 28 A. Yes.
- 29 PERMISSION TO REFER TO NOTES
- 30 COMSR: Again, subject to the same query that I
- 31 made before, Mr Smith, we are not in any danger in
- 32 pursuing this line of prejudicing anything in the
- Federal Court proceedings?
- 34 MR SMITH: I do hope not.
- 35 COMSR: I think there had better be more than
- 36 `hope not'.
- 37 MR SMITH: All we are doing is traversing the
- subject matter. If anyone wants to contend to the

37 38

P.A. CLARKE XN

1 contrary, we are doing no more than that. This does not 2 encroach on any of the subject matter of the Federal 3 Court hearing because the Federal Court hearing was to 4 do with the administrative efficacy of the exercise of 5 power of the Minister. The question of the 6 anthropological support for women's business, secret 7 sacred women's business, was not at the heart of 8 anything that went on in the Federal Court. 9 Q. Can you tell us what was said between you and Dr Fergie 10 about the question of `court'. 11 A. Yes. Dr Fergie was concerned that the matter was going 12 to end up in court. 13 **OBJECTION** Ms Pyke objects to the witness not giving the actual conversation had. 14 WITNESS: Can I read it out? 15 16 **COMSR** 17 Q. That is to refresh your memory, and I take it that that 18 is half the conversation, that is what Dr Fergie had to 19 20 A. That's right. She was concerned that the matter -21 Q. Did she say something. 22 A. Yes. She said that she was concerned that the Hindmarsh 23 Island Bridge matter was going to end up in court: 24 Chapmans versus the Government. 25 26 Q. Was there any conversation about the question of sites. 27 A. Yes. MS NELSON: 28 I wonder whether we are not perhaps now 29 getting into an area that could conceivably offend 30 against s.35? I'm looking at Dr Clarke's statement and, 31 on the face of it, it seems to me that there is at least 32 an argument that this particular issue may well fall 33 within the ambit of that section. If it does, as I have indicated, I 34 COMSR: intend to err on the side of caution. 35 36 MR SMITH: I think we can leave that until the end

rather than to do anything drastic at the moment and I

will withdraw the question for the time being.

P.A. CLARKE XN

1 QUESTION WITHDRAWN
2 COMSR: I should explain that if it does, there
3 is an absolute prohibition, as it were, on the
4 divulgence of that information except to persons whom
5 are permitted to be in the court hearing. So that I
6 would have no authority to permit that to be canvassed
7 at this stage in the court.
8 XN

- 9 Q. You have told us of the question of the discussion 10 between you about the re-invention of tradition. There 11 was, was there not, a discussion on the topic of the 12 definition of tradition as you were discussion it with 13 her.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What was said about that.
- A. I was I was talking about problems. I said there were problems with the definition of tradition. Essentially, there's tradition that is spoken of particularly by
 Aboriginal people, but others in general, as if it's a
 40,000 years of continuous history, and then there's tradition that is of more recent origin and constantly changing.
- 23 MR SMITH: There is a potential area there, but I
 24 think I'll come back to that on another occasion with Dr
 25 Clarke with some other topics in the same area which I
 26 will canvas with him. Therefore, drastic measures such
 27 as closing the court won't be necessary.
- Q. Apart from further refreshment of memory from your notes, is there nothing more you can remember about that conversation.
- A. If the topic of sites is to be picked up later on, then the answer is no. There is nothing else at this stage that I can remember.
- Q. I think in all the stages of the Hindmarsh Island dispute, as you knew it, you were not consulted by any person in relation to the development; that is, the
- developments on Hindmarsh Island itself and the
- 38 construction of the bridge.

- 1 A. If you are talking about the whole issue from its very
- origins, I was consulted probably circa 1990 by Rod
- Lucas. Around about that time, Suzie Hutchins, who was
- 4 in the Aboriginal Heritage Branch, had also contacted me
- 5 about development issues on Hindmarsh Island in a
- 6 general sense. They were official to the extent that
- 7 they had approached the Museum and they were working in
- 8 an official capacity and requiring data from someone in
- 9 the Museum with that expertise. In terms of the more
- 10 recent part of the Hindmarsh Island, Hindmarsh Island
- issue, there were no official attempts or requests for
- information from people acting in an official capacity.
- 13 Q. Save for the visits that you have told us about
- 14 yesterday from Aboriginal groups.
- 15 A. Yes. Although they were somewhat informal, there was
- never any paperwork. After the after July of that
- year, there was an approach by Dr Neale Draper.
- 18 Q. That was to you personally, was it.
- 19 A. As an initially, a phone call to our head of division,
- a phone call from Dr Draper to the head of division,
- 21 Philip Jones.
- Q. Then, as to your contact with Draper, what form did that take.
- 24 A. Out of the conversation between Dr Draper and Mr Jones,
- some time later in August, I do have the diary notes for
- the actual dates, but some time later in August.
- Q. So, you can help us with the date by reference to your diary.
- 29 A. That's right.
- 30 Q. Looking at MFI 8.
- 31 A. Sorry, you said `diary', I meant notebook which I have
- 32 behind me here.
- 33 Q. That is the notebook you have. Do you ask Madam
- Commissioner's permission to refresh your memory as to
- 35 the date at least.
- 36 A. Yes, I do.
- 37 PERMISSION TO REFER TO NOTES
- 38 Q. Have a look at 31 August 1994.

1	MS NELSON: Whilst the witness is looking at the			
2	notebook, he has now been asked on more than one			
2 3	occasion to refresh his memory from it and I ask that it			
4	be marked for identification.			
5	MR SMITH: I will do that at the end.			
6	A. The phone call between Draper and Jones was on 9 August			
7	1994. The actual visit by Dr Draper to the Museum when			
8	he saw me, that was followed up by a couple of other			
9	days closely following it. The first day that Dr Draper			
10	came in was 31 August 1994.			
11	Q. Did he do some work in your presence.			
12	A. He initially came and talked to me and then I took him			
13	into the room where we are storing the Tindale Journals			
14	and he commenced work mainly with the, what we call,			
15	`camp site journals' of Norman B. Tindale.			
16	Q. Did you speak with Dr Draper about the purpose of his			
17	visit.			
18	A. Yes. I did speak to him and he told me that he was, he			
19	said that -			
20	OBJECTION Ms Pyke objects to this evidence being			
21	given on the basis that Dr Draper is not			
22	going to be a witness in these			
23	proceedings.			
24	MR SMITH: That is not necessarily so. Madam			
25	Commissioner, I undertake to convey this to Mr Steele			
26	who represents Dr Draper.			
27	COMSR: You undertake to convey what?			
28	MR SMITH: This topic of evidence I'll convey to Mr			
29	Steele who represents Dr Draper.			
30	COMSR: I don't know if that is the concern.			
31	MS PYKE: It seems to me we are getting evidence			
32	of conversations with people who are not part of the			
33	proceedings and it would be, ordinarily, hearsay and not			
34	relevant to this.			
35	MR ABBOTT: It has got to be. I rise to protest			
36	against that. It is absolute nonsense if we cannot have			
37	the discussions of what took place between people			
38	because Doreen Kartinyeri has decided not to turn up to			

- this Royal Commission. This would make a nonsense of the whole Royal Commission.
- 3 COMSR: The rules of evidence do not apply
- 4 strictly in this Commission. No doubt you will address
- 5 me on the weight of certain matters. There is no reason
- 6 why I can't receive the evidence.
- 7 OBJECTION OVERRULED
- 8 XN
- 9 Q. What did Dr Draper say to you; what was the purpose of his visit, if he said anything.
- 11 A. Dr Draper said that he was getting material for his
- report, archaeological report, on Hindmarsh Island.
- 13 Q. Did you see what he investigated when he came into the Museum.
- 15 A. As I have already said, it was mainly the camp site
- journals, the archaeological journal of Norman B.
- 17 Tindale. He also took a copy of my thesis and
- photocopied at least some sections from that thesis.
- 19 Q. Did you otherwise speak to him.
- 20 A. I spoke to him for somewhere between half an hour and an hour.
- Q. Again, did you I'm loath to mention this, did you note this conversation in your diary.
- 24 A. Yes, I did sorry, my notebook.
- 25 Q. In your notebook.
- 26 A. Yes.
- Q. In the same way which you noted the conversation with Dr Fergie.
- 29 A. Yes.
- 30 Q. Do you have a recollection, however, of what was said.
- 31 A. It was mainly a one-sided conversation. He spoke of
- townships, Aboriginal townships, that he had discovered
- in the Goolwa area.
- 34 CONTINUED

- 1 He mentioned, briefly, the interaction that he had had
- with Professor Saunders and, from my memory, that was
- about it. It was a one-sided conversation. When I
- 4 tried to put forward my skepticism, he wasn't interested
- so, therefore, he did not hear a great deal from me on that topic.
- 7 Q. Again, can you do your best. I know this might seem
- 8 artificial to you, but Draper would have said things,
- 9 some of which you can remember, and you made a reply to
- it. Can you tell us what that was, rather than
- summarising it and dismissing it.
- 12 COMSR
- 13 Q. Do you have an actual recollection of the conversation,
- any parts of it.
- 15 A. Just in the broader sense that I have outlined.
- 16 XN
- Q. Again, you noted the conversation in the same way that you did the conversation with Dr Fergie.
- 19 A. That's right.
- 20 Q. Again, at least listing topics.
- 21 A. That's right.
- 22 Q. And the conversation took place over what period of time.
- 24 A. From half an hour to an hour.
- 25 Q. And would it refresh your memory if you looked at your
- 26 note, if only to refresh your memory as to the topics
- that were discussed.
- 28 A. Yes, it would.
- Q. You ask the Commissioner's permission to refresh your memory from your notebook for that purpose.
- 31 A. Yes, I do.
- 32 PERMISSION TO REFER TO NOTES
- 33 Q. What page of your notebook is it.
- 34 A. It is volume 18, p.100.
- 35 Q. What was the first topic of conversation.
- 36 A. My notes reflect that he spoke of what he called towns
- around Goolwa, and he put forward his view, which he
- said was new to Aboriginal archaeology, to look at

35

36

P.A. CLARKE XN

- Aboriginal occupation as being very intensive in that 2 region around Goolwa. So he was speaking professionally 3 and saying that he considered that - he said that there were many many Aboriginal people living in the area of 5 Goolwa, roughly near the punt, and he thought that was 6 very important for the Hindmarsh Island issue. Q. And what else was said. 8 **COMSR** 9 Q. We are not in any danger here of traversing matters that 10 require a Section 35 authorisation. 11 A. I am coming to the limits of my memory of what Dr Draper 12 said, and I don't believe there is anything that he said 13 to me at all on that occasion that would be in 14 contravention of that section. 15 16 Q. What was the rest that he said to you. 17 A. He was talking about just his involvement as an 18 inspector under the Aboriginal Heritage Act in terms of 19 sorting out the dispute that occurred on the day that 20 one of the protests down at Goolwa were held. My 21 knowledge of the actual day he was referring to is not 22 good, so I won't attempt to go any further, other than 23 to say that was the topic that he was talking about. 24 Q. Can I take you to late 1994. 25 At this stage, I just indicate that, MR KENNY: from the notes of Dr Clarke, there appears there is some 26 27 very sensitive material contained, if he is talking 28 about the last page of those notes. I ask that that not 29 be discussed in open court. 30 I haven't seen the last page, but if we COMSR: 31 are going to hear any submissions about a sensitive 32 matter that might have to be heard in private, I would 33 not propose to hear the submissions on it in public. I don't know whether I agree with that, 34 MR SMITH:
- 37 COMSR: So you propose to leave it as you have been previously?

last topic in any event.

but out of an abundance of caution, that is actually the

P.A. CLARKE XN

MR SMITH: Would you mind if we adjourned for five 2 minutes, just to resolve the question whether it is 3 truly a Section 35 problem with my learned friend, Mr Kenny, because I do not believe it is. 5 I do not have a copy of the notebooks. COMSR: 6 MR SMITH: For the time being, before taking the drastic measure that obviously flows from any assertion 8 that this is a breach of Section 35, could we please have a break for five minutes? Q 10 MR ABBOTT: I understood this statement had been 11 tendered as an exhibit. 12 MR SMITH: It is suppressed. 13 I would urge you to read this section in MR ABBOTT: the course of the break because, if necessary, one could 14 produce newspaper accounts which refer to this very 15 16 topic. So we can show, if necessary, it is a matter already in the public domain. 17 ADJOURNED 3.27 P.M. 18 19 RESUMING 3.37 P.M. 20 MR SMITH: I suggest we adjourn until 10, the 21 question of whether this forthcoming topic being in the 22 public arena could possibly amount to a breach of 23 Section 35 to see if it can be resolved before then. It 24 has been suggested to me that, out of an abundance of 25 caution, you should order the suppression of a small 26 part of this witness's evidence as to Goolwa town sites 27 mentioned to him by Mr Draper. 28 COMSR: Suppressed from publication, you mean? 29 MR SMITH: Yes. 30 COMSR: I will suppress from publication that 31 portion of this witness's evidence which deals with the 32 topic of town sites near Goolwa. 33 MS PYKE: The township site near Goolwa and the 34 punt. COMSR: 35 Near Goolwa and the punt. It is a matter that will have to be resolved clearly. I am the 36 37 one who exercises an abundance of caution, too, when 38 these matters have to be dealt with.

1	MR SMITH: Perhaps the witness's notes ought to be		
2	at least marked for identification.		
3	COMSR		
4	Q. Do they go in chronological order at all.		
5	A. Yes, they are in chronological order.		
6	MFI 9 Dr Clarke's field notebook, volume 17,		
7	marked 9 for identification.		
8	MFI 10 Dr Clarke's field notebook, volume 18,		
9	marked 10 for identification.		
10	ADJOURNED 3.40 P.M. TO FRIDAY, 4 AUGUST 1995 AT 10 A.M.		

1	COMSR STEVENS
2	ROYAL COMMISSION INTO THE HINDMARSH ISLAND BRIDGE
4 5	MONDAY, 7 AUGUST 1995
6	Mondair, 7 Medebr 1995
7	RESUMING 10.06 A.M.
8	MR ABBOTT: Madam Commissioner, I'm able to announce
9	that we now act for in excess of ten Ngarrindjeri women.
0	We have provided statements to counsel assisting from, I
1	understand, nine of them already and we have, I think,
2	at least two more statements, two more women to come.
3 4 5	There are other Ngarrindjeri women who have indicated
4	and expressed an interest - and I put it no higher than
	that - in coming to this Commission and giving evidence.
6	I don't propose to name the additional people for whom I
.7	act at this stage, except to stay that the names have
8	been communicated to counsel assisting and I don't think
9	it's an appropriate time to announce the names of those
20	women. I will leave that until the
1	appropriate time arrives

P.A. CLARKE XN

- WITNESS P.A. CLARKE CONTINUING
- **EXAMINATION BY MR SMITH**
- Q. I start by merely reminding you that you are still on oath. I take you back to your conversation with Dr
- 5 Deane Fergie on 11 July 1994. You were refreshing your
- 6 memory from your note on that as you were giving 7 evidence.
- 8 A. That's right.
- Q. You provided, you said, to Dr Fergie a long list of both 9 10 male and female researchers who had worked in the Lower 11 Murray; that's so, isn't it.
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Dr Fergie's response to that, according to you, was
- 14 that: `Since none of them had worked within the
- 15 feminist anthropological tradition, they were not
- 16 crucial to the issue of whether women's business existed 17 in the Lower Murray'.
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Do you have an understanding of what the `feminist 20 anthropological tradition' is.
- 21 A. In it's broader sense, it would be a methodology where
- 22 by someone investigating an ethnographic situation
- 23 would, be sensitive towards issues of gender. In its 24
- more narrowly defined sense, it could possibly mean
- 25 other things in terms of the more recent literature. In
- 26 its broadest sense, people such as Catherine Berndt
- 27 would be considered to have been early feminist 28 anthropologists.
- Q. Of the list, or what you have described as the long list 30 of both male and female researchers who had worked in 31 the Lower Murray, was Catherine Berndt included in that.
- 32 A. That's right.
- 33 Q. Any other female researchers.
- 34 A. Alison Harvey. That is someone who I would have
- 35 mentioned. Jane Jacobs, if I broadened out the list of
- 36 researchers to anthropologists and cultural geologists.

