1	COMSR STEVENS
2 3 4 5	HINDMARSH ISLAND BRIDGE ROYAL COMMISSION
4 5	WEDNESDAY, 11 OCTOBER 1995
6	
7	RESUMING 10.10 A.M.
8	MR SMITH: The program for today is, first of all,
9	the Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement subpoena, duces
10	tecum, for the file of Tim Wooley, is returnable this
11	morning at 10 o'clock.
12	The witnesses for today are the Museum staff
13	members, Margaret Amon, Kate Allport and Francesca
14	Alberts.
15	MR GRAY: I would seek to intervene in this matter
16	on behalf of the Law Society of Australia. In light of
17	the return of the subpoena that the commission has
18	issued, there would appear to the society to be
19	questions related to legal professional privilege. The
20	society would wish really to be heard, limited to that
21	question in respect of legal professional privilege, and
22	Royal Commissions generally and its existence.
23	COMSR: Certainly.
24	MS LAYTON: I appear for the Aboriginal Legal Rights
25	Movement, which has been summonsed thorugh its director,
26	Sandra Saunders, to produce the Tim Wooley files to the
27	Royal Commission. The council of the Aboriginal Legal
28	Rights Movement has directed its director not to produce
29	the files for reasons which are set out in a letter
30 31	signed by members of the executive council, and which
32	letter I now hand to you, together with a copy for counsel assisting.
33	COMSR: Thank you.
34	DOCUMENT HANDED TO BENCH
35	MS LAYTON: In short, there are three reasons for
36	the non-production in accordance with the summons which
37	the ALRM says is a nullity and is void and is
38	unenforcible.
50	diletione.

1 Firstly it is said that the files are covered by 2 legal professional privilege, which privilege is not 3 taken away or abrogated by the Royal Commissions Act. Secondly, the coercive production of files under the 5 State Royal Commissions Act impairs the function of a 6 Commonwealth act, namely the Aboriginal and Torres 7 Strait Islander Heritage Protection Act, and is 8 therefore inconsistent with s.109 of the Federal 9 Constitution. This is the same argument which was 10 predicated by the Commonwealth Solicitor-General when he 11 appeared on 19 July. 12 **COMSR:** Yes. 13 MS LAYTON: I understand that a further submission has been sent to the Attorney-General's Department from 14 the Commission reinforcing that same argument with 15 16 respect to the files. **COMSR:** 17 That's the letter. 18 MR SMITH: 19 MS LAYTON: That's a letter from the 20 Attorney-General's Department signed, as I understand 21 it, by Ernst Wilheim. 22 MR SMITH: I'll formally place that letter on the 23 file, if I could interrupt my learned friend. DOCUMENT HANDED TO BENCH 24 MS LAYTON: 25 The third ground for non-production is 26 that the production of the files would include 27 divulgence of Aboriginal traditions and sites, contrary 28 to s.35 of the State Aboriginal Heritage Act, and these 29 files were never the specific subject of consultation 30 with Aboriginal people and, in particular, with the 31 clients of ALRM solicitor Mr Wooley, and therefore there 32 is no valid authorisation which permits this divulgence, 33 and the ALRM says that the files are kept and will 34 continue to remain at the premises of the ALRM in custody for the persons to whom they lawfully belong. 35 36 That completes the submissions. They are more fully set out in the body 37 COMSR: 38

1	MS LAYTON: That's correct.
2	MR GRAY: Does the Commission wish to hear me at
2 3 4 5	this stage in relation to legal professional privilege?
4	COMSR: I take it no-one else -
5	MR GRAY: Or at another appropriate stage? I'm in
6	the commission's hand.
7	MR SMITH: I think it ought to be made clear who
8	the clients are and are the clients claiming privilege.
9	The ALRM hasn't indicated that.
10	COMSR: Yes. Who claims to be acting for the
11	clients?
12	MS LAYTON: I think that will have to be cleared up
13	by Mr Tilmouth and others, but you will see annexed to
14	in the letter written instructions from the clients that
15	they don't waive privilege. That is annexed to the
16	letter that was handed to the commission.
17	COMSR: Of course I haven't read the full
18	contents yet. Mr Tilmouth, do you wish to be heard?
19	MR TILMOUTH: Yes. Annexed to that letter you will
20	see that there are letters signed by members of the
21	Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee, as it was at
22	relevant times, to Mr Wooley's file, and for whom he
23	acted. You know I act for Mr Robert Day, that's the
24	first letter, if they are in the same order as mine, in
25	which the privilege is claimed and not waived.
26	COMSR: Are these individuals who are claiming
27	privilege?
28	MR TILMOUTH: Jointly and severally, as I understand
29	it.
30	COMSR: For the files of the Lower Murray
31	Aboriginal Heritage Committee, is that the situation?
32	MR TILMOUTH: As I understand it, it's a joint and
33	several privilege, because it was never a formal,
34	incorporated body. It was a body established under the
35	Act by the minister, the State minister, for
36	consultative purposes, so there was never any corporate
37	structure.
38	Robert Day is the first letter, I act for him, as

38

1 you know. The letters are in the same form. The second 2 letter is from Tom Trevorrow, for whom I act, of course. 3 Henry Rankine is about the fourth letter down, for whom I act. Below his letter is Victor Wilson. As you know, 5 I also act for him. Finally, below his letter, is 6 George Trevorrow's. 7 So as a first step, privilege is claimed on behalf 8 of them with respect to the file and with respect to, 9 clearly, communications between them individually and 10 collectively, and Mr Wooley has legally professionally privileged communications. 11 12 **COMSR:** This is legal professional privilege claimed? 13 14 MR TILMOUTH: Yes, that's the first limb. The other limb, of course, was the limb that was advanced by Dr 15 16 Griffith, as Ms Layton pointed out to you, on 14 July, which is quite separate, of course, from the legal professional privilege. That is rather a legal point. 17 18 19 In our submission, most of the file must have 20 clearly been for the purposes of the question of 21 communications with Mr Tickner under the Federal act. 22 As Ms Layton said, of course, to that extent that is 23 covered by the letter from the Attorney-General's 24 Department, which Mr Smith has noted, and which was 25 referred to a moment ago. 26 There are two separate limbs. The first one is 27 legal professional privilege, and the second one is the question of the implications to the Federal act. 28 29 Can I simply, at this stage, without an elaborate 30 argument, point out that I support the submission in so 31 far as it is made very briefly in the letter from 32 Aboriginal Legal Rights obviously on the question of 33 legal professional privilege, but in so far as it 34 touches upon the question of whether or not privilege is 35 waived under the Royal Commissions Act. There is, of 36 course, an obligation under summons to give evidence, or 37 to produce documents and to give evidence, but in my

submission the position is really indistinguishable from

38

COMSR:

```
1
      the High Court decision in Sorby v The Commonwealth. I
2
      give you the reference, 152 CLR 281, a 1983 decision of
3
      that court. It related to proceedings under the Royal
      Commissions Act, Commonwealth, and the Queensland
5
      Commission of Enquiry Act. There were provisions
6
      requiring a person summonsed to answer questions, even
7
      though those questions might incriminate that person.
8
      It was held by the High Court that neither acts
9
      abrogated the privilege against self-incrimination, and
10
       my submission, of course, is, by parity of reasoning,
11
       the privilege against self-incrimination is one given to
12
       us by the common law, like legal professional privilege,
13
       and the fundamental principle is that it requires very
14
       clear words or express words to overcome that privilege.
15
    COMSR:
                      It would be a parity of reasoning if
16
       there is a parity in the statutory provisions, I
17
       suppose.
18
    MR TILMOUTH:
                            That's true, but in my submission, in so
       far as there is the obligation to give evidence, there
19
20
       is a saving provision, that the evidence can't be used
21
       in any other proceedings when they are in direct
22
       parallel.
23
         Can I mention, without arguing at this stage of the
24
       case, the subsequent case of Pineboard, also High Court,
25
       and the Trade Practices Commission, also 1983, 152 CLR
26
       at 328. It's not so directly in point but,
27
       nevertheless, it relates to the same fundamental issue
28
       about the abrogation of basic common law privilege,
29
       except by very clear words or express enactment.
30
          They are my submissions.
31
    MR STRATFORD:
                            On behalf of Mr Wooley, I think I should
32
       briefly make submissions, at this stage only to support
33
       the submissions made on behalf of ALRM - ALRM, of
34
       course, being my client's employer - and to support the
35
       submissions of Mr Tilmouth; Mr Tilmouth now, of course,
36
       representing the clients for whom Mr Wooley previously
37
       acted.
```

I thought it was at Mr Wooley's request

```
that this subpoena was issued.
2
                            It's not so much at the request, it's
    MR STRATFORD:
3
      actually a prerequisite to Mr Wooley giving evidence.
                      I don't know about a prerequisite.
    COMSR:
5
                            It would be very difficult for him to
    MR STRATFORD:
6
       give evidence without the files, so the determination of
7
       whether or not the files are available needs to be
8
       decided, and if they are decided to be available to him,
9
       then he then prepares his statement on the basis of
10
       those files, and gives evidence before you by referring
11
       to those files.
12
    COMSR:
                       Well, in so far as that may be some
       detriment to him, it might be a matter that I consider.
13
14
       In any event, we won't take that matter any further now.
15
       You're saying that, notwithstanding the question, you
16
       support the arguments that have been addressed to me so
17
18
     MR STRATFORD:
                             Yes. I don't know if you misunderstood
19
       what I was trying to say previously. The point that I
20
       was trying to make previously was that the availability
21
       or the non-availability of the files needs to be
22
       determined to define Mr Wooley's position before you as
23
       a witness. This argument needs to be sorted out. It's
24
       an argument that, you might recall, I raised as early as
25
       24 July, and the availability or the non-availability of
26
       the files has different effects on Mr Wooley's position
27
       before you.
          If the files are not available, then the statement
28
29
       that he will be called upon to provide to Mr Smith, and
       the evidence that he will give, will take on a
30
31
       particular format; if the files are available, then a
32
       different format will follow, but the availability of
33
       the files, the access he has to them with respect to the
34
       argument on legal professional privilege, and what I've
35
       termed the Gavan Griffith argument, need to be
36
       determined in relation to Mr Wooley's position before
37
    MR BOURNE:
38
                           I rise in response to your questions as
```

38

1 to who are the clients or whether Mr Wooley is affected 2 by the summons and the question for production of the 3 file. I have, as you will recall, represented Mr Milera, and I would seek to put on record my formal 5 instructions from Mr Milera in relation to this issue. 6 COMSR: Have you sought leave? 7 MR BOURNE: I don't currently have leave to appear, 8 but for the purpose of responding to your request a 9 moment ago for information as to who are the clients and 10 what attitude they have to the issue of legal 11 professional privilege, I seek leave to appear. 12 **COMSR:** For that purpose only? MR BOURNE: 13 Yes. 14 COMSR: Well, you've been before me on a number 15 of occasions now, either seeking leave to or seeking 16 leave not to appear. It's got to the stage now I'm 17 quite uncertain as to what your status is before me. 18 MR BOURNE: This is a view which I'm starting to 19 share as well. 20 I'm not surprised. COMSR: 21 MR BOURNE: In particular, I've been writing to the 22 commission and asking counsel assisting the Commission 23 of my client's involvemet in this commission in recent 24 times. Counsel assisting was on record, on 27 25 September, as saying that my client is to be summoned to 26 give evidence in the matter. It puts me in the position 27 of considerable embarrassment that I still don't know 28 certainly whether he is going to be and, if he is going 29 to be, whether he is going to be. I have pointed out in 30 correspondence that it is essential for me to properly 31 prepare my involvement in the matter and the advice that 32 I give to Mr Milera that I be made aware of that as soon 33 as possible, sooner rather than later. It would be 34 quite unsatisfactory for that to be left to the last 35 minute, with the result that my client is not in a 36 position to attend or to proceed when he is summoned, so 37 I will put on record now my involvement in the matter I

do seek to clarify and I do seek to have sorted out as

1 soon as possible. If a summons is to be issued, I ask 2 that it be issued and served on me on behalf of Mr Milera as soon as possible. I don't think that can be done. I think COMSR: 5 personal service is required. 6 MR BOURNE: That is not a matter to which I've given 7 any great attention. I was asked by counsel assisting to obtain instructions to accept service, and I did. 8 Alright, I don't wish to hold up this 9 COMSR: 10 matter while we deal with that matter. 11 MR BOURNE: My comments about that are on record. 12 I take it you have some instructions on COMSR: 13 record with respect of Mr Milera that go particularly to 14 these issues? MR BOURNE: Quite. Those instructions are that -15 16 COMSR: You have leave to appear for those 17 purposes. 18 MR BOURNE: Those instructions are that Mr Milera 19 was, as the commission would be aware, the Secretary of 20 the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee, and also 21 a party, personally, to the Federal Court proceedings, 22 and in relation to both those capacities, he was 23 represented by the ALRM, and Mr Wooley in particular. 24 He does not waive privilege in respect of the file, and 25 he has instructed ALRM and Mr Wooley to keep 26 confidential the discussions and the communications 27 which passed between them. 28 Mr Milera also relies, in particular, on the grounds 29 put to the Commission by Dr Gavin Griffith pp.13 to 18 30 of the transcript, and we say that his involvement in 31 the matter, particularly as a party to the Federal court 32 proceedings, perhaps puts him in a rather special 33 position vis-a-vis the requirement that the file be 34 produced to this commission. 35 I adopt what has been put already by those who appeared before me this morning in relation to both the 36 37 legal professional privilege argument and the points 38 raised by Dr Griffith in his submissions.

1	COMSR: Is there anyone else?
2	MR ABBOTT: I am concerned, on behalf of my clients,
3	that what we've heard today is but the setting for a
4	situation where Mr Wooley is called to give evidence,
5	having requested that his files be obtained, and we now
6	hear from his counsel that he is now supporting the
7	refusal of the ALRM to produce the files. Presumably Mr
8	Wooley will then be saying he can't remember because he
9	doesn't have the files.
10	COMSR: I don't think we have got that far.
11	MR ABBOTT: We haven't yet got that far, but I am
12	saying that I am concerned about the consequences of
13	this and, in my submission, what ought to happen today
14	is what happens in every case where there is a claim of
15	legal professional privilege made, that the documents
16	are handed over, and -
17	COMSR: I think that's not the only claim that
18	is made.
19	CONTINUED

38

MR ABBOTT: Dealing just with legal professional 2 privilege: if it was that and nothing else, the subpoena 3 would be answered. The files would be produced. They 4 would be then separated into those which, in the opinion 5 of those who have them in their possession, fulfil the 6 sole purpose test. And there appears to be, in this 7 matter, a singular fudging of the issue as to who is the 8 client, because the ALRM is not the client. The ALRM 9 can never -10 COMSR: It has the custody -11 MR ABBOTT: The ALRM can never be the client. So, 12 the only persons who can be the client are the Lower 13 Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee, an organisation 14 which, contrary to Mr Tilmouth's assertion, is not 15 recognised by the Aboriginal Heritage Act. The Act 16 recognises the Aboriginal Heritage Committee. There is 17 no recognition of the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage 18 Committee. And, in my submission, incorporated or 19 unincorporated, recognised by statute or not recognised 20 by statute, it is the current representatives of the 21 Committee who could and should claim the privilege. 22 According to my information, the only person in the 23 list of names read out by Mr Tilmouth who is now 24 currently on the Committee and who would be, therefore, 25 in a position to vote in respect of whether privilege 26 should be claimed, is Henry Rankine. And, if one 27 assumes that there is a privilege on the basis of the 28 client being the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage 29 Committee - let's just assume that there is a privilege 30 that exists - the privilege can only be claimed by the 31 Committee on behalf of that association, albeit 32 unincorporated. The members of the current Committee 33 should be here and should be represented, because past 34 members do not represent the current Committee. And, 35 whatever Mr Milera's role was or was not, it is clear, 36 on the submissions that have been made, that the Lower 37 Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee is claimed to be

the client. And certainly the documents that we have

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

seen from Mr Wooley's files already, because he sent them to other organisations, refer to him acting for the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee, not individual members thereof.

So, in my submission, we need to find out, in relation to the issue of privilege, who are the current Committee of the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee. If anyone represents them, let them come along and claim privilege and then we should debate the issue. But, for it to go off on the present basis that there is a claim made by persons who formerly had an association with it is, in my submission, quite wrong.

As to the second issue of s.109 inconsistency, that is not a matter that should concern you. If persons want to ventilate that issue, there are other places in which it can be ventilated. And, in my submission, if they want to assert that this impairs the function of the Commonwealth Act, then it should be done by evidence, if it is to be done at all before you. And chapter and verse should be spelt out, rather than a bald assertion that somehow the functions of the Commonwealth Act or the Aboriginal & Torres Strait Islander Act is being impaired.

As to the issue of breach of s.35 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act South Australia, this may be an issue, but it should not stop the production of the documents. It may stop the dissemination of them, but, like everything else, you will need to get hold of the documents to see whether there is such an issue and to see whether you will need to apply for an authorisation. And in none of my submissions do I put forward the position that legal professional privilege doesn't apply to this Commission. MR MEYER: I support what Mr Abbott has put, but I

34 add we haven't had the privilege of receiving the 35 correspondence that has been handed to the Commissioner, 36 while Mr Tilmouth and others appear to be in possession

37 of the documents from those proposing the matter. And, 38

therefore, I am in the dark.

38

1 I have never had any documents handed to me that he, 2 hasn't had, unless there are some that relate to undertakings. COMSR: Mr Meyer, you can be provided with 5 copies of those. 6 Obviously this is a matter that is not going to be 7 disposed of today. 8 Do you wish to be heard, Mr Gray? 9 MR GRÁY: I suppose I am in the position where, if 10 the Commission accepts the proposition that legal professional privilege subsists in relation to documents 11 12 or evidence that is given to this Commission, then what I might put to the Commission becomes irrelevant. 13 14 On the other hand, as there has been some suggestions that legal professional privilege might not 15 16 subsist in Commissions of this nature -I think this is an arguable proposition, 17 COMSR: Mr Gray. 18 19 MR GRAY: In light of that, I am quite happy, if 20 the Commission considers it convenient, to put to the 21 Commission an argument in relation to it. 22 COMSR: All right. 23 MR MEYER: Could I suggest that would be better done when we have all got the documents and we do set it 24 25 down for a time for argument? 26 MR SMITH: I think Mr Gray's submission relates to 27 the point of principle rather than the question of the 28 privilege, in this particular case. It may save him 29 coming back, if he disposes of his argument now, if that 30 is the case. MR GRAY: 31 That is so. 32 I think that is the case, is it not? COMSR: 33 The Society is only interested in this MR GRAY: 34 matter because of the principles involved. 35 COMSR: Of course, yes. 36 If I hand to you, Commissioner, a summary of submissions, which may save me going to great 37 details in relation to this.

35

36

37

38

1 If I might address myself briefly to what I have, 2 therefore, set out: you will see that: 3 `The Law Society of South Australia is concerned to ensure that there is no erosion of the principle that, 5 other than in certain defined circumstances ... ' 6 By that I mean the exceptions to the principle. 7 ... communications between a legal practitioner and 8 Client are privileged.' 9 It is important, in that regard, to appreciate that 10 legal professional privilege is a substantive and fundamental common law principle. That it is so was 11 12 resolved in the High Court in 1983 as a consequence of 13 really two cases. One of which isn't mentioned on the summary of the submissions, but is O'Reilly v The 14 15 Commissioners of the State Bank of Victoria & Others 16 (1983) 153 CLR at p.1. 17 In that case, a majority of the court were of the 18 view that legal professional privilage was in the nature 19 of a rule of evidence and not a substantive common law 20 right. Almost immediately that matter was reargued and 21 the result of that was the decision of a majority of the 22 court reversing O'Reilly's case in Baker v Campbell, 23 which is the authority that I have referred to in para.2 24 of the summary of submissions. And I think the 25 starkness of that reversal is best expressed by what 26 Murphy J said, at p.88 of that decision, where he said, 27 referring to legal professional privilege: `The privilege should not be confined to protecting 28 29 evidence from disclosure only in judicial or 30 quasi-judicial proceedings.' 31 He then went on to cite a Canadian decision, which 32 described the privilege as: 33 ... a manifestation of a fundamental principle upon 34 which our judicial system is based, which principle

In other words, it was not confined merely to

prosecution discovery.'

would be breached just as clearly and with equal injury

to our judicial system by any compulsory form of free

38

1 judicial or quasi-judicial proceedings, but extended 2 across the ambit. 3 Now, Baker v Campbell was dealing with the question 4 of the privilege obtained in a s.10 warrant under the 5 Crimes Act. In other words, not judicial proceedings, 6 but a search warrant. And, of course, it is important 7 to appreciate that, in its own terms, s.10 of the Crimes 8 Act specifies, without qualification of any kind, the 9 seizure of, the production of and the obtaining of 10 documents and things under that particular warrant, in 11 the same sort of terms as a Royal Commissions Act allows 12 the summonsing of witnesses and the requirement to 13 produce documents. So, in that respect, those things are the same. 14 15 And in the summary I have there referred to other 16 passages of a similar kind of the majority in Baker v 17 Campbell. 18 Very recently, in Carter v Managing Partner, 19 Northmore, Hale, Davey & Leak, referred to in para.2 of 20 the summary of submissions, the High Court had reason to 21 reinforce the nature of legal professional privilege. 22 And I have cited passages reported in 69 ALJR, at p.572, 23 from Brennan, Deane, Toohey, and McHugh JJ, which very clearly and unequivocally have emphasised the importance 24 25 in the public interest in maintaining legal professional 26 privilege as a substantive and fundamental common law 27 principle. There are citations there, for instance, in 28 Brennan J's judgment, at p.574, where he says: 29 In my opinion, the basic justification for allowing the 30 privilege is the public interest in facilitating the 31 application of the rule of law.' 32 And Deane J's comments, at p.577, which are, I 33 think, of particular interest, when you are talking 34 about something so founded on public interest, that: 35 `The privilege itself represents the outcome of such a 36 balancing process and reflects the common law's verdict 37

that the considerations favouring the perfect security

of communications and documents protected by the

38

1 privilege must prevail.' 2 In other words, the privilege is not one where one 3 balances other public interest considerations and then 4 determines whether the privilege exists. The privilege 5 exists, because that balancing act has taken place. And 6 that is important, in my submission, when one looks at 7 an Act like a Royal Commissions Act, because obviously the Royal Commissions Act has a public interest in 8 9 ascertaining matters for the purposes of the Inquiry the Commission is charged with. But that is not a matter to 10 11 be balanced against the fact of legal professional 12 privilege in itself, because that act, the actual 13 existence of the privilege, has already balanced that 14 15 Also a passage from Toohey J, at p.586, where his 16 Honour said: 17 `Important, indeed, entrenched as legal professional 18 privilege is, it exists to serve a purpose. That is, to 19 promote the public interest by assisting in enhancing 20 the administration of justice. It is not an end in 21 22 And finally I have referred to McHugh J, at p.593, 23 where he talks about the privilege as: 24 ... a practical guarantee of fundamental constitution 25 or human rights protecting the confidentiality of 26 communications between lawyer and client.' 27 He refers to it as protecting the right of privacy 28 of persons by ensuing unreserved freedom of 29 communication with lawyers and goes on in that vein. And I refer the Commission specifically to that passage, 30 31 without reading it in full. 32 That leads me then, of course, with that background 33 of what legal professional privilege is, to say that it 34 applies in all circumstances, unless it is abrogated by 35 statute. And, of course, I say that the Royal 36 Commissions Act does not so abrogate it. 37 I refer, in para.4, to there being:

... a settled rule of construction that general

7

8

34

35

36

37

38

provisions of a statute should only be read as abrogating common law principles or rights to the extent made necessary by express words or necessary intendment.'

And I refer to a passage of Deane J's, in Baker v Campbell, to that effect and to which I also refer the

And I refer to a passage of Deane J's, in Baker v Campbell, to that effect and to which I also refer the Commission, without reading it, because it is a forceful statement about that.