37

29

38

- **OBJECTION** Mr Miss Pyke objects on the ground 2 that the witness has not stated that he would have mentioned Jane Jacobs. WITNESS: Yes, I would have mentioned Jane Jacobs, 5 yes. 6 COMSR: Q. Do you recall whether you did or didn't mention Jane.
- 8 A. Yes, I do recall mentioning Jane Jacobs, and I also
- recall mentioning Faye Gale. 9
- 10
- 11 Q. Your recollection is that there were four people:
- 12 Alison Harvey, Jane Jacobs, Catherine Berndt and Faye 13
- 14 A. That's right.
- Q. And who is the pre-eminent of those. 15
- 16 A. Kathering Berndt.
- 17 Q. Is Catherine Berndt regarded as a feminist 18 anthropologist.
- A. No. In the broadest sense, I would certainly regard her 19 20 as a feminist anthropologist; that is, an anthropologist 21 who is looking specifically at gender relations in 22 Aboriginal culture.
- 23 Q. Can you tell us if your knowledge of the literature in 24 the area and the commentators on the area, whether she 25 is so regarded by other anthropologists.
- 26 A. Yes. Well, she was highly regarded enough in the 27 feminist anthropological tradition to be asked to put in 28 a chapter in the Aboriginal, or the `Woman's Rites and 29 Sites' volume edited by Peggy Brock. She is an author 30 in that volume.
- 31 Q. Looking at this text produced to you, is that the text 32 book you have just mentioned.
- A. Yes, it is. 33
- 34 Q. What is the nature of that text book exactly.
- 35 A. This book grew from a report that was commissioned to
- 36 look into Aboriginal women's issues in relation to
- 37 Aboriginal heritage in South Australia.
- Q. As to contributors, how many are there. 38

- 1 A. There are about four or five or six contributors.
- Q. Including.
- A. Catherine Berndt in chapter one, and there are six other contributors.
- Q. I think the introduction, or foreword, is there writtenby Jane Jacobs.
- 7 A. No. Jane Jacobs has written chapter five entitled
- Women Talking Up Big'. The first chapter is written by Catherine Berndt.
- 10 Q. I think Catherine Berndt is acknowledged by such people as Jane Jacobs as being a feminist anthropologist.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. In that volume, in particular at p.81, is that right.
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 EXHIBIT 11 Book entitled `Women Rites and Sites' 16 edited by Peggy Brock, published in 17 1989, tendered by Mr Smith. Admitted.
- 18 Q. Can I take you to another topic altogether now: The
- question of methodology in connection with reporting, for instance, or advising in respect of Aboriginal links
- to land. Can you tell us what the anthropological methodology for advising in such an area would be
- methodology for advising in such an area would be.
 COMSR: Before we proceed any further, we are not in danger of intruding into any area where we should be careful?
- 26 MR SMITH: Well, Madam Commissioner, the Federal Court proceedings infringement are you thinking of?
- Court proceedings infringement are you thinking of?
 No, I was thinking of s.35 actually, but
- the Federal Court proceedings as well.

 Not yet I will flag that when we come
- 30 MR SMITH: Not yet. I will flag that when we come 31 to it.
- 32 Q. So, do I need to repeat the question.
- 33 A. If I've got the question correct, you want know an
- outline, a methodology, for writing a report on
- 35 Aboriginal links to land?
- 36 Q. Yes. In a general way, what are the sorts of things you
- would give attention to.
- 38 A. In a very brief way, I would start by discussing what is

37 38

P.A. CLARKE XN

1 the report or the assessments actually directed at that 2 would bring in straight away any key concepts which will 3 be dealt with in the report. That introductory part of the report would also discuss any of the Aboriginal 5 groups involved and who was funding the report, who the 6 target audience for the report would be. Then, would go 7 into a bit more detail describing in more detail who the 8 Aboriginal people involved in the target publication of 9 the report. So, by that, I mean just describing briefly 10 their history in terms of any aspect of their history 11 that would have shaped them in the present. It would 12 include a rough demography where people were living and 13 why they were living in such places. In terms of what 14 it is the reports are aiming at, there would then need 15 to be a discussion on the transfer or knowledge within 16 that community, which may mean describing family and 17 sort of broader political structures in the community, 18 and would ulimately - particularly if it was a land 19 claim type of report - would need extensive geniality to 20 demonstrate how certain information is being 21 transferred, you know, within that community. So, there 22 would need to be a reasonable introduction, and part of 23 that would be framing the reason for the report within 24 the literature. For example, a report involving the 25 Central Australian area would need to refer to key 26 seminal works that relate to various aspects that the 27 report would touch upon. So, the report would have to 28 place itself somewhere in the literature. Once that is 29 done, you would get into, you know, the main 30 ethnographic part of the report. Depending on what the 31 report is I'm aiming to achieve, there would be many 32 ways of doing that, but you would prepare, you know, the 33 body of the ethnographic information which -34 Q. Could I interrupt you there. You mentioned the 35 literature. For instance, if you were doing a report 36 relating to - did you say Central Australia.

A. Yes. You would then look for the anthropological

literature that related to the Central Australian

P.A. CLARKE XN

region.

- Q. Would that be to identify, for instance, a model or astructure from which you could -
- A. Yes. That literature would come with, well, several models. There would be one model which would be relevant to the report and the writer of the report would need to recognise that and be aware of possible discrepancies that their own data may bring out at the same time for the other areas that literature would reinforce. The literature would need to be addressed in order to strengthen the outcomes of the report.
- Q. Just to descend from the general into the particular for the moment. In respect of this particular inquiry, if the model threw up, that is the discretion of the literature and the establishing of the model of the culture threw up, no secret sacred women's business, then that would cause some concern to the reporter, would it.
- A. That's right. It would have to be flagged as a major discrepancy and the writer of the report would then be obliged to account for that discrepancy; and there may need to be new or different data added to the report in order to support a new model that the writer would be putting forward.
- 25 Q. That's the way that you -
- A. I was, I hadn't got to the end of the report which would be a summary of the findings. And, at that stage, once again, one would have to draw attention to departures from the literature and at the end of that process come out with recommendations and the outcome of the report.
- 31 The report would also, should have by way of an
- 32 appendices a list of people consulted, a reasonable
- bibliography, including both ethnographic sources and
- sources of anthropological strategies and methodology.
- 35 And the report should make it clear whether there's been
- 36 some form of peer review, or at least certain aspects of
- 37 the report having been discussed with other
- anthropologists who would have expertise with various

9

P.A. CLARKE XN

1 parts of that report. So, that is as a general model. 2 Of course, that could be modified to varying degrees in 3 terms of what is actually, you know, the report's trying to achieve. But, in general, that would be a scholarly 5 approach to an anthropological problem. 6 Q. Where one of the dominant elements of the report was 7 concerned with the conveyance of knowledge from 8 Aboriginal persons to the reporter, does your

methodology include any care to be taken in terms of who 10 it is that is conveying the knowledge and the question

11 of presentation, if you like. 12 A. Well, one, an anthropologist doing such a research would have to be very critical of all sources of their 13 information. So, naturally, they wouldn't rely on just 14 15 one or two informants. There would have to be a process 16 whereby the researcher investigated all the possible 17 variations that may occur within the target community in terms of what the information that is relevant, what the 18 19 variation is within that information. So, if there are 20 several different schools of thought on a particular 21 issue that the report's addressing, then there has to be 22 some sort of explanation - even if it's background 23 research - that goes some way towards explaining why 24 there are different opinions on the information from the 25 side of the Aboriginal informants. Part of that may 26 well be contacting other anthropologists with other 27 experience with that community to try to develop a model 28 that explained differences of opinion that may present

themselves after interviewing quite a wide range of

30 informants. 31 **CONTINUED**

29

P.A. CLARKE XN

Q. Do traditional owners, bearing in mind that there is 2 linkages with land that are being reported upon, is it 3 necessary to establish some contact with traditional

- 5 A. It would be. For many parts of the Australia within the 6 community, there is quite strong - within the Aboriginal 7 community, there is quite strong notions of particular 8 groups within that community that have more rights to 9 speak for certain land, certain mythological traditions.
- 10 In terms of more heavily settled parts of Australia,
- 11 there are still anthropological records, such as the
- 12 ones that the museum holds, which still indicate
- 13 possible traditional owners in the present-day
- 14 community. So, there is an archival source of
- 15 establishing traditional owners and there is a
- 16 contemporary field work of establishing those 17
- traditional owners.
- 18 Q. Looking at the text book, now before you, Exhibit 11, 19 which has just been tendered, on p.16 of that text book, 20 that is part of an article, is it not, written by, can

21 vou tell us.

- 22 A. Catherine Berndt.
- 23 Q. If we go to p.16, about .6, the topic being discussed
- 24 there, by Catherine Berndt, is oral tradition, is it 25
- 26 A. That's correct.
- Q. At .6, Catherine Berndt makes a point about seeking 27 28 information about cultural knowledge, doesn't she.
- 29 A. That's correct.
- 30 Q. Would you read that paragraph into the record.
- 31 A. I am quoting `In seeking information about cultural
- 32 knowledge in these circumstances, it is, of course, not
- 33 enough to choose an Aboriginal person or a woman. That
- 34 is likely to be a surface or bandaid measure defeating
- 35 the purpose of such an inquiry.'
- 36 Q. And that is the end of the quote.
- 37 A. That is the end of that quote.
- 38 Q. That is the end of that paragraph and, of course, that

- has to be read in context; but, can you tell us what you understand to be the meaning of that: what is Catherine
 Berndt telling us there.
- A. I interpret it as meaning that, between doing field work, one would have to be very rigorous in being critical of all of the data that they are receiving. I think, in today's circumstances, whereby the media, whether it be published works or videos or TV, radio, carries an enormous load of cultural knowledge.
 Therefore, one would have to try and work out what the
- therefore, one would have to try and work out what the basis of the cultural knowledge that an anthropologist would be receiving, whether it is information that has
- come through a particular line in the community, or whether it is information that has possibly filtered in
- from nonAboriginal sources.

 Q. Are you there then drawing indicating that it is
- necessary to identify, what, contemporary political impetus.
- A. That's correct. Catherine Berndt also talks on this page about internal checks and sanctions on information. So, what she is saying is that one would have to fully explore the circumstances which produce the cultural knowledge. It is not enough just to receive the facts of that knowledge and then to report on them.
- Q. Would that be particularly the case, if the cultural knowledge that is being received did not fit in with the model that had been identified in the literature as appropriate to that culture.
- A. Yes, that's correct. An anthropologist, from whatever part of that wide discipline, would have to come to terms with the sociopolitical factors that produce that knowledge.
- Q. And genealogies have a part to play in that, that proper compilation of the report.
- A. Genealogies and also lists of people that have been living on certain parts of the landscape. So, getting
- an idea of the demography, at large, but genealogies
- being a big part of that, as a way of demonstrating the

2

P.A. CLARKE XN

connectedness between some informants.

- Q. If you were driven to report on a matter quickly and without, say, an ideal amount of time to do a thorough job, what would an anthropologist do, short of not 5 reporting, at all, of course, assuming that.
- 6 A. Yes, if I have found myself having to do a report, in an 7 area where I had not actively done field work, I would try to, as quickly as possible, build a bibliography 8 9 that - which included historical and ethnographic 10 sources. So, I would try to quickly build a model of 11 who the people that I would be reporting on were. I 12 would also get on the phone and contact other 13 anthropologists, who have worked in that general area -14 geographical area. If the report was based on a 15 particular part of the function of that community, then 16 I could also contact people who are - who have expertise 17 in particular aspects of the community, as well, but in 18 a sort of broad sense. I would be reluctant to do such 19 a report without having at least time to do that. There 20 would always be the risk of overlooking significant 21 pieces of literature that pretty well already covered 22 the scope of the report.
- 23 Q. Would you do what lawyers often do, couch the report in 24 terms of having a reservation, if you felt you hadn't 25 had enough time to do justice to the brief.
- A. Yes, I think, the longer you would have to do the 26 27 report, perhaps the more game you could be, in terms of 28 feeling confident. If some of the findings of the 29 report were going to greatly challenge the existing 30 literature, I would also, once the report had been 31 completed, be wanting at least parts of it to be run 32 through by other colleagues in the area, even if it was 33 a matter of just getting them on the phone and running 34 some of the findings through.
- Q. Looking at Exhibit 5, the report of Dr Deane Fergie, 35 36 dated 4 February 1994, now before you; we are not going 37 to go into any detail here, but you have read that 38 report.

P.A. CLARKE XN

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And considered it.
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. I think you told us, the last time you gave evidence,
- 5 that you disagree with much of that report.
- 6 A. That's right.
- 7 Q. I think you have also considered the over the last few
- 8 days or more, the statement of your colleague, Mr Steven
- 9 Hemming, in the same way.
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Does the same comment apply; that you have disagreement
- with some of what Mr Hemming has said, in his statement
- 13 of evidence.
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. I think you have also had occasion to consider, in the
- last week, or so, the report of Professor Cheryl
- 17 Saunders, dated in July of 1994.
- 18 MR ABBOTT: 7 July.
- 19 XN

28

- 20 Q. 7 July.
- 21 A. There are parts of that report that I have read. I have
- not seen the whole report.
- 23 MR SMITH: There is one more topic I want to raise
- in chief, as it were, with this witness which encroaches
- into the area of women's business and brings into play problems with s.35.
- 27 COMSR: I have to consider the ambit of my
 - authorisation, under s.35 of the Aboriginal Heritage
- Act, and who can be permitted to remain at a hearing,
- while this evidence is being canvassed?
- 31 MR SMITH: Yes, and I would be suggesting that you
- 32 should close the hearing, on the same basis as you did
- before. And perhaps I could indicate for you and for
- 34 those present who may not be able to be present during
- 35 the next section of evidence, that this will be a short
- 36 topic of evidence and that, as I understand it, Ms
- Nelson will commence cross-examination, at the finish of
- 38 Dr Clarke's evidence, and much of her cross-examination,

P.A. CLARKE XN

1 at least the commencement of it, will not involve 2 problems of s.35. So that the hearing room will not 3 necessarily have to be closed, for any great length of 4 time. 5 COMSR: I will propose, then, having regard to 6 the terms of my authorisation, under s.35 of the 7 Aboriginal Heritage Act, to close this hearing, except to those persons whom I permit to be present; and they 8 9 are: counsel acting for or representing parties, counsel 10 assisting, Commission staff necessarily present during 11 the hearing and, where parties are not represented, 12 there are no legal representatives here, I will see if 13 those persons should be permitted to remain at the 14 hearing. But I would ask all other persons to vacate the hearing room, while this part of the evidence is 15 16 being dealt with. 17 Perhaps, when the others have gone out, I will hear 18 you as to whether or not you should be permitted to 19 remain in court, during this part. 20 I can see there are two ladies whom I don't identify 21 as being parties, as such, to the matter before me. 22 Is it your application that you should be permitted 23 to remain at the hearing, during this passage of the 24 evidence; the two Aboriginal ladies? 25 MS KEEF: We would sort of like to stay, if we 26 possibly could? 27 MR SMITH: Should I speak to those ladies, quietly? 28 They may feel some reticence speaking up in an open 29 hearing. 30 It may be that there is nothing contrary COMSR: 31 to Aboriginal tradition for these ladies to remain at 32 the hearing. So, you can ascertain that, if you like, 33 Mr Smith. 34 I will ask my clerk to make a list of those persons 35 who are present at the hearing during this closed 36 session today. 37 MR SMITH: One of the ladies is a Ngarrindjeri lady 38 and the other is an Aboriginal lady of another people.

P.A. CLARKE XN

1 They simply ask that they be enabled to remain and you 2 have power to allow that, if you want to. COMSR: Yes, I appreciate that. Their interest is to that extent. MR SMITH: 5 MS PYKE: Can I perhaps say something? 6 That Dr Fergie, my client, has just indicated that -7 expresses some concerns about the nonNgarrindjeri woman 8 remaining present. Given the nature of the evidence 9 that may be called or may be given, that it might 10 possibly infringe on the confidentiality of the 11 Ngarrindjeri women. 12 MR ABBOTT: We also have some concerns about this 13 setting a precedent. If this is to start with a woman 14 claiming to be a Ngarrindjeri lady, then how many more 15 are we to let in? Why should others be excluded? It 16 would seem to me that your ruling is that parties, 17 counsel present, are permitted to remain and, until that 18 ruling is varied in a way that impacts upon those that might want to come, that is the way it should be. 19 20 Yes, Mr Abbott, the authorisation is COMSR: 21 only required under circumstances where the divulgence 22 of information is contrary to Aboriginal tradition. It 23 is my understanding, I suppose, that what we are talking 24 about is the possibility does arise, as it happens, if 25 we are talking about - if there is a claim that secret 26 women's business is known only the to certain persons 27 and not to others, I suppose the possibility does arise. 28 But, here, I can't see that being the circumstance where we have a male witness about to give evidence. 29 30 MR ABBOTT: No, I wasn't suggesting that this 31 necessarily comes - that anything could deleteriously 32 happen by that. I am wondering where you draw the line, 33 that is all. 34 I can understand that. And I do have COMSR: 35 some problem. 36 The Ngarrindjeri lady, the lady who says she is a Ngarrindjeri lady, what is your name? 37 My name is Pam Keef. 38 MS KEEF:

1	COMSR: I do have this problem, of course, when			
2	I am called upon to make a decision at the hearing on			
2	the spur of the moment; and that is the verification, if			
4	I can put it that way. You might know that you are a			
5	Ngarrindjeri lady, but I have a problem of having to			
6	make a decision at a hearing on the spur of the moment,			
7	when probably you have not given any thought to the			
8	difficulty I am confronted with, under those			
9	circumstances.			
10	MR SMITH: I think the safest course, bearing in			
11	mind what has happened before, where everybody was			
12	excluded - and meaning no disrespect to anybody - apart			
13	from counsel, legal representatives and their clients,			
14	the safest course might be to follow the same course; at			
15	least for the time being.			
16	COMSR: Yes, I am in this difficulty; that,			
17	under this particular authorisation, I have to decide			
18	who should be permitted to remain and I am not in a			
19	situation where I have got any verification from you			
20	ladies.			
	MS PYKE: I wonder if I might comment?			
22	Dr Clarke tell us that he has a substantial			
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	involvement with the Ngarrindjeri community. Perhaps he			
24	could comment on whether he knows this woman to be a			
25	Ngarrindjeri woman?			
26	MR SMITH: I think it is a problem. It has the			
27	capacity of being a problem, making ad hoc exemptions,			
28	Madam Commissioner, to this order.			
29	MR MEYER: Could I endorse counsel assisting? I			
30	think there is a straight problem in this. If people			
31	are going to be represented outside of the parties,			
32	there is the opportunity for representation. And, other			
33	than that, I heartily agree with your Honour's comments.			
34	Otherwise, we have all sorts of problems of who may			
35	remain.			
36	COMSR: I have the difficulty; I am reluctant to			
37	ask ladies with a genuine interest to leave, but I hope			
38	you appreciate that I have a difficulty			

P.A. CLARKE XN

1	MS KEEF:	That is all right.		
2	COMSR:	Is there anyone else in the room who		
2 3 4	isn't a party?	·		
4	Is there a gentleman at the back there who is			
5	indicating that he is not?			
6	MR SMITH:	Yes, Brian Martin represents Ian		
7	McLachlan an	d you gave him leave. This is Mr Miller,		
8	from Mr McLachlan's office.			
9	COMSR:	I don't wish to be niggly about this.		
10	MR MEYER:	I can verify who this man is. I have		
11	met him, on a	number of occasions. I recognise Mr		
12				
13	right-hand man and he is on Mr McLachlan's staff.			
14	COMSR:	Yes.		
15	MS PYKE:	I would object - it is not Mr McLachlan		
16		ing to start having proxies.		
17		Yes, that is the problem. If it were a		
18	legal representative, I wouldn't have a problem, at all.			
19	So, again, unfortunate though it might be, I am going to			
20	have to ask y			
21	MR SMITH:	And that leaves one other; Philip Jones,		
22	an anthropolo	ogist.		
23	On the last	occasion, we exempted generally		
24	anthropologis	sts who are giving evidence.		
25	COMSR:	Was that subject to a written		
26	undertaking a	s to confidentiality being obtained from		
27	the anthropol	ogist?		
28	MR SMITH:	It was. And we have obtained one from		
29	Mr Jones.			
30	COMSR:	As no counsel wishes to be heard about		
31	that permitted	exception, Mr Jones will be permitted to		
32	remain in cou	ırt.		
33	We have a full list of the persons permitted to			
34	remain in court.			
35	HEARING CLO	OSED		

Closed hearing.

7.8.95

page 279-295

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

COMSR: Mr Lovell, I understand you have an

2 application?

3 MR LOVELL: Yes, I appeared the other day and foreshadowed an application for leave to appear and I 5 renew that application this afternoon.

6 Leave to appear on behalf of? COMSR:

7 MR LOVELL: Of Mr Kenny, a journalist who was 8 involved in some of the matters that eventually led to 9 this Royal Commission being called.

10 I understand that he will be called, or he is most 11 likely to be called as a witness. We see our position 12 as not taking a particularly active role.

13 COMSR: Except in the event that he is called as

14 a witness?

15 MR LOVELL: Yes, or through matters raised by other 16 witnesses directly involving Mr Kenny. We only seek 17 leave to that respect.

COMSR: 18 Upon Mr Smith undertaking, I suppose, to 19 let you know of any matters raised during the course of 20 the hearing?

21 MR LOVELL:

22 You have leave to appear on behalf of Mr COMSR: 23 Kenny in the event that he is called as a witness to

24 give evidence in the hearing.

25 I take it that that is on the basis that Mr Kenny 26

might feel that something prejudicial could be said of him, during the course of that?

28 MR LOVELL: Yes, that is so.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS NELSON

30 Q. Your primary degree was a Bachelor of Science undertaken

31 at the University of Adelaide in which you majored in 32 botany and zoology.

A. That's correct. 33

- 34 Q. Putting it in simple terms, that is the study of plants 35 and the study of animals.
- 36 A. Yes, there was an ecological focus through both of those

37 majors. So, on a broader level, biology and ecology,

38 yes.

27

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

- 1 Q. You completed that degree, in 1981.
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. You would have been admitted to that degree in 1982, is that right.
- 5 A. That would be right, yes.
- 6 Q. Then, in 1983, you commenced a Bachelor of Arts degree.
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. You completed that, in 1986.
- 9 A. That would be right, yes.
- 10 Q. You said that you started work at the museum in early 11 1982.
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. At which time you had finished your degree in botany and
- zoology, but you had not commenced your study of

anthropology.

- 16 A. Part of my Bachelor of Science degree included one
- subject in anthropology. So, I had I did come to the
- museum with some background in anthropology.
- 19 Q. Over how many years did that one subject -
- 20 A. That was one year, one subject.
- 21 Q. You would agree, at that stage, that your knowledge of
- anthropology was limited by reason of that limited
- 23 study
- 24 A. I had general knowledge, but no specific knowledge.
- 25 Q. You said that you worked first as a volunteer.
- 26 A. That's correct.
- 27 Q. For how long did you work as a volunteer.
- 28 A. That was for several months.
- 29 Q. What work were you doing, when you were working as a
- 30 volunteer.
- 31 A. I was going through a collection of artefacts that was
- or had never been put in order and I was sorting out,
- initially, categories of objects, such as weapons, into
- a taxonomic system.
- 35 Q. Were they Aboriginal artefacts.
- 36 A. That's correct.
- 37 Q. Belonging to which community, if any.
- 38 A. It was cross cutting. It was categories of objects

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

- 1 covering the whole of Australia.
 - Q. How long did you do that.
- A. That was for several months. I haven't got a precise figure on that.
- 5 Q. It is correct, is it not, that you were not employed in any permanent capacity, until 1983.
- A. Even in 1983, technically, it wouldn't have been permanent. I the first lot of employment I received was on the basis of grants up to six months at a time.
- 10 Q. When, then, were you employed permanently.
- A. Without going back over my employment record that I would have to have a look at, it was would have been sometime in 1984, I imagine.
- 14 COMSR
- 15 Q. Just to clarify something; you were working full-time, 16 but on a grant system, from 1982 to 1984, is that what 17 you are saying.
- 18 A. From part of 1982, right through to about 1984, yes, I was working on six month grants.
- 20 XXN
- Q. After you finished the work which involved organisingand listing these artefacts, what work did you then do.
- A. The first long-term grant that I had in the museum
- 24 involved getting together a catalogue of artefacts
- 25 relating to the Darwin region and exploring what we had
- in the archives and doing a literature survey. I say
- 27 the first major grant; while I was a volunteer, I was
- getting some paid work, doing visitors surveys for another section of the museum.
- 30 Q. Visitors surveys.
- A. Yes, that was just an hourly paid job that I had in the museum, while I was a volunteer. Just a few hours a week.
- Q. What did that involve; working out the sort of people who came there and how many.
- 36 A. That type of thing.
- 37 Q. Like a market survey.
- 38 A. Yes, it was a market survey.

KC 7D

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

- Q. How long did you do the cataloguing relating to the Darwin region.
- A. I think it was something like six months.
- Q. What was the next work that you did.
- 5 A. The next work that I did would have been or was
- 6 background research for our secret sacred men's objects 7 repatriation programme. That involved, again, putting
- 8 together a catalogue and doing a basic research of
- 9 sources that were in our archives and a literature
- 10 survey of things that related to those secret sacred 11 men's objects.
- 12 Q. What you are talking about there is looking at objects 13 which the museum already had.
- 14 A. Looking at objects that we already had, but, in some
- cases, we had no idea whether they were in the 15
- 16 restricted category or not. So, we have got something
- 17 like 30,000 Aboriginal and ethnographic items alone.
- 18 So, it involved quite a bit of physical work, as well as 19 searching records.
- 20 Q. What do you mean by `physical work'.
- 21 A. Physical work of going through the stores and tracking 22 down objects that could relate to that category and then 23 organising them into a new system.
- 24 Q. Were they objects from all over Australia, or from one 25 specific part.
- 26 A. They were predominantly objects from the northern 27 regions, but that encompassed the Kimberleys, right 28 through to Central Australia and Arnhem Land and 29 Northern Queensland.
- 30 Q. But, as I understand it, you weren't going out and 31
- collecting these; they were already there. It was
- 32 simply your job, if you could, to distinguish between those which were capable of being generally publicised 33
- 34 and those which could be categorised as secret sacred
- 35 objects.
- 36 A. That's right. The work was museum-based.
- 37 Q. In order to establish whether or not they should belong
- 38 to the restricted category, you referred to the existing

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

- l literature.
- 2 A. That was largely so. We did have the benefit of occasional anthropologists coming through. So, there

4 was a network out there, as well. But mainly going

- 5 through our own archives, our own records that came in
- 6 with the objects and compiling an object history for

7 each object, as far as possible.

- Q. Did you work on this project alone, or under someone's supervision.
- 10 A. I was supervised by Steve Hemming.
- 11 Q. He was already in the employment of the museum, was he not, when you started.
- 13 A. He was an acting curator, yes.
- 14 Q. How long did you work cataloguing these various objects.
- A. We had one grant and then that was extended. So, I
 imagine that work took roughly a year. The cataloguing of men's secret sacred objects.
- 18 Q. What was your next task.
- A. At that stage, a vacancy appeared in the museum, as a museum assistant. It was a permanent job. So, I took a
- 21 cut in pay, as a research officer, to enable myself to
- get into permanent employment. So, I was employed as a museum assistant.
- 24 Q. What work did that involve.
- 25 A. That involved much broader tasks involving
- administration, assisting in field work, answering
- enquiries, public enquiries, helping visiting
- 28 researchers, including Aboriginal people, when they came
- in. Broader tasks, but tasks that contributed to the -
- what we called the Australian Ethnology Section. This
- is before we had a Division of Anthropology.
- 32 Q. When you say assisting people who came in and
- particularly Aboriginal people with enquiries, as a
- museum assistant, you were not empowered to give them
- advice, or your interpretation of anything.
- 36 A. I was empowered to give advice of the general categories
- in terms of what were the registers, what I knew to be
- in the collection. So, I did have powers, at a general

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

- level, but it was expected that I would know where the where I would have to defer to a curator, if a high level of information was being sought.
- 4 Q. At what level did you consider you would have had to defer to a curator.
- A. If I had a, for example, phone call, which was from an organisation that we didn't have any prior arrangement with, I would collect the details and not move on their request, until I had spoken to the curator. And that is one example. So, certainly outside from the museum contact would have to be run past the curator.
- Q. If there was an existing prior arrangement with an organisation, was that reduced to written guidelines, so
 you knew what you could tell them and what you were not empowered to tell them.
- A. Yes, there would be a general understanding, if there was already a relationship, a joint working practice, of how I would proceed. And I would proceed within thosewith that general understanding that I had with the acting curator, at that time.
- Q. Of course, you would have been limited, in any event, by
 your own particular lack of expertise in certain areas,
 at that time, would you not.
- 24 A. In the museum we, were encouraged to be eclectic with 25 our expertise. I can't imagine where I would have received an enquiry that I wouldn't have been able to at 26 27 least fulfil at a basic level. If the inquiry came in about - which involved archaeology or human biology, I 28 29 would naturally have referred that on to the archaeology 30 staff. So, it is a matter of recognising who would be 31 the best person within the museum framework, in order to 32 redirect those type of enquiries.
- Q. Did you not consider, yourself, that you had certain limitations on what you could help people with.
- A. On the basis of the reading I was doing when I started there, my own particular research interests being the Aboriginal use of the environment, I quickly gained
- 38 expertise of at least one area. What we are asked to do

KC7D

- in the museum is often on a most basic level. So, someone who knows the basic texts in the library to refer to can get by. So, I did gain expertise, over a couple of years, quite quickly.
- Q. Was that something in your own estimation, or was there some sort of refereeing system within the museum structure, itself, where someone superior to you would indicate that you could now go a step further than basic material.
- 10 A. The fact that I had been classified as a research officer, when I was doing the initial research for the
- Darwin material and the secret sacred material shows
- that the museum considered that I was a researcher, in
- my own right. I mean, there is quite a broad area of
- 15 Australian anthropology, Aboriginal anthropology. There
- is certain basic knowledge that can be gleaned from
- basic texts and then people's own specific interests
- sort of take on from there. So, you know, very quickly,
- anything that was an enquiry that related to projects,
- such as the Darwin area and secret sacred material that
- I had already been working on, plus my own research
- interests, in terms of Aboriginal use of the
- environment, well, naturally, I would take on the major
- role in answering that. You know, answering that would
- entail showing it to other people in the division. I
- 26 might mention that Philip Jones was around when I
- 27 arrived. He also, to some extent, supervised my role
- within the division when it took when projects
- overlapped with the project that he was being employed on as a research officer.
- Q. What position did Philip Jones have, when you first started at the museum.
- 33 A. He was he had done one grant as a research assistant
- for Roger Dubers, going through the collections. He, at
- that stage, was commencing work on the proposal for a new gallery, which turned out to be the Ngurunderi
- 37 gallery.
- 38 Q. I don't think you answered the question; what was his

- 2 3
- P.A. CLARKE XXN
 (MS NELSON)
 position. You told me what he was doing, but not what his position was.