9 In light of that, of course, one should look at 10 another High Court decision of Corporate Affairs 11 Commission v Yuill, which I refer to in para.4, where 12 they did find a necessary intendment. But, in Corporate 13 Affairs Commission v Yuill, there were certain features, 14 which certainly are not present in the Royal Commissions 15 Act. In that case, there was a provision of reasonable 16 excuse to answer questions coupled with provisions, in that particular case, of specific sections dealing with 17 18 the subject of legal professional privilege. And it was 19 said that, notwithstanding the reasonable excuse 20 provisions for answering questions, the intendment of 21 the legislature in dealing specifically with 22 circumstances of legal professional privilege was enough 23 to show a necessary intendment to abrogate it, abrogate 24 the privilege. That case is discussed in quite some detail by Susan McNicol in her book `Law of Privilege', 25 26 and I have referred the Commission to that passage in 27 the book. It contains virtually a critique of the 28 decision, but I refer to it specifically because, I 29 think, in the critique of the decision, it makes it 30 quite clear how the court would look at a piece of 31 legislation where the legislature had actually 32 specifically mentioned the topic of legal professional 33 privilege.

That leads me really to the point that the Royal Commissions Act does not address the topic of legal professional privilege and there is no indication whatsoever, in my submission, that the legislature intended to abrogate it.

I make the point, in any event, that royal commissions are often regarded as exercising quasi-judicial functions to which legal professional privilege, however characterised, applies. There is a discussion about royal commissions and their functions in Mr Hallet's book, `Royal Commissions and Boards of Inquiry'. I have cited the reference there at pp.22 and 25 in relation to that discussion. He makes the point that a commission, of course, is not a judicial body as such. He says:

`Indeed, it will be seen that the inquiry function is not judicial in the legal sense'.

But he then goes on, particularly at p.24, to discuss an inquiry function being classified as judicial, and gives three reasons why such a function is judicial. He refers particularly, firstly, to coercive powers that are exercised by a commission. He also, secondly, makes the point that `judicial' is not confined to legal proceedings, that it is the giving of judgment upon any matter forming or expressing a judgment or critical, which of course is the function of this commission.

He makes a third point which relates to the difference between a judicial function and the expression `acting judicially'. In particular, he refers to an expression by Guillard J, who classified the function of a board of inquiry as a quasi-judicial tribunal

I say all of those three things are enough to indicate that the function of this commission, whilst it may be administrative generally, is a quasi-judicial function. Indeed, part of that is illustrated by the provisions of s.16B of the Royal Commissions Act 1917, which gives immunities to judges, counsel and witnesses.

There is a reference to that aspect at p.25 of Mr Hallet's book. The importance of all of that is that legal professional privilege, even as a rule of evidence, applied not only to judicial bodies, but also

38

1 to quasi-judicial bodies. There are passages in both 2 Baker v Campbell, and Carter v Managing Partner, which 3 illustrate that was the application of the principle. I suppose the classic illustration of that is what 5 was said in the English case which, in its terms, held 6 that legal professional privilege was merely a rule of 7 evidence. And that case, which is not on my list, is 8 Parry Jones v Law Society (1969) 1 Ch 1, at p.9, where 9 Mr Diplock J, as he then was, said that, as a rule of 10 evidence, legal professional privilege extended to 11 tribunals exercising judicial functions. 12 So really the point that I make with respect to that 13 is that a Royal Commission set up in terms of the South 14 Australian Royal Commissions Act, may be regarded as 15 exercising quasi-judicial functions which, however you 16 characterise legal professional privilege, as a rule of 17 evidence, or as a substantive rule, it applies to it and 18 always has. 19 Mr Tilmouth referred you to Sorby v The 20 Commonwealth. Sorby v The Commonwealth was a case which 21 illustrates that a substantive rule of the common law -22 namely, the privilege against self-incrimination - was 23 not necessarily abrogated by provisions which actually 24 dealt with that privilege. 25 There are differences, of course, between the 26 Commonwealth Board of Inquiry Act in Sorby v The 27 Commonwealth, and the South Australian Royal Commissions 28 Act. The major difference being that there is a 29 provision in the Queensland Act which provides that you 30 may have a reasonable excuse to refuse to answer 31 questions. But, in its own terms, there is a stronger 32 provision, in some respects, related to the use that may 33 be made of evidence given in the commission in relation 34 to subsequent criminal proceedings. 35 The importance of Sorby v The Commonwealth is that 36 the court generally found that, notwithstanding the 37 specific expression related to the privilege against

self-incrimination, that finds its way into s.16 of the

Royal Commissions Act here, the privilege against self-incrimination was said to have not been abrogated by the provisions of that Act.

Here we are dealing with a different topic. We are dealing with a topic of legal professional privilege, but a topic which has the same, in effect, common law principle behind it, but where there is no provision which specifically deals with that topic, such as s.16 does in this case with self-incrimination.

I also make the point that the fact the legislature has dealt with the topic of self-incrimination, carries the implication as to its intention with respect to legal professional privilege. In other words, you can consider the two things as manifestations of the same base, but they are not otherwise linked. There are expressions by Mason J, as he then was, in Baker v Campbell, and there is an expression of that by Toohey J in Carter v Managing Partner, both of which I have referred to in para.5.

So the thrust of what I am really putting is that there is nothing in the legislation where you can say that there was an intendment that in some way legal professional privilege should not subsist, particularly when you look at it in terms of it being a substantive principle of the common law. It would be otherwise if it were merely a rule of evidence, because there this commission, of course, is not bound by such rules.

The final point that I make relates to the specific Terms of Reference of this commission. I refer specifically to the Term of Reference in para.(b) of Term of Reference 3, requiring this inquiry to be conducted to protect the confidentiality of any information which could properly be regarded as confidential, whether pursuant to Aboriginal tradition or otherwise.

I say that `otherwise', in its own terms, relates to all forms of confidential information. There is no doubt from some of the passages that I have cited, and

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

1 certainly a lot of other passages, that, fundamental to 2 legal professional privilege, is confidentiality. It is 3 my contention that the principle of legal professional privilege, grounded as it is in confidentiality, falls 5 within this requirement. Those are the submissions that 6 I wish to put to you, commissioner. MR SMITH: I just want to make three brief points, 8 and then suggest a course of action to you. It is my 9 submission that there is a live argument that you are 10 not bound to uphold a claim of legal professional 11 privilege. Indeed, a ruling to that effect was made by 12 Commissioner Samuel Jacobs QC in the State Bank Royal 13 Commission. 14 I indicate that I would be surprised in this case if you needed to so rule in respect of this file. The 15 16 material in the file that truly is covered by the 17 principles of legal professional privilege, I would 18 imagine this commission would not be interested in 19 closely investigating. 20 Whether or not the forced production of the file 21 offends against the provisions of s.109 of the 22 Constitution, in my submission, is a matter for debate 23 in another place, if indeed some entity is instructed to 24 take proceedings in another place. 25 As to the third point of objection, the question of 26

As to the third point of objection, the question of the divulgence in contravention of s.35 of the Aboriginal Heritage Act, it is my submission that any such divulgence emanating from the file which this commission seeks production of, would be covered by the present authorisation. If indeed there was material in the file which related to Aboriginal tradition not related to the Lower River Murray, then the subpoena does not seek such information.

The fourth point I want to make is: Who are the members of the present Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee? I understand, for instance, one of the witnesses who has given evidence in this commission, Mrs

1 Bertha Gollan, is a present member of the general 2 committee. Have her instructions been sought on this? 3 I understand that the normal practice is to answer 4 the subpoena, produce the documents, and then debate 5 problems relating to the use of the documents in the 6 hearing. However, as I understand the recent 7 publication, 'Discovery and Interrogatories' by Simpson 8 Bailey and Evans, it has been suggested that you can 9 hear and determine arguments relating to objections to 10 production before requiring production. 11 So, if you decide to take that course, it appears to 12 have some support in recent authority, that you can 13 resolve the arguments, then come back to the subpoena. 14 If you decide to take that course, I suggest you consider your position and adjourn the subpoena for a 15 16 week. 17 COMSR: I will certainly need to consider what is the position with respect to whether or not the 18 client, for want of a better word, has truly taken the 19 20 issue that they don't waive legal professional 21 privilege. I think that is a matter that will have to 22 be resolved. 23 MR SMITH: I might say, also, it is a cause of some 24 astonishment for me to hear a submission from Mr 25 Stratford that he supports the opposition to the 26 production of Mr Wooley's file, in the light of Mr 27 Stratford's formal request to the commission for the 28 file to be made available to Mr Wooley. 29 COMSR: He has explained the basis of that. 30 However, I think I am in a situation here where some 31 initial matters have to be clarified, that is, who is 32 the Lower Murray Aboriginal committee for these 33 purposes, is anyone representing that body before me, 34 and has that body waived or seeking to claim legal 35 professional privilege? 36 MR TILMOUTH: Can I just rise to say I don't accept, by way of reply, the proposition that it is the current 37 38 committee that -

38

COMSR: I know you don't accept it, but that is 2 one of the matters that I have to clarify. MR TILMOUTH: I can understand your concern about that, but I want to make it clear that I don't accept 5 that proposition. 6 COMSR: I appreciate that you do not accept it, and that is your argument. 8 MR TILMOUTH: Indeed, it is not a corporate body and 9 it is individuals collectively -10 MR ABBOTT: I understand it has been incorporated. 11 MR KENNY: It wasn't at the time. 12 COMSR: I am not going to resolve that issue by 13 hearing one party assert one thing and the other party 14 assert another. 15 MR ABBOTT: But it is a matter that can be easily 16 checked up. COMSR: 17 I propose to adjourn now. 18 ADJOURNED 11.06 A.M. 19 RESUMING 11.20 A.M. 20 I did leave off the list of witnesses, MR SMITH: 21 Dorothy Wilson. She is the first witness. 22 What is the situation with Mrs Wilson, COMSR: 23 has her evidence been taken at a closed hearing? 24 Her evidence was taken partly in an open MR ABBOTT: 25 session, and then for a brief part in a closed session. 26 You will recall Mrs Shaw led her in 27 examination-in-chief. The cross-examination of my client, with the exception of Ms Simpson, has concluded, 28 29 other than on the issue of the Mouth House/Bunkhouse 30 letter, which we didn't go into other than touch upon in 31 a general way, and I have asked that my client be 32 recalled to finish her evidence. It just cannot go on 33 that she is hanging around waiting. 34 Yes, I appreciate the stress that she COMSR: must be under in the circumstances. Is she prepared to 35 36 give her evidence in this venue? 37 MR ABBOTT: Yes. She is prepared to give her

evidence in this venue on this day. I will lead her on

1	the issue of the Mouth House letter. I understand that
2	there may be an issue touching upon that. I will get
3	her evidence, I will then seek to tender it, and I
4	apprehend at that stage you will want to reserve the
5	issue of whether you receive it by way of an exhibit
6	unless and until you have heard further legal argument
7	on it.
8	I have just been informed that Ms Dorothy Wilson is
9	conferring, at the request of your counsel assisting, Ms
10	Simpson, and it may take another 10 minutes. There was
11	apparently something Ms Simpson wanted to clear up with
12	her, I know not what, but that will cause some slight
13	delay so I suggest that we interpose some other short
14	witnesses.
15	CONTINUED

M.M. AMON XN (MR SMITH)

- 1 MR SMITH CALLS
- 2 MARGARET MARY AMON SWORN
- 3 EXAMINATION BY MR SMITH
- Q. I think you are the clerk of the Anthropology Divisionof the South Australian Museum, is that correct.
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. You've had that position, I think, since March of 1994.
- 8 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 9 Q. I think in connection with this enquiry, you provided a statement to the commission concerning one particular
- incident, is that not so. 12 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 13 Q. Looking at this statement produced to you dated 28 July,
- do you recognise that as a copy of your statement.
- 15 A. Yes, that's right, yes.
- 16 EXHIBIT 203 Statement of Margaret Mary Amon dated 28 July 1995 tendered by Mr Smith.

Admitted.

- 19 Q. I think you've worked in the museum since 1988, is that 20 so.
- 21 A. Actually it was 1989, I stand corrected.
- 22 Q. Can I take you to your duties as clerk of the
- anthropology division. What are they exactly.
- A. I provide a reception service to the division, I screen and monitor telephone calls for staff, act as secretary
- to the head of the division, and also just undertake
- 27 clerical duties for the rest of the staff.
- Q. I think you know the historian and anthropologist,Steven Hemming.
- 30 A. Yes, I do.
- 31 Q. Amongst a number of other people on the staff.
- 32 A. Yes.

18

- 33 Q. I think the anthropology and historian staff of the
- museum share office premises and a lunch room and that
- 35 sort of thing, do they not.
- 36 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 37 Q. I think you have the memory of a day when a facsimile
- 38 letter was sent from the division to the Federal

- 1 Minister, Mr Robert Tickner, do you not.
- 2 A. Yes, correct.
- 3 Q. Those events began at lunchtime, is that correct.
- 4 A. Yes, as far as I remember, yes.
- 5 Q. You have an office, or you did at that time have an office close to the lunch room, is that right.
- 7 A. The whole area is very close. All the rooms are very close together, yes.
- 9 Q. Now the events relating to the faxing of this letter were at about lunchtime, were they not.
- 11 A. Yes, they proceeded at lunchtime, yes.
- 12 Q. The events began when you were having lunch, is that correct.
- 14 A. Yes. I was almost finished my lunch, yes.
- 15 Q. Tell us what happened, what you remember.
- 16 A. There were other people in the staffroom as well.
- 17 Notably I remember Kate Allport, Stephen Hemming and
- Doreen Kartinyeri. I may have been reading at the time
- 19 I was eating, so I wasn't paying a lot of attention to
- what was happening around me, but I do remember Steve and Doreen talking about something.
- 22 Q. This is in the lunch room.
- 23 A. Yes, in the lunch room, yes.
- Q. So Steve an Doreen were talking about something in the lunch room with you.
- 26 A. Yes.
- Q. Who was in the lunch room apart from them.
- A. I recall Kate Allport being there, and myself at that stage.
- 30 Q. The conversation that was going on in the lunch room,
- 31 who was it between and what were the topics.
- 32 A. It was predominantly between Doreen and Steve, and every
- now and then I would look up and listen or hear some
- words that were being said. I do recall, the only thing
- 35 I remember now that's not in the statement is Doreen
- 36 saying something like `They asked me to help them', and
- then I noticed that the conversation was talking about
- environmental issues, why a bridge shouldn't be built

- and things like that.
- Q. That was what largely a conversation between Steve andDoreen, was it.
- 4 A. No, collectively.
- Q. We have got four people in the room, Steve, DoreenKartinyeri, yourself and Kate Allport.
- 7 A. Yes. There may have been others, but I simply don't recall.
- 9 Q. Was anything directed to you, then, in the course of that conversation.
- 11 A. The only direct question I was asked was from Steve, to
- ask whether I had Tickner's fax number, and I didn't
- because it was a number that I'm not familiar with in my
- telephone directory, and I just said `No', and he asked
- me if I could get it for him.Q. Did you do that.
- 17 A. Yes. I got up within a few moments and just consulted
- the white pages, asked Directories, and got the fax
- 19 number.
- 20 Q. So you had to leave the lunch room to go back to your office, did you.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Having obtained the fax number, did you record it anywhere.
- 25 A. In my telephone directory, yes, I did.
- 26 Q. Did you return to the lunch room.
- A. I may have, I might have, it's very hard to remember whether I did or not.
- 29 Q. So you obtained the fax number, recorded it in your teledex.
- 31 A. Yes.
- 32 Q. What about providing it to Steve Hemming, did you do that.
- 34 A. Yes. I actually wrote it down on a yellow Post-It Note
- for Steve for himself, and wrote it in my directory.
- Q. When you gave the number to Steve, did you do that in the lunch room.
- 38 A. No, it was in the office, actually. When I got it

- 1 straight away I gave it to him.
- Q. Now when you gave it to Stephen Hemming, he was in the office area, was he.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Was Doreen with him.
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. You told me earlier that you were involved in the faxing
- 8 of a letter. Did you see any part of the compilation of
- 9 that letter taking place.
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. When you provided the fax number, Steve was in your office, was he.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What about the preparation of the letter, where was that done.
- 16 A. I don't have any recollection of when it was done or how
- it was done. It couldn't have been done on my
- typewriter or computer, because I don't recall Steve
- asking me if he could use it or anything, so I have no
- idea when it was written or where it was written.
- 21 Q. What was your next involvement in this, then.
- 22 A. It was obvious Steve was faxing the letter through. I
- know that he couldn't get through, so he asked me if I could keep trying.
- 25 Q. Where is the fax located in relation to your office.
- 26 A. Just a few metres in front of me.
- 27 Q. This is not in another room.
- 28 A. No, same room.
- 29 Q. So when he was attempting to fax it, were you present.
- 30 A. The first time, yes.
- 31 COMSR
- 32 Q. I don't have much of an idea when this took place in
- relation to you being asked to get the fax number. Was
- it the same day.
- 35 A. Yes, early afternoon. I would say it was between 1 and
- 2 that I'd just finished my lunch, and it was after.
- 37 Maybe twoish in the afternoon I was asked to fax it.

- 1 XN
- 2 Q. Do you happen to remember the date.
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. The month.
- 5 A. May.
- 6 Q. May of 1994.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So Stephen Hemming is attempting to fax the letter in your presence in your office. What happened.
- 10 A. Well, at that stage I didn't know what letter it was,
- and it was only when he asked me to try, if I could keep
- trying for him, that I actually, in the fax machine, I
- looked at the flipped over the edge of it to see what
- it was, and then I realised that it was a letter signed
- by Doreen to Robert Tickner.
- Q. We have got to the stage where Stephen Hemming is trying to fax the letter unsuccessfully. He leaves the job to
- 18 you, is that right.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did he actually depart the office and leave you to it.
- A. Every now and then he would come back in, randomly he would come back in.
- 23 Q. Did you have difficulty faxing the letter.
- 24 A. Quite a lot. The fax machine has an automatic redial,
- 25 five times, so I remember it was annoying that I had to
- keep going up to see, you know, if it had gone off or
- 27 not, but no, I had quite a bit of difficulty getting it through.
- Q. Whilst you were having that difficulty, you read the letter then, or bits of it.
- 31 A. No, I didn't, I read bits of it. When the paper was
- face down in the fax machine, I flipped the back end up
- and read what I could see, what was about the last
- 34 paragraph.
- 35 Q. Can you do your best to tell us what you read.
- 36 A. It said something like `I have information that concerns
- my genealogies', maybe, `and that you should pay
- attention to what I'm saying because I have very

- 1 important information to tell you'. Something like 2 that.
- 3 Q. Now you eventually got the letter through the fax.
- 4 A. Yes, I did. At the time that I gave you my statement, I
- 5 didn't indicate when. That was because I was unsure of
- 6 when it did go through but, just a month ago, I came
- 7 across the fax transmission reports that gave a
- 8 print-out of the transactions, and I actually looked
- 9 through the main section and noticed that it went
- through the next day, which to me is correct, because I was very confused as to when it went.
- 12 Q. So there's a document that tells us when it was that you faxed this letter.
- 14 A. Yes, and it went through the next day at about 8, 10
- past 8 in the morning that it was faxed from the office.
- 16 Q. Do you recall the date.
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. But the record that you referred to will record that,
- will it.
- A. Yes, it does. It has the date and the time and the phone number.
- 22 Q. Does it, perchance, preserve a copy of the letter.
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Did you retain a copy of this letter.
- 25 A. No, I didn't.
- Q. What did you do with it, or the letter actually went off, did it.
- 28 A. Yes, and actually I do remember because it took so long
- to take, I do remember saying to Steve `Look, it's
- finally gone'. You know, I was relieved that it had finally gone.
- 32 Q. What was Steve's reaction to that.
- 33 A. It was just he just said `Good, good', yes.
- Q. Whilst in the tea room before sending the fax, you
- overheard bits well, you didn't overhear it, it was
- open conversation in the lunch room, wasn't it, between
- 37 Doreen and Steve.
- 38 A. Yes.

M.M. AMON XN (MR SMITH) XXN (MS PYKE)

- 1 Q. Your statement records that Steve said to Doreen words
- to the effect of `I will back you up, Doreen', is that correct.
- 4 A. Yes, that was a snippet that I had heard.
- Q. Was that in the connection of talking about theHindmarsh Island bridge.
- 7 A. Well, the conversation was talking about the bridge, so

8 I can only assume it was, yes.

- 9 Q. Could I bother you to do you have it, the record that fixes the time with you now, have you.
- 11 A. No, it's back at the office.
- 12 Q. Which is not all that far away.
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Could I bother you to go perhaps I should ask you this
- privately but could you fetch it for the commission.
- 16 A. Sure.
- 17 Q. Which will provide us, will it not, with the date and
- the time at which this particular letter was faxed off
- 19 to Mr Tickner.
- 20 A. Yes. Sure.
- 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS PYKE
- 22 Q. You're a clerk at the anthropology division of the museum.
- 24 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 25 Q. As I understand your evidence, one of your functions is
- to act as the receptionist, or take telephone calls.
- 27 A. Yes, that's right.
- 28 Q. As part of your duties, do you take or put telephone
- calls through to Mr Philip Jones and Mr Philip Clarke.
- 30 A. Yes, I did, yes.
- 31 Q. You are aware, presumably, that the Hindmarsh Island
- bridge issue escalated earlier this year, and there was
- involvement by Mr Clarke and Mr Jones in the issue.
- 34 A. Yes.
- 35 Q. Do you recall whether there were approaches by the press
- to speak with either Mr Clarke or Mr Jones earlier this
- 37 year
- 38 A. Yes, I do.

37

answers are.

M.M. AMON XXN (MS PYKE)

1	OBJECTION	Mr Abbott objects.			
2	MR ABBOTT:	How is this relevant to her			
2	instructions?				
4	MS PYKE:	Mr Jones and Mr Clarke are giving			
5	evidence relatir				
6	MR ABBOTT:	This is intended to buckle them, is it.			
7	MS PYKE:	Let me worry about my case, Mr Abbott			
8	can worry abou				
9	MR ABBOŤT:	What relevance can it have? What			
10	possible releva	ance has this got, unless it's an attempt			
11					
12					
13					
14		ver and over again.			
15		Anyone can make issue of Dr Fergie when			
16	she is in the w	itness box.			
17	COMSR:	There has to be some relevance to that.			
18	MS PYKE:	I want to ask several questions on that			
19	contact with M	Ir Clarke and Mr Jones with the press, and			
20		nat maybe relevant to certain issues			
21	pertaining to th	neir views. They have both been reported			
22		ertainly Mr Jones has.			
23	MR ABBOTT:	As has your client.			
24	MS PYKE:	That's fine.			
25	COMSR:	The date and time and place.			
26	MS PYKE:	I think it's appropriate for me to know			
27	at what stage N	Ir Jones and Mr Clarke communicated with			
28	the press.				
29	MR ABBOTT:	Or the press communicated with them.			
30	MS PYKE:	Or the press communicated with them.			
31		Well, first of all, we'll find out if			
32		ows anything. We are not going to ask			
33					
34		I'm going to ask several questions.			
35	COMSR:	How many is `several'?			
36	MS PYKE:	We'll have to wait and see what the			
27	onciliare oro				

M.M. AMON XXN (MS PYKE)

COMSR

- Q. Can you recall when, if, the press or Mr -
- A. The only involvement that I recall Mr Jones and Mr
- Clarke having was very late, towards the end of I'm not sure whether it was - it was actually just before
- 5
- 6 the Royal Commission had been announced, and I had 7
- spoken to Philip Jones on numerous occasions about the
- 8 media, and he said that he didn't want to comment. I
- 9 know that he had liaison with the director if he should
- 10 say anything but then, just one day, all of a sudden, he
- 11 announced that he was going to do an interview with
- 12 Channel 10, Chris Kenny.
- 13 XXN
- Q. What about the print media, the Adelaide Review, Mr 14 Christopher Pearson, do you recall. 15
- 16 A. No. I have had had calls from Christopher Pearson to
- 17 pass on to Philip Jones, yes.
- Q. Do you recall when they commenced. 18
- 19 A. They would be recorded in Mr Jones' message pad that I
- 20 record all his messages in, so the dates and times would
- be recorded, yes. 21
- 22 CONTINUED

M.M. AMON XXN (MS PYKE)

- 1 Q. You are going to fetch some things for Mr Smith, is it
- 2 possible for you to fetch that message pad.
- 3 COMSR: Mr Jones's, is it?
- 4 MS PYKE: Yes, the message pad that the witness
- 5 keeps for Mr Jones.
- 6 COMSR: Where is this leading us?
- 7 MS PYKE: The long and short of it is I would like
- 8 to know.
- 9 COMSR: Of course there are a lot of things we
- would like to know, but they do have to be relevant.
- 11 MS PYKE: Frankly, if that has been the criteria -
- 12 COMSR: You are quite right, there has to be -
- 13 MS PYKE: Why have we heard about what deceased
- persons may have thought, if they had been alive to
- think about them?
- 16 COMSR: But there has to be some basis on which
- 17 to pursue this line of questioning.
- 18 MS PYKE: Yes, I say it will be relevant for
- certain purposes of my cross-examination of Mr Jones to ascertain the time at which he became involved with the
- 21 media.
- 22 A. But also may I just also add, when I do speak to Mr
- Pearson on the phone, a lot of the time when I ask him
- 24 if he would like to leave a message, he will often say
- No, I will call back.' So, some of the conversations
- wouldn't have been recorded at all.
- 27 XXN
- Q. Do you have any independent memory, without looking at your message pad, of around about when Mr Pearson was
- 30 contacting Mr Jones.
- 31 A. No, not without consulting the actual message book, no.
- Q. I just ask you to consult your message pad, when you go and make the enquiries for Mr Smith.
- 34 MR ABBOTT: I say it is irrelevant.
- 35 MS PYKE: What status is it for Mr Abbott to
- 36 comment? It is no business of his as he says of others.
- 37 COMSR: If counsel have something to say, say it
- to me and I will make a ruling on it.