 A. I'm not sure exactly how he was defined. You would have to direct that question to him.
 CONTINUED

- 1 Q. After you finished cataloguing the secret sacred men's
- objects, you then became a Museum assistant. How long did you work as a Museum assistant.
- 4 A. When I became a Museum assistant, it was at a time when
- 5 the Museum and the public service at large was
- 6 redefining its structure. Again, I would have to refer
- to my employment docket in the Museum to come up with an exact date for that.
- 9 Q. Approximately will do.
- 10 A. It was possibly something up to about two years.
- 11 Q. By which time you had completed your Bachelor of Arts.
- 12 A. Yes. That sounds correct.
- 13 Q. What was your next position in the Museum.
- 14 A. When the public service had redefined the roles of
- various people in the Museum, out of that, I was
- 16 classified as Registrar of the Anthropological Division.
- 17 That also part of that was an upgrade of public
- 18 service position.
- Q. Whilst you were a Museum assistant, you have told us that you had a broader role and part of that involved assisting in field work.
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. For how much of that two year period did you actively assist in field work.
- assist in field work.
 A. There would have been a number of trips, some of them up
- to a week, a week and a half in length, so it's difficult to put a figure on it. But it would be, you
- 27 difficult to put a figure on it. But it would be, you know, maybe four or five weeks, six weeks of actual
- on-site field work for each year.
- 30 Q. Four to six weeks each year.
- 31 A. For one year it may have been higher. It's hard for me
- 32 to come back on figures which are you know, we are
- looking at sort of roughly ten years ago worth of field
- work. I could refer to my notebooks if you require a
- 35 more precise figure.
- 36 Q. Did you only assist Steve Hemming, as you told us in
- your evidence, or did you assist other people as well.
- 38 A. Yes. I embarked on some field work with Philip Jones up

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

in the Flinders Ranges region.

- Q. When you assisted Steve Hemming in his field work in this two year period that you were a Museum assistant, where did his field work take him.
- A. His field work at that stage was mainly around the LowerMurray and South East region of South Australia.
- 7 Q. Did you understand that he had a particular interest in that area.
- 9 A. Yes. We all had interests in the area because of the gallery.
- 11 Q. That may be so. Did you understand that he had a particular interest.
- 13 A. No. I think he had a fairly broad interest in the area.
 - 4 O. Which area.
- 15 A. In the Lower Murray, South East, or shall we call it theNgarrindjeri area.
- Q. Perhaps I put a bad question and I got the answer I
 deserved. Did you understand his interest in
- Aboriginal culture was in particular to that area as opposed to another area in Australia.
- 21 A. That's right. Yes, he was focused on the Ngarrindjeri area.
- Q. When you say that you assisted him in his field work,
 what did your assistance involve, what role did you
 play.
- A. There is the practical end of driving, you know, organising money for various reasons, sometimes for paying Aboriginal people as informants. Also, assisting him in the sense of identifying plants and taking on the recording of the Aboriginal use of the environment.
- Q. As I understand your evidence at that time, your own focus was on the cultural aspects of plants and animals.
- A. Yes. The `cultural aspects' being initially what plants and animals were being used and then, as the project
- developed more, recording the roles of plants and
- animals in mythological and other sorts of less in
- western European terms, less empirical function within
- 38 the culture.

- 1 Q. What do you mean by that.
- 2 A. I mean things that plants, for example, that may be used
- as food as distinct from plants that are believed to
- 4 have some, you know, curing property. But it's really
- 5 the ritual use of that plant that imparts the sort of
- 6 healing property rather than something that western
- Europeans would analyse and come up with some chemical basis of how those plants are used.
- 9 Q. So you would accompany Mr Hemming when he spoke to Aboriginal people in that area.
- 11 A. Yes. There were field trips to the Lower Murray with
- Philip Jones, but mainly Steve Hemming and I would accompany him, yes.
- 14 Q. Had you an opportunity to observe his interaction with those people.
- 16 A. I interacted with them as well and I observed his interaction, yes.
- 18 Q. From your observation, did he appear to have a good rapport with those people.
- A. Certain people he had quite a good rapport with. There were other people that were, you know, made it quite
- obvious they did not want to speak to the Museum and so we didn't put too much pressure on them.
- Q. From your experience, now that is often the position, isn't it, that you can establish a rapport with certain
- 26 members of the comment and not with others.
- A. Yes. By establishing rapport with certain members, sometimes in doing that you are automatically alienating yourself from other members of the community.
- Q. And there are times you are also encouraging other members to be a bit more forthcoming.
- 32 A. I'm sorry, I'm not sure what you mean there?
- 33 Q. I have in mind that you have to break the ice, so to
- speak, so that often you can establish a rapport with
- 35 some members and the more reticent members will become
- more communicative as you obtain a degree of trust.
- 37 A. Yes. It is a long process.
- 38 Q. You have to be very patient.

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. And in general terms from the work that you have done with Aboriginal communities as opposed to other communities, they are not people who are generally forthcoming to someone they don't know.
- A. There are difficulties with all generalisations. It's often the case of just being seen often enough in a community for Aboriginal people to get used to you can explain why you are there and any implications there might be for speaking to you. So it's a rather complex interaction. It's not a matter of breaking the ice.
- There are it's a whole sort dynamics of exchange
- between the researcher and the people that the
- researcher may want to get information from.
- Q. So, it's a lengthy process and one where you have to be
 seen frequently, where they have to trust you personally
 and have confidence in the institution you represent.
- A. All of those things. Although, in terms of the institution, often that becomes less important.
- Aboriginal people would rather, in many cases, you know,
- deal with the individual rather than necessarily set up a relationship with the institution and, therefore.
- a relationship with the institution and, therefore,
 speak to anyone from that institution who comes down.
- Q. In you don't have a personal rapport with some individuals, you are not going to get any information out of them that is relevant, are you.
- A. Not necessarily. You are talking about fairly normal field work techniques. With less normal techniques, you
- can get abundant information from people who are not formally handing you information as information. I
- mean, we are up to now, you have been talking about
- formal field work techniques whereby someone arrives and
- pulls out a tape recorder and then says: `Tell me
- everything you know'. There are other less intrusive
- field work techniques, and even to the extent of often
- 36 what people don't say. But the way they don't say can
- 37 still impart quite a bit of knowledge. Sometimes, it
- might be the actions of someone who doesn't particularly

- want to tell the anthropologist anything that may be interpreted by other members of the Aboriginal community who could then comment on what is going on. You know, I think you have a rather simplistic model of what field work is compared to. It's a lot more complicated.
- Q. You tell me what other techniques there are apart from direct communication.
- A. Participant observation. That means getting involved in the community through or in some cases any means available. By putting up a local display there during
 NADOC week that is, Natural Aborigines and Islander Day Observance Committee week that is one of several
- 13 Aboriginal functions that appear during the year. So,
- often just getting some involvement in supplying a
- school at a place like Point McLeay with resources,
- temporary displays and things, enables a researcher to
- be around and start to communicate and communicate with
- Aboriginal people on levels other than formal situations whereby information is asked and may be delivered.
- 20 Q. Do you mean in the course of social interaction.
- 21 A. That would include social interaction. Sometimes
- interaction that may involve some official function, but not a function that is necessarily one that is aimed
- towards the anthropologist eliciting information for the
- 25 purposes of a display or research project. The example
- that I gave of being involved in, say, the Aboriginal
 school down at Raukkana is one mechanism of gaining some
- 28 rapport with the Aboriginal people in that community.
- 29 CONTINUED

- 1 Q. Have you personally been involved in a NADOC display.
- A. Yes. I've probably put together a dozen or more NADOC
- displays over the years, ranging from places like Point McLeay, to other towns in the Lower Murray, to even
- 5 Places further afield in South Australia.
- Q. Similarly, have you been involved in displays orsomething similar at the school.
- 8 A. Yes, I have been involved, and I've been asked to put on.
- A display for cultural week in two weeks time. So it is a regular event for me to be rung up and asked to
- 12 contribute in any way possible with cultural functions involving the school.
- Q. It still comes back though, doesn't it, to your ability to communicate. That is a skill you need, isn't it, in your discipline.
- A. Yes. You've got to have a skill to communicate with a broad range of people, and you have got to have patience, yes.
- 20 Q. The quality and depth of the information you get may be a reflection of your own communication skills.
- 22 A. If you are talking about information about how
- contemporary people are living and how they are thinking
- and feeling, there is some truth in that. I mean, there
- are other sources of information. There is quite an extensive literature on contemporary Aboriginal
- experience. So I wouldn't say that it's the only way of
- tapping into that information, but it is certainly an important way of doing it.
- Q. The literature, of course, is based upon the author's ability to communicate.
- 32 A. Yes, and you've got a way of measuring how successful
- they are from your own field work and the field work of others.
- 35 Q. I have taken you off at a tangent. You were telling me
- that you became the registrar of the anthropology
- division. How long did you occupy that post.

- A. Again, I have difficulty coming up with a precise figure
 because -
- Q. I am not at any time asking you for a precise figure.
 An approximate figure will do for my purposes.
- 5 A. It was probably a year, maybe two years.
- 6 Q. What did that job entail.
- 7 A. The biggest part of that job would have been the organisation of our museum records into a computer
- 9 system the museum records relating to our Aboriginal
- family history project. That had to be computerized, as
- well as some records relating to our archives and our
- records relating to Aboriginal artefacts of Aboriginal
- people that we had in our collection. So that was
- actually work that was started before I was termed a
- registrar, but it is a function that I retained, even
- though I had other designations, right up until acouple of years ago.
- Q. What was your next position after you became registrar of anthropology.
- 20 A. Collection manager for the anthropology division.
- 21 Q. How long did you hold that post.
- 22 A. One to two years.
- 23 Q. What would that involve.
- 24 A. That was really a redefinition of the registrar's
- position that I had. It also would have involved a
- change in my level of public service position. It
- essentially was recognising my management function
- within the division of anthropology.
- 29 Q. What was your next position.
- 30 A. I was then made a curator of anthropology.
- 31 Q. When was that.
- 32 A. Roughly about four years ago.
- 33 Q. About 1990.
- 34 A. Somewhere in that vicinity, yes.
- 35 Q. Can you relate it to this: Was it before or after you
- 36 started your PhD.
- 37 A. It would have been during well, after yes, it would
- 38 have been after I started the PhD.

- 1 Q. Is that your present position.
- A. No. I've since been made senior curator.
- 3 Q. When was that.
- 4 A. That was in November of 1994.
- 5 Q. Last year.
- 6 A. That's right, 1994.
- Q. Whilst you worked as a curator, what did your workinvolve.
- 9 A. That was the time that Mr Steve Hemming had gone off,
- left the museum for 18 months or so. So I took on the
- bulk of his work, which, in particular, was the work
- that involved southern South Australia. I was already
- involved in working on a number of those projects, so I
- took over the running of his several existing projects,
- the ones that he didn't take with him that were left.
- And I also had supervising functions. Supervising two
- 17 Aboriginal women, for example.
- Q. What were the existing projects of Mr Hemming's that you took over.
- 20 A. The -
- Q. I don't really want the details of them. Just give mea general description.
- 23 A. They were display orientated functions.
- 24 Q. Display at the museum.
- 25 A. No well, yes, an upgrade of the Ngurunderi display was
- one function. There was also a display that we had been
- working on together involving the Murray Bridge area,
- and when he left I took over the sole running of that
- 29 particular display project. So that was a display that
- was going to go up at Murray Bridge.
- 31 Q. You have told us that you completed your thesis or your
- doctorate in 1994.
- 33 A. March. It was submitted in March 1994.
- Q. Then there is a period when it is examined.
- 35 A. That's correct.
- 36 Q. That takes some time.
- 37 A. Yes, it took some time.

- Q. But at some stage you were advised that you would be admitted to the doctorate.
- 3 A. That's right.
- 4 Q. Do you recall when you were advised that you would be admitted to the doctorate.
- 6 A. It would have been around about September or October 7 1994.
- 8 Q. Who were your examiners.
- 9 A. I had sorry, examiners?
- 10 Q. You have told us who your supervisors were, but who were your examiners.
- 12 A. I had a social anthropologist as an examiner and a
- cultural geographer. But normally it is not required
- that I even know who my examiners are. So I would
- 15 consider that information in that area I would have rights to withhold.
- 17 Q. Early in your evidence, some days ago now, you described
- the various periods, in a general sense, of Aboriginal
- history and you characterised the pre-European
- 20 occupation as one phase.
- A. No, that's not correct. I was are you talking about the phases on my Time Line?
- 23 Q. Yes.
- A. No, that's not correct. I was talking about the exploration phase.
- 26 Q. Is that prior to occupation.
- 27 A. No, no. Well, it could be. You can't have explorers in
- a region without, you know, Europeans coming into
- 29 contact with that region. It also included the sealers'
- 30 occupation of Kangaroo Island and, even when South
- 31 Australia was settled, they were still exploring the
- landscape in terms of overland routes and tracks and
- water holes and that type of thing.
- 34 Q. If I can adopt the phrase `the exploration phase', is
- 35 that prior to the settlement of South Australia.
- 36 A. No, it's not.
- 37 Q. When do you date that from.

- 1 A. I date it from the first sighting of the coastline of
- 2 South Australia to several years after settlement,
- 3 European English settlement in Adelaide and Encounter4 Bay.
- 5 Q. Prior to the first sighting of the South Australian coast, it is your understanding, isn't it, that the
- 7 recording of Aboriginal history has been oral.
- 8 A. Sorry, I can't understand that. The recording of Aboriginal history is oral?
- 10 Q. The Aboriginal people, prior to the first sighting of 11 the South Australian coast, were an illiterate people, 12 were they not.
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. So that, in order to hand down any folklore or history,it was by word of mouth from one person to another.
- 16 A. That's correct. The landscape though has a role. The proof the evidence of a lot of what would be handed
- down would be surrounding Aboriginal people. It's not a
- matter of saying the information was just conveyed
- 20 through lots of Aboriginal people experienced the
- 21 landscape and received information through an oral
- tradition that explained that landscape and their role
- within the landscape and the cosmos.
- 24 COMSR
- Q. I know I keep repeating this, but if you feel that any of your answers are going to encroach, as it were, upon section 35, would you be able to indicate that to me.
- 28 A. Yes, I will indicate it.
- 29 XXN
- 30 Q. As I understand what you are saying, there is an oral
- tradition, but there is one constant in that, which is
- the landscape itself, which doesn't change.
- 33 A. The landscape is changing. It is an evolving thing as
- much as Aboriginal culture is changing, and there are
- basic elements in the landscape, such as Rocky hills and
- things that may not be moving, but, particularly in an
- area like the Lower Murray, we are looking at massive

- 1 change within generations just a couple of generations 2 of people.
- Q. But what you do know is that the Aboriginal community had no means of putting a record of their cultural knowledge in any durable way.
- A. Their rock art is one example, whereby symbols which appear on even appear on trees and things, but there are symbols that are painted onto rock shelters and are there for some level of interpretation by generations.
- I am not putting that forward as a major way that
- information was conveyed, but you seem to be pushing
- towards me to say that there is an oral tradition and
- that was the only tradition. I am just saying it is a
- little bit more complicated than that. Although, I
- accept that interaction between people, particularly
- during dance ceremonies and things, were occasions when
- information could be handed on laterally, downwards,
- whatever, with some degree of modification.
- Q. But even rock symbols, although there is something which is pictorial, described in an enduring form, need the interpreter. The significance of the symbol requires oral interpretation, does it not.
- A. Yes, and oral interpretation doesn't come just from within the group. We are looking at a situation where
- 25 Aboriginal cultural groups are moving across the
- landscape in relation to environmental and other social
- factors. So you have got new traditions coming into new
- areas and supplanting themselves and, in some cases,
- 29 incorporating other elements. It is not just a matter
- of receiving information from an elder of one's own
- 31 group. There is quite a bit of information that is
- being exchanged at ceremonies and things.
- 33 Q. Is it fair to say that interpretations and
- re-interpretations are inevitable in any tradition, but
- perhaps particularly an oral tradition.
- 36 A. That's right.
- 37 Q. Because, as I understand your evidence, in the
- 38 traditional past of an Aboriginal community -

- A. I don't recognise a traditional past. I would say, if
 you have read part of my statement about mission
 cultures, that contemporary culture has traditions just
 like earlier cultures had tradition. So I don't accept
 That you would put forward traditional culture as
 pre-European as distinct from everything else.
- Q. Because tradition is an evolutionary process. It is not simply something that has happened in the past.
- 9 A. I wouldn't say it is evolutionary. That implies that there are better forms coming out of weaker forms.
- 11 Q. I will withdraw that word. It is a changing process.
- 12 A. It is a changing process, but the rate of change can 13 change as well, depending on what the social 14 environmental factors are.
- Q. So there is a change in tradition that reflects the
 attitude of a particular community from time to time,
 and community values and that sort of thing.
- A. These changes come about in fairly complex ways and may not even be acknowledged by communities of there having been a change. So it really depends on what level of change you are talking about. There can be deep sort of structural changes, and there can be relatively minor changes or realignments of facts making up a particular tradition.
- Q. Perhaps you could just explain to me if it is possible,
 in general terms, the mechanism by which that change takes place.
- A. In the context of the Lower Murray and I'm steering well away from things cultural, because I am aware of the problems with Section 35 but such an event as small pox, for example, which wiped out a significant number of people it is difficult to know how many, but
- number of people it is difficult to know how many, but maybe we are looking at 60, 70, 80 per cent of Lower
- Murray people during those two waves of small pox that would have put a lot of pressure on the survivers of
- that episode in terms of shortening their mortuary
- 37 rituals and other practices which were really based on
- a much larger number of people. Clan boundaries and

- 1 things like that, you would also expect to be modified 2 from time to time, depending on the particular fortunes 3 or misfortunes of particular groups within the Lower Murray. So I see it as something that is changing, but 5 the broader - the deeper parts of the culture, in terms 6 of their relationship with the dreaming, would be 7 something that wouldn't change - wouldn't as readily 8 change. There would be modification in terms of some of 9 the facts in the dreaming, but not necessarily to the 10 main dreaming itself, particularly if a dreaming story
- main dreaming itself, particularly if a dreaming story such as Ngurunderi is so heavily imbedded in the identity of Lower Murray people.
- identity of Lower Murray people.O. The interpretation by a community of cer
- Q. The interpretation by a community of certain matters can also change, can it not.
- A. I wouldn't agree that a community would have an 15 16 interpretation as a community on many of the aspects of say a dreaming story. You would find that, although 17 18 the general story line would be adhered to by everybody, 19 there would be various forces within the community to 20 say that such and such an event happened here, rather 21 than other clan groups within the Lower Murray who 22 would disagree and say that it happened in their area.
- Q. So there would be varying interpretations within a community from time to time.
- A. Varying interpretations of the detail of a myth within that sort of broader community, but the myth would still be readily identifiable throughout the community.
- Q. Earlier in your evidence you talked about re-writing history. You said, in effect, you were engaging in that yourself.
- A. I should qualify that re-writing could be taken two
 ways. I used it in the context of introducing new
 evidence that had been gained in a scientific approach
 and, therefore, I was improving an existing history
 which had not accounted for the facts that came about
 through my empirical exercise. So that is what I was
- referring to. In a sense, I was editing history rather than coming up with a totally new paradigm in history.

14 15

16

- 1 I was introducing facts that I am arguing have not been 2 accounted for. But I don't say that the facts that I 3 come up with are necessarily all the facts that might relate to the general issue, the general area I'm 5 writing in. But I was not re-writing in the sense of dismissing facts that other historians had already come 6 7 up with. My re-editing, which is a better way of 8 looking at it, was incorporating the existing facts and 9 adding to those facts, and then coming up with what I consider a more informed narrative. It doesn't imply 10 11 that I reject the facts that other historians had come 12 up with for their particular treatment. 13
 - Q. But you said, I think, that they used the past and recorded the past selectively because of their own particular perception of what was significant and what was not.
- A. Yes. The particular historian that I mentioned, with the benefit of more facts available, I think that criticism of mine was valid. Had he had the benefit of reading my work, then, of course, his account would have been quite different. So it is a fact of life that
- We all stand on the shoulders of people who have worked before us.
- 24 ADJOURNED 1.01 P.M.

- RESUMING 2.23 P.M.
- XXN
- 3 Q. When we adjourned, we were talking about oral tradition.
- Do you agree that, generally speaking, oral tradition is
- 5 transmitted vertically from one generation to another.
- 6 A. No, I don't.
- Q. Why is that.
- 8 A. Because the information can be and often is preserved
- laterally. It's not just a movement down a lineage from
- 10 one level to another, although that would be a primary
- 11 movement. But even having said that, it would not
- 12 necessarily be from father to son, or mother to
- 13 daughter. There are combinations such as grandmothers
- 14 to granddaughters, uncles to nephews, depending on what
- 15 the information is. But, in secret sacred information,
- 16 it is more likely to be discussed among men who have, in
- 17 the case of male secret sacred information, who have
- 18 already reached a certain initiation status. I'm
- 19 talking in terms of the Central Australian material.
- 20 Q. I simply had in mind in an older generation to a younger
- 21 generation. Logically, it seems to me that that must
- 22 have been the major process of transmission; do you 23 agree with that.
- 24 A. If you are talking about net movements of information,
- 25 that could well be the case. Even there, you have got
- 26 information coming in from different areas, so I don't
- 27 want to put forward a simplistic model that things are 28 being, you know, just moving in one direction.
- 29
- Q. But it is a teaching and a learning process.
- 30 A. A process whereby even the teachers are gaining more 31 knowledge of the dreaming as its being revealed to them.
- 32 Q. Revealed to them by what or by whom.
- 33 A. Revealed to them by their own, well, dreams in some
- 34 cases. Revealed to them through talking to other senior
- 35 people, that type of process.
- 36 Q. That suggests to me that there is a continuing process
- 37 of acquisition of knowledge.
- 38 A. Acquisition and transference, checks being in place for

- 1 certain information, those checks being explored, the
- landscape changing. That you know, that could 2
- 3 initiate certain events that senior people in a culture
- would have to come to terms with when we are talking 5 about a whole complex of interactions, so.
- Q. Aboriginal beliefs are related to the landscape to a large extent, are they not.
- A. Aboriginal beliefs? In what part of their beliefs are 8 are you talking about?
- Q. Any parts of their beliefs, their traditional beliefs. 10
- 11 A. The type of traditional beliefs? I've said that there
- 12 are old traditions, new traditions. What part of their 13 beliefs are you talking about?
- Q. I don't want to go too far down that track.
- A. I make the point that you are asking a question that 15 16 doesn't have a simple answer, because the nature of
- 17 their knowledge is fairly diverse. 18 **COMSR**
- 19 Q. I think Miss Nelson might be concerned about going into 20 detail which is going to get us in problems.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 XXN
- 23 Q. I'm happy to come back and cover it in more depth when I 24
- apply to have the court closed to the public, as I will,
- 25 of necessity, have to do at some stage. So I'll leave
- 26 that topic. It seems to me that your evidence as a
- 27 whole suggests that there is a passing on of knowledge
- 28 within a community from time to time, generally from the
- 29 more senior people to the younger people in the
- 30 community. Now, do you agree with that so far.
- 31 A. Yes, so far.
- 32 Q. It also seems to me that you suggest that there will be
- 33 some differences within an individual community in terms
- 34 of the perceptions of different factions of that
- 35 community.
- 36 A. Depends how we are defining `community'. If we define
- 37 it as all the Lower Murray people in a pre-European type
- 38 situation, the answer is yes.

25

26

27

- Q. Then, there is the perception of the person transmitting 2 that piece of knowledge which may affect what is told 3 and how it is told and the interpretation of certain
- A. An individual could reveal different parts of a story, 5 6 depending on who they are talking to, what time of their 7 life, or, indeed, the local politics that they are 8 immersed in at that time.
- 9 Q. There may be an interpretation of the material by the 10 receiver of the material which reveals some sort of, 11 sort of subjective perception on his or her part, maybe 12
- 13 A. The receiver of that information, to a large extent, has 14 already had their world view shaped by that culture. So that would, that shaping or a precondition, if you like, 15 16 of that person before receiving the information would 17 tend towards acting as a check towards change that was 18 outside of, you know, the general beliefs of that 19 cultural group.
- 20 Q. Information received by an observer or a recorder of 21 that information outside of an Aboriginal community 22 would be interpreted as against the cultural background 23 of that observer, would it not.
- 24 A. I'm sorry, you will have to run that past me again.
 - Q. I'll try again. When you or anyone else go along to someone and receive information, it's a question and answer process basically, isn't it, however you do it.
- A. No, it's not. Much of the information in my thesis that 28 29 comes about through participating and observing. 30 Participating in the sense that, or to a degree whereby
- 31
- I've become part of the woodwork in the situation, if
- 32 you put it that way, and that I'm - I am an observer
- 33 pretty much along the lines of Aboriginal people who are
- 34 also observing certain events. However, I recognise
- 35 that my anthropological training would - with
- 36 anthropology as a tradition and having an outsider's
- 37 perspective would lead me to come out of a situation
- 38 where I'm observing cultural activity with a different

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

- perspective than the people participating, or other
 observers who are Aboriginal and who are in the vicinity
 of that cultural action.
- 4 COMSR
- Q. What you have been discussing, that is all covered in published anthropological work.
- 7 A. Yes. There is quite a bit of material on that.
- 8 XXN
- Q. Your approach to everything and, indeed, everyone's
 approach is against your own cultural background, isn't
 it.
- 12 A. No, I don't see there being a dichotemy of contemporary 13 Aboriginal culture versus - or, in my case, middle-class

white culture. As I stated and laid out in my

statement, contemporary Aboriginal culture is as much a

product of its pre-European forms as it is in terms of

- that contemporary culture being a product of its
- interaction with Europeans over the last, roughly, 200
- 19 years. We cannot look at contemporary Aboriginal
- 20 culture without recognising and considering the
- 21 interaction that it's had and the influence that it's
- had from its non-Aboriginal forces that have helped to shape that culture.
- Q. That may be right, but you also, as a person with certain views and beliefs, have been shaped by the tradition in which you have been brought up; isn't that a fact.
- A. There is one of several factors that would influence my
- 29 perception of what is going on. The fact that I
- personally have access through my marriage to an
- 31 Aboriginal woman of that group, I have access to an
- insider's interpretation of that culture is something
- else that I can take account of. I'm not saying that I
- incorporate those views as objective anthropological
- data, but I would incorporate those views as cultural
- artefacts which are worthy of being part of the raw data
- 37 that I would utilise to come up with a model of what is
- 38 happening.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

Q. Is it fair comment to say that some of the earlier
 recordings of Aboriginal culture and tradition suffer
 from the cultural attitudes of the people who recorded

4 it.

- 5 A. In general terms, that's a fair statement.
- Q. In fact, considerable criticism has been levelled by
 more contemporary anthropologists at those people who
 did record or purport to record, say, in the 19th
 century.
- 10 A. There are good examples and bad examples of 11 ethnographers from last century. I would hesitate to 12 put all recorders of Aboriginal culture in that same 13 category. However, even knowing that some recorders 14 have particular biases, we are, through recognising 15 those possible biases and the data today, able to some 16 extent to take that into account and use their data, you 17 know, to shed light on some cultural behaviour that we might be studying. 18
- 19 Q. That recognition of bias is a relatively recent recognition, is it not.
- 21 A. How recent are you talking about?
- 22 Q. Say, within the last 40 years.
- A. Previously, anthropologists tended to study quite
 different aspects of Aboriginal culture, so much of the
 writing today is, in terms of Aboriginal culture,
 looking at quite different aspects. So, it is difficult
 to compare too closely the anthropologists who were
- working earlier this century who were intent to describing as much as possible a pre-European Aboriginal
- 30 culture, whereas work from around the Second World War
- onwards tended to, particularly after the Berndts' field
- work in the Lower Murray, but work tended towards
- 33 looking at Aboriginal people as they were being
- incorporated into society based in rural towns and
- missions, and Adelaide itself. So, would have got different phases of the literature. I would hesitate to
- different phases of the literature. I would hesitate to compare them too closely in terms of who is right and
- who is wrong. I don't think that is the issue.

- Q. I didn't put that forward as the issue. I was
- 2 suggesting there were certain deficiencies in earlier
- anthropological recordings because of the attitudes of the people recording the information.
- 5 A. I would go the opposite way and say that in some cases,
- the first ethnographers came up with perhaps better records for some aspects of Aboriginal culture precisely
- because they were people who were not heavily embraced
- 9 by a particular discipline. Observers and some of the
- early missionaries are in this category whereby their
- records are simple observations without too much theory
- being, or that data being embedded in theory. They have
- come up with data that today is quite useful for
- re-analysis.
- Q. But the early observers were men whom you wouldn't
 expect them to have access to any knowledge of secret
 women's business.
- 18 A. Some of the early observers were women. And also those
- men had female relatives who were observing for them; in
- 20 particular, George Taplin refers to observations that
- his wife made. You can have access to those in the Taplin journals.
- Q. The Reverend George Taplin was a missionary and he established Point McLeay Mission Station in 1859.
- 25 A. That's right, he was a missionary.
- Q. He was concerned to convert the Aborigines to Christianity, was he not.
- 28 A. That's correct.
- Q. He had certain attitudes towards their society and aspects of it which he considered to be immoral.
- A. There were some aspects of that society he considered to be against his ultimate aim of Christian conversion.
- Q. Would you agree with this comment: That he did his best to destroy Aboriginal culture as a living culture.
- 35 A. I think that's a generalisation that I would hesitate to
- make. It's a definitive statement and I don't think all
- of his actions would be explained by it.
- Q. It's a definitive statement made by Catherine Berndt in

- this book that was tendered `Women, Rites and Sites' in the chapter she wrote which is entitled `Retrospect and Prospect, Looking Back Over 50 years'. I take it you don't agree with that.