MR ABBOTT:

38

KC 43E

M.M. AMON XXN (MS PYKE) (MR ABBOTT)

1 Is there anyone else who wishes to cross-examine the 2 witness? MR SMITH: Ms Nelson represents Mr Hemming, of course, who is the topic of this, and she is not here. 5 I have been speaking with her this week. She is tied up 6 in a trial that she had trouble extricating herself 7 from, so this witness can't be fully released, until Ms 8 Nelson has instructions about this evidence, and there 9 may not be a problem. In any event, I would ask if I 10 could speak to this witness for a quick moment, as she 11 walks out of the witness box, I will obtain that other 12 Document. 13 COMSR: First of all, does anyone else wish to 14 ask questions? MR KĒNNY: 15 No. 16 COMSR: Mr Meyer? No, not at the present time. 17 MR MEYER: My friend asked whether this witness had a copy of 18 19 the letter that was faxed and she said no, she didn't 20 and it passes my mind that there has got to be a copy 21 somewhere that can be made available to this Commission 22 and I presume we are talking about 12 May. I need to 23 snatch one as it is going past. So, at the present 24 time, I don't have the document available to me, but 25 listening to -26 COMSR: I don't know the status of it, its degree of confidentiality, anything, at this time. 27 28 MR MEYER: Nor do I. But, if it comes to me in a 29 form that I can ask this witness about, I will do so. 30 If she comes back with the facsimile report after lunch. 31 That is my only qualification. 32 MR ABBOTT: I have one question. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR ABBOTT 33 34 Q. Looking at this letter from Doreen Kartinyeri to the 35 Honourable Mr Tickner, dated 12 May 1994, now before 36 you, are you able to identify that as being the letter. A. Yes, I do. 37

I ask that it be marked for

KC 43E

M.M. AMON XXN (MR ABBOTT)

1	identification. There may be argument on its
2	admissibility.
3	MR SMITH: I need really to rise to draw your
4	attention to the fact that this letter and the Mouth
5	House letter has been the subject for sometime of, if
6	you like, correspondence between myself, the Crown
7	Solicitor and the Commonwealth Crown Solicitor. This
8	letter and the Mouth House letter are included in the
9	s.13 documents in the Federal Court action. And to the
10	extent that any copy of this letter may have emanated
11	from the Federal Court action, the use of it in this
12	Commission is opposed, at the moment, by the
13	Commonwealth, the Federal Minister. So, the most you
14	could do, in my submission, is mark it for
15	identification, with that embargo on it, that it could
16	not form part of the evidence of this Inquiry, until the
17	matter between this Commission, the State Crown
18	Solicitor and the Commonwealth Crown Solicitor is
19	resolved.
20	MR ABBOTT: I am content with that.
21	MR SMITH: So, whilst even going this far may cause
22	some excitement in Commonwealth circles, I have
23	undertaken to notify the Commonwealth if this becomes an
24	issue and this letter is sought to be ultimately
25	tendered to be received into evidence by you.
26	COMSR: We do have a copy of the other letter
27	which I think in some way was earmarked and it wasn't
28	even officially marked for identification.
29	MR SMITH: No, it wasn't. That arose when we were
30	sitting in the Supreme Court and that letter was put to
31	the witness, Dorothy Wilson, and it wasn't marked for
32	identification. It was delivered into my custody.
33	COMSR: That's right.
34	MR SMITH: And there was opposition to the letter
35	being used, because of Federal Court proceedings. So,
36	that letter may be the subject of some more evidence
37 38	this morning, or this afternoon.
38	MR MEYER: I don't think I am in any different

KC 43E

M.M. AMON XXN (MR ABBOTT)

1 position to Mr Abbott. If Mr Smith agrees to hold it 2 and it has been identified, we are happy with that. 3 In relation to the confidentiality issue, we have 4 put some submissions to Mr Smith that we don't think 5 there is a confidentiality order at all in relation to 6 the Federal Court proceedings. And that the argument 7 put forward by Mr Tilmouth on the occasion of the 8 production of the Mouth House letter to the effect that 9 there was a confidentiality order made by O'Loughlin J we say is, in fact, wrong. The reality of the situation 10 11 is I tendered, as counsel, the relevant documents in the 12 Federal Court proceedings and I tendered them openly and 13 without any orders. I won't put the entire argument 14 now. Sufficed to say that, as the matter proceeded, 15 because of the same problem that this Royal Commission 16 has had in relation to s.35 declarations, there were 17 s.35 declarations sought in relation to a limited number 18 of documents. And they were documents that emanated 19 principally either from consultants or the State 20 Department. And the Minister was approached and Mr 21 Collett, acting for Mr Milera and Ms Norvill, the 22 Aboriginal respondents to the proceedings, got 23 instructions from their clients in relation to various 24 matters. S.35 declarations were made in relation to 25 those documents. And there were conditions on their 26 release to counsel, etc. Those documents obviously have 27 a tag of confidentiality, for that reason, but no other 28 documents, in our submission, finished up with any tag. 29 COMSR: You are saying including this document? 30 MR MEYER: Including this document. 31 COMSR: And the other document, that is in the 32 custody of Mr Smith? 33 MR MEYER: Yes, and, at the end of the day, when 34 this matter becomes relevant - and it would be more 35 appropriate to do so when relevant counsel are here - we 36 can go through the transcript - because we have 37 extracted all the relevant pages - and go through the 38 transcript from the commencement of proceedings to the

M.M. AMON XXN (MR ABBOTT)

1 end which culminated in O'Loughlin J asking each counsel 2 in turn whether they had any further concerns in 3 relation to the orders that had been made in respect of various documents. And, as I said, the documents about 5 which they were concerned were identified and there were 6 no concerns expressed in relation to the other 7 documents. Mr Palyga was my instructing solicitor and 8 sat and watched while we went through all of those 9 Proceedings and counsel involved was Ms Layton, acting, 10 at that stage, for the Minister and Mr Collett, acting 11 for Mr Milera and Ms Norvill, instructed by the ALRM. 12 The only other counsel was Mr Hugh Abbott. He was 13 acting for some land owners and had no part to play in 14 relation to those particular issues. So, he just acquiesced in the position. 15 16 That is my summary of the events and, in due course, 17 the transcript will be put before you and we can deal 18 with it. 19 MR ABBOTT: That is why I suggest that the letter be 20 marked for identification and, then, after you have 21 heard argument, you can decide whether you will accept 22 it or not. At present, if it is merely marked, it is 23 not accepted by the Commission and it is marked as a 24 first step towards ventilating the issue of whether you 25 accept it as an exhibit or do not accept it and I ask 26 that it be marked. 27 MR KENNY: I must say, I wasn't anticipating this 28 argument today and I have no instructions. 29 **COMSR:** I wasn't either. 30 MR KENNY: I wasn't present, if I remember 31 correctly, when Mr Tilmouth objected to the previous 32 letter. I might say that I don't have specific 33 instructions, but I will tell you what I say is my 34 understanding of the matter. 35 I am not saying this is absolute proof, but my 36 understanding was that the s.13 documents, if this was 37 one of them, were subject to an order of confidentiality 38 and that, as far as I am aware, that order has not been

M.M. AMON XXN (MR ABBOTT)

1	lifted. And I understood on the last occasion I think
2 3	counsel assisting was to write to the Commonwealth and
3	enquire as to its attitude on the release of the
4	document. I have no idea what the outcome of that was
5	or alternatively to make an application to the court for
6	the lifting of that confidentiality order. If there is
7	a confidentiality order in relation to this particular
8	document, then I would suggest that there has been a
9	breach of that confidence, because I understand from
10	that Mr Abbott wasn't a party to those proceedings and
11	it wasn't, as I understand it, released to him. So, I
12	would urge you to be very cautious in dealing with this
13	matter and perhaps simply I ask that this issue be stood
14	over until there is some clarification, particularly
15	from the other parties who were present in those Federal
16	Court proceedings. I understand that they have a
17	different view to Mr Meyer, but, again, I say I don't
18	have specific instructions in relation to that.
19	COMSR: Mr Kenny, I have been more than cautious
20	up to date. I haven't even marked the first one for
21	identification.
22	MR ABBOTT: I don't see any difference in marking it
23	for identification and releasing it to Mr Smith. The
24	fact is I have produced it and the witness has
25	identified it and I don't want to take the matter any
26	further until you have heard argument. I see no
27	difference in law in it being marked for identification
28	and released to Mr Smith. It might as well be marked on
29	the transcript.
30	COMSR: That depends on what the argument is as
31	to whether or not any use whatsoever can be made of it.
32	MR SMITH: Yes.
33	MR ABBOTT: But, if no use can be made of it,
34	Presumably it will be returned to me.
35	MR SMITH: Yes, the advantage in that course is
36	simply that, if the difficulties between the State and
37	the Commonwealth about this letter cannot be resolved,
38	then the letter won't go into the record and you will

38

M.M. AMON XXN (MR ABBOTT)

1 not take it into account. If they can be resolved, it 2 doesn't need Mrs Amon to come back and say 'Yes, that is the letter.' Yes, she has already done that. COMSR: 5 MR SMITH: That is the advantage. She has already 6 done it. So, therefore, it ought to be marked and 7 released out to me. 8 COMSR: Previously it was only marked, I think, 9 by the witness, or someone putting -10 MR SMITH: That is the Mouth House letter? 11 COMSR: Yes. 12 MR SMITH: Yes. 13 Putting a signature or initials or COMSR: something on it, I understand, so that it never actually 14 got to the stage of my marking it for identification on 15 16 that occasion. 17 MR SMITH: 18 MR KENNY: Just as a suggestion, perhaps if the witness could sign the back of it and place it in a 19 20 sealed envelope and then hand it to Mr Smith? 21 COMSR: I think handing it to Mr Smith might 22 be -23 MR KENNY: I am concerned that there is one further 24 breach of confidentiality if there is an order. 25 The problem is not the confidentiality MR SMITH: 26 order. My friend is mistaken about that. It is a wider 27 problem of discovery and the use of discovered 28 documents. That is the problem. 29 Could I ask you to mark the letter and have it 30 released to me? MR MEYER: 31 And that cures Mr Kenny's problem. If 32 it is marked and released to Mr Smith, it is limited. 33 Perhaps we could have some indication of COMSR: 34 its date, at least? 35 MR SMITH: Yes, it is 12 May 1994, the letter 36 itself. 37 COMSR: In any event, if it is marked for

identification, it doesn't come before me for

3465

KC 43E

M.M. AMON XXN (MR ABBOTT)

- consideration.
- MR SMITH: No.
- 3 MFI 204 Letter, dated 12 May 1994, marked 204
- for identification.
- 5 MR SMITH: I ask that, subject to all those
- 6 reservations, Mrs Amon be released.
- 7 Yes, with the understanding that she may COMSR:
- 8 be required.
- 9 MR SMÎTH: By Ms Nelson.
- 10 COMSR: By Ms Nelson.
- Yes, or may not. And she will return to 11 MR SMITH:
- 12 me the documents that have been requested.
- 13 COMSR: Yes.
- 14 COMSR
- 15 Q. You understand that, do you.
- A. Yes, I do. 16
- Q. You have been released. A. All right. 17
- 18
- 19 Q. But there is the possibility that you may be required to
- 20 return.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. For further cross-examination.
- 23 A. Sure.
- 24 WITNESS STANDS DOWN

K.E.R. ALPORT XN (MR SMITH)

- 1 MR SMITH CALLS
- 2 KATE ELIZABETH RUMBALD ALPORT SWORN
- 3 EXAMINATION BY MR SMITH
- 4 Q. I think you are a curatorial officer at the South
- 5 Australian Museum, is that correct.
- 6 A. That's correct.
- Q. You have held that position now for approximately ten
- 8 years.9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. I think, in connection with a particular incident, you
- provided a statement to the Commission about what you
- saw on that day.
- 13 A. I did.
- 14 Q. Looking at this document produced to you, do you
- recognise that as a copy of your statement signed by you on 28 July 1995.
- 17 A. That is so.
- 18 EXHIBIT 205 Statement of witness, K.E.R. Alport,
- dated 28 July 1995, tendered by Mr
- Smith. Admitted.
- 21 Q. As your statement makes clear, you well-know Steven
- Hemming and Doreen Kartinyeri.
- 23 A. I do.
- 24 Q. And a number of other staff members in the Anthropology
- 25 Division, including Philip Jones.
- 26 A. Yes.
- 27 Q. And Philip Clarke.
- 28 A. Yes.
- 29 Q. You recall a day, do you not, when a letter was sent to
- 30 the Federal Minister from the museum.
- 31 A. Yes, I do.
- 32 Q. You were in the lunch room I think when this matter
- first arose.
- 34 A. That's right.
- 35 Q. Can you tell us who was in the lunch room with you.
- 36 A. Margaret Amon and Steven Hemming and Doreen Kartinyeri.
- There may have been others, but I don't recall
- 38 specifically.

K.E.R. ALPORT XN (MR SMITH)

- 1 Q. I think there was a discussion in the lunch room.
- 2 A. That's right.
- Q. There obviously would have been, I suppose, but, in particular, it related to the Hindmarsh Island bridge
- 5 dispute, did it not.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Who was talking about it. Everybody, or -
- 8 A. No, I can recall that Doreen came into the room and was talking with Steve about it. I wasn't involved in that conversation.
- 11 Q. Can you remember, although you weren't involved in it,
- can you remember the gist of it. Was it concerning, in particular, about the Hindmarsh Island bridge.
- 14 A. It was concerning sending a letter to Mr Tickner.
- 15 Q. What did you then observe or hear.
- A. I saw a letter in Doreen's hand. I wasn't party to that conversation, so I wasn't paying particular attention.
- 18 Q. Mr Hemming, what was his involvement, if any, in the letter, that you could see.
- 20 A. He was involved in a conversation with Doreen. He was
- being supportive, would be how I would describe it, and
 I think he had some suggestions, but she had a letter
- already written in her hand.
- 24 Q. Were the suggestions focused on the letter.
- 25 Å. I think so.
- 26 Q. What else do you remember happening on that day.
- 27 A. Some discussion about the letter. As I say, I wasn't
- listening, in particular. I think it was just perhaps
- some wording in the letter.
- 30 Q. Then did you observe anything happening, anything
- 31 further happening about the letter.
- 32 A. They did leave the room and I wasn't aware of what
- happened after that.
- 34 CONTINUED

3468

RF 43F

K.E.R. ALPORT XN (MR SMITH) XXN (MR ABBOTT)

- **COMSR**
- Q. This was an open conversation, if I can put it that way. 2
- A. No, but it's a small room. I was just able to hear a
- little bit.
- 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR ABBOTT
- Q. Can you assist us with the date. Would it have been 12 6
- 7 May.
- 8 A. I can't be specific about the date.
- Q. Was it in May.
- 10 A. Yes, it was in May.
- Q. 1994. A. Yes. 11
- 12
- 13 COMSR: Subject to the proviso that Ms Nelson
- may be interested in cross-examining you, you are 14
- 15 released.
- 16 NO RE-EXAMINATION
- NO FURTHER QUESTIONS 17
- 18 WITNESS RELEASED

RF 43F

- 1 WITNESS D.A. WILSON, FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR ABBOTT
- Q. You are still on your oath, you haven't been released.
- The oath you took before still binds you.
- 4 A. Yes, yes.
- 5 Q. Do you have your statement with you.
- 6 A. No, I haven't.
- 7 COMSR: There are two statements actually.
- 8 There is one statement that has several portions
- 9 deleted.
- 10 MR ABBOTT: Yes, I am talking about the undeleted
- 11 one.
- 12 STATEMENT PRODUCED TO WITNESS
- 13 XXN
- 14 Q. I want to ask you some questions about what we have
- called the Mouth House letter.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. In your statement it is at p.18, in para.3.31, I think
- 18 it is.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You told us before that the women had decided that a
- 21 letter should be sent to Mr Tickner to protest against
- the bridge, and your belief was that it was Eileen who
- suggested you should do this.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Do you remember Eileen then writing out a letter.
- 26 A. Yes, I do.
- 27 Q. And this was at the Bunkhouse, as you've described it.
- 28 A. Yes, it was, yes.
- 29 Q. Have you now found out another name for what you have
- 30 termed the Bunkhouse.
- 31 A. Yes, I have.
- 32 Q. What is it.
- 33 A. The Pines.
- Q. It is a place called The Pines on Hindmarsh Island.
- 35 A. Yes, it is.
- 36 Q. Eileen McHughes then started writing on a piece of
- 37 paper.
- 38 A. Yes, she did.

- Q. You initialled or marked a letter before, when you 2 previously gave evidence.
- A. Yes, I did.

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q. I want to ask you some questions about how much she 5 wrote at any one time, and what parts were written in 6 your presence and what time. Could you look at the 7 document you referred to before.
- 8 MR SMITH: That is the document which was released Q into my custody on 11 August, so I produce that.
- 10 MR KENNY: I do rise at this stage. Mr Tilmouth 11 made objections to this document on the last occasion.
- 12 It was not received into evidence. As I understand the 13 position, the document still hasn't been clarified. It
- 14 appears the direction it is leading to is to present
- evidence about that letter to you, possibly in breach of 15 16 an order of the Federal Court.

Perhaps if there is another museum witness available, I would ask that this witness be stood down until 2.15. Mr Tilmouth will be back then. The reason he is not here at the moment is that we were not advised that this witness would be called today.

I can indicate that I didn't speak to Mr Smith, but I did speak to Miss Eszenyi, who was here yesterday, and her indication was that the agenda today would be staff from the museum. So we were not prepared in relation to the arguments for this letter or, indeed, in terms of questions of cross-examination of this witness in relation to the letter.

- 29 MR ABBOTT: Mr Kenny can rest assured I will not be 30 seeking to tender it. Merely to have it marked again, 31 after I have asked questions about it.
- 32 I think he apprehends you might be COMSR: 33 trying to get in its contents.
- 34 MR ABBOTT: I will not be trying to get in its 35 contents. I will need to refer to the first words,
- 36 which are totally innocuous, in one section, and the
- 37 last words of a particular section to delineate the area
- 38 I am looking at. It will not in any way involve

- attempting to get in, via oral evidence, the words that are written here.
- 3 MR KENNY: If he is reading out parts of it, I don't think it matters what interpretation Mr Abbott
- 5 puts on it. It is still the presentation to this
- 6 commission of what is part of that document.
- 7 COMSR: The witness can, of course, give 8 evidence concerning her recollection of this matter in 9 any event.
- 10 MR KENNY: Certainly, but not with the document in front of her, I say.
- 12 MR ABBOTT: I submit she can. It is her document,
- she signed it. She is entitled to say what form it was
- in when she saw it, and that is what I want her to give
- evidence about. When and if the document itself goes
- into evidence, then that section of her evidence will be
- relevant and you can see, madam, what parts were added in her absence.
- 19 MR KENNY: I say I wouldn't accept it was her 20 document. I would concede she may have signed it, but
- that doesn't necessarily make it her document. That is
- the only point I wish to make.
- 23 COMSR: On the assurance that we are not going to get the contents of the document in in this method.
- to get the contents of the document in in this method, that you are simply attempting to discover the form of
- the document at the time, I propose to allow the
- 27 question.
- 28 XXN
- 29 Q. The document that you have at present consists of two pages.
- 31 A. Yes.
- 32 Q. When you first saw Eileen McHughes writing at the
- Bunkhouse, now known as The Pines, how much did she write.
- 35 A. Well, I thought that she wrote two thirds of the page.
- 36 Q. Do you see two thirds of a page in her handwriting
- 37 before you.
- 38 A. Yes.

- Q. Is it that part which begins `The Ngarrindjeri women' and which ends 'Doing this to us.'
- A. Yes.
- Q. Does that currently form two thirds of the first page of 5 this document.
- 6
- 7 Q. How long did it take for Eileen McHughes to write that 8 two thirds of a page.
- 9 A. I guess it took about half an hour to three quarters of 10 an hour, because we were all having input into what
- 11 words she should write down. That's why it took so 12
- 13 Q. It wasn't just a case of you signing it. You actually 14 had some input in this two thirds of a page.
- A. Yes. Yes, I did. 15
- 16 Q. When Eileen McHughes had finished the two thirds of the
- 17 first page, did you see her then writing on a second page. 18
- 19 A. Yes, she started to write the second page, yes.
- 20 Q. You see before you a second page.
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- 22 Q. Headed by the words `Women's business'.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. There is then a paragraph of five lines. A. Yes. 24
- 25
- 26 Q. Did you see her writing that.
- A. No, not the whole five lines, no. 27
- 28 Q. At the Bunkhouse, how much of the second page did you 29 see her writing.
- 30 A. Well, I saw her start the second page.
- 31 Q. Did you see her write the words `women's business'. Was
- 32 that done in front of you.
- 33 A. No, she was sitting a couple of tables away from me when 34 she was writing it.
- 35 Q. When you left the Bunkhouse - you have told us that you
- 36 left the Bunkhouse - had some names been put on this
- 37 document.
- 38 A. Yes, there had.

RF 43F

- 1 Q. On p.2 of this document there are a number of names.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Written by Eileen McHughes.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And underneath, a number of signatures.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Including yours.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Were the names of the women on p.2 written on it by Eileen McHughes at the Bunkhouse.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Were some of the signatures, but not all of the
- signatures, placed on this document at the Bunkhouse.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Are you able to tell us which of the signatures were not placed on this document at the Bunkhouse.
- 17 A. Shirley Trevorrow's wasn't placed on it at the Bunkhouse
- because she was at the Mouth House, and Patti Kropinyeri
- wasn't there when Doreen told us about the business, so
- she signed the document at the Mouth House too. The last two signatures.
- Q. Patti had come to the Mouth House later that afternoon,I think.
- 24 A. Yes, she had.
- 25 Q. In any event, at the Bunkhouse, The Pines, I think you
- saw Eileen McHughes give two pages of this document before you, to Sarah Milera.
- A. I didn't actually see Eileen give it to Sarah, no, but I knew Sarah had it.
- 30 Q. You knew Sarah had it because you went with her.
- 31 A. Yes, I took her over to the Mouth House.
- 32 Q. At that stage, and with reference to the document before
- you, was it your understanding that it consisted of the
- first page, namely, two thirds of the first page only -
- 35 A. Yes.
- 36 Q. And the second page, but not all the signatures that are
- 37 now on it.
- 38 A. Yes.

- Q. Having got to the Mouth House, you have told us that
- 2 Shirley and George Trevorrow were there, Doug Milera,
- 3 Vic Wilson and Tim Wooley - whom you knew as a lawyer from the ALRM.
- A. Yes. 5
- 6 Q. You have also told us that some five or ten minutes
- later the other women who had been at the Bunkhouse
- arrived in a bus. 8
- A. Yes, they did. 9
- Q. You had gone over in a car with Sarah Milera. A. Yes, I had. 10
- 11
- 12 Q. But not all the women, when they came over to the Mouth
- 13 House, came in.
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Some went for a walk. 15
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Some did other things. 17
- A. Yes, that's right. 18
- 19 Q. I think shortly after you arrived, as you have told us,
- 20 Doreen told Sarah to show the letter to Tim Wooley.
- 21 Wooley told you there wasn't enough in the letter to
- 22 stop the bridge.
- A. Yes, that's right. 23
- Q. Then you told us Doreen responded by saying `Well, what 24
- 25 else can we put?'
- 26 A. Yes.

28

- 27 Q. Then Doreen said to Patti Kropinyeri `Here Patti, write
 - this down'.
- 29 A. Yes, she did.
- 30 Q. On the document you have got at present in front of you.
- A. Yes. 31
- 32 Q. What did you understand Patti wrote down, without
- 33 reading it out, just identify the lines. First of all,
- 34 what page are you referring to.
- A. To p.1. 35
- 36 Q. And immediately under the first two thirds, are there
- 37 three lines.
- 38 A. Yes.

RF 43F

- 1 Q. The first line begins `Kumarangk'.
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And the third line ends with the words `women's business'.
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Are they the three lines that you saw that Doreen directed Patti Kropinyeri to write down.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. At the time when that was done, put aside how it looks
- now, can you tell us whether it was done at the top of a page, at the bottom of a page.
- 12 A. I think it was done she started at the top of the page.
- 14 Q. It was done on a fresh page, wasn't it.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. On this document it is not at the top of a page.
- 17 A. Yes, I know.
- 18 Q. Nor is it on a fresh page, is it.
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Are you able to tell us how those three lines came to be
- transposed to below the two thirds of the page that you
- had seen Eileen McHughes write out.
- 23 A. No, I wouldn't have a clue.
- 24 Q. To use the vernacular, someone has done a
- paste-and-scissors job on this.
- 26 A. Yes, I would say so.
- 27 Q. Are you able to tell us who did it.
- 28 A. No, I'm not.
- 29 Q. The rest of what is on p.1 of this document, you
- understand to be a direct quote from Dr Neale Draper'sreport.
- 32 COMSR: We are not going to get chapter and
- verse of that?
- 34 MR ABBOTT: No, it is an exhibit before you.
- 35 COMSR: I know that is an exhibit before me, but
- this letter isn't an exhibit before me.
- 37 MR ABBOTT: No. I am just identifying it. It comes
- from p.1 of Dr Draper's report.

RF 43F

- 1 COMSR: That is getting pretty close.
- 2 MR ABBOTT: I want to know if she knows how that got
- on this document. That is all.
- 4 XXN
- 5 Q. Do you know how that got on p.1 of this document.
- 6 A. No, I don't.
- 7 Q. I think that after Doreen told Patti Kropinyeri to write
- 8 the three lines you have told us about and I will not
- 9 go into the conversation that took place until this
- matter is clarified, so I may need to ask some questions
- later on at another time Vic Wilson pointed to a
- colour aerial photograph of the island on the wall of
- the shack.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Vic said `Look at that map up there'.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Did Doug Milera then say something.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What did he say.
- 20 A. He told us -
- 21 OBJECTION Ms Pyke objects.
- 22 MS PYKE: We have had all this evidence before.
- This has all been done before.
- 24 MR ABBOTT: I don't want to seek again what we had
- 25 before.
- 26 XXN
- Q. In any event, as a result of that discussion between
- 28 Doug Milera and Doreen Kartinyeri, in your presence, did
- you see anything then added to this letter.
- 30 A. No, I didn't.
- 31 Q. Looking at this letter then, I ask whether you can tell
- us which of the names on p.2, that is the signatures on
- p.2, were added at the Mouth House.
- 34 A. Shirley Trevorrow's name was added, and so was Patricia
- 35 Kropinyeri.
- 36 CONTINUED

D.A. WILSON XN (MR ABBOTT)

OBJECTION Mr Kenny objects. 2 MR KENNY: Again this is giving evidence about what is contained in the document. This is about the third time, despite his assurance that he wouldn't. 5 Unless the document goes in, of course, COMSR: 6 it will mean nothing. 7 MR ABBOTT: Exactly. 8 COMSR: Very little. 9 MR KENNY: It's public. It has been made public 10 what names are on those letters. I don't know how those 11 people feel and what their position is. 12 MR ABBOTT: It's already been given in evidence, 13 it's already been made public months ago. Yes, the witness, although I can't make 14 COMSR: 15 use of it -16 MR KENNY: When the evidence was given months ago, 17 I understand we were excluded. If my objection comes 18 late, it is for that reason. 19 COMSR: It wasn't made public at that stage. I 20 can't recall -21 MR KENNY: It was in private session. 22 It was made public to counsel at that MR ABBOTT: 23 stage, not public to you. 24 MR KENNY: No, I don't think it was. I was 25 excluded as a male from those earlier sessions. I 26 wasn't present. I was given a list of what was said, 27 only about my clients, and I think that statement really was along the lines of `Victor pointed to the map'. 28 29 MR ABBOTT: I don't think we're -30 MR KENNY: I've since had the transcript of some of 31 that evidence, not all of it, some of it is still, as I 32 understand it, suppressed, because my transcript has 33 gaps in it, but I have not had the opportunity to object 34 or raise the points before, is simply the point I'm 35 making. 36 COMSR: I suppose the difficulty for the time being can be got over by suppressing from publication 37 38 any mention of the names that have been referred to as

D.A. WILSON XN (MR ABBOTT)

- 1 being in the letter. As I say, it is of limited use to 2 me at this stage, the evidence as to the contents of the 3 letter, because I don't know the contents of the letter unless and until it becomes an exhibit. 5 I have another copy of this document. MR ABBOTT: 6 I'm just wondering, so there can be no doubt about it, I 7 think I have sufficiently identified the parts that I 8 wish to refer to. For the benefit of my learned friend 9 Mr Kenny, at p.664, open hearing, Ms Shaw dealt with the 10 names, went through the entire list, and asked about 11 them in the presence of Mr Tilmouth, went through the 12 entire list of names that are printed there and the 13 signatures and asked who they were and what relationship 14 they were to this witness. You see, the witness was present. She 15 COMSR: 16 is entitled to give evidence as to what took place when she was there. The document can't -17 MR KENNY: Certainly, but it's a question of if she
- MR KENNY: Certainly, but it's a question of if she remembers who signed it and where, it's not a question of whether she can read from the document.
- MR ABBOTT: You're criticising me for breaching the contents. All I'm saying is that part of the contents escaped without visible complaint some months ago in the presence of Mr Tilmouth.
- MR KENNY: I don't know if that was before or after Mr Tilmouth raised his objection to this letter, but there certainly was an objection raised.
- 28 COMSR: I can't recall at this stage -
- 29 MR KENNY: We won't take that objection.

30 XN

- Q. I will not ask you any further questions about what was said about the conversation that led to the compilation
- of this document, but am I correct, then, in assuming
- that when you left with Sarah Milera to go to Signal
- Point, that your understanding was that there was three
- pages, the two pages that had taken place at the
- Bunkhouse, and a third page which at least had three
- lines on it that you'd seen Patty Kropinyeri write on

- l it.
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you see any of these three pages again, that is in the immediate future, like the next hour or two hours or day.
- 6 A. No, I didn't.
- 7 Q. In relation to this document as it is at present
- 8 consisting only of two pages, the bottom section which
- 9 I've told you comes from Dr Draper's report, appears now
- to have been incorporated in it in a way which, to the
- casual reader, would indicate you are a signatory to an
- 12 endorsement of that position.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Were you aware of the contents of Dr Draper's report.
- 15 A. No, I wasn't.
- 16 Q. Had anyone explained those matters at the bottom of p.1 to you.
- 18 A. No, they hadn't.
- 19 Q. When you signed this document, were you signing as an endorsement of that view at the bottom of p.1.
- 21 A. No. I wasn't.
- 22 MR ABBOTT: There is a minute, which is suppressed,
- 23 the one that my learned friend several months ago was
- 24 going to get instructions on, the Lower Murray Nunga's
- 25 Club. The minute is -
- 26 COMSR: Was that the one, as at the time when
- 27 Mrs Wilson gave her evidence, there was no evidence as
- 28 to whether or not those minutes of meeting had been
- accepted or confirmed?
- 30 MR ABBOTT: Yes. It's either Exhibit or MFI 52.
- Exhibit 52, it is an exhibit, but it was received, I
- think, eventually because of Mr Kenny's client's either
- refusal or disinclination to give any instructions on
- it. It went in eventually, and is suppressed.
- 35 COMSR: The question is now whether it has
- 36 gone in as unendorsed minutes at the present stage. The
- 37 question is whether or not those minutes were ever
- and endorsed or confirmed.

- 1 MR ABBOTT: I want to ask some questions about it.
- 2 XN
- 3 Q. Looking at Exhibit 52, the Minutes of the Lower Murray
- Nunga's Club which you obtained, I think, in the week commencing Monday 1 August 1994, did you not.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Are these minutes purporting to be the minutes of
- 8 meeting of the Lower Murray Nunga's Club held on
- 9 Saturday 30 July at the Nunga's Centre in Murray Bridge.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. I think you didn't attend that meeting.
- 12 A. No, I didn't.
- 13 Q. Did you attend a subsequent meeting of the Nunga's Club.
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- 15 MR KENNY: On the face of it, it doesn't appear
- that Exhibit 52 is actually meeting of the Lower MurrayNunga's Club.
- 18 MR ABBOTT: She has identified it it as such.
- 19 Sorry, it's the Ngarrindjeri Action Group. My learned
- 20 friend, Mr Kenny, is correct, for once.
- 21 COMSR: I notice you're taking no objection.
- 22 MR ABBOTT: He and I have a good rapport.
- 23 COMSR: Despite appearances to the contrary.
- 24 MR ABBOTT: We're men enough to have a drink
- afterwards.
- 26 COMSR: Let's clarify the situation.
- 27 MR KENNY: I have not had a drink after with Mr
- Abbott. In case someone wants to believe that I have
- been drinking with Mr Abbott, let me make it very clear:
- I may have, some 10 years ago, probably more, might have
- 31 had a drink with Mr Abbott.
- 32 COMSR: I accept that you're protecting your
- good name. However, let's proceed with the matter.
- 34 XN
- 35 Q. To take up where I was corrected this is, in fact, a
- meeting of the Ngarrindjeri Action Group. You know of
- that Ngarrindjeri Action Group, do you not.
- 38 A. Yes, I do.

- 1 Q. How does that fit in with the Lower Murray Nunga's Club.
- 2 A. Well, the Ngarrindjeri Action Group was formed, it was
- 3 some women from Adelaide and some women from Murray
- Bridge, in conjunction with the Hindmarsh Island affair,
- 5 so it was just a committee that was formed to deal with
- 6 the Hindmarsh Island affair.
- 7 Q. Was it formed under the auspices of the Lower Murray
- 8 Nunga's Club.
- 9 A. No, it wasn't.
- 10 Q. Were you part of the Ngarrindjeri Action Group.
- 11 A. No, I wasn't.
- 12 Q. Did you attend a meeting of the Ngarrindjeri Action
- Group at the Nunga's Club in August 1994.
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- 15 Q. Were minutes distributed to the meeting and approved by the meeting.
- 17 A. Yes, there was.
- 18 Q. Looking at Exhibit 52, was this distributed to the
- meeting at which you were present.
- 20 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Did the Ngarrindjeri Action Group approve those minutes
 at that meeting at which you were present.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Were you handed a copy of these minutes, that is Exhibit
- 25 52, in the same form as the fax copy that you received.
- 26 A. Yes, only it didn't have the letters cut off from the
- edge. It was a complete copy.
- 28 Q. A better copy.
- 29 A. Yes.
- 30 COMSR
- 31 Q. Just so that I'm clear, you attended the meeting and you
- were handed a fresh copy of the minutes of the meeting.
- 33 A. Yes.
- 34 Q. Those minutes were then confirmed.
- 35 A. Yes, they were.
- 36 XN
- 37 Q. The last time you had those formally approved minutes in
- your possession was when you left your copy of them on

- the desk in the main office area of the administration section of the Nunga's Club.
- 3 A. Yes, that's right.
- 4 Q. Shortly after the meeting -
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. at which they had been approved.
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. You haven't seen them again, apart from the copy in this exhibit.
- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 COMSR: What's the group, that committee,
- referred to as?
- 13 MR ABBOTT: It's the Ngarrindjeri Action Group.
- 14 COMSR
- 15 Q. Is that a subcommittee of the Nunga's Club?
- 16 A. No, it's not. It was formed in Adelaide here with some
- of the women from Konanda, which was Val Power and Shirley Piersley and Vi Deuschle, and they formed that
- committee with some of the women from Murray Bridge.
- 20 XN
- 21 MR ABBOTT: In view of the fact that the minutes
- have been approved and are not merely draft minutes but
- 23 accepted and adopted by the Ngarrindjeri action group, I 24 ask that the suppression from publication previously
- 25 imposed be lifted.
- 26 COMSR: It's a long time since I've had a look at the contents of this.
- 28 MR KENNY: I asked that further discussion on this
- 29 point be adjourned until 2.15. I would need too seek
- some clarification on a particular point, and perhaps
- 31 speak to Mr Tilmouth about it.
- 32 MR ABBOTT: Are there any question you want to ask
- 33 my client on it before?
- 34 MR KENNY: I don't wish to ask any questions at
- 35 this stage. I do have questions, but I don't want to
- ask them at this stage.
- 37 COMSR: We have reached the stage where hasn't
- the witness been cross-examined by all?

1	MR ABBOTT: The witness has been cross-examined by
2 3	all, and I'm anxious that she finish her
	cross-examination as soon as humanly possible. I
4	formally seek to have marked for identification what we
5	have referred to as the Mouth House letter, the one that
6	was handed up initialled by this witness, released into
7	the custody of Mr Smith. I ask that it be formally
8	marked for identification, and that you and counsel
9	assisting and interested parties have it so that you can
10	hear argument as to its admissibility as an exhibit.
11	COMSR: It hasn't as yet been marked. Yes, well
12	it's marked on the same basis that I don't propose to
13	receive it until I'm persuaded that it's proper to do
14	SO.
15	MFI 206 Mouth House letter tendered by Mr
16	Abbott. admitted
17	MR ABBOTT: In the unlikely event that any counsel
18	here doesn't have a copy, presumably copies can be made
19	to at least assist for the purposes of argument.
20	MR KENNY: Of the letter? Sorry, I was reading the
21	minutes again. I don't have a copy of that and would
22	appreciate a copy.
23	MR ABBOTT: I can make a copy available providing -
24	COMSR: Is this letter - I haven't looked at it,
25	but is it subject to any considerations under s.35?
26	MR ABBOTT: Not any more, I would have thought.
27	MR KENNY: It hasn't exactly been published.
28	COMSR: No, and I don't propose to look at it.
29	MR ABBOTT: The section, if you accept that part of
30	it is a direct lift from Dr Draper's report, would be
31	subject to s.35.
32	MR MEYER: I think that's right.
33	MR ABBOTT: But the rest of it, on my reading of it,
34	would not involve s.35 or, if it did, it would be
35	material already in the public domain.
36	MR MEYER: Just so we don't get into trouble, if a
37	section is lifted from Dr Draper's report, that is one
38	of the reports that was subject to s.35 in the Federal

38

D.A. WILSON XN (MR ABBOTT)

1 Court proceedings so, for consistency, you would deal 2 with that section at least by saying it's a s.35 3 document, because that divorces that section, then, from any arguments about confidentiality. 5 COMSR: Yes. I'm concerned about the release of 6 this letter, except to those persons who have signed the 7 undertaking required by me before I will release any 8 s.35 matter. As I've got a fresh authorisation there, I 9 am requiring a fresh undertaking to be signed in the 10 circumstances. We would be happy to sign. 11 MR ABBOTT: 12 MR SMITH: I will arrange that, and prudence would 13 suggest that that portion of that letter at least is 14 s.35 material. 15 COMSR: I think the whole letter, in fact. We 16 are not going to splice it up into portions. 17 MR SMITH: No. Indeed. 18 MR MEYER: It was suggested in the Federal Court proceedings that, in fact, it was part of the Draper 19 20 report, so it wasn't treated in that way in those 21 proceedings. I'm saying that as a matter of precaution, 22 but it wasn't treated that way in the Federal Court. 23 Let me be clear; my client does not want MR ABBOTT: 24 suppressed from publication what she signed, and what 25 she thought she was signing. 26 COMSR: But there are a lot of signatories to it 27 and, not only that, it is the document which is in issue 28 at the present time. 29 MR ABBOTT: Certainly. I'm just telling you, so 30 that as far as it contains, unbeknown to her at the 31 time, material which we now find was in Dr Draper's 32 report, that I would have thought could be excised in 33 due course. 34 Nothing is going to be excised. The COMSR: fact of the matter is that the letter is not before me 35 36 and it will not be before me until I am satisfied that I 37 can receive it. Any counsel that requires access to it

for the purpose of addressing an argument to me can only

1	do so the basis of a further undertaking as to
2	confidentiality, and it's for the limited purpose only
3	of addressing arguments to me on its admissibility.
4	MR ABBOTT: Are you asking about the status of the
5	cross-examination?
6	COMSR: Yes.
7	MR ABBOTT: My understanding is that the
8	cross-examination, other than Mr Tilmouth reserving the
9	right to cross-examine on this letter, has been
10	completed by all parties.
11	MR KENNY: And also in relation to her suppressed
12	evidence on the previous occasion. I did not have the
13	suppressed evidence when we were considering the
14	question of cross-examination, so it's essentially the
15	period around 9 May that we had very little information,
16	and we now do have the evidence since the suppression
17	order has been lifted, but particularly with respect to
18	this letter, if this letter is to become an exhibit
19	before you, then no doubt that will affect our
20	cross-examination. If it doesn't, it will also affect
21	our cross-examination concerning the meeting at the
22	Bunkhouse. We therefore would ask that until the letter
23	is clarified, that we not be required to cross-examine
24	this witness further.
25	MR ABBOTT: We would oppose that. We submit the
26	cross-examination should take place now, today, so that
27	my client can go away from this Commission knowing that
28	she has finished being questioned. She has been at the
29	beck and call of this Commission, and I say that not in
30	any derogatory sense, but for some months -
31	COMSR: Yes, it has been an extended period of
32	time.
33	MR ABBOTT: It's been a considerable strain to her,
34	and she would like to finish as soon as possible. I
35	would submit that my learned friends, all of them, if
36	they have any further questions, should ask them, and we
37	should all do our best to finish the cross-examination
38	so that she can get on with her life.

3486

TN 43G

1	MS PYKE:	Can I make one comment? I understood
1	MIS FIRE.	Call I make one comment? I understood,
2	on the last o	ccasion, because of the way in which the
3	evidence fro	om this witness had been led, that is by her
4	own council	, that there will be re-examination - I think
5	at that stage	it was going to be by Ms Simpson - on the
6		there was anything which arose out of the

- re-examination, other counsel would have an opportunity to ask questions because of the way in which the matter had unfolded, and I just CONTINUED

KC 43H

1	MR SMITH: Could I interrupt my learned friend,
2	because Ms Simpson has got questions to ask of this
3	witness in private session, which will take us until
4	lunchtime. Mr Tilmouth will be back at 2.15 and
5	hopefully we will be able to finish Dorothy Wilson's
6	cross-examination.
7	So, does that satisfy everybody?
8	COMSR: I don't think it satisfies Mr Kenny
9	whose point is that, unless the situation in respect of
10	the admissibility of the evidence is clarified, you are
11	not in a position to determine how best to cross-examine
12	the witness on that material. I think that is it.
13	MR SMITH: Mr Tilmouth will be back at 2.15 and
14	this witness won't be finished until then. So, what if
15	we just keep going now and get this private session
16	examination over now?
17	COMSR: Private session restricted to women
18	only?
19	MR SMITH: Women only.
20	COMSR: And that must be in terms of the s.35
21	authorisation, which would restrict the session only to
22	
23	
24	MR MEYER: We don't have female counsel here. I an
25	happy to leave Mrs Chapman here, who won't take notes.
26	
27	closed, until 2.15?
28	
29	HEARING CONTINUES IN PRIVATE

Closed hearing

11.10.95

Pages 3488 - 3492

3493

RF 43J

D.A. WILSON

	B.H. WIESON
1	RESUMING 2.23 P.M.
2	HEARING CONTINUES IN PUBLIC
3	COMSR: We have Mrs Wilson in the box. I think
4	the intimation was we had finished all the
5	cross-examination of Mrs Wilson other than any
6	cross-examination that you might have, Mr Tilmouth. We
7	have now resumed in public session.
8	MR MEYER: I do have a couple of questions
9	relating to the membership of Ngarrindjeri Action
10	Group now that those minutes have been dealt with, and
11	some limited questions on the reconciliation meeting on
12	28 May 1994. I haven't previously cross-examined Mrs
13	Wilson, but I indicated I had no questions, they are the
14	only two matters I have to touch upon, and I am happy to
15	do that after Mr Tilmouth.
16	MR STRATFORD: You will remember that I was here when
17	Mrs Wilson was last in the witness box. I reserved the
18	right to ask some questions and I would now like to ask
19	a few questions, but limited only to the incident at the
20	Mouth House.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	I ask the questions being conscious that it is
22	possible that Mr Tilmouth will object to the questions
23	on the grounds of his clients' claim to legal
24	professional privilege. I indicate I will try to avoid
25	matters that might be associated with questions of
26	privilege, but that is a matter we will have to address
27	as we come to.
28	COMSR: I am not too sure of the status - when
29	Mr Tilmouth says his clients' claim, I take it we are
30	talking about the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage
31	Committee.
32	MR STRATFORD: That is so.
33	COMSR: That is an issue that hasn't yet been
34	resolved. I haven't heard who the committee is, what
35	its composition is.
36	MR STRATFORD: This is one of the difficulties that I
37	have in asking Mrs Wilson some questions. That is an
4 ×	iccup that hach't boon recolved. The other difficulty

RF 43J

D.A. WILSON

1 that I have is that I wasn't here for this morning's 2 session. I must say that was due to a misunderstanding. 3 Mr Smith told me last night that Mrs Wilson would give evidence today, but when he read out his list of 5 witnesses today he didn't mention Mrs Wilson. 6 I asked his instructing solicitor what had happened 7 there, and I was told Mrs Wilson wouldn't be returning 8 to the witness box until after section 13 documents had 9 been dealt with. So I took myself back to my office, 10 and that is why I wasn't here for this morning's 11 session. There was obviously a problem with 12 communication. 13 COMSR: Your cross-examination will be limited 14 only to those matters which affect your client? MR STRATFORD: Yes, and with particular reference to 15 16 the Mouth House. I do not intend to ask any questions 17 at large, but just to 9 May 1994, and, as I indicated to you, I will endeavour to phrase the questions and ask 18 19 the witness to answer the questions in such a way that 20 we do not offend any privilege that Mr Tilmouth's 21 clients might have. 22 MR ABBOTT: I don't urge my friend to frame his 23 questions in any way, because I cannot possibly see how 24 any questions he puts to my client can offend Mr Tilmouth's privilege, if he has any. 25 26 COMSR: Yes, that is an issue yet to be resolved. If anyone has a privilege, I suppose it is 27 28 the committee of the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage 29 Committee, not any members for the time being, surely. 30 MR TILMOUTH: That is my submission, of course, as I 31 put it this morning. I raised this very early in the 32 transcript. Would it be convenient to deal with it on 33 this basis? My instructions and Mr Stratford's 34 instructions are that this essentially was a meeting of 35 the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee, with Mr 36 Wooley giving legal advice, but it is clear, of course, 37 that there were other things going on as well.