 A. It's a matter of emphasis, it is not a matter of whether I agree or disagree.

 Q. She went on to say: `Its place' that is Aboriginal culture `As he saw it was in the past surviving an
- culture `As he saw it was in the past surviving an only written account of what had be done there before it was replaced by Christianity'. I would have thought from the evidence you have given about the changing of tradition and interpretation that you would perhaps have agreed with that.
- 13 A. If you are talking in a general sense about their 14 culture being to do with initiations and if you were, as 15 16 Taplin obviously did, trying to convert their 17 mythological or helping in the conversion of 18 Ngarrindjeri mythology into a Christian mythology, you 19 are correct. But there are also other aspects of their 20 culture that Taplin was actively engaged in in a collector of ethnographic data was in the area of him
- 21 recording; and part of his personal fortune of becoming 22 23 being able to talk to knowledgeable people. So, his 24 ultimate aim being one of Christian conversion for all 25 the Aboriginal people is quite clear, but I don't think 26 that, for example, that he was so against the religion 27 that he couldn't record it. So, against the culture 28 that he didn't, couldn't record it - I mean, his records 29
- of Ngarrindjeri culture are very important. They are a crucial part of the evidence for certain practices that we do have today.
- Q. Nevertheless, he ignores some aspects of culture, does he not.
- 34 A. What aspects?
- 35 OBJECTION Mr Abbott objects on the ground that 36 the witness should be shown the book and 37 be able to read the whole text being 38 quoted.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

1 EXHIBIT PRODUCED TO WITNESS

- Q. I was asking you some questions about Taplin. He was a missionary there for some 20 years, wasn't he.
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. I was suggesting to you that his recording of Aboriginal
- 6 culture in that area is significant in what it does not
- 7 record.
- 8 A. That's a suggestion that until you come forth with more
- 9 information, I couldn't agree with.
- 10 CONTINUED

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

- Q. To start with, he doesn't record aspects of the women's 2 area in the religious ceremonies, does he.
- A. Part of his work was, in one volume that he published, a series of surveys that he sent around all over South

5 Australia, but he also filled in that survey, as it

6 related to the Lower Murray area. And, if my memory

7 serves me correct, and I can check this, if I am

- 8 allowed, part - one of those questions did refer to 9 ceremonies.
- 10 Q. It is suggested, by some of the more contemporary 11 ethnographers or anthropologists, that the work of those 12 earlier recorders of Aboriginal culture is defective,
- 13 because their own perception of the role of Aboriginal
- 14 women is contaminated by their perception of the role of 15 women in European society.
- 16 A. Who are those ethnographers who suggested that, 17 contemporary?
- 18 Q. I think I suggest to you that Faye Gale makes that 19 observation.
- 20 A. Faye Gale's paper utilises the information gathered from 21 a man, Edward John Eyre, from the Mid Murray. She used 22 information, gathered from a male ethnographer, to 23 modify her model, or the model that she perceives in

24

relation to the role of Aboriginal women.

25 Q. That is certainly true; and what she says is this, if 26 you would like to look at p.124 of that book, Exhibit 27 11, at the fourth paragraph `Other observers with more

28 opportunity to obtain further details than Sturt could

- 29 have done also give us little insight into the position
- 30 of women or of their religious or ceremonial function. 31 Undoubtedly the fact that all the early observers were
- 32 men would have prevented them from exploring much of the
- 33 secret life of women, but, as Eyre has demonstrated,
- 34 there is much that men could have observed had they
- 35 cared to do so. We can thus only assume that the early
- 36 British inhabitants of the southern areas of South
- 37 Australia had cultural blinkers that prevented them from
- 38 seeing what was in front of their very eyes. It is

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

- undoubtedly this cultural blindness that has remained until the present-day rather than the mere fact of the maleness of the observers.' Do you accept that, as an appropriate view.
- 5 A. I would accept the general points that she has made.
- Q. And she goes, at p.125, to comment that even when women did begin to write about Aboriginal people, they didn't seem to be seeing any more than did their white male counterparts. Do you see that, in the fourth paragraph there.
- 11 A. Sorry, what paragraph?
- 12 Q. P.125, I have described it as the fourth paragraph. The
- line beginning `Unfortunately none of the earliest
- writers were women, however even when women did begin to
- write about Aboriginal people in southern South
- Australia they did not seem to see any more than did
- their white male counterparts. In fact, most
- fieldworkers in the second part of the 19th century in
- 19 the southern part of the State were missionaries or
- 20 "philanthropists". Their concern was more with
- 21 "rescuing" Aboriginal women than with recording their 22 "pagan" beliefs.'
- A. Nevertheless they did record those beliefs. So, there must have been interest in providing Aboriginal beliefs
- 25 that they had observed providing accounts of those to
- the broader public of South Australia as well as the
- 27 growing academic anthropological disciplines based in
 - the northern hemisphere.
- Q. Faye Gale makes that concession, though, does she not,
 in that paragraph where she says that `Mrs Smith gives
- 31 some insight into areas not recorded by the male
- 32 ethnographers of the time.' Do you see that sentence.
- 33 A. I see that sentence, and I am quite familiar with
- Christina Smith. That is the `Mrs James Smith' that is
- 35 listed here. Her real name is Christina Smith. I am
- quite familiar with her records and I am not sure that
- she is quite as unique as is being suggested in this
- 38 paragraph.

28

- Q. You see, isn't the point that Faye Gale is making this;
 that Mrs Smith, and the other female early writers, do
 not discuss Aboriginal people, or record their tradition
 from a cultural or traditional viewpoint.
- 5 A. I am sorry, I have lost the point again. You are saying that Christina Smith is unique; is that what you are saying?
- Q. No, I am not saying that, at all. What I am suggesting is that Faye Gale, in this article, is making the point that, first of all, the early writers, male or female, had cultural blinkers, which prevented them from seeing certain aspects of Aboriginal tradition. Therefore, it is not recorded.
- is not recorded.
 A. I am saying that, although those cultural blinkers are
 there, it is more a matter of how the emphasis is placed
 in the writing, rather than suggesting that those
- 17 cultural blinkers would make whole Aboriginal practices18 invisible.
- Q. Are you saying that Mrs Christina Smith and writers of
 that era did, in fact, record, particularly from the
 point of view of women, accurately cultural practices
 and beliefs.
- A. I would say they are no more in general, no more accurate or in error than their male counterparts.
- Q. And their male counterparts, because of their own
 cultural standpoint, did not accurately record because
 they left out certain aspects Aboriginal culture and
 tradition: is that not the point that is being made.
- A. I mean, you are assuming, of course, that it is possible for a researcher to come in and record a whole culture.
- Culture is such a big and diverse thing, that it is not
- 32 possible for anyone to come in and record every aspect
- in detail. So, we have to rely on people's attempts at
- coming up with an overview of that culture. And,
- initially, much of the emphasis was in terms of language
- and what is described as manners and customs, because
- people were interested in those aspects of Aboriginal
- culture that would either inhibit or, in other cases,

- 1 make easy their Chirstianisation. So, I would say that
- 2 firm bodies of knowledge relating to secret sacred
- women's business would have been recorded, because they
- 4 would have been considered that would have been
- 5 considered necessary data for the first wave of
- 6 ethnographers who were the German missionaries. It
- 7 would have been crucial knowledge towards them
- understanding the culture enough to then gain theirChirstianisation process.
- 10 Q. The primary agenda was to convert these people to Christianity; isn't that your understanding.
- 12 A. No, not entirely. That was part of it. They also -
- there would also have been an attempt to create, out of
- the indigenous population, a working class, which could
- then be incorporated into the economy as a labour force.
- So, I mean, they had practical requirements for the
- study. It wasn't all just interests of men in their
- spare time. There was a practical need for doing these studies.
- 20 Q. Apart from creating a workforce, the significance, as you saw it, of them gaining some understanding into,
- let's say, secret women's business, sacred secret
- women's business, would be in order to understand it in
- order, basically, to eliminate it, because that would
- 25 hasten the conversion to Christianity.
- A. We are talking theoretically, of course, here, because I am arguing there wasn't secret sacred women's business in the Lower Murray, but -
- 29 Q. At all.
- 30 A. But there may well have been aspects of that that they
- may have wanted to encourage. I mean, we are dealing
- with a hypothetical situation here.
- 33 Q. Is it your position that there never existed, in the
- Lower Murray, any sacred secret women's business.
- A. On the basis of all the information we have, to this point, I would have to say, yes.
- 37 Q. Do you think you have got all the information.
- 38 A. In my thesis, I refer to over 500 works. It would be

14

15

16

17

- rather bold of me to suggest there wasn't one or two pieces of literature still out there. However, I consider that I have covered all the major sources of ethnographic information at present known to exist in relation to the Lower Murray.
- 6 Q. This is all information that has been recorded by someone else.
- 8 A. In my thesis, two whole chapters consist of my own ethnographic material, plus significant chunks of other chapters, as well. So, I include myself as an ethnographer on the basis of all the field work I have done through my work history that you outlined for me earlier.
 - Q. Do you accept that, with the influx of European I hesitate to use the word `civilisation', given the current state of affairs in the world. I will use the word occupation, disrupted the transmission of knowledge in the Lower Murray
- in the Lower Murray.
 A. The effects of European intrusion, if we call that, into
 the Lower Murray was felt differently in different
- regions, just because of the process by which Europeans entered. However, there were phases of that European
- entered. However, there were phases of that European absorption of the Lower Murray that would not
- necessarily have negated the possibility of preEuropean
- type information being passed on. I am thinking now of
- 26 the period in which the Lower Murray was dominated by
- 27 large stations and that Aboriginal people were,
- particularly around the lower lake, given free range
- 29 over some of the larger stations to camp in areas
- whereby which did relate to areas where they had
- 31 camped in preEuropean times. However, as I have stated,
- by the time of the 20th century, the European absorption
- of the landscape was at a phase whereby the Closer
- 34 Settlement Act broke up most of the big stations in the
- as early part. Aboriginal people, through the Aborigines
- 36 Act of 1911, were forced on to stations. And, later,
- from about the 1920s through to the 1940s, the river
- 38 system was drastically altered through, first of all,

- locks being placed on the river and then the barrages
- which were completed in about sort of 1940. Does that answer your question?
- 4 Q. Partly, but you earlier spoke of the small pox epidemic.
- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you said that your belief is that perhaps up to 80% of the population perished, as a result of that.
- 8 A. That's right.
- 9 Q. It follows then, doesn't it, that there would have been fewer people who had the knowledge, able to pass it on.
- 11 A. Are you talking about statistically fewer people, or fewer people, in total?
- 13 Q. Let's leave statistics out of this.
- 14 A. No, it is important in the fact that, obviously, if you
- have got a smaller population, you don't need as many
- people with that knowledge to keep passing it on, but, if you have got a larger population -
- 18 Q. But you have got fewer recipients, too.
- 19 A. That's correct, yes.
- 20 Q. The reason I suggest you keep statistics out of it is
- because I don't understand any statistical data to have been collected, at that time.
- 23 A. Sorry, at what time?
- 24 Q. When the small box epidemic went through.
- A. No, we have only got the estimates that archeologists could provide.
- 27 Q. Based on those estimates, is it your view that it would
- follow, of necessity, that there were fewer people who were the custodians of traditional knowledge, able to pass it on.
- 31 A. Is this after the small pox?
- 32 O. Yes.
- 33 A. Initially that would have been the case, I would
- imagine, yes.Q. Then is it the case that the intrusion of the
- missionary, to some extent, affected the transmission of
- 37 cultural beliefs.
- 38 A. If you are talking about the first missionary, H.A.E.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

- 1 Meyer, I don't think he would have had much impact. His
- 2 mission was, at that stage, essentially on the frontier
- 3 at Encounter Bay. He was there very early, from the
- late 1830s to the first years of the 1840s, he was
- 5 teaching the Aboriginal people basic skills and, through
- 6 that, he recorded manners and customs and language. The
- 7 number of people passing through his school were
- 8 probably a very small percentage of the Lower Murray

9 people who were alive, at that stage.

- 10
- Q. What about those who followed Mr Meyer. A. There is a big gap after Meyer when George Taplin 11
- 12 arrives on the scene setting up the mission, as you have
- 13 already stated, in 1859. By that time, Aboriginal
- 14 people had become or many of them had incorporated
- 15 themselves into things like the fishing industry,
- 16 cutting wood for paddle steamers and Aboriginal people
- 17 were being employed to help with the harvest. So,
- 18 throughout Taplin's lifetime - and he died in 1879 -
- 19 again, he only had a small percentage of the total Lower
- 20 Murray population, at any one time on his mission. And
- 21 he had very little coercive powers, in terms of keeping
- 22 them on the mission. That, of course, changed a lot
- 23 after his death in the advent of the 20th century.
- 24 Q. It is true, is it not, that Taplin, notwithstanding his
- 25 missionary work, was one of the earlier recorders of 26 Aboriginal cultural beliefs.
- 27 A. No, I would consider people like George French Angas and
- 28 Richard Penney and Meyer being more important in the 29 sense of recording a preEuropean type culture. By that
- 30 I mean people who are moving around the landscape more
- 31 or less at will without being overly restricted by
- 32 European land acquisition. So, all of those people I
- 33 have mentioned relate to the late 1830s/1840s. It is a
- 34 big jump then to George Taplin in 1859.
- 35 Q. But, apart from the fact of European land acquisition,
- 36 which would have inhibited the ordinary living habits of the
- 37 Aborigines, the impact of the missionary was significant,
- 38 was it not, in disturbing their usual way of life.

RF7J

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

- A. No. It is quite clear, through the Taplin journal, that 2 Aboriginal people took advantage of the resources that 3 the mission had, to drop in when they were on the way 4 from say Mundoo Island through to Wellington or down the 5 Coorong. So the fluctuation in the population level of 6 Point McLeay was enormous, just because there was quite 7 a bit of activity going on and people were more or less 8 using it as a roadside cafe, in the sense they were
- 9 stopping there for a while, sometimes engaging in 10 cultural activities, such as bartering and settling

11 disputes, and then they would move on.

- 12 Q. Were Taplin's observations confined to what he observed 13 at the Point McLeay Missionary station.
- A. Yes, largely. Later on in the period, when he was at 14 Point McLeay, he sent some trained Aboriginal preachers 15 16 out to Mundoo Island and down the Coorong, but he tended 17 to restrict himself to the mission, and his records 18 largely refer to events on the mission property or 19 neighbouring properties.
- 20 Q. To that extent then, there were certain inherent 21 inhibitions upon the type of knowledge that he acquired, 22 were there not.
- 23 A. With such a wide diversity of Lower Murray people 24 passing through, I would say that, even being in one 25 spot, he was still being exposed to variation, cultural 26 variation, from within the extended Lower Murray 27 community. He was also interacting with George Mason, 28 particularly early on, who was established at 29 Wellington.
- 30 Q. Faye Gale suggests that reading the work of Taplin 31 teaches us little about the religious and ceremonial 32 roles of Aboriginal women. I will take you to the 33 precise reference, if it is necessary. But if you 34 accept that is an accurate observation of what he says, 35 do you subscribe to that view or not.
- 36 A. I would subscribe to it as far as saying that he never 37 probed too deeply in any particular aspect of the
- 38 culture. What he provides is an overview, and, as an

- 1 overview, it is quite useful. But he was not a trained 2 anthropologist. He was a lay anthropologist or he was an ethnographer, a collector of data.
- Q. Looking at p.126 of Faye Gale's article `Roles 5 Revisited', she says in the last paragraph 'However, we 6 learn little about the religious and ceremonial roles of 7 Aboriginal women. Taplin leaves us with the same 8 impression that most ethnographers, until very recently, 9 have given, namely, that women were largely irrelevant 10 in the whole religious spiritual sphere. Indeed, as one 11 seeking to convert the people away from their own 12 religious beliefs and practices, he saw the women, whom he assumed had less religious involvement, as easier
- 13 14 targets than the men.' Do you agree with that

15 observation.