RF 43J

D.A. WILSON

1	COMSR: And a much wider audience present than
2	that.
3	MR TILMOUTH: That is the way it has been presented.
4	My main concern at the moment is that I am not seen to
5	be waiving any question of privilege on this issue. If
6	I let the cross-examination go ahead, subject to
7	specific objections, I am concerned that it is not going
8	to be argued later on we waived privilege over the
9	issue. That it be used against us, if you understand
10	what I mean.
11	COMSR: Yes. Your application to appear before
12	me was that you were seeking leave to appear on behalf
13	of certain individuals, and you made that clear on the
14	first day and later when you sought leave to appear.
15	You said that you were appearing on behalf of those
16	named individuals.
17	MR TILMOUTH: Yes, but I also mentioned they were
18	executive members of the committee at that stage.
19	COMSR: Yes, you did from time to time mention
20	that.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	MR TILMOUTH: There was no corporate body at that time
22	so it could only have been individual members. But my
23	concern for the moment was 9 May, this meeting at the
24	Mouth House, two of my clients were there, and they were
23 26	there, let us say, at least partly for legal advice as committee members.
20	COMSR: I don't have any evidence to that
27	effect.
29	MR TILMOUTH: They are my instructions. I have made
30	that point before. I am simply concerned at this stage,
31	if we allow it to go ahead ahead it will be used later
32	for a waiver of privilege.
33	COMSR: You want to make it quite clear that you
34	are in no way waiving any privilege that your clients
35	may have in respect of this issue.
36	MR TILMOUTH: That is right. If a specific issue
37	arises that might touch the privilege issue or
38	otherwise, of course, I will make the objection. I

- understand that it has been put on a wider basis outside the area of the ambit of privilege. I understand that,
- 3 but of course at times it might have the capacity to
- 4 intrude. I will make that specific objection. But by
- 5 allowing Mr Stratford to go ahead now, I am making it
- clear I am not intending in any way that there be awaiver of the privilege.
- 8 COMSR: I will certainly note that.
- 9 MR SMITH: Can I add to that, if Mr Stratford is
- going to cross-examine Mrs Wilson concerning what was
- said and things that were done in the Mouth House on 9
- May, I would be asking him not to restrict his
- examination at all.
- 14 I would contend strongly that communications between
- a solicitor and a client in the presence of others and
- there were plainly others there is evidence of the
- absence of the necessary confidentiality, and privilege
- could not attach. I can give you a shelf of authority
- 19 for that proposition.
- 20 COMSR: In any event, I understand Mr Tilmouth
- 21 to be saying that he simply wants it to be noted that he
- is not waiving any privilege or any objection he may have in respect of that.
- 24 MR TILMOUTH: Simply by not objecting to Mr
- 25 Stratford's questions at the moment.26 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR STRATFORD
- Q. I want to ask you some questions associated with the meeting at the Mouth House on 9 May 1994. Do you
- 29 understand that.
- 30 A. Right, yes.
- 31 Q. As I understood from your earlier evidence to the
- 32 commission you in fact attended the Mouth House on two
- occasions on that day. Is that right.
- 34 A. No, I didn't.
- 35 Q. It was my understanding that you attended the Mouth
- House on two occasions in a car, and on one occasion
- only you alighted from the car. Is that right.
- 38 A. On May the 9th are you talking about?

- 1 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. No, I only went to the Mouth House once.
- Q. You didn't go to the Mouth House on two occasions by motor car.
- 5 A. Not on May 9th, no.
- 6 Q. Perhaps I misunderstood your earlier evidence. In any
- event, I want to ask you some questions on the occasion
- when you did attend at the Mouth House and you did alight from the motor car. Do you understand that.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. First of all, I want to ask you some questions to get a
- sequence of the events that occurred. Do you
- 13 understand.
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. It is my understanding of your earlier evidence that
- when you entered the Mouth House there was some sort of discussion in progress at that time.
- 18 A. No, there wasn't any discussion when I entered the house the shack.
- 20 Q. When you entered the house, were there a number of
- 21 people present in it.
- 22 A. Yes, there were.
- 23 Q. Did the people comprise both males and females.
- 24 A. One female was there when I entered the house, yes.
- 25 Q. Who was that female.
- 26 A. Shirley Trevorrow.
- 27 Q. You entered the Mouth House with whom.
- 28 A. Sarah Milera.
- 29 Q. So when you and Sarah entered, that made three women
- present at that time, did it.
- 31 A. Yes, it did.
- 32 Q. At some subsequent time, did Dr Kartinyeri enter the
- 33 Mouth House.
- 34 A. Yes, she did.
- 35 Q. Did any other women join you at the Mouth House.
- 36 A. Yes, they did.
- Q. Which other women came into the Mouth House.

- 1 A. Judy Kropinyeri, Iris Sparks, Doreen Kartinyeri and
- 2 Patti Kropinyeri.
- Q. Did the other women come in as a group or did they come in separately or in pairs.
- 5 A. No, the others the other four women came in together.
- Q. When you first entered into the Mouth House, how many men were present.
- 8 A. There was Doug, George and Victor and Tim Wooley.
- 9 Q. So that is Doug Milera, George Trevorrow, Victor Wilson and Tim Wooley, is that right.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. During the time you were in the Mouth House, did any other men join you.
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. After you entered the Mouth House, it is my
- understanding that you, together with Sarah Milera, went
- into a bedroom of the house, is that correct.
- 18 A. Yes, we did.
- 19 Q. Was that something that happened shortly after you
- 20 entered the house.
- 21 A. Yes, it was.
- 22 Q. Were you in the bedroom for some little time.
- 23 A. We were in there I guess for a couple of minutes.
- 24 Q. After you left the room, did you then return to the main
- 25 living area of the house.
- 26 A. Yes, we did.
- Q. At some time then after you returned to the main living area, was a letter produced.
- A. When we came out of the bedroom, Doreen asked Sarah to show Tim the letter, yes.
- 31 Q. Was that some time after you returned into the living
- 32 room.
- 33 A. No, when we came as soon as we walked out the bedroom,
- Doreen asked Sarah to show the letter to Tim.
- 35 Q. So that happened shortly after you returned to the room.
- 36 A. Yes, it did.
- 37 Q. The letter was produced by Sarah Milera to Dr
- 38 Kartinyeri.

- A. No, it wasn't. It was given to Tim Wooley. It was asked to be given to him.
- 3 Q. Without telling me anything about any discussion that might have ensued, was the letter shown to Tim Wooley 5 and was there then a chat about it.
- 6
- 7 Q. I will return to that discussion in just a moment. Was 8 it after that that there was some discussion about an 9 aerial photograph that was on the wall of the shack.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What I put to you is a sequence of how things occurred 12 on that day, is that right.
- 13 **OBJECTION** Mr Abbott objects.
- If it is being put on the basis that 14 MR ABBOTT:
- this is the sequence and nothing else occurred in 15
- 16 between these events, then it should be made clear. I
- 17 am particularly concerned that my learned friend is
- 18 putting that the letter was shown to Tim Wooley,
- 19 discussion about it, and then the next event was that
- 20 there was discussion about the photograph, because
- 21 that's not what the witness said in her statement, and
- 22 she ought to have her statement with her. 23
 - It is misrepresenting her evidence to suggest that
- 24 that is the only sequence. True it is that the
- 25 discussion about the photo occurred after the discussion
- 26 about the letter, but if the question is designed to -
- 27 COMSR: I think what Mr Stratford is putting is 28 that those events happened in that particular sequence.
- 29 Is that what you are putting? Rather than that they
- 30 were the only events.
- 31 MR STRATFORD: I am happy to take up the point my
- 32 friend makes, and I am happy to ask a further question
- 33 of Mrs Wilson.
- 34 MR ABBOTT: Can Mrs Wilson have her statement before
- her as well in case she needs to refer to it. 35
- 36 MR STRATFORD: Certainly if the witness could be shown
- 37 Exhibit 34.
- **QUESTION REPHRASED** 38

- 1 XXN
- 2 Q. At this stage, without asking you to refer to that
- 3 statement, unless you feel the need to refer to it, can
- 4 you tell me whether or not the events that I have
- 5 mentioned to you occurred in the order that I have put them to you.
- 7 A. What after the discussion with Tim Wooley?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Doreen asked Patti Kropinyeri to write down something on the pad that she gave her.
- 11 Q. So what you would say is that between the discussion
- about the letter, there was some further writing entered
- onto the letter. 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And then the photograph was addressed.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Is that right.
- 18 A. Yes, that's right.
- 19 Q. I suppose you have given a number of statements, and
- 20 given a number of interviews in relation to the matters
- 21 that are before this commission, and, in particular, the
- events of the Mouth House. Is that right.
- 23 A. If you like to call one, two, three, statements, yes.
- 24 Q. Amongst the statements that you provided, did you
- provide, by way of an interview with a Prue Goward, astatement on 22 May 1995.
- 27 A. With who? I'm sorry, I didn't hear.
- 28 Q. A person by the name of Prue Goward employed by the ABC.
- 29 A. No, I have not given a statement to the ABC.
- 30 Q. Do you remember giving an interview over the radio in May of 1995.
- 32 A. No, I've never given an interview over the radio.
- 33 Q. Could I just ask you to look at this document that I now
- produce and show to you. Could I ask you to scan that
- particular document. Perhaps I could just ask you to
- read only the first three pages, because the rest of
- that particular record seems to relate to other people.

- MR ABBOTT: My learned friend said this was on the
- 2 radio. Could this have been a television interview?
- The witness said she has never given an interview on the
- radio. If he asks her whether it was on television, he
- 5 might get another answer.
- 6
- Q. Have you read the first three pages of that document.
- 8 A. Yes, I have.
- 9 Q. Having read those first three pages, does that help you
- 10 remember whether or not that was a record, first of all,
- 11 of an interview you had with a person by the name of 12 Prue Goward.
- 13 A. No, it doesn't. I can't recall ever talking to Prue 14
 - Goward. Can you tell me where she is from?
- Q. I believe she is with the ABC Radio National, but I am 15
- 16 not 100 per cent sure about that. But, in any event, 17
- now that you have read those three pages, it doesn't 18
- help you remember giving such an interview. Is that 19 what you are saying.
- A. No, a lot of people rang me up and spoke to me, and I 20 21 said to them that I didn't want to make anything - a
- 22 public thing about it, I had said what I wanted to say
- 23 to Channel 10 and that was it.
- 24 **COMSR**
- 25 Q. Did you give an interview to anybody, whether on radio 26 or television.
- 27 A. Yes, I did.
- 28 Q. And is that a record of the interview you remember 29
- 30 A. No, I don't recall this. Not at all.
- 31 XXN
- 32 Q. Can I ask you, having read that record there, does it
- 33 accord with your present recollection of events that
- 34 occurred at the Mouth House on 9 May 1994.
- 35 A. Yes, it does.
- 36 Q. Perhaps taking it a piece at the time, could I ask you
- just to refer to the bottom part of the first page where 37

RF 43J

- there is a reference to a meeting of women. Do you see that.
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. That appears to be the meeting of the women that was held at the Bunkhouse, doesn't it.
- 6 A. Yes, it does.
- 7 Q. That is a fact, isn't it, that the women had a meeting
- 8 to talk about why the island was sacred to them.
- 9 A. Yes, it was.
- 10 Q. There is some information set out there about what you were told. Do you see that.
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
- 13 Q. Then the record indicates that you went over to a shack
- where the men were. Do you see that.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. That is a reference, isn't it, to the Mouth House shack.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Is that right.
- 19 A. Yes, that's right.
- 20 Q. Then this is attributed to you `At the shack where the
- 21 lawyer was'. Do you see that.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And that's true, isn't it, it was the shack where the
- 24 lawyer was.
- 25 A. Yes, it was.
- 26 Q. The lawyer is Mr Wooley that you mention, is that right.
- 27 A. I didn't mention Mr Wooley by name. I said `A lawyer
- from Aboriginal Legal Rights'.
- 29 CONTINUED

- 1 Q. I thought, in answer to my earlier questions to you this
- 2 afternoon, you indicated that Mr Wooley was one of the
- men present at the Mouth House shack when you arrived there.
- 5 A. Yes, he was.
- 6 COMSR: I think the witness is making the point
- she didn't tell anyone Mr Wooley by name.
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 XXN
- 10 Q. But the reference here in this particular record that
- 11 you have in front of you, where it refers to a lawyer,
- it is, in fact, a reference to Mr Wooley, isn't it.
- 13 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. The record goes on to say `We showed the letter to thelawyer'. Do you see that.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. That's what happened, isn't it.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. It goes on to say 'He said, in his opinion, he didn't
- think that was enough to stop the bridge'.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. That's what he said in effect, isn't it.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. It then goes on to say `The men spoke, asked us to have
- a look at the map on the wall'. Do you see that.
- 26 A. Yes.
- 27 Q. That's what happened, isn't it.
- 28 A. Yes.
- 29 Q. It goes on to say then, `And I want to make it quite
- 30 clear the lawyer was no way involved in telling us to
- look at the map on the wall like it's been suggested'.
- 32 Can you see that.
- 33 A. Yes.
- 34 Q. Is that the fact also.
- 35 A. Yes.
- 36 Q. That is that the lawyer, namely Mr Wooley, didn't have
- anything to do with the map on the wall.
- 38 A. That's right.

36

37 38

D.A. WILSON XXN (MR STRATFORD)

Q. Then the record goes on to say, just for the sake of 2 completeness, 'The man from the heritage committee asked 3 us to look at the map on the wall, and suggested to us that the map looked like a woman's private, and that's 5 the way they put it to us'. Do you see that passage. 6 7 Q. Is that then, generally, what happened in relation to 8 pointing out of the photograph on the wall. 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. I don't want to take you through the remainder of that 11 interview chapter and verse, but I ask you to look at it 12 and I ask you to say generally whether or not the 13 contents of that particular document accord with your 14 present recollection of what occurred at the Mouth 15 House. 16 A. Yes, it does. MR STRATFORD: 17 I seek to tender that document. 18 OBJECTION Mr Abbott objects. 19 MR ABBOTT: I object to it being tendered because 20 this witness does not identify or claim it as her own 21 document. I don't want my friend to have to go to the 22 trouble of calling Ms Goward, but I'd like to reserve 23 the position because, first of all, it's only the first 24 three pages that are relevant, not the rest of it, and I 25 submit that if anything is to be tendered, it should be 26 the first three pages, and I'd be happy -27 COMSR: Certainly in the absence of any 28 admission by the witness that she did give that 29 interview, it's only that part of document, if any, in 30 which she says 'I agree that that's a correct record'. 31 MR STRATFORD: I'm happy to take my friend's second 32 point, that is it would only be the first three pages 33 that should be accepted as an exhibit. As to his first 34 point, the plain fact of the matter is that Mrs Wilson 35

acknowledges that the contents are true. It's on that

to call someone to establish it -

basis that the document ought to be received, but given that his client acknowledges it is true, if he wants me

1	COMSR: He doesn't.
	MR ABBOTT: I was going to continue to say I'm happy
2 3	for it to go in if it's on the basis that the witness
4	agrees that she had a conversation and this accurately
5	records it, then she agrees with the truth of what is in
6	it so far as the first three pages are concerned.
7	COMSR: So far she has agreed it accurately
8	reflects what she observed on that occasion.
9	MR ABBOTT: I'm happy for it to go in on that basis.
10	MR MEYER: The content isn't the issue, just
11	whether she said it on the radio.
12	COMSR: We're not concerned to follow that for
13	any reason, are we?
14	MR ŠTRATFORD: No.
15	COMSR: What is being tendered now, the first
16	three pages?
17	MR STRATFORD: What is being tendered is the first
18	three pages of the document presently in front of Mrs
19	Wilson.
20	EXHIBIT 207 First three pages of the record of
21	interview with Prue Goward tendered by
22	Mr Stratford. Admitted.
23	XXN
24	Q. I just want to ask you some further questions about when
25	the letter was produced and the way in which it was
26	produced. Do you understand what I'm interested in now.
27	This is the letter that was produced at the Mouth House.
28	A. Yes.
29	Q. When the letter was produced for Mr Wooley's
30	consideration, did he move across to the table in the
31	Mouth House.
32	A. No, he did not. It was handed to him sitting in the
33	chair where he was when we walked in.

- 34
- chair where he was when we walked in.

 Q. I want to suggest this to you, that when the letter was handed to Mr Wooley for his consideration, that he moved across to a table that was in the Mouth House -35
- 36
- 37 A. No.
- 38 Q. If you'd just listen to my question, then you can

- disagree with it afterwards, but I just want to put a
- 2 proposition to you. I want to suggest this to you; that
- when the letter was handed to Mr Wooley, he walked
- across to the table that was in the main area of the
- 5 house, and that others gathered around him to look at
- 6 the letter on the table. Do you agree with that.
- 7 A. No, I don't.
- Q. You tell me what you say happened to the letter after it was handed to Mr Wooley.
- 10 A. It was handed to Mr Wooley, Mr Wooley looked at it and
- 11 he said he didn't think we had enough information in
- that letter. Then Doreen took the letter from Tim
- Wooley, she was standing in between Tim Wooley in the
- chair and Patty Kropinyeri sitting at the table, and she
- was the one that got the letter from Tim Wooley, and she
- handed it to Pat Kropinyeri and she said `What else can
- we put down there', and then she told Patty to write.
- 18 Q. But as far as what happened to the letter after it was
- handed to Mr Wooley is concerned, he remained seated and he appeared to you it read it, is that right.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. When the letter was produced, was it produced from someone's handbag.
- 24 A. Sarah gave I believe Sarah had it in the briefcase
- that she carries. She took it out, yes, she took it out of the briefcase that she had.
- 27 Q. That's your present recollection, it was a briefcase not a handbag.
- 29 A. Yes. She only carries a briefcase, she doesn't carry a 30 handbag.
- 31 Q. Did someone say something like, this to Mr Wooley, 'We
- have got a letter here to send to Tickner'. Did someone
- 33 say something like that to him.
- 34 A. Doreen said to Sarah `Give Tim the letter so that Tim
- can read the letter that we are going to send to Mr
- 36 Tickner'.
- 37 Q. Do you have a recollection of hearing anyone say to Mr
- Wooley `We have got a letter here for Tickner'.

- 1 A. No. Doreen said to Sarah, 'Show Tim the letter that we're going to send to Mr Tickner'.
- 3 Q. That's your recollection.
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Did someone say to Mr Wooley something like this `Can you tell us what you think.'
- 7 A. No, I don't recall anybody saying that.
- 8 Q. Do you have any recollection of anyone saying something like `Will it be good enough'.
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. I suppose some conversation could have gone on in
- relation to the letter that you didn't hear or no longer remember.
- 14 A. I guess it could have been, yes.
- 15 Q. In reply, did Mr Wooley say something like this `In my
- opinion, there isn't enough information in the letter
- for a peg for Tickner to hang his hat on'. Do you
- remember Mr Wooley saying something like that.
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Do you remember Mr Wooley saying something like this, `I
- am aware that there is other information available'. Do
- you remember him saying something like that.
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Do you remember him saying something like this `However,
- it is a matter for you and what you can divulge'. Do
- you remember him saying something like that.
- 27 A. No, I don't.
- 28 Q. Do you think those words might have been spoken by Mr
- Wooley and you didn't hear them. Is that possible.
- 30 A. I think if he would have spoke those words, I would have
- 31 heard them.
- 32 Q. Are you quite sure about that.
- 33 A. Yes, I am.
- 34 Q. Shortly after the conversation about the letter,
- 35 whatever it was, did Sergeant Peter Morrison arrive at
- 36 the Mouth House.
- 37 A. Well, he arrived there, yes.
- 38 Q. My question really is this; did he arrive at the Mouth

- 1 House shortly after some conversation about the letter,
- and this conversation, of course, involving Mr Wooley.
- A. No. He didn't arrive shortly after, because we had
- already, Patty had already started writing some thingsdown on the paper.
- 6 Q. So the additions to the letter, whatever they were, had
- been commenced before Sergeant Morrison arrived, is that correct.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. When Sergeant Morrison arrived, did someone go and speak to him.
- 12 A. Yes, they did.
- 13 Q. Did the conversation with Sergeant Morrison take place
- outside the house.
- 15 A. Yes, it did.
- 16 Q. I want to suggest this to you, that Mr Wooley and
- several others went outside the house to talk to
- 18 Sergeant Morrison. Would you agree with that.
- 19 A. Yes, I would.
- 20 Q. I want to suggest to you that after Mr Wooley and others
- 21 went out, different people came out to speak to the
- sergeant and went back inside. Do you understand my question.
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. What I'm meaning to suggest to you is that people went
- out to speak to Sergeant Morrison and went back into the
- house, and other people went out and spoke with him and
- went back into the house.
- 29 A. No, I don't recall that.
- 30 Q. So is it your recollection that Mr Wooley and someone
- 31 else went out and spoke to Sergeant Morrison.
- 32 A. Victor, Doug and George went outside, and so did Sarah,
- 33 to talk to the police officer.
- Q. Were you still in the Mouth House whilst the discussion
- was taking place outside with Sergeant Morrison.
- 36 A. No, I came back from down by the shore.
- 37 Q. Sorry.
- 38 A. I came back from the shore when they were all outside

- 1 talking.
- Q. Can you tell me at what stage it was that you went down to the shore. Was it shortly after Sergeant Morrison arrived.
- 5 A. No, it was before he arrived.
- 6 Q. So when you give evidence about Sergeant Morrison's
- arrival, it's evidence that you don't have as first hand evidence, is that right.
- 9 A. Yes it is. I saw him arrive when I was coming up from the shore.
- 11 Q. For how long before you saw Sergeant Morrison had you been absent from the Mouth House.
- 13 A. I was walking back to the Mouth House when he pulled up and he walked into the yard.
- 15 Q. Yes, I understand that, but how long before that event
- occurred, that is the event when you saw Sergeant
- Morrison pulling up, had you been absent from the Mouth House.
- 19 A. About 10 minutes, 10 or 12 minutes, I guess it would 20 have been.
- Q. When you saw Sergeant Morrison pull up outside the Mouth
 House, it was then that you saw Mr Wooley and others
- come out to speak to him, is that right.
- A. No, Doug and Victor and George came out first, and then someone went and sang out to Tim, and Tim came out
- after.
- Q. When all that occurred, you were still walking towards the Mouth House, were you.
- A. No, by that time, by the time they sang out to Tim, I was out on the back verandah.
- 31 COMSR
- 32 Q. Could I clarify one thing. How far from the Mouth House
- is the shore that you're taking about. When you say you went to the shore, how far away is it.
- 35 A. I guess it would be from this wall to that wall down
- there away from the shack (INDICATES).
- 37 MR MEYER: 20 metres.
- 38 COMSR: Say between 20 and 30 metres.

D.A. WILSON XXN (MR STRATFORD) (MR TILMOUTH)

- 1 MR STRATFORD: Perhaps it can be measured in the break
- 2 by Mr Meyer. He can have it recorded in the transcript
- 3 first thing in the morning.
- 4 MR MEYER: I undertake to do that for Mr Stratford.
- 5 XXN
- 6 Q. When you returned to the Mouth House and got to the area
- of the back door as you described, did you re-enter the
- 8 Mouth House.
- 9 A. No, I never.
- 10 Q. Did you then leave with Mrs Milera in her motor car.
- 11 A. Yes. She asked me to take her into Goolwa, and I took
- her to Goolwa.
- 13 Q. Sorry, it wasn't her motor car, it was your motor car
- wasn't it.
- 15 A. Yes, it was.
- 16 Q. Mrs Milera asked you to take her somewhere else.
- 17 A. Yes
- 18 Q. Was that shortly after you arrived back at the Mouth
- 19 House.
- 20 A. Yes, it was.
- 21 Q. When you left, was Mr Wooley and a number of other men
- speaking with Sergeant Morrison outside the Mouth House.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR TILMOUTH
- 25 Q. I want to ask some questions about the same day,
- beginning with the Bunkhouse, but could you take my
- 27 questions in relation to that, I don't want to go into
- what was said in the Bunkhouse because I'm asking you
- 29 questions as a man. Do you understand what I'm saying.
- 30 A. Yes.
- 31 Q. I only want to deal briefly with it in this way; before
- you and Sarah went over to the Mouth House, there had
- been a meeting of the women at the Bunkhouse on the
- other side of the island, hadn't there.
- 35 A. Yes.
- 36 Q. That was a meeting, as I understand it, solely of
- 37 Aboriginal women.
- 38 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Aboriginal Ngarrindjeri women.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Is it true and again appreciating I don't want to ask
- you all about the words that were used but is it true
- 5 that after that meeting it was understood by you and
- 6 others that there were some things that were discussed
- 7 by the women that couldn't be talked about to men. Is
- 8 my question clear.
- 9 A. No. Can you ask it again?
- 10 Q. Part of the reason for having that meeting with only
- women present was because things were to be discussed
- that only women could discuss.
- 13 A. Yes, I would say that.
- 14 Q. Obviously that they couldn't talk about with men.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Of course when the letter was brought over to the Mouth
- House, that meeting had concluded as I understand it.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. The question that was then being exercised is the letter
- or the submission that should go to Mr Tickner -
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. on behalf of the women.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. When you arrived at the Mouth House, as you've told the
- 25 Commissioner earlier and Mr Stratford a few moments ago,
- Mr Wooley was there, wasn't he.
- 27 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you know at that stage that he was a lawyer.
- 29 A. Yes, I did.
- 30 Q. You knew that beforehand.
- 31 A. Yes.
- 32 Q. You identified him, of course, as a lawyer for the
- 33 Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement.
- 34 A. Yes, I did.
- 35 Q. From your side of things, your understanding, did you
- understand that he was advising the Lower Murray
- 37 Aboriginal Heritage Committee about the question of the
- bridge and submissions to Mr Tickner and so on.

3512

TN 43K

- A. No, I didn't know that.
- Q. You had no idea. A. No.
- Q. Did you have any idea beforehand what he was there for.
- A. No. I didn't even know he was there until I walked into 5
- the room.
- **CONTINUED**

- Q. But, once you walked into the room, you knew him as Mr Wooley, the lawyer.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. You knew, when you walked into that room, as well,
- 5 didn't you, that Victor Wilson and George Trevorrow at
- 6 least were members of that Committee.
- 7 A. What committee is that?
- 8 Q. The Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee.
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. And Doug Milera was, too, wasn't he.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And some of the other women were, as well.
- 13 A. Not to my knowledge they weren't.
- 14 Q. Not to your knowledge.
- 15 A. No, the only woman I knew on the Committee was Leah Rankine.
- 17 Q. These events in the Mouth House that you have given
- evidence about until the police arrived didn't take all
- that long, did they. It wasn't a matter of hours that
- all these events in the Mouth House took place.
- 21 A. No, it wasn't hours.
- 22 Q. Can you give us any idea how long it was, from when you
- first arrive, Sarah produces the letter and then the
- 24 police arrive.
- A. I guess it would have been about roughly about an hour.
- Q. About an hour.
- 28 A. It might have been a bit more, a bit less.
- 29 Q. And the situation I think is that people were coming in
- and out at times. It wasn't the same people there in
- 31 the same place all the time, was it. Some women came
- over later, for example, some people went outside.
- 33 A. All the women came together, yes, but some went down, I
- guess some didn't come in. They didn't all come in
- 35 together. Some came in after, yes.
- 36 Q. Is it true at times that you saw Mr Wooley speaking with
- 37 Mr Trevorrow, George Trevorrow and Victor Wilson.
- 38 A. No, I didn't see him speaking to them. No-one was

- speaking when we walked in.
- Q. I wasn't meaning to confine it to the point of time that
- you walked in. I meant the whole period at the Mouth
- 5 A. No, I didn't see him talking to George in the Mouth 6 House.
- Q. What about Doug, did you see him speaking to Doug, at 8
- 9 A. No, I didn't see him.
- Q. That was in the main room of the house, was it. A. Yes, it was. 10
- 11
- 12 Q. Where Mr Wooley was.
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And you weren't in there all the time, were you. 14
- A. Beg your pardon? 15
- 16 Q. You weren't in that room all this time, were you.
- 17 A. No, I went out when the map was being - part of the map 18 was being pointed out to me, yes.
- 19 Q. I think you said earlier you went into the bedroom for some time, as well. 20
- 21 A. When I first walked in, I walked straight into the
- 22 bedroom, yes, and then I went into the main room, yes.
- 23 Q. The order of events seems to be clearly that Doreen had
- 24 dictated the additions to be made to the letter before 25 any question of the map arose. Is my understanding
- correct. 26
- A. The first three paragraphs, yes, she did. 27
- 28 Q. You knew, of course, as a member of the community, Doug 29 Trevorrow, didn't you.
- 30 A. Who?
- Q. George Trevorrow. 31
- A. Yes, I know George. 32
- Q. You have known him for many years. 33
- 34 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. At that time, of course, you knew that George sometimes 35
- 36 used a walking stick.
- 37 A. Yes.
- 38 Q. A special walking stick he had with a snake on it he

- often used, didn't he. A snake crawling up the length of the stick.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Very characteristic for George to use it, wasn't it.
- 5 A. Yes, well -
- 6 Q. Did he have it there that day.
- A. I have always seen George with a walking stick. I have never seen him without it, yes.
- 9 Q. Because you knew he had a crook back, didn't you.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. He has had it for a good number of years.
- 12 A. Yes, he has.
- 13 Q. Your evidence is, I think, that, towards the end of
- these events, it was Victor who pointed to the map, or the aerial plan.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 OBJECTION Mr Meyer objects.
- 18 MR MEYER: There are two propositions contained in
- that. 1, it was Victor. And 2, it was towards the end.
- So, my friend has rolled up a double-barrelled set of facts.
- 22 COMSR
- Q. It was George who pointed was it towards the end of the meeting that he pointed -
- A. No, it wasn't George, it was Victor pointed to the map and that was at the beginning of the letter, not at the
- 27 end.
- 28 Q. The beginning of the letter not at the end.
- 29 A. Of the second part of the letter, I should say, yes,
- 30 that was done at the Mouth House.
- 31 XXN
- 32 Q. This occasion, though, was towards the end of that hour,
- wasn't it. It wasn't too long before the police arrived
- 34 that this happened.
- 35 A. No, that was when we first got there and then we was
- told that it wasn't enough in the letter, so Doreen
- asked Patty to write down some more things and she
- dictated to Patty the first three paragraphs and then we

- 1 were asked to look at Vic pointed to the map and asked
- 2 us to look at the map. And we looked at the map for a
- few minutes and nobody said anything. And that's when
- 4 Doug Milera told us what the map represented.
- 5 Q. Perhaps I can deal with it in this way, to break it up.
- 6 MR ABBOTT: I think the witness made a mistake.
- 7 MR TILMOUTH: I object, I think the evidence should
- 8 come spontaneously.
- 9 MR ABBOTT: Yes, maybe, but we are not bound by the
- rules of evidence. I am concerned that she is saying
- 11 `paragraphs', but I don't think she means paragraphs,
- that is all.
- 13 A. No, I don't mean paragraphs. I meant to say three
- lines, I am sorry.
- 15 MR TILMOUTH: Now I am getting confused.
- 16 COMSR: Yes, I am afraid I didn't pick up the
- 17 reference to paragraphs, so I think the implication had
- passed me by.
- 19 MR MEYER: It might help if I, as an independent,
- 20 say what I thought what was meant -
- 21 MR TILMOUTH: Can we proceed? We are getting glosses
- on glosses here.
- 23 COMŠR: Perhaps you can clarify it for us, Mr
- 24 Tilmouth.
- 25 XXN
- 26 Q. When the women came over from the Bunkhouse, there were
- already things written on this letter, weren't there.
- 28 A. Yes
- 29 Q. Then, at some stage after the discussion with Mr Wooley,
- 30 Doreen Kartinyeri dictated some more of the letter.
- 31 A. She asked Patty to write down some stuff that she was
- dictating to her, yes.
- 33 Q. And I gather that Patty did so.
- 34 A. Yes.
- 35 Q. As dictated by Doreen.
- 36 A. Yes.
- 37 Q. So far, all of this has occurred before anybody is
- pointing or looking up at any map or aerial photograph.

- 1 A. The first three lines, yes.
- Q. At that point in time, or shortly beforehand, there had
- 3 been clearly been a discussion with Mr Wooley along
- 4 the lines of and this is my summary. I am not
- 5 purporting to use words here's `What we have written
- 6 for Mr Tickner.' And Mr Tickner has said I beg your
- 7 pardon. And Mr Wilson has said `Well, I don't think
- 8 that is enough.' That is just a summary. I am not purporting to use the precise words. That is so far
- 9 purporting to use the precise words. That is so far so good, is it not.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And I know Mr Stratford has put to you whether Mr Wooley
- used words like `I don't think you've got a sufficient
- peg to hang your hat on for Mr Tickner', but the effect
- of it was, whatever Wooley said in precise words, that
- the letter, as it was, from the Bunkhouse, in his
- opinion, was not enough.
- 18 A. Yes, that's the way I understood it, yes.
- 19 Q. And I don't mean to be too technical when I am putting
- 20 this to you, but did you understand at least that Mr
- Wooley was giving a legal opinion, at that time. You
- 22 would have understood him, at that time, to be acting as
- a lawyer, wouldn't you, when he expressed that opinion.
- 24 COMSR: Expressed it to whom?
- 25 MR TILMOUTH: I am not concerned who it was to. That
- Is not the point of my question.
- 27 COMSR: All right.
- 28 A. No, I didn't, I didn't take it that he was giving that
- advice to us as a lawyer.
- 30 XXN
- 31 Q. Did you think he was there for any other purpose. Was
- 32 there anything that you -
- 33 A. No, I knew that Tim was a lawyer and I thought, well,
- you know, if that was his opinion, then we didn't have
- and enough in the letter.
- 36 Q. It wouldn't surprise you at all that that was taken as a
- legal expression of a legal view rather than a
- 38 personal -

- 1 A. No, at the time it didn't, no.
- Q. But, whatever precise words were used, it is clear in your mind, isn't it, to that point there wasn't enough,
 - in his opinion.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And it is clear, is it not, as well, that that seemed to
- 7 be accepted, whatever whether he was expressing a
- 8 legal opinion or otherwise, it seemed to be accepted
- 9 that there wasn't enough there.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And, at that stage, or shortly afterward, Doreen started
- dictating, as you have described.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Do I understand you correctly to say that, at the time
- that Doreen was dictating, that the three men mentioned
- were still in the room with Mr Wooley.
- 17 A. Yes, they were.
- 18 Q. That is, George, Doug and Victor.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. That you were in the room, of course.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. How many other women were there, at that time.
- 23 A. Shirley Trevorrow was there, Patty Kropinyeri, Doreen
- 24 Kartinyeri, Sarah Milera, Iris Sparks and Judy
- 25 Kropinyeri.
- 26 Q. And generally around the table.
- 27 A. No, we weren't all around the table. The table was up
- against the wall and there wasn't much room on this side
- of the table (INDICATES) or that end (INDICATES) or up
- 30 this end (INDICATES) and they had a bar thing that went
- across this side of the room (INDICATES) so that there
- wasn't much room all over this side (INDICATES) where
- the table was. There was more room over that side
- 34 (INDICATES).
- 35 Q. Perhaps I put that clumsily. All these people were in
- there group, around or near Mr Wooley.
- 37 A. No, they weren't round Mr Wooley.
- 38 Q. Who was outside the group when Doreen was dictating the

- 1 further material.
- 2 A. Mr Wooley sat over by the glass by the sliding doors
- 3 (INDICATES), so he was away from us and Iris Sparks was
- sitting over by the door going into the bedroom
- 5 (INDICATES). There was Sarah, Judy Kropinyeri, and
- 6 myself were standing behind the bar. And George and
- 7 Shirley were sitting behind the up against the wall
- 8 (INDICATES). And Victor and Doug were standing on this
- 9 end of the table (INDICATES).
- 10 Q. How far away would that have been from Doreen, when she was dictating.
- 12 A. The table was in between them.
- 13 Q. But can you give us an idea in just approximately feet
- or yards. All I am trying to gather is, were they in
- the immediate group, or whether they were standing apart from them.
- 17 A. The table is about that length of the table (INDICATES),
- so Doreen was on that side of the table (INDICATES) and
- they were on this side of the table (INDICATES).
- 20 O. They were at the table, the men.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. When Doreen was dictating these extra words.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Do you remember how I started off by asking you about
- some of the things in relation to the Bunkhouse and one
- of them being that some of the things discussed by women
- could not be discussed by men, or talked about by women
- to men. That is true, isn't it.
- 29 A. Right.
- 30 Q. Isn't it the position that, in the Mouth House, the
- 31 question arose as to how the women could say certain
- things to Mr Tickner, because he was a man. Didn't that issue arise.
- 34 A. We already had written on the second page of our letter
- 35 that we wanted Mr Tickner to send those two women to
- 36 come and talk to us. So, and we didn't put the details
- in the letter that we showed Tim Wooley. There was no
- details about the sacred women's business.

- 1 Q. I am not asking about the sacred women's business. When
- 2 I want to ask about that particularly I will alert you
- 3 to it. I will use those words or something like that,
- but one of the issues which had been discussed had been
- 5 the very issue in the Bunkhouse of `How do we convey
- 6 things to Mr Tickner, when men are not supposed to know about them?'
- 8 A. That's why we had written in the letter that we wanted
- 9 Mr Tickner to send these two women over to talk to us, 10 because we couldn't tell him.
- 11 Q. So, what I am putting to you is true, isn't it. That,
- at the Bunkhouse, the question arose as to how you could
- deal with the problem of Mr Tickner being a man.
- 14 A. No, we already worked that out before we went to the Bunkhouse to the Mouth House.
- 16 Q. What I am suggesting -
- 17 COMSR: You may be at cross purposes.
- 18 COMSR
- 19 Q. Had you worked it out at the Bunkhouse before you went to the Mouth House.
- 21 A. That we couldn't tell Mr Tickner, yes, we had. That's
- Why we asked for those two ladies, Veronica Pickles -
- Van I think it was and Carol Pickles if he could send
- them to talk to us, because we couldn't talk to men
- about the women's business.
- 26 COMSR: Does that clarify it?
- 27 MR TILMOUTH: I think so, but I will deal with it this 28 way.
- 29 XXN
- 30 Q. Isn't it true that, after Mr Wooley said `Well, I don't
- think you have got enough', or 'It is not enough', that
- 32 the issue arose again how to deal with communicating
- with Mr Tickner, because he was a man. Didn't that come up again.
- 35 A. No.
- 36 Q. Not at all in the Mouth House.
- 37 A. No, Doreen said well, we can put down what she told
- 38 Patty to write down.

- 1 Q. I know Mr Stratford has dealt with this with you
- already, but can I put this to you again: didn't Mr
- Wooley say something to you like or to the women who
- 4 were there `I think you know more, but it is up to you
- whether you want to reveal it.' Something along those lines.
- 7 A. No, I didn't hear him say that.
- Q. At that time, of course, Mr Trevorrow, George Trevorrow,was around nearby, wasn't he.
- 10 A. Yes, he was sitting at the table.
- 11 Q. And with his walking stick that he perpetually used for his bad back.
- 13 A. I guess he had his walking stick with him. Yes, I
- didn't see the walking stick with him, but I know that
- 15 he carries it with him.
- Q. Didn't George use that stick to point to the aerial mapon the wall, or the aerial photograph.
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. You would refute that absolutely, would you.
- 20 A. Yes, I would. I didn't see George point to the map with his walking stick, no.
- 22 Q. Not at all.
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Obviously you disagree with that, but could I suggest to
- you, whether it was George or Victor perhaps I should
- ask, can you remember whether it might have been Dougwho pointed to the aerial map.
- 28 A. No, Victor asked us to look at the map.
- 29 COMSR
- 30 Q. Are you saying that no-one pointed to it.
- 31 A. Yes, they said Vic said `Well, have a look at the map
- 32 up on the wall here.' And he was standing on this side
- of the wall (INDICATES) and the map was there
- 34 (INDICATES) and he said 'Have a look at the map.' And
- so we all looked at the map.
- 36 MR ABBOTT: You will recall that it is not actually
- a map. It is a photo she is referring to.
- 38 A. Aerial well, they referred to it as `the map' and I

- 1 know now that it is an aerial photograph, yes.
- 2 XXN
- 3 Q. However it was who pointed, indicated.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. With outstretched hands.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. A very distinct pointing movement.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So, we can't agree on who it was, we can agree on the occasion -
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. When it was pointed to. And I will maintain it was
- George, but forget whether it was George or Victor,
- wasn't something said by one of the men in pointing,
- about the importance of Hindmarsh Island. And I am not
- talking about sacred women's business, but wasn't
- something general said about the importance of Hindmarsh
- 18 Island to the Ngarrindjeri people.
- 19 A. No, he asked us to look at the map and we were all
- 20 looking at the map and we must have been looking at it
- 21 for at least a minute or two and nobody answered or
- 22 nobody said anything. Everybody was just staring at the
- map and that's when Doug said to us about it.
- 24 Q. It is your evidence, is it, that Victor pointed to the
- 25 map and everyone sort of blankly is sort of looking at the map.
- 27 A. Yes.
- 28 Q. For a minute or two.
- 29 A. Yes.
- 30 Q. Nothing said at all.
- 31 À. No.
- 32 Q. No explanation.
- 33 A. No.
- 34 Q. And people just seemed fixated on the map in that period
- of time, did they.
- 36 A. Yes.
- 37 Q. And you wouldn't agree that George or, for that matter,
- Victor said that the Hindmarsh Island was important

- 1 generally to the Ngarrindjeri people.
- 2 A. No.
- 3 MR ABBOTT: In that period of two minutes.
- 4 MR TILMOUTH: Yes, of course in that period of two
- 5 minutes. That is obvious.
- 6 XXN
- 7 Q. You disagree with that entirely.
- 8 A. I can't recall him saying that.
- 9 Q. So people were glued to the map.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. For this period, for apparently no reason.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Except that it was pointed at.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 COMSR
- 16 Q. They were asked to look at it, I think is the evidence.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 MR TILMOUTH: I understand that.
- 19 XXN
- 20 Q. But for no other reason than that they were asked to look at it.
- 21 100K a
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Isn't it the position that, in that period what had
- happened with Mr Wooley earlier had happened again in
- 25 that it was said by George that, well, in these general
- words `It's up to the women what they want to do.'
- Wasn't something along those lines said by one of the men.
- 29 A. Well, it may have been, but I think I when Doug told
- me what the map represented, I left the room and I
- didn't come back into the room, so they may have said
- that after I left, but I had left the room.
- 33 COMSR
- 34 Q. You didn't hear it is what you are saying.
- 35 A. No, I didn't hear it.
- 36 XXN
- 37 Q. You would agree with this much, wouldn't you, that
- whatever was to be represented to Mr Tickner was, on

1	your understanding, for the women to reveal and not the
2	men.

- 3 MR ABBOTT: 'Reveal' may have a technical meaning.
- 4 If he meant it was for the women to put in the letter
- 5 and not the men and if he is talking about reveal in
- 6 that sense, then let him say so.
- 7 MR TILMOUTH: If we are going to get stuck on these
- 8 words all the time, we will be here all day.
- 9 COMSR: Perhaps it would be better to find out
- 10 exactly what the witness heard rather than her
- 11 understanding of what the words meant.
- 12 XXN
- 13 Q. The position was that the men indicated that whatever
- else was to be said to Mr Tickner, it was for the women
- to decide.
- 16 A. I didn't hear them say that, no.
- 17 CONTINUED

- Q. Whether you heard it or not, that was the case, wasn't
- A. It may have been. I don't know that. I can't comment.
- Q. Whatever the women had decided earlier at the Bunkhouse 5 was women's business, wasn't it.
- Q. Not for men. You have agreed with that.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Likewise, whatever was added to the letter at the Mouth
- 10 House was for the women and not for the men. You would 11 agree with that.
- 12 **OBJECTION** Mr Abbott objects.
- He has gone from 'women's business' to MR ABBOTT: 13
- `for the women'. This is sophistry of the most unusual 14 15
- 16 MR TILMOUTH: The question is perfectly clear, what I
- am putting is perfectly clear, and the witness doesn't 17
- seem in the slightest bit in doubt about it. 18
- 19 **COMSR**
- 20 Q. Do you understand the question.
- 21 A. No. I don't.
- 22 **OUESTION REPHRASED**
- 23 XXN.
- 24 Q. Whatever was to go in that letter to Mr Tickner, this
- very letter, was for the women to decide. You 25
- 26 understood that, didn't you.
- 27 A. Yes, I understood that, but I didn't understand why the
- 28 men gave that information to the women to put into the
- 29 letter.
- 30 Q. You would know, of course, as well, that whatever
- 31 knowledge the men did or didn't have about women's
- 32
- business, that, on the one hand, it was wrong for women to discuss it with men, wasn't it. That was understood 33 34 by your people.
- A. No, it wasn't. It has never been. 35
- Q. I am not talking about the so called secret women's 36
- 37 business. What I am saying is that whatever you women
- 38 talked about in the Bunkhouse -

- 1 A. Was a separate thing to what the men talked to us in the Mouth House.
- Q. If you just hear me out, please. Whatever you women talked about in the Bunkhouse, you knew and the women knew, it would be wrong for the women to discuss that with the men.
- 7 A. Well, they didn't discuss it with the men.
- Q. You knew as well, and it was understood by the people,
 it would be wrong for men to discuss it, too, wouldn't
 it. They shouldn't know about it and they shouldn't
- discuss it anyway. That was understood too, wasn't it.
- 12 A. No, not to me. I said the men told us about the map and that was put into the women's business. It should never have been done.
- Q. I haven't got to that stage yet. Whatever the women
 were saying at the Bunkhouse and, indeed, at the Mouth
 House, was for women only, wasn't it.
- 18 A. Well, if it was, then why did they say it in front of men?
- 20 Q. You were there as well.
- 21 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. You would understand that normally it should not be discussed with men.
- 24 A. But it was, so where do we go from there?
- Q. You also understood that, likewise, men should not
 discuss those issues either themselves or with women.
- 27 A. Why?
- 28 Q. That was part of the Ngarrindjeri tradition, was it.
- A. No, not to my knowledge. I didn't know that that law existed in the Ngarrindjeri culture. I've never heard of it before.
- Q. Putting aside the question of what you say Victor said
 about the significance of the aerial photograph or map -
- 34 A. I didn't say Victor said that. You've got it wrong. I
- said Victor pointed to the map. I did not say that
- Victor said that that represented anything. I said that
- 37 Doug said what it represented, not Victor.
- 38 Q. Doug, I beg your pardon. Putting aside that issue, the

- 1 content of what Doug said would normally be, according
- 2 to Ngarrindjeri people, women's business, wouldn't it.
- It is things that men should not talk about.
- 4 A. Well, I don't know. No, I don't.
- Q. Do you say that when Doug said those things, that George was there.
- 7 A. Yes, George was.
- 8 Q. What about Victor.
- 9 A. Victor was there.
- 10 O. Near the table.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. I put it to you that Doug never said any of those things at all.
- 14 A. I can remember Doug saying them.
- 15 Q. In any event, you would understand that these are sorts
- of things that men should not talk about the very
- sorts of things that men should not talk about.
- 18 A. Are you saying that George said those things and not 19 Doug?
- 20 Q. No, I am saying that they weren't said at all.
- 21 A. Well, no, they were said.
- Q. You would know that they are the very sorts of things that men should not talk about.
- 24 A. Men talk about everything.
- 25 Q. Maybe they do, but on women's business -
- A. We talk to our husbands about everything too, so, you know, it is no different.
- 28 COMSR
- 29 Q. I wonder if we could clear up a confusion. On the one
- hand, I think you have told me that you weren't aware of
- any Ngarrindjeri tradition about women's business not
- being spoken about, as I understand what you have said.
- 33 A. No.
- 34 Q. As I understand it, you have said you understood that
- 35 whatever you discussed at the Bunkhouse wasn't to be
- spoken of in front of the men. Is that your evidence.
- 37 A. Yes, that's what Doreen told us.
- 38 Q. So are you saying the reason you understood that was

- because Doreen told you not to discuss it in front of
- A. Yes. She said that's why we had to ask for those women to come over and not Mr Tickner, because we couldn't
- 5 discuss that women's business with men.
- 6 XXN
- Q. The other part of your evidence was that, after Doug
 said these things, at one stage Doreen said `Oh yes, now
 I can see'.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. The clear inference of that is, and you correct me if I
- am wrong, that Doreen first got the idea from the things that Doug had said.
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. I put it to you that Doreen never said any such thing,or did anything to give that view at all.
- 17 A. Yes, she did. She leaned across the table and she was looking at the map, and that's what she said.
- 19 Q. Was this a great surprise to her.
- 20 A. Yes, it was.
- 21 Q. And a brand new revelation.
- 22 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. A brand new revelation that had not apparently existed beforehand.
- A. That's right. She was as surprised as what the rest of us were.
- Q. At that very moment on 9th May in the Mouth House.
- 28 A. Yes.
- 29 Q. And not beforehand, on what you saw and what you heard.
- 30 A. She knew she didn't know about the map until we got to
- 31 the Mouth House. She didn't know about the map in the
- Bunkhouse because we didn't discuss it in the Bunkhouse.
- 33 Q. Is it still your evidence that George and Victor were
- nearby when she said those words 'Oh yes, I can see it now'.
- 35 A. Yes.
- 36 Q. You would disagree with me if I put it to you that she
- 37 never said anything like that.
- 38 A. Yes, I would disagree.