- 16 A. I think she has probably put too much emphasis on it, in that, although Taplin deals with male initiations to 17 18 some extent, it is in no way the same sort of detail 19 that the Berndts provided. But I would accept, as a 20 general point, that Taplin himself had more luck - if 21 that's the word - with Aboriginal women. That may well 22 have been through the role of his wife or - it is very 23 hard to actually draw much out of that actual quote.
- 24 Q. I thought that the point that Faye Gale was making was 25 this: That until very recently, ethnographers have not, 26 for various reasons, recorded or obtained much 27 information, if any, about the religious and ceremonial 28 roles of Aboriginal women.
- 29 A. And until recently, that covers the Berndt period as 30 well, does it? Because I would find that -
- 31 Q. I include that because Faye Gale is making this 32 observation, is she not, in 1989. That's when this book 33 was published.
- 34 A. We don't have an abundance of knowledge about 35 initiations and religious and ceremonial business if we 36 take the Berndts out of the equation. We have got an indication of some of the terminology and some of the 37

38 mechanics of the initiations and the fact that they

- existed, but in terms of anthropology that's why the Berndts record is so important, just because that detail is in there in relation to the Lower Murray.
- 4 Q. Why is it that until we get to Berndts, which is the early 1940s, we don't have that knowledge.
- 6 A. Anthropology has gone through many phases since it sort of came about in the 1850s and the 1860s, and it would 8 have taken a while in this century to recognise that 9 there were still knowledgeable informants about the 10 Taplin period. There was an enormous push from lay 11 anthropologists or data collectors to go out and collect 12 all the data that was about, and then things tended to 13 lapse a bit, with the assumption that there were no more 14 - and I use the word with reservation - traditional
- people, that is, people who the anthropologists would regard as pre-European in terms of their world view. So
- the Berndts came along, as did Tindale, and found that
- there were some knowledgeable informants, and then started another period of field work just prior to the
- Second World War, and, in the case of the Berndts,through the Second World War.
- Q. Is this what you are saying, that the information about traditional beliefs is restricted, first of all, by the number of informants that are available, or whether there are any informants available.
- A. It would only take a few informants, if they were widespread through the Lower Murray, to get something of an overview. We would have to look at what part of the country the informants came from. In the case of the
- 30 Berndts' study, they had several people who defined
- themselves as Yaraldi. Therefore, there is a strong
- 32 case that they have covered the basics of Yaraldi
- society, but their informants wouldn't have known as
- much about the Coorong area. But Tindale had tended to
- work mainly with Coorong informants. So I wouldn't want
- to make a general statement about how many informants he
- would need. Naturally you would try and get as many
- informants as possible. If it meant talking to

RF7J

38

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

- 1 everybody in the community that had knowledge, then you 2 would do that. That seems to have been the approach 3 that Radcliffe-Brown took in the early part of this century, when he went to Point McLeay and set up his 5 model of social organisation in terms of Australian 6 tribes, as he called them. He set about to interview 7 every possible informant that he could in terms of 8 coming up with a map of where people were living and 9 what the names of the so called tribes, or lakalinyeri, 10 as others have called them, as they were spread through the Lower Murray region. So I would say that you need 11 12 more than just a couple of informants, but if you are 13 dealing with, as the Berndts were, a memory culture, 14 then you just have to be content with the informants 15 that you have access to.
- Q. Does that mean that the information that the Berndts collected was limited by the number of informants they had and the memory of those informants.
- A. They were limited in the fact that they were describing 19 20 a culture that was a hundred years down behind them. 21 They make it quite clear that they are dealing with a 22 memory culture. In fact, Albert Karloan and Pinkie Mack 23 were describing events and practices that they had just 24 heard about in their own childhood. So the Berndts 25 considered that they had access to the main informants 26 on Yaraldi culture, and Yaraldi culture being something 27 that they were describing from the point of view of its pre-European type characteristics. 28
- Q. If your object is to record Aboriginal cultural beliefs in any designated area, why do you need more than a couple of informants.
- A. As I stated when I was giving an overview of the
 methodology for an anthropological report, an
 anthropologist would be obliged to get the full range of
 opinion that would be available or exists on various
 aspects of the culture. No one person you would trust
 to have all the information, because that one person

will have particular links to particular descent groups

- 1 that may have slightly different versions of particular
- 2 dreaming stories. So you are really obliged to,
- 3 certainly if you have got the time and you are doing an
- ethnography as distinct from a report produced for a
- 5 particular reason - if you are studying a group of
- 6 people, then you would have a vested interest in
- 7 obtaining as much information from as many people as
- 8 possible in order to plot the boundaries of knowledge
- 9 within that community.
- 10 Q. The Berndts' field work in the Lower Murray was done in 11 the early 1940s, is that right.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Although their book isn't published until 1993 or
- 14 thereabouts, they were relying on data that they
- acquired in the early 1940s. 15
- 16 A. They acquired the data in two blocks in that early
- period. Berndt describes how his first attempts with Karloan came up with mixed results, but after Berndt had 17
- 18 19 gone away and presumably come back with Catherine,
- 20 Berndt had gone away and become a trained
- 21 anthropologist, Karloan remarked that Berndt was now
- 22 able to ask the questions that he wanted to answer -
- 23 words to that effect. So although the Berndts were
- 24 possibly worried about the quality of their earliest
- 25 data, they were trained anthropologists when they had
- 26 finished collecting that 1940s data.
- 27 Q. I just want to be clear. All of the data was collected in the 1940s, is that your understanding. 28
- 29 A. All of the Lower Murray data was collected then, but of
- 30 course they had opportunity to do field work in other 31 places in order to develop their overview of what was
- 32 unique in the Lower Murray.
- 33 Q. In relation to information that they acquired of
- 34 traditional culture in the Lower Murray, their
- 35 informants seem to have been Albert Karloan and Pinkie
- 36 Mack almost exclusively, do they not.
- A. No. Mark Wilson gave them information. I think you 37
- 38 will find in the Berndt ethnography that they got

RF7J

- 1 information from a person named Frank Blackmoor, and
- 2 there had been opportunity to talk to other Aboriginal
- people such as the person they call Clarry Long but we
- 4 know in the museum as Milerum. So there were some
- 5 conversations they had with the Coorong man. Also, they
- 6 would have had contact with other Aboriginal people who
- 7 had been exposed in some way or another to Lower Murray
- 8 culture, such as Barney Warrior. I mean, I accept that
- 9 the bulk of their data was from Pinkie and Albert, but
- they did have the chance to explore the extent of that
- literature and the consistency of it with other
- 12 Aboriginal people.
- 13 Q. You said earlier in your evidence last week, that
- 14 Karloan and Pinkie Mack seem to be the only people who
- have that particular knowledge that was recorded. I am
- not using your exact words. Is that your impression
- from Berndt's work.
- 18 A. Berndt made the comment that people like Mark Wilson had
- 19 knowledge of the culture, but wasn't initiated and
- 20 didn't speak Yaraldi fluently. So although Mark Wilson
- would still have been an important informant, he was not
- considered to have the type of knowledge that Albert
- 23 Karloan and Pinkie Mack had.
- 24 Q. You really have to take Berndt's word for that state of
- affairs, don't you, that is, the extent of Pinkie Mack's
- and Albert Karloan's knowledge and what other knowledgemight have existed.
- 28 A. Given that they are social anthropologists of high
- calibre, until I have knowledge that they were wrong, I
- do accept their statement.
- 31 Q. I understand that. It is the position, isn't it,
- though, that Catherine Berndt makes a point of saying
- that when she first started working with her husband,
- she didn't appreciate how important it was for her to
- align herself with the women, and that until she
- understood that, she felt that perhaps she didn't get as
- 37 much information as she might otherwise have done.

RF7J

31

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

A. I am not familiar where that's written, but I could well 2 imagine from my own field of work that there are certain 3 situations which would create what they call in 4 Aboriginal, English shame. So, therefore, it might be 5 embarrassing to talk about some things with your spouse 6 there, or someone who is not a close relative, or maybe 7 in some situations with a close relatives. It is fairly 8 complicated exactly what would be spoken in what 9 situation. But I accept that Catherine Berndt would 10 have been feeling her way around in terms of developing 11 field work techniques, as she would have been fairly new 12 to the anthropology game back in the - circa 1940. 13 Mr Smith has covered a fair bit of this COMSR: area. I am just wondering how much of this particular 14 15 line of cross-examination is needed to be covered. 16 MS NELSON: I don't think, with respect, that Mr 17 Smith - I don't want to be rude about it - really 18 explored the difficulties that have been encountered 19 until very recently. 20 **COMSR:** I understand that that is what you have 21 been doing, you have been exploring them. It is just 22 that I am concerned that we don't cover the same ground twice, given that we have to -23 24 MS NELSON: I am conscious of the time limitation. 25 I am really not asking questions for the fun of it. I 26 don't want to leave the area inadequately covered, 27 because I think that would be most unhelpful. 28 COMSR: If you feel there are areas that we haven't touched on at this time. 29 **CONTINUED** 30

- 1 XXN
- Q. There are areas, are there not, of knowledge which are not spoken and they are not publicly expressed when we start talking about secret sacred business.
- A. Perhaps defined as, you know, circumstances where you
 have got, you know, a mixed group of people of varying
 age, gender, race, I would accept, yes.
- Q. There are areas where women will not communicate to a
 male observer knowledge of sacred secret women's
 business.
- 11 A. Which area of Australia are we talking?
- 12 Q. Let's talk about the Lower Murray.
- 13 COMSR: I'm a bit concerned we don't get into too much -
- A. The answer is easy: I don't believe there is secretsacred women's business in the Lower Murray.
- 17 XXN
- 18 Q. Why do you say that.
- A. It's in my statement. My own reading of the
 ethnography, my field experience, all of that. It would
 take me an hour to go back over that.
- Q. You talk about your field experience. If such a thing existed, do you really think that the women who had that knowledge would tell you as a male outsider.
- A. You have jumped from the point of whether I would know whether secret sacred women's business existed to the point of whether I would actually hear that secret sacred women's business.
- Q. No, I hadn't. I'm simply asking you whether you would
 expect those women to tell you of its existence, never
 mind about the details.
- 32 A. I would expect that in some manner, I and other
- Aboriginal people in that community would be given the
- knowledge that it at least existed, even if it was just
- 35 to the extent of us not embarrassing them by probing
- into normally areas of their culture that might offend
- 37 them.
- 38 Q. How would you, as a field worker, establish that you

CJ7K

- were intruding into an area where you might embarrass 1 2 them by further questioning.
- A. My informants would find ways of making it quite clear.
- Even if it was to the extent of warning my wife or
- 5 another person that I worked with that it's best that I
- 6 not take a certain approach of questioning, or that I
- 7 not be around on certain occasions. But I've not had
- 8 that experience so, therefore, I assume, as I've just
- 9 stated, that the secret sacred women's business does not 10
- Q. Have you, in your field work, sought to ask people about 11 12 that.
- 13 A. It's not a good field work technique to just turn up and
- start asking questions like that. Aboriginal people 14
- 15 from all over Australia will make it quite clear that
- 16 you get information at various stages at various times 17
- and when the context is right and when my credentials,
- 18 as someone who can be trusted, have been established by 19 them. Or, there may need to be something that would,
- 20 some people that would need to relay that information on
- 21 rather than to others, so it's quite complicated.
- 22 Q. Do you concede the possibility that perhaps you haven't 23 been in the right place at the right time to be able to 24 access that type of information.
- 25 A. No, I wouldn't concede that. I would say that - again,
- 26 I would say that if such information existed, then I 27
- would have been at least told of its existence, or it would have been made apparent to me that it existed. 28
- 29 Q. In what context and by whom.
- A. Since we are talking hypothetically, it's difficult for 30
- 31 me to come up with a hypothetical situation that would
- 32 explain it. But it would be made obvious. It would be
- 33 made obvious to me that such information existed, even
- 34 if it was just to the extent that I shouldn't ask
- 35 certain questions on certain topics or go to certain
- 36 parts of the landscape or, you know, whatever. That
- 37 hypothetical secret sacred, you know, women's business
- 38 would be.

- 1 Q. You made the point, and I think you have made it twice,
- 2 that Catherine Berndt and her husband record that the
- gender bias in terms of ceremonial and religious mattersin the Lower Murray is minimal.
- 5 A. And that's a point that they make and Professor6 Tonkinson in the foreword.
- Q. That's not, of course, to say that separate secret women's business does not exist, is it.
- 9 A. I'm sorry, what was that question?
- 10 Q. When they say I'll rephrase it. When they say that
- both men and women have access to religious ceremonial
- beliefs, they don't make that categorical statement,
- they simply say that the separation of men's and women's
- business is minimal in the Lower Murray, don't they.
- 15 A. I would think that would imply the former.
- 16 Q. When you say they say its minimal, don't they concede
- that there are some areas, they may not be large, but
- there are areas where they are separate.
- 19 A. No, I think you're wrong there. I think they are
- 20 talking about emphasis that, say, a male would put on
- 21 certain parts and a female put on others. I don't see
- that as separate at all; in the contexts, are still
- there.
- Q. That's your interpretation, is it, of what they are saying.
- A. I would have thought that was a reasonable
- interpretation.
- Q. It is, of course, an interpretation which supports your particular approach, isn't it.
- 30 A. Yes, it is.
- 31 Q. Do you concede that there is another interpretation
- which is reasonable and that is: That they are saying
- that although there's not a lot of secrecy between men
- and women in the Lower Murray, there are some areas
- 35 where there is secrecy.
- 36 A. No, I think that is taking it too far.
- 37 Q. The statement they make is, this is a statement made by
- 38 Catherine Berndt at p.11: `Gender-based difference in

- 1 the sense of inclusion or exclusion in religion and
- 2 other affairs were minimal'. You say that should be
- 3 read as meaning that there are no gender-based
- differences in the sense of inclusion or exclusion in religion and other affairs.
- 6 A. I mean, you talk about division as I think it would not be implied by her statement.
- 8 Q. Well, have a look at her article in that book at p.11.
- 9 Do you say that that statement that I've read to you
- means that there was no area of traditional culture
- where, for example, men were excluded from women's
- business and vice versa.
- 13 A. How are we defining `women's business'? In anything
- associated with women? I mean, there are a lot of
- problems with that statement. I would look at it the
- other way and say that that statement doesn't imply a
- sharp division between the genders. Certainly in the
- area of, you know, their cosmology and their secret
- sacred knowledge, I have never argued that there isn't women's business, but -
- 21 Q. You say it isn't secret sacred.
- 22 A. Secred sacred women's business in the Lower Murray, yes.
- 23 Something could be religious without being secret sacred.
- 25 Q. I understand the point you make there. The point you
- made earlier in your evidence was that you reached the position that there is no secret sacred business from
- 28 all the documented evidence.
- 29 A. That's correct.
- 30 Q. You go on to say `If it existed, it ought to be
- 31 documented'.
- 32 A. It's existence or, at the very least, ought to have been documented.
- 34 Q. And documented by whom.
- 35 A. Documented by any of the large number of ethnographers
- who have worked in the region, both male and female.
- 37 Q. Do you not concede that it may not be documented either
- 38 because their approach or their methodology, or whatever

CJ 7K

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS NELSON)

- 1 you care to describe it as, or their ability to get
- 2 information has been impeded in some way.
- A. No, I don't concede that. You have got a very fairly big bunch of ethnographers there. I don't think that at least the existence of secret sacred women's business
- 6 would have been entirely missed.
- 7 Q. It is the situation though, isn't it, that even in the
- last four or five years you have become aware of matters that you weren't aware of either in your own research or
- anyone else's.
- 11 A. What matters are they?
- 12 MS NELSON: I am going to have to make an
- application, I think at this stage, that the court be
- 14 closed.
- 15 COMSR: I will remind the persons in the hearing
- that I'm constrained by the authorisations not to permit
- certain persons in the hearing room while evidence
- 18 concerning Aboriginal traditions are being led. For
- that reason, I'm going to have to ask you to leave the
- 20 hearing room while this portion of the evidence is being
- 21 examined, apart from those persons whom I earlier
- 22 indicated would be permitted to remain in the hearing
- 23 room.
- 24 ADJOURNED 3.39 P.M.