D.A. WILSON XXN (MR MEYER)

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MEYER

- Q. Mrs Wilson, you have given evidence in relation to what
- you have called the Ngarrindjeri Action Group, is that right.
- 5 A. Yes, that's right.
- 6 Q. Do you know who the members of that group are.
- 7 A. No, I don't.
- 8 Q. If you do, can you list any of them for me.
- 9 A. I don't know all the members. I can say some of them.
- 10 Q. Would you, please.
- 11 A. There was Val Power, there was Muriel Van Der Byl, there
- was Vi Deuschle, Shirley Peasley, I know from our group,
- 13 Isobel Norvill was involved, Eileen McHughes, Judy
- 14 Kropinyeri, Leah Rankine, and there was some other women
- that went to one or two of the meetings, but they didn't
- go to all of the meetings. But they were mainly the
- ones that went to all of the meetings.
- 18 Q. In the minutes of the meeting that have been tendered,
- there was a reference to Patrick Byrt, wasn't there.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Is Patrick Byrt a member of the group.
- A. I don't know whether he was a member, but I know that he went to a few of the meetings.
- 24 Q. Besides Patrick, are there any other men that you know
- of who are members of the group.
- 26 A. I believe Kingsley Ahang went to a couple of the
- 27 meetings, and there was Greg Sinclair, Kenny Sumner. I
- think they were some of the men that went to a couple of the meetings.
- 30 Q. All of the women that you have named, as far as I am
- aware, are women of Aboriginal descent.
- 32 A. Yes.
- 33 Q. Are there any women who are members of the group who are
- not of Aboriginal descent that you know of.
- 35 A. No.
- 36 Q. The other topic upon which I wish to ask you some
- 37 questions is in relation to what has been called a
- reconciliation meeting which took place at Camp Coorong

D.A. WILSON XXN (MR MEYER) (MR KENNY)

- on 28 March 1994. Do you remember that meeting.
- A. Yes, I did go to a reconciliation meeting at CampCoorong.
- 4 Q. As I understand the position, there were both Aboriginal people and non-Aboriginal people at that meeting.
- 6 A. Yes, we had about 60 people 50 or 60 people show up 7 for it. I think we had about 8 or 10 Aboriginal people 8 and the rest were the white people that showed up, yes.
- 9 Q. The white people who showed up, were they part of any particular groups or anything of that nature.
- 11 A. No, there was groups from all around that came to the
- meeting. Some came from Strathalbyn, some from Murray
- Bridge, there was a group from Meningie, I think there
- was one from Coonalpyn. So they were from all around.
- 15 Q. Do you know who were actual members of those groups.
- 16 A. No, I don't.
- 17 COMSR: Is there any further cross-examination of the witness before she is released?
- 19 MR KENNY: There is one other issue that hasn't
- been addressed, and Mr Tilmouth didn't address it
- because it relates to the minutes. I, in fact, had said
- 22 to him that I would sort out the question of the
- 23 minutes. I just wish to seek some clarification.
- 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR KENNY
- Q. Looking at exhibit 52, the minutes of the meeting of 30 July 1994, do you remember we were talking about them before lunch.
- 28 A. Yes.
- 29 Q. I think before lunch you said you went to a later
- 30 meeting of the Ngarrindjeri Action Group, is that
- 31 correct.
- 32 A. Yes.
- 33 Q. Do you remember the date of that meeting.
- 34 A. No, I don't.
- 35 Q. You say it is at that particular meeting you were given
- a copy of these matters.
- 37 A. Yes.
- 38 Q. They were passed and accepted.

D.A. WILSON XXN (MR KENNY)

- 1 A. Yes, they were.
- 2 Q. Was the meeting that you went to, was that the next
- meeting after 30 July 1994. Do you recall that.
- 4 A. Yes, it was.
- 5 Q. So would that have been soon after 30 July.
- 6 A. Yes, it was.
- 7 Q. Was it in August 1994. Do you remember.
- 8 A. Yes, it was.
- 9 Q. How many meetings were held by the Ngarrindjeri Action
- 10 Group in August 1994.
- 11 A. I don't know. I only went to that one that was the next
- one from this.
- 13 Q. Could that have been 11 August 1994.
- 14 A. Yes, it may have been.
- 15 Q. It might have been a Thursday, do you recall.
- 16 A. It was in the evening, yes, I recall that.
- 17 Q. During the week, do you recall.
- 18 A. Yes, it was.
- 19 Q. Was that at the Nungas Club.
- 20 A. Yes, it was.
- 21 Q. Were you working at the Nungas Club at that stage.
- 22 A. Yes, I was.
- 23 Q. So you stayed on after work.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So it was definitely during the week.
- 26 A. Yes, it was.
- 27 Q. And it was shortly after -
- 28 A. This meeting, yes.
- 29 Q. Were there any other Ngarrindjeri Action Group meetings
- 30 close to 11 August, or around there, that you recall.
- 31 A. No, I don't. Like I said, that was the only NAG meeting
- I went to, the one that I went to at the Nunga Centre,
- yes.
- 34 Q. That was the only one you heard of.
- 35 A. That was the only one I went to.
- 36 Q. Did you ever hear of any others during August.
- 37 A. No, I didn't.
- 38 Q. Did you work at the Nungas Club throughout August 1994.

D.A. WILSON XXN (MR KENNY)

- 1 A. Yes, I did.
- 2 Q. So it would be pretty unlikely that the Ngarrindjeri
- Action Group held any other meetings at the Nungas Club during that date.
- 5 OBJECTION Mr Abbott objects.
- 6 MR ABBOTT: How can she speak on that?
- 7 MR KENNY: If she was present.
- 8 XXN
- 9 Q. Lets assume it was 11 August 1994, and that was the only meeting that occurred -
- 11 A. That was the only meeting that I went to at the Nungas
- 12 Centre, was the meeting, the next meeting after this
- 13 one.
- Q. After that meeting that you went to, did you hear of
- another meeting after that one.
- 16 A. No, I don't recall hearing about another one, no.
- 17 Q. But you never went to another -
- 18 A. No, I never.
- 19 Q. Is it true that you only ever went to one Ngarrindjeri
- 20 Action Group meeting.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. You say at that meeting they approved the minutes of Saturday, 30 July 1994.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Is it possible that in fact the minutes that were
- approved of that meeting, the one you went to, were the
- 27 minutes of the meeting of 18 July 1994.
- 28 A. No. These were the minutes that we had, that was given
- to us when we went into the meeting.
- 30 Q. So you believe it was actually these that you were
- 31 given.
- 32 A. Yes.
- 33 Q. So you say it definitely wasn't the meetings of 18.7.94.
- 34 A. What I am saying is that these were the minutes that
- were given to us.
- 36 Q. And the minutes you are referring to there is the
- meeting of Saturday, 30 July 1994.

3533

RF 43M

- A. Yes.
 NO RE-EXAMINATION
 NO FURTHER QUESTIONS
 WITNESS RELEASED

3534

RF 43M

- MR SMITH:
- IR SMITH: The previous witness, Mrs Amon has produced the activity report in relation to the fax machine. I hand out copies to my learned friends on the basis that, should it need explanation, she is here and can explain it. It may be that it is obvious to everyone and I can just put it into evidence. 2 3 4 5

- 6

TN 43N

1	I hand up the original to you.
2	DOCUMENT HANDED TO BENCH
2 3	Remember Mrs Amon said that she checked the activity
4 5	report? I've taken the liberty of marking the section
5	with the yellow sticker, which is no part of the
6	exhibit. You will see there that she said that the fax
7	ultimately didn't get away until the following day, so
8	if we go down the list, item number 14 is the fax with
9	the result `okay', and the telephone number there for Mr
10	Tickner, or the fax number, is actually not the number
11	that got through, and that's probably why the fax never
12	got through, there was an extra '2' in the previous ones
13	that didn't get through, and Mr Tickner's fax number is
14	probably (062) 73 4142, because that's the one that got
15	through on 13 May with the result `okay'. If everybody
16	is happy with that, I will tender it with no more
17	explanation, or I'll have the witness explain it.
18	COMSR: Does anyone require the witness to
19	explain, or are you satisfied for the document to go in?
20	MR ABBOTT: Provided it goes it on the basis as
21	explained by my learned friend, yes.
22	MR SMITH: If my explanation of that could be
23	agreed, it will save Mrs Amon getting back into the
24	witness box.
25	COMSR: Does anyone require Mrs Amon back into
26	the witness box?
27	MR MEYER: No.
28	MR SMITH: I ask that be tendered.
29	MR MEYER: It's a print-out for 11 May to 18 May.
30	EXHIBIT 208 Facsimile transmission report for the
31	period 11 May 1994 to 18 May 1994
32	tendered by Mr Smith. Admitted on the
33	basis of the explanation as given by Mr
34	Smith without the necessity for the
35	witness to be recalled to explain it.

F.W. CUBILLO-ALBERTS XN (MR SMITH)

- 1 MR SMITH CALLS
- 2 FRANCHESCA WANITA CUBILLO-ALBERTS SWORN
- 3 EXAMINATION BY MR SMITH
- 4 Q. I think you are a curator in the anthropology division
- 5 of the South Australian Museum, is that correct.
- 6 A. That's right.
- 7 Q. Is this your third year in that employment.
- 8 A. It's going on the third year, by December it should be the third year exactly.
- 10 Q. I think, in connection with this enquiry, you've
- supplied a statement, have you not.
- 12 A. That's right.
- 13 Q. Looking at this statement produced to you, do you
- recognise that as a statement provided to this
- 15 Commission on 31 July.
- 16 A. That's correct, yes.
- 17 EXHIBIT 209 Statement of witness Franchesca Wanita 18 Cubillo-Alberts by Mr Smith. Admitted.
- 19 Q. I think you hold the degree, do you not, of Bachelor in
- 20 Aboriginal Affairs from the University of South
- 21 Australia.
- 22 A. That's right.
- 23 Q. Your studying for honours in anthropology at the moment.
- A. That's right, yes.
- 25 Q. Near completion.
- A. By 4 November I would have submitted, so yes, getting close.
- 28 Q. Would you tell us your supervisors.
- 29 A. Initially my supervisor was Dr Deane Fergie and, since
- then, I've now changed and Dr James well, Professor
- 31 James Weiner.
- Q. I think in May of 1994, you were approached by SteveHemming and Doreen Kartinyeri.
- 34 A. That's correct.
- 35 Q. For what purpose.
- 36 A. Well, I was approached by both Doreen and Steve in
- 37 regards to recording some information about Hindmarsh
- 38 Island, some information that was fairly sensitive and

TN 43N

F.W. CUBILLO-ALBERTS XN (MR SMITH)

- secret, and that they asked if I'd be interested in
- 2 recording that information from Doreen.
- Q. That was information about Hindmarsh Island, being Ngarrindjeri women's sacred site.
- 5 A. That's correct, yes.
- 6 Q. When that request was made of you, in what way was that done. Who attended before you, if that happened.
- 8 A. Well, I was in my office, and I was approached by both
- 9 Steve and Doreen, they both came into my office. I was
- fairly busy at the time, we would have spoken for 10 to
- 11 15 minutes. I was unable to talk at that time or to
- record the information, so I made an appointment for
- Doreen to come back on the 19th between 12 and 2 to
- record, and then in that way I would have all the
- equipment and we would have the time, and it would be done a lot easier at that time.
- 17 Q. Can I take you back to the time that they first
- approached you, Steve and Doreen and you can look at
- 19 your statement if you need to the date they approached
- you you have recorded in your statement as being either or any one of 12, 13 or 17 May 1994.
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. That range of dates you chose by reference to what.
- 24 A. By reference to my diary, because I'd written the
- appointment down for 19 May in my diary, and I knew that
- I had been approached, it definitely wasn't the day
- before, it may have been a few days before, so I put
- down the 12th and the 13th, being the Thursday or the
- 29 Friday. Monday, of course, was a public holiday,
- Tuesday was the 17th, Wednesday I couldn't have made the
- 31 appointment because I had seminars, so Thursday so
- just by looking back, it was either the 12th, the 13th
- 33 or the 17th.
- 34 Q. Of May 1994.
- 35 A. That's correct.
- 36 Q. Steve and Doreen, did they say why they wanted you to be
- involved in this way.
- 38 A. They asked me if I was interested, because they were

TN 43N

F.W. CUBILLO-ALBERTS XN (MR SMITH)

- specifically looking for a woman anthropologist to
- 2 record this information. As in the statement as well as
- 3 in the conversation I had with Steve and Doreen, I made
 - it clear that I was certainly not an anthropologist, but
- 5 I would be willing to assist if it just involved
- 6 recording that information.
- 7 Q. You make the point in your statement, is it correct,
- 8 that you felt you had very little Aboriginal cultural
- knowledge for the particular area, being the HindmarshIsland area.
- 11 A. That's correct. Both academically as well as
- professionally I haven't worked in this area, therefore
- 13 I couldn't add or even do further investigations within
- a short time, so I couldn't add anything at all except
- 15 for record the information.
- 16 Q. As you said before, you arranged for Doreen to meet with
- you on 19 May between midday and 2.00, and you know that because that's in your 1994 diary.
- 19 A. That's correct, yes.
- 20 Q. What happened then, was that appointment kept.
- 21 A. No, it wasn't. We are fairly hectic within our
- division, so I guess later on in the day, it may have
- been the day after, I mentioned to Steve `Was Doreen
- still interested in me recording this information', and
- he said `No', not to worry about it because they had
- approached Dr Deane Fergie.
- 27 Q. Did that fuss you at all.
- 28 A. No, not at all. Studying part-time and working
- 29 full-time, and I knew that obviously Doreen, if she
- wanted me to record the information, she would have pursued it.
- Q. However, did you get the equipment in readiness for that.
- 34 A. Well, I did have I knew where they were, I didn't have
- 35 them in my room at the time but yes, it wouldn't have
- been too problematic to get my hands on.
- 37 Q. I think you had some contact with Steve Hemming
- 38 concerning a burial platform at around about this time,

TN 43N

4

F.W. CUBILLO-ALBERTS XN (MR SMITH) XXN (MS PYKE)

didn't you.

- A. It was again, I didn't make any sort of note of this in my diary, but it was around the end of April, early
 - May, that Steve just asked to use my computer, which had
- 5 our computer register of our database on it, our
- 6 collection database, an he was interested in a
- 7 particular burial platform, and he then used my
- 8 computer, and I asked him a few questions about it.
- 9 Q. He had access to your computer to obtain information 10 about a burial platform. You raised that matter with 11 one of the other anthropologists in the museum, did you 12 not.
- 13 A. I did, more out of curiosity. I'm from the Northern
- 14 Territory, and the particular group, the Larrakia
- people, also have burial platforms, so I spoke with
- Philip Clarke, I think it was the next day after in
- 17 regards to it, just to see about their practices in the
- Lower Murray, and whether he was aware of the practices up further north as well.
- 20 Q. Could you tell us what information you got from Philip Clarke.
- 22 A. Well, no, I can't, really. I mean it was just a
- conversation that I had with him in regards to it. He
- said yes, that there was burial platform material that
- 25 we had in our collection and yes, he was aware of that.
- 26 Q. Did he, for instance, locate that for you.
- 27 A. No, because it wasn't my query, and in fact it was
- 28 Steve's query, and I was just curious over a certain
- 29 practice, and just discussed it further with him.
- 30 MR SMITH: I have no further questions. Again, it may be that Ms Nelson will have an interest in this
- witness, perhaps.
- 33 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS PYKE
- Q. In your statement, in the last paragraph when you're
- 35 talking about Doreen not keeping the appointment of 19
- May, you then say `I approached Steve Hemming to know
- whether Doreen still needed me to record this
- information. He told me that Doreen was now recording

F.W. CUBILLO-ALBERTS XXN (MS PYKE)

- this information with Dr Deane Fergie'. Is that what
- 2 Steve Hemming said to you, that it was being recorded,
- 3 or that they were going to approach Dr Fergie to record
- the information, or are you not able to accurately
- 5 recall exactly what was said.
- A. No, I'm sorry, I can't. I do remember that his response
- to me was 'No, you wouldn't need to record this
- 8 information with Doreen because' - and I don't know
- 9 whether he had organised it by that time, or whether
- 10 Doreen had or what, but yes, he did say that they were 11 doing it with Dr Deane Fergie.
- 12 Q. But you're certainly not now saying that that had
- already been done in May 1994, as opposed to something 13
- 14 that they perhaps were gonig to do at some stage in the 15 future.
- 16 A. No, not at all.
- Q. Could it be that so far as that conversation, in 17
- 18 particular the reference to Dr Fergie is concerned, that
- 19 you're mistaken as to the date of that, that Dr Fergie's
- 20 name may have been mentioned at some later stage, I put
- 21 to you more particularly in June of 1994.
- 22 A. No, not at all. I know that I asked Steve in regards to 23
- whether Doreen wanted, and it was either the day after 24 or the day, I couldn't put a specific on that regard, to
- 25 the conversation, but no, I don't know what arrangements
- 26 Steve had made, neither Doreen, except his response to
- 27 me was that no, I wouldn't be required because they were
- 28 looking at getting Dr Deane.
- 29 Q. The only other question I have for you you is this; 30
 - you've referred to the fact in your statement that Dr
- 31 Fergie was your supervisor for your thesis and it's now
- 32 Dr Weiner.
- 33 A. Professor Weiner.
- 34 Q. Is it the fact that your supervisor was changed on
- 35 account of Dr Fergie's commitments here, and not having
- 36
- 37 A. That's right, we had a conversation with her time being
- 38 consumed here with the commission, and that if I was to

TN 43N

F.W. CUBILLO-ALBERTS XXN (MS PYKE) (MR MEYER)

- expect to finish my honours this year, then it would be
- 2 best if I got someone else.
- 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR MEYER
- Q. The witness answered a question to Mr Smith that she
- 5 couldn't tell us what the conversation was that she had
- 6 about burial platforms. I'm merely interested whether 7 that means because you can't remember or you can't
- 8 because you won't.
- A. No, I can't, because I can't remember. 9
- 10 NO FURTHER QUESTIONS
- 11 COMSR: You may be released subject to this;
- 12 that Ms Frances Nelson may require you to be recalled
- 13 for cross-examination. We won't know for a little while
- 14 as to that, but you're released subject to that.
- WITNESS STANDS DOWN 15
- That's all the evidence today. Could I 16 MR SMITH:
- 17 just put my friends on notice that Dr Philip Clarke is
- 18 the witness scheduled for tomorrow, and that the
- 19 commission is looking forward to people cross-examining
- 20 Dr Clarke and finishing Dr Clarke. He was the first
- 21 witness in this commission.
- 22 COMSR: We don't want to end up with him being 23 the last.
- 24 MR MEYER: Ms Nelson has started cross-examining
- 25 him, is my recollection.
- 26 MR SMITH: Ms Nelson won't be able to resume that.
- I've spoken to my friends about that, and although she 27
- 28 will probably come back not before next week, they are
- 29 agreeable to pick up and do their cross-examination,
- although it's out of order. 30
- 31 COMSR: Let me see if I follow this. Dr Clarke
- 32 will be cross-examined tomorrow by everyone except Ms
- 33 Nelson.
- 34 MR SMITH: Yes, unless Ms Nelson's matters are
- 35 suddenly resolved and she arrives here.
- 36 Then there's the outstanding matter of that MFI
- 37 exhibit.
- MR ABBOTT: 38 It's a tendered exhibit but suppressed.

TN 43N

1	MR SMITH: That's right. It's now completely
2	tendered, that minute.
3	COMSR: The question is of the suppression,
4	which will have to be considered. I don't propose to
5	make a ruling on that without having further looked at
6	it.
7	MR KENNY: I wanted to make some further
8	submissions. If we're going to continue to argue about
9	that, there were some further points that I wished to
10	put to you, if I may.
11	COMSR: What's been put to me is that the
12	suppression order should be lifted at this stage.
13	MR KENNY: Yes. The thing I wish to put to you i
14	that, as I understand it, all exhibits are restricted to
15	counsel and clients, except the statement.
16	CONTINUED

KC 430

1 And that is the restricted statement, if I can call it 2 that, of Dorothy Wilson. COMSR: Yes, I think we had her express approval to release that. 5 MR KENNY: Sure, I don't have any difficulty with 6 that. And some of the transcripts of recorded 7 conversations, tape recorded conversations. 8 There are some outstanding orders, where COMSR: 9 people who are not connected with the matters before the 10 Commission, their names might have been used in a 11 particular way. 12 MR KENNY: Certainly. COMSR: 13 There are some outstanding matters of 14 that sort. MR KENNY: 15 Yes, but my understanding is all of the 16 exhibits, except those that I have mentioned, are 17 essentially suppressed. I am not permitted to give 18 copies of them to anyone else. 19 COMSR: That has been the practice up to date, 20 yes. 21 MR KENNY: Then I suggest that the practice should 22 continue for this exhibit, as well. Otherwise it is 23 very selective and, I say, deliberately damaging to my 24 clients to selectively release this document. 25 MR SMITH: It is not going to be released. 26 COMSR: It is not going to be released. 27 It is not going to be issued or handed MR SMITH: 28 out to anybody. 29 There is always the possibility that COMSR: 30 people have access to these matters from other sources, 31 is the only -32 MR SMITH: There was evidence in the transcript 33 about the exhibit and the media have an interest in you 34 handling the suppression order that you have already 35 made, one way or the other, to know whether they can 36 report what is in the transcript about that exhibit. It 37 is not proposed that the document be released to the 38 public, because Mr Kenny is quite right, that would be

KC 430

```
an exceptional circumstance to do that.
2
                     Yes, there is always the problem, of
    COMSR:
      course, that one never knows what copies of a document
4
      might be available from other sources. And I think that
5
      is why the question of whether or not a suppression
6
      order should continue has to be considered. I mean, I
7
      don't know if you are following, Mr Kenny. It wouldn't
8
      be handed out, even without a suppression order, but the
9
      suppression order has this effect, that if in some
10
       manner persons obtained a copy from another source,
11
       well, in those circumstances, the suppression order
12
       would protect it from publication, I would think.
13
    MR KENNY:
                        That is simply circumvented by Mr
14
       Abbott's client, who has been a witness here, who has a
15
       copy - obviously had a copy of it, giving it to the
16
       press. I mean, if they obtain it from another source.
17
    MR ABBOTT:
                         I would like to assure Mr Kenny that my
18
       client, in contradistinction to his, signed an
19
       undertaking.
20
    COMSR:
                      Yes, what is the point you want to make,
21
       Mr Kenny? Are you arguing for a continuation of a
22
       suppression order?
23
    MR KENNY:
                         Yes, I ask that the suppression order
24
       continue.
25
    COMSR:
                      I have indicated that I propose to
26
       consider the document, in any case, before I make a
       ruling on it.
27
28
    MR KENNY:
                         Certainly, I understood it was Mr
29
       Abbott's request that it be distributed to the press.
30
       They have certainly been asking for copies of it.
31
    COMSR:
                      The request is for the suppression order
32
       to be lifted.
33
    MR SMITH:
                       The evidence is at about p.1046 and I
34
       think the media want to know whether they can report
35
       what is at about that place in the transcript, p.1043
36
       onward.
37
    COMSR:
                      Right, yes. We will adjourn then.
38 ADJOURNED 4.08 P.M. TO THURSDAY, 12 OCTOBER 1995 AT 10.00 A.M.
```