CLOSED HEARING

7.8.95

PAGES 345 - 363

- **HEARING OPENS**
- MS SIMPSON APPLIES TO INTERPOSE WITNESS, E.M. FISHER
- LEAVE GRANTED
- MS SIMPSON CALLS
- 5 **ELIZABETH MARY FISHER AFFIRMED**
- 6 **EXAMINATION BY MS SIMPSON**
- Q. Mrs Fisher, will you tell the commissioner where you
- 8 were born.
- 9 A. I was born at a place called Bracken Hill, Sheffield, 10 England.
- 11 Q. When did you come to Australia.
- A. In 1927. I was one year and five months old when we 12
- left the United Kingdom. I am now an Australian 13
- 14 citizen.
- 15 Q. I think, during the course of your life, you came to 16
 - know a woman called Gladys Elphik.
- 17
- 18 Q. Can you tell the commissioner when you first met Gladys
- 19 Elphik and how you came to know her.
- 20 A. Yes. It was in 1964 or 65. I was in the International
- 21 Women's Day Committee and we had meetings at Port
- 22 Adelaide sometimes, and a woman called Dot Edwards was
- 23 always telling me about this wonderful Aboriginal lady
- 24 that I must meet. One day she turned up at my place and
- 25 said `Come on, you're coming to see Glads'. So I went
- 26 over and met this very tiny little woman who said 'How
- 27 do you do? I'm pleased to meet you. You are going to
- 28 write the story of my people', and I staggered back and
- 29 said `Am I?' And she said `Well, I think we'll get
- 30 along and see how it goes'. So that began a long
- 31 friendship until Gladys died in 1988. From that time I
- 32 took notes and made tapes. Gladys was born in Adelaide
- 33 and lived - grew up on Point Pearce. During the time
- 34 when I knew Gladys, she would have friends there and
- 35 sometimes the friends were from Point Pearce, sometimes
- 36 they were from northern South Australia, sometimes they
- 37 were from Point McLeay.

- Q. Just pausing there for a moment, before you met Mrs 2 Elphik, did you have an interest in historical matters.
- A. Yes. I my father was always wanting to trace back the family history, and my mother knew a lot - well,
- 5 something of her own family history, and I was always
- 6 interested in history. I have read, in a kind of
- 7 amateurish way, across various matters of interest in
- 8 Australia. My mother had a respect for Aboriginal
- 9 people and - does that answer the question?
- 10 Q. Thank you. You have told the commissioner that you were 11 going to write a book about Mrs Elphik; is that right.
- 12 A. Yes. She asked me to write a book about her people 13 which would include her own childhood at Point Pearce,
- 14 about her grandmother, who was Kiednerto of the Nurrunga 15
- 16 Q. Did you begin gathering material in order to write that 17 book.
- A. Yes. I spent about 8 years going through old 18
- 19 newspapers. I spent a long time, of course, with Glady
- 20 on an irregular basis. I have - I wasn't a wealthy
- 21 woman so I used what I had. I had a loan of a tape
- 22 recorder at first, a very hefty old thing, which I still
- 23 have, and then I got a slightly more modern reel-to-reel
- 24 tape recorder and I used to drag that down to Glady's 25 place and record, yes.
- 26 Q. I think you recall on one particular day, when you were 27 talking to Gladys Elphik, meeting a friend of hers at 28 her house called Rebecca Wilson.
- 29 A. Yes. I was only ever commanded by Gladys to come to her 30 place on one occasion, but that was not the occasion.
- 31 The visits would be like this: I would ring up and say
- 32 `Glady, I've got a free day, can I come down?' And she'd
- 33 say 'Yes, you can come down', and I'd arrive and there
- 34 may be a house full of people or Glady might be totally
- 35 on her own. On the occasion that you refer to, there
- 36
- was a woman called Rebecca Wilson, a little, a tiny
- 37 woman in stature. They were somewhat alike in stature
- 38 but Glady was a little bit thinner than Rebecca Wilson.

E.M. FISHER XN

- Q. Did you come to know something more about Rebecca Wilson on that day, that is, who she was married to and where she had come from.
- A. Yes. I had gone down there with a purpose. I had 5 discussed on the phone with Glady that we examine the 6 financial output and input, in and out, of Point Pearce 7 as a government reserve, that was in fact a farm and 8 mixed produce and so on, and that we would examine - and 9 she had some statements from government figures on how much Point Pearce produced. We were having a discussion 10 11 on those questions and Rebecca Wilson was present at 12 that time.
- Q. Can you tell the commissioner where you were having the discussion. Was it in a particular room.
- A. Yes. It was always at Glady's table, her kitchen table, 15 16 and I would put the tape recorder on the table and she 17 would say - always she would say `That's getting too heavy for you' and I would say 'Not too heavy'. That 18 19 was always how it began. That had a meaning, you see. 20 The meaning of that meant `What I'm telling you is too 21 much of a burden'. And what I was telling her by saying 22 'Not too heavy' was that it was no burden. That was a 23 style of speaking where you don't sort of directly refer 24 to things that are personal with Aboriginal people, 25 unless they have given you great permission to do this.
 - Q. On this particular occasion which you have recalled, you were discussing financial matters to do with Point Pearce, is that right.
- 29 A. Yes, yes.

26

27

28

- 30 Q. Did Gladys Elphik leave the room for a time.
- A. She went she moved over to the kitchen she was in a small house, and the kitchen went around into her
- bedroom. The kitchen was a smallish, like this
- 34 (INDICATES) and there was a table in this corner where
- we always sat, and just over there, across a passageway,
- was her bedroom. She'd move into the bedroom but she
- could still hear me, or hear us, and yes, so she moved
- off to do that, yes.

E.M. FISHER XN

- Q. While she was in the bedroom, did you continue talking to Rebecca Wilson.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Did she have another name which you call her.
- 5 A. Well, she told me that her people called her Koomi.
- 6 Q. Could you spell that.
- 7 A. K-O-O-M-I.
- Q. When you were talking to Rebecca Wilson, did you have the tape on.
- 10 A. Yes, I did. There seemed you have got a reel-to-reel 11 tape and I used to just dash out and buy them as a
- needed them where I could find them fairly cheap because
- we didn't have much money. There was never any reason
- to stop a reel-to-reel unless I was instructed, and I
- was always instructed by hand movement rather than by voice, yes.
- Q. So you had been talking to Gladys Elphik with the tape going.
- 19 A. Yes.

25

- 20 Q. And you continued to talk to Rebecca Wilson while the tape was still running, was that what happened.
- A. Yes, yes. Glady said something about I'll just go off and find these papers'. She was looking for papers which had statements about the production on Point

Pearce, yes.

- Q. What did you talk to Rebecca Wilson about during that time while the tape was running.
- 28 A. I asked her opinion Glady had asked me if I could give
- her any reason why an Aboriginal person could trust a white person, and I'd said, no, I couldn't give her any
- reason. She went on to say that that's how they'd been
- brought up, that white people aren't to be trusted
- because of their experience among them. Koomi was
- saying that it takes many many years for a white person
- 35 to understand Aboriginal people, and I understood what
- she was saying. What she was saying is 'You will take
- many years to understand us'. So I said `Well, I
- understand what you are saying, thank you very much'.

RF 8C

- 1 And she said `No offence meant'. This was a method of -
- 2 an indirect method Aboriginal people are very careful
- not to insult you. They can say a number of things in a
- 4 number of ways, and if you are smart enough to catch it,
- 5 then you're smart and they should continue talking to
- 6 you. If you miss it, tough, next time around you might catch it.
- Q. How long were you talking to Rebecca Wilson while Gladyswas in the bedroom.
- 10 A. I suppose it would have been I don't know, twelve minutes, 15 minutes maybe.
- 12 Q. Gladys came back into the kitchen after that.
- 13 A. She came back and there was she had a lot of papers
- and she put them on the table and she was sorting
- through and Rebecca Wilson kept on talking, a few
- things. She told the story of a being on Point McLeay
- and she told the story of how Taplin came and how they
- sat him under a tree which was supposed to be inhabited
- by this being, which we would call an evil being, I
- suppose. They didn't want to kill him, but they sat him
- 21 under the tree and thought `The evil spirit will get rid
- of him'. He was alive and well the next morning so they
- 23 thought there must be something about this man, and she
- said that he had a hard time convincing Aboriginal
- 25 people, et cetera.
- 26 COMSR
- Q. This portion of the evidence has already been in the public arena, has it?
- 29 A. It is a well known story.
- 30 Q. A well known story.
- 31 A. Yes, it is well known amongst -
- Q. I only say that, you understand, because of my situation in respect of Section 35.
- 34 A. Yes, indeed. Thank you for that. This has been told.
- 35 I don't know whether it is in recent literature. It is
- 36 certainly in early literature.
- 37 XN
- 38 Q. When Gladys came back with her papers from the bedroom,

- did you then resume your discussions with her about Point Pearce.
- 3 A. Yes, yes, because Rebecca Wilson wasn't there as my principal. I had no intention of interviewing her because she was from another culture, a different
- 6 nation. I was concentrating on Nurrunga material, not
- on Ngarrindjeri. I knew enough from Gladys not to ask a lot of things of persons of a different culture. I was
- prompted by her to speak more to Koomi later, and she invited me down to Point McLeay later on.
- Q. On this particular day, did you continue to record the conversation you were having.
- 13 A. We came to the end of the tape and I said `Well that's
- it, I haven't got another tape with me, Gladys'. And
- she said `Well, let's go into the lounge, I want you to
- talk to Koomi'. So we moved from the kitchen to the
- lounge. The lounge was small. There was a lounge seat
- along the wall there, and a picture on the wall, the
- television set was there, and there was another chair.
- I think I sat in this chair here, if I remember rightly
- 21 (INDICATES), on the right-hand side of the lounge, and
- so she said `Go on, Koomi, you tell, Betty'. So Koomi
- then related to me she was upset that something had been ploughed up or bulldozed up or something and she was
- 25 upset, and Glady then said in her forthright way
- They're digging up our culture'. Then Rebecca Wilson
- proceeded to tell me some things that alluded to, in
- general terms, the secret and sacred nature of many
- places around that Ngarrindjeri area, north, south, east
- and west, which she indicated, in specific terms, that
- 31 she couldn't talk about. She made it very very clear
- that she wouldn't talk about it. So Glady encouraged
- her to talk and she said You have to tell things so
- that these kids will know'. Koomi was upset when she
- started talking about it and related that I can say,
- in general terms the Hindmarsh Island and the islands
- and the waters surrounding those areas are extremely

- important extremely secret and, above all, sacred to 2 Aboriginal people, and especially to women.
- Q. I think when you were having this conversation with Koomi, you didn't have the tape recorder on, is that 5 right.
- 6 A. Oh no. There was no tape recorder in the lounge when we
- were talking. The tape had finished. I had the tape
- 8 recorder turned off. It was in the kitchen on the table
- 9 and if you saw it, it is quite a big one, you would
- 10 understand that I wouldn't willingly lump it around.
- But the thing is that Koomi said There's no way. I 11 12
- can't talk' and I said `Well, can I make a note? Can I
- 13 make a note?' I had a little notebook and I'd already
- 14 written some pages, two or three pages. It was just a
- 15 little school notebook, a little memo book, and I'd
- 16 already written some pages in that that was Nurrunga
- business from Glady, because we'd been somewhere. I'd 17
- 18 been taking notes of something she said and I had that
- 19 book in my bag and I said `Can I write in this?' And
- 20 after a while she was persuaded to let me make notes, 21 which I did.
- 22 Q. About how many notes did you make of that conversation, 23 can you remember.
- 24 A. Probably about all the book. The rest of the book. It 25 was just a small memo type book.
- 26 Q. Do you have the tape recording of Koomi's voice and the 27 notes that you made of that conversation now.
- 28 A. I haven't got them in my possession, no.
- 29 Q. Have you given them to somebody else.
- 30 A. You see, when - see, I was never going to bring this out
- because I had promised Gladys. I promised Koomi I'd 31
- 32 never speak about her words. I promised Glady that I
- 33 would never speak her words until the book was published 34 and I -
- 35 COMSR
- Q. Which book are we talking about.
- A. I was to publish a book on the life of Gladys Elphik and 37
- 38 the Nurrunga people, and I promised I'd never do that.

RF 8C

- In fact, I put all the tapes in the library in 1990, in about May 1990, and they have been there until the day 2 when Sarah Milera's photograph appeared in the local
- 5 Q. I think the question was, where are the tapes and the book now.
- A. I rang the Nurrunga people, who are responsible for the tapes and everything, and I got their permission to 8 speak to Doreen Kartinyeri. I rushed down and I got the notebook and the tape I had from my donor's copy from the Mortlock Library, and I delivered them - my husband 9 10 11 drove me to town, I don't drive a car, and I delivered
- 12
- 13 them to the building where the Aboriginal Legal Rights
- are and left them there for Doreen Kartinyeri. 14
- 15 **CONTINUED**

KC 8D

E.M. FISHER XN

- 1 XN
- Q. As far as you know, is that where they now are.
- A. As far as I know. The rest of them are all in my
- safekeeping. Madam Commissioner, you must understand.
- 5 I feel a huge personal responsibility for what I had
- 6 recorded. I feel extremely guilty that that book has
- 7 never been issued. My own life has been had its
- 8 difficulties and has prevented me publishing this work.
- 9 I never dreamed that mine was the only recording of
- this. I never even imagined that the unbelievable
- situation would arise where I was I hope I am not the
- only one to have recorded these women. I hope someone
- will come forward who is in my own position and will
- have similar recordings. I just never dreamed, in all
- my life, that this would be this would occur, because
- I have kept quiet and silence and I have kept my promise
- to Gladys and Koomi and to others who were on the tapes,
- including her son; whose name I won't say.
- 19 Q. Have you come to the part of your story now which is
- about to concern the matters which Koomi told you relating to the Ngarrindjeri area.
- A. I can only just emphasise in general terms for the open court that, in fact -
- 24 COMSR
- 25 Q. Are we going to go into something that -
- 26 A. I was just going to reiterate that she spoke about the
- sacred and secret nature about the islands and the water
 - and I can't particularise that before anyone other than
- women.

28

- 30 Q. I appreciate that, but you are being asked, have we
- 31 reached that stage of your evidence -
- 32 A. I think so, yes.
- 33 Q. That you are asking that the hearing be closed.
- 34 A. I am very aware I am very amateur at the question of all
- 35 this.
- 36 Q. Just so that I understand; you now say that, due to the
- anature of the evidence that you are going to traverse
- that it should be taken at a private hearing of the -

KC 8D

32

HEARING CLOSED

E.M. FISHER XN

A. I would prefer it, in case -Q. You say something that -A. In case I run over into areas that - I have a very strong understanding, Madam Commissioner, that 200 -5 Q. I don't think you will have - I think I can say that, if 6 there is any potential for -A. Yes. 8 Q. For this matter to be one that takes me outside of the 9 terms of my authority I would propose to close the 10 hearing to all excepting those persons whom I permit to 11 remain. And, at the very least, that means that only 12 counsel and parties and the members of the Commission 13 staff who are necessarily present will remain and then I will hear if there is any problem in respect to that. 14 This session of the -15 COMSR: 16 MR MEYER: Before that order is made; we have been handed a copy of a statement. I just want to be sure 17 18 where we stand in relation to it. 19 I assume that what I have been handed is MFI 6? 20 COMSR: Perhaps we could clarify that matter 21 now? 22 MS SIMPSON: Yes, that's correct. 23 COMSR: Is it a statement that has to be put to 24 the witness? 25 MS SIMPSON: It is a statement that Mrs Fisher gave 26 and it is - it does contain material, all of which 27 should be suppressed from publication, in any event. 28 MR MEYER: That fixes that problem. COMSR: It is not an exhibit, at this stage, in 30 any case. 31 This hearing will now be closed and into a private

CLOSED HEARING

PAGES

374 - 375