1	COMSR STEVENS
2 3 4 5	
3	
4	HINDMARSH ISLAND BRIDGE ROYAL COMMISSION
5	
6	
7	THURSDAY, 12 OCTOBER 1995
8	
9	RESUMING 10.15 A.M.
10	MR SMITH: As indicated yesterday, the programme
11	for today is the continuing cross-examination of Dr
12	Philip Clarke. It is envisaged that will take all or
13	most of the day. I have got some two or three questions
14	myself to ask Dr Clarke, at the outset, if I could do
15	that with your leave, so that counsel can then have that
16	material really to consider in the course of the passage
17	of the day and deal with it if they see fit to do so.
18	So, I recall Dr Philip Clarke.
19	WITNESS P.A. CLARKE ENTERS WITNESS BOX
20	COMSR
21	Q. Dr Clarke, we are in public session, of course, and the
22	usual restrictions apply, that nothing that is said in
23	the public session is to be of a nature which brings
24	into play the provisions of s.35 or otherwise discloses
25	anything that is confidential and should be held in
26	private session.
27	A. Yes.
28	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR SMITH
29	Q. I remind you that you are on oath from about eight weeks
30	ago or thereabouts.
31	A. I remember.
32	Q. I produce to you a document entitled `Kaurna Higher
33	Education Journal', issued to April 1992. Can I ask you
34	to turn to a section relating to the Onkaparinga River.
35	Do you have that.
36	
37	COMSR
38	Q. First of all, what is the nature of that journal. What
	-

- 1 is it.
- 2 A. It is a journal where a number of authors have published
- papers on a variety of topics. It is produced by the
- 4 University of South Australia and it is entitled the
- 5 'Kaurna Higher Education Journal', so it is a journal
- 6 that specifically looks at Aboriginal topics and is
- 7 produced from here in Adelaide.
- 8 Q. Having been produced, what is its circulation.
- 9 A. It would be used for students, researchers, general
- public. So, it is public information. People can go
- and look up this journal from the library.
- 12 XN
- 13 Q. Is it available for studies in schools. Do you know
- whether it is used for that purpose.
- 15 A. I believe so. I believe that is the aim of the journal.
- 16 It is the `Kaurna Higher Education Journal', so all
- information in it would have to be suitable for use in
- 18 educational facilities.
- Q. I have asked you to locate a section on the Onkaparinga River and you have turned to that. What page is that.
- 21 A. That is p.67.
- 22 Q. And it is entitled.
- 23 A. `The cultural significance of the Onkaparinga River'.
- 24 Q. I think that document or one like it was drawn to your
- attention on an occasion in late July of this year, is
- 26 that correct.
- 27 A. That is correct.
- 28 Q. By whom.
- 29 A. By one of the authors. This paper was written by Mr
- 30 Lewis O'Brien and Ms Georgina Williams of the Kaurna
- 31 people. It was brought to my attention by Mr Lewis
- 32 O'Brien.
- 33 Q. In an informal conference with him late in July 1995.
- 34 A. That's correct.
- 35 Q. Not predominantly with him, but he was present.
- 36 A. That's correct.
- 37 Q. You have perused that section on the Onkaparinga River
- written by Lewis O'Brien and his co-author, is that

- 1 right.
- 2 A. That's right.
- 3 Q. In a nutshell, that asserts what exactly.
- 4 A. It asserts that the Onkaparinga River represents the body of a female ancestor.
- 6 Q. And is a women's site.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. It asserts that because of what precisely.
- 9 A. Predominantly the features of the map.
- 10 Q. I think it features a UBD Adelaide street directory, showing the configuration of the river as it enters the
- sea at Port Noarlunga.
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. Can I take you to p.69 under the heading `Similarity between river shape and reproductive organs'.
- 16 A. Yes, I have it here.
- 17 Q. Do you see that.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. For the sake of the record, could you read to us that
- very small paragraph there.
- 21 A. The first paragraph?
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 COMSR
- Q. And, just before you do: and that is a publicly
- available document that you are reading from.
- 26 A. That's correct. This is a quote `The map of the mouth
- of the Onkaparinga River region shows a connection
- between the shape of the river and the reproductive
- organs of a woman. A glance at an anatomy book confirms
- 30 this against the outline on the map. Not only did it
- 31 show the organs in one view, a side view, but also in
- another view as well, which was a frontal view of the
- reproductive organs. It followed from then that Kaurna
- people knew much about anatomy.' There is a little bit
- more Teichelmann and Schurmann sort of translation. I
- don't think that is relevant.
- Q. Just so that I am clear about this, who are the Kaurna people.

- 1 A. The people or they are considered to be the people who
- 2 lived in the Adelaide region from Cape Jervis through to
- roughly or across the Adelaide plains on the western
- 4 side of the Mount Lofty Ranges through to about Crystal
- 5 Brook and then out to the gulf. So, a sort of slither
- of land on the western side of the Mount Lofty Ranges.
- Q. I don't know if you know this, but you say that was intended for some classes.
- 9 A. Yes, this is a publication that puts forward papers to be used by students and researchers.
- 11 XN
- 12 Q. Then that is asserted to be a site of significance, is it not, later in the article.
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. For what reason, apart from its similarity. If you could go to p.70, at the top of the page.
- A. There is a section titled `A site of significance', and
- it reads, this is a quote `This was and still is known
- as a site of significance for Kaurna women of the
- Adelaide plains. While men fished on the sea side of
- 21 the river, the women held their ceremonies in this area.
- Old photographs of the area, which shows sea shells placed in designs on the sand near the river.'
- Q. Then I think the article asserts some implications for that land in terms of protection.
- A. Yes, it says, this is another quote `The Kaurna people believe all sites such as these should be preserved and protected.'
- Q. And brought to the public's attention, does it saying anything about that.
- 31 A. It says, in another quote `From an educational point of
- view the more that is known and told of these sites the
- more people will understand the connection between
- 34 Aboriginal people and the land.'
- 35 COMSR
- 36 Q. And that is a book which, as you understand it, would be
- 37 used in various classes.
- 38 A. I don't have well, it is the type of paper that you

34

35

36

area of land.

P.A. CLARKE XN (MR SMITH)

- would refer to in course hand-outs and it is written by 1 2 Aboriginal people. It is putting forward their view of the landscape and what they want the white public -Q. Yes, I appreciate that. A. And it would be used by students. 5 6 Yes, I think, until I have more COMSR: 7 information about its circulation, I would be inclined 8 to just suppress that material, for the time being, Mr 9 Smith. 10 MR ABBOTT: Your Honour should perhaps know that one 11 of the other co-authors is Gough Whitlam. I think you 12 ought to look at the entire book, to see what sort of a 13 publication it is. It is hardly one for any closed 14 community. 15 COMSR: You appear to be looking at something 16 different. MR ABBOTT: 17 Your Honour has only been referred to one article in it, but there are a number of articles in 18 19 it and you ought to see the work as a whole, I think, 20 before you decide. 21 22 Q. Can I just get you to deal with one other topic which is 23 canvassed in that section of the publication and that is 24 the question of the aerial visualisation. I think the 25 authors deal, do they not, with the question of whether 26 or not Aboriginal people could visualise a map, if you 27 like, of the land and therefore draw conclusions from 28 29 A. That's correct. They use an example from another part 30 of the State, another myth, to argue that people could 31 have this perception of the landscape that corresponded 32 to a map. There is a direct quote here `The story of 33 Lake Eyre (made from a kangaroo skin) shows a strong
- 37 EXHIBIT 210 Kaurna Higher Education Journal tendered
 38 by Mr Smith. Admitted.

visual image of the outline of the lake. Showing that

Aboriginal people can visualise a map-like view of an

- Q. Could you, by reference to other material if you need
 to, comment on the concepts that are raised by that
 article by Lewis O'Brien and others in that exhibit.
- A. That article represents another example of what a body of literature in anthropology has more or less predicted would happen. There is a body of literature talking about the symbolism of the landscape as being, or the landscape as being symbolic of mother earth. The literature I am referring to is a series of papers and
- books written by Debra Bird Rose and Tony Swain. I havea couple of extracts from those.
- Q. First of all, looking at this document I produce to you,
 which is an Encyclopaedia of Aboriginal Australia, the
 general editor being Dr David Horton, a 1994
 publication, is the concept you have spoken of
 elaborated upon in that.
- A. Yes, in this encyclopaedia there is an entry by Debra
 Bird Rose titled `Contemporary Religion', and the last
 part, the last paragraph of this entry talks about
 Aboriginal modern Aboriginal spiritualism.
- Q. In what way does it relate to what we have just seen in the Kaurna Higher Education Journal article.
- A. This, combined with other things that Tony Swain has written, bring to the attention of anthropologists that there has been a movement over the last 20 or 30 years in the Aboriginal community to appropriate from nonAboriginal sources, that is, European sources, models
- of mother earth. And, in a sense, Aboriginalise this
- and pass it back as their own. I am talking particularly and Debra Bird Rose is talking, in
- particular, of the southeastern region of Australia.
- This phenomenon doesn't seem to be taking hold in other
- areas of Australia. It is predominantly the parts of
- Australia where Aboriginal people have suffered most from contact with nonAboriginal people.
- Q. And the mother earth concept of Tony Swain I think is found in a publication entitled `International Review',
- or perhaps you could identify it for us. Looking at

- 1 what I produce to you - and that publication is, what,
- 2 first of all, could you identify it for us.
- A. It is a paper titled this is by Tony Swain. It is titled `The Mother Earth Conspiracy: an Australian
- 5 Episode', and it appears in a publication, a journal
- 6 publication called Numen and it is volume 38 and it was published in 1991.
- 8 Q. What is the nature of that publication.
- A. This is a journal that focuses on the study of religion. 9
- It is titled `Numen', but it is subtitled `International 10 11 Review for the History of Religions'.
- 12 Q. It is a publication which is in libraries and available 13 to the public on an international basis, is it.
- 14 A. Yes, and it is another form of an argument, a series of publications that Tony Swain has put elsewhere, 15 16 including a couple of books.
- Q. The thrust of the article, 'The Mother Earth Conspiracy' 17 18 it is called, is it not.
- 19 A. It is arguing that the landscape, the Australian
- 20 landscape, as a colonised entity, has taken on the
- 21 symbolic role of mother earth. And there is a quote
- 22 here that I think sums it up, if I am permitted to read it out? 23
- 24
- Q. Yes.A. `Far from being an acient belief, it is argued that 25
- 26 Mother Earth is a muythic being who has arisen out of a
- 27 colonial context and who has been co-created by white
- 28 Australians, academics and Aboriginies. Her contours,
- 29 in fact, only take shape against a colonial background,
- 30 for she is a symbolic manifestation of an "otherness"
- 31 against which westerns have defined themselves.'
- 32 **COMSR**
- 33 Q. You appreciate that it is not any role of this
- 34 Commission to determine whether or not the concept of
- 35 women's business exists or not. I am concerned with the
- 36 particular issue before me.
- A. I guess I am I am arguing that this is this 37
- 38 literature provides an explanation for why I have argued

1	that secret sacred women's business on Hindmarsh Island
2	is a very recent phenomena. It is - through my
3	cross-examination, a lot of the questions sort of
4	resolved around previous ethnographers missing
5	something. And I am saying, well, they didn't miss
6	anything. And here is a model which is relevant to an
7	area much bigger the Lower Murray. It takes in the
8	whole of southeastern Australia. A model that explains
9	quite a bit of the formulations of the Aboriginal
10	secret sacred women's business on the Hindmarsh Island.
11	So, I think it is quite crucial to put that forward, as
12	a way of fleshing out the ethnography that we have
13	already gone through in the Commission.
1 /	MD CMITH. I tandan the section de comments first of

- I tender those two documents, first of MR SMITH:
- all, the encyclopaedia, or it is an extract from the 15
- Encyclopaedia of Aboriginal Australia. 16
- 17
- Q. Perhaps, before we hand that up, could you identify the precise section that you would have us refer to.
 CONTINUED

P.A. CLARKE XN (MR SMITH)

A. In the encyclopaedia. 2 Q. Yes, in the encyclopaedia. A. It is in volume 1. Q. I mean on the document itself. 5 A. It is the last paragraph on the entry of `Contemporary 6 Religion'. It starts on p.222 and ends on p.223. The 7 paragraph that I refer to is on p.223 on the first 8 column, second paragraph down, commencing `An emergent 9 movement of spiritual revitalisation' down to `conquest 10 of the continent.' 11 A. That's correct. 12 EXHIBIT 211 Extract from Encyclopaedia of Aboriginal 13 Australia 'Contemporary Religion', and 14 extract from Numen, `International 15 Review for the History of Religions' 16 tendered by Mr Smith. Admitted. Q. Is the point you are making, in essence, that your view 17 18 is that secret women's business is a recent invention. 19 A. In relation to Hindmarsh Island as part of the Lower 20 Murray secret sacred women's business, yes. 21 Q. And the documents, particularly the two extracts marked 22 Exhibit 211, purport to explain, if you like, what may 23 be the basis of this recent invention. 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. Exhibit 210, that is the Kaurna Higher Education Journal 26 article by Lewis O'Brien and others, is yet another 27 example. 28 A. That's correct. 29 COMSR: Ms Pyke, you will know the nature of 30 your cross-examination better than I do. Of course, 31 with an anthropologist one has to be certain that we are 32 not going to touch on subjects which should be dealt 33 with in private hearings. I will have to be guided by 34 you as to whether or not you consider it appropriate 35 that we should be having this evidence in private. 36 I do not think at this stage. If there MS PYKE: 37 comes a point where I believe, or indeed my client 38 believes, I am heading into dangerous territory, we will

- certainly stop at that stage and consider the matter 2 further. COMSR: Yes. Anything which requires the recourse to the Section 35 authorisation will naturally 5 require a closed court for that. 6 MS PYKE: Yes, it can become a little messy, but I cannot see a way around it. 8 COMSR: I think Dr Clarke is alert to the fact 9 that, in his answers, he has to be careful. 10 Before my friend starts, if I could just MR MEYER: 11 say, on a completely different subject, I undertook 12 yesterday to measure the courtroom, and I have. For the 13 purposes of the evidence of Mrs Wilson yesterday, the 14 courtroom is 14.5 metres from the wall behind you to the wall with the green board on it, and it is 4.4 metres to 15 the little recess at the back, and the sheriff's officer 16 17 assisted me in the measuring. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS PYKE 18 19 Q. Before we get too far underway, there have been various 20 phrases utilised by you in the course of your statement 21 and your evidence, and indeed in your thesis. I just 22 want to clarify with you in what context you are using 23 some of these words so that I am not at cross-purposes, 24 or, if I am, I can more readily define it. The phrase 25 `women's business', when you use that, what do you mean 26
- A. Women's business', just by itself, I would mean any business that women would conduct as women separate from men, or perhaps more precisely, any business that they predominantly do separate from men, which would take in avoidance as well as, in its broadest sense of the word, secret sacred business.
- Q. So its more limited meaning is what women may do
 separately from men with no context of secret and
 sacred, but you -
- 36 A. It is not really my definition. It would be an37 Aboriginal English definition.

- Q. The phrase `secret sacred women's business', when you use that phrase, in what context are you using it.
- A. Largely on the basis of the use as it has been put
- forward by the proponent women, that is, secret sacred
- 5 business that only women know and are responsible for.
- 6 In my evidence so far I have, in a sense, criticised the
- 7 use of that by the proponent women, by saying that they
- 8 essentially what they are putting forward is a secret
- 9 secret sacred women's business, that is, secret sacred
- women's business that only they knew about in terms of even existing.
- 12 COMSR: We seem to have skipped one hurdle, as it were.
- You were asking about women's business and then secret sacred women's business.
- 15 MS PYKE: Yes. I am about to get to a third one,
- which is secret women's business. You are right they are a bit out of order.
- 18 XXN
- 19 Q. 'Secret women's business'.
- 20 A. Have I used that at all in my statement or thesis?
- 21 Q. Is that a phrase that you have heard before.
- 22 A. As I'm sitting here, no. I would probably ask if that
- statement was put to me or I read that statement, I
- would ask whether it was women's business or secret
- sacred women's business. So I would ask for more information.
- Q. Insofar as you're concerned, secret women's business isn't a terminology that you would use. You would
- either have `women's business' or `secret sacred women's
- business', and an extra category `secret secret sacred women's business'.
- 32 A. No, no. It really depends on what we are describing.
- There is a whole wide range of possible behaviour that
- one might want to categorize. I certainly wouldn't want
- 35 to push all cultural activity of either gender into neat
- 36 little categories like that. I would look for terms
- that were, in the manner I was going to use them, less
- ambiguous. So it would really depend on what audience

- 1 one was writing to, and what definitions had already
- 2 been set up in that paper. As long as people define
- what they mean by those categories that you have just
- 4 outlined. Any terminology is acceptable as long as the
- reader knows exactly what comes loaded with each of those terms.
- 7 Q. `Business'. What is incorporated in the concept of `business' in the sense of `women's business' or `secret sacred women's business'.
- 10 A. `Business' could be very broad. It could mean ceremony, it could mean practices, rituals, all manner of things.
- 12 Certainly not restricted to ceremonial activity.
- 13 Q. You have used the word `tradition'. What do you mean by that word.
- 15 A. `Tradition' is beliefs, customs, practices in the
- general sense. But the use of `tradition' implies some
- form of passing on those practices, not necessarily in
- modified form. I think that is as simple an explanation as any.
- 20 Q. So beliefs, practices or customs that are passed on I cannot understand what you are saying.
- A. There can be modern traditions that may relate to a fairly short period of time, and there might be older
- 24 traditions that relate to back to a pre-European time.
- There is a lot in between.
- Q. So you are not using `tradition' in the sense that it only relates to a period of hundreds or thousands of years.
- A. The way I would use it in the style that I most often write would be I would preface it with something like
- 31 `pre-European' tradition, `historic' tradition,
- 32 mission' tradition. I would make it quite clear what
- period of time, or what cultural area the tradition was being linked to.
- Q. When you use the phrase `modern tradition', what do you mean by that.
- A. In what context have I used it? Have you got an example?

- 1 Q. You used the phrase in your answer `modern tradition' -
- 2 A. A modern tradition could be one that's I guess there
- 3 is no time limit to it, but one that's come into being
- in relatively recent times. It might be 60s, 70s or
- 5 80s, and it has been put forward and owned by a
- 6 community of people as a belief, custom or practice.
- 7 COMSR
- Q. Does it have to have a minimum age before something canbe termed a tradition, or can it be something that is
- discussed one year and it is tradition the next, or what is the situation.
- 12 A. There is a little bit of danger in coming up with a sort
- of dictionary definition of `tradition' and making that
- in constrast to an anthropological one, I guess. In
- terms of an anthropological term definition of
- 16 `tradition', one would need to demonstrate there was a
- 17 community of people that owned that tradition, that
- believed in it, and were actively involved in it in some
- manner, that that tradition had some historical depth,
- even if it has been just a matter of a year or two. I
- would want, from an anthropological point of view, to
- put a time limit, but something that some pattern of
- cultural behaviour that seems to be repeating itself and
- there's knowledge by those participants that it is being
- repeated, and there is some importance put onto that repeating of that practice.
- Q. I am still not clear. At some stage a practice becomes a tradition. Is that what you are saying.
- 29 A. I suppose `tradition' could be art work, it could be
- songs, it could be tradition that men went out in a certain direction to hunt. It is a really broad
- 32 category, and one would want to use those terms
- carefully when embedding it in texts, to make sure that
- the surrounding texts actually defined what `tradition'
- 35 meant in that case.
- 36 XXN
- Q. Tradition, as you define it, within a community, not every member of the community has to have the same

- 1 belief, if I can put it, or practice to make it a 2 tradition.
- A. Yes, you have come up into an even bigger problem of how to define a community. One could say a community could 5 be people living at a certain settlement; or a community
- 6 could be, for example, all Aboriginal people who see 7 ties to particular landscape; or a community could
- 8 include you and I and Aboriginal people in a broader
- 9 Australian sense. So one would have to be - in order to
- 10 answer that, I would need to know what definition of 11 `community' you were really wanting me to address in
- 12 relation to what is a tradition.
- 13 Q. As a general example, there is a community of Aboriginal
- 14 people living at Point McLeay and some people have a particular tradition, or piece of information which is 15
- 16 passed down either within their family or for some other
- 17 reason, and other people don't have that tradition or
- 18 information. I am simply putting to you it is not
- 19 necessary for every person in a particular community to 20 have the information or practice to enable it to be a 21
- 22 A. Yes, it is a complex question, because I wouldn't, for 23
- starters, agree that individual families in a small 24
- rural community would be isolated enough from other 25
- families living on the community. I mean, there are so 26 many interconnections that in order to define family - I
- 27 mean, I am throwing up all these problems of
- 28 definitions, but that is what anthropology is about.
- 29 The moment one overgeneralises about those definitions,
- 30 the rot sets in and the whole model goes. So I am not
- 31 really able to answer that, because I don't agree with
- 32 the model of a community in terms of it being made up of
- 33 rather distinct families that you have put forward.
- 34 Q. I will get back to that in another context. Do you
- 35 distinguish between practice and tradition.
- 36 A. Practice can be part of tradition. As I said, tradition
- 37 is a very broad term, even in its anthropological
- 38 context. So a practice that gathers some sort of

- history in the sense of repeating itself can be a tradition, yes, but not all practices are traditions.
- Q. Is there any criteria that you would point to that will distinguish a practice that has become tradition, as opposed to a practice that is not tradition.
- 6 A. One would probably, first of all, take the 7 anthropologists' sort of remote position in the sense of 8 observing how communities are interacting, but at the 9 same time the communities' perceptions of what are their 10 traditions are important as well. And here I am, of 11 course, using the same terms that I said need to be 12 defined, but it would be like most anthropology, you 13 would combine outsider and insider perspectives and 14 hopefully come up with a meaningful model of what is 15 going on, and therefore being able to say these beliefs, 16 customs, practices, whatever, are traditions.
- Q. Is it fair to say that the concept of what is or is not tradition, is a very broad, grey area that is not capable of discrete definition.
- 20 A. No, I think any competent anthropologist who was 21 injected into an ethnographic situation, even using 22 quite ranging techniques, would come up with a similar 23 model of what was going on. I mean, if that was not the 24 case, then anthropology would have nothing whatsoever to 25 offer indigenous people whatsoever. I mean, although 26 there is many factions in social anthropology, there is 27 certain things that anthropologists - social 28 anthropologists look for, and any competent 29 anthropologist would be expected to arrive at a somewhat
- 30 similar position at the end of their study. Although, I
- fully agree that each person's relationship to a
- 32 community varies, so there will be slight differences on
- stress, different perspectives. But depending on what
- the aim is of the study, if the study, for example, was
- looking at sacred sites or mythologies and things like
- that, you would expect that there would be a core that
- all anthropologists would come up with once they had
- done field work.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

1 CONTINUED

- 1 Q. The use of the term culture as you use it.
- 2 A. Again, are we talking about how I would use culture in
- a variety of ways depending on how I was writing.
- 4 Culture is, in its broadest sense, is sort of way of
- 5 thinking and being for a group of people, but it's still
- 6 open to just the same problems of putting one culture up
- 7 against another. I mean one person could be a member of
- 8 several cultures just by being part of broader
- 9 Australia; part of a pub culture, part of an Aboriginal
- 10 culture, part of a bikie subculture. It's not really a
- term that you could map without an anthropologist
- setting up their own definition of culture for a
- particular example, for a particular reason.
- 14 Q. So the definition of culture changes in the circumstances.
- 16 A. In the circumstances that an anthropologist uses it, but
- it could also change in the circumstances in which a
- member of a culture would use it as well, depending on contact.
- Q. I'm just really wanting to make sure, when I get to the
 body, that I understand what you're talking about.
 Cosmology.
- 23 A. Cosmology could be used in two main ways, although
- closely related. I've written a number of pieces or
- 25 published one as a paper, the other appears in my thesis
- where I'm looking at, from historical records,
- developing a model of how Aboriginal people in the
- preEuropean period and the early period of European
- settlement visualise the space that they lived on, and
- it's quite clear from the historical records, and from
- 31 comparative studies elsewhere in Australia, that the sky
- world was another landscape, and one which was quite
- closely related in terms of the events on the
- 34 terrestrial landscape. Cosmology, in the sense of the
- study of that relationship, would not only provide a
- view of the world which a cultural group would consider
- that they were part of, but it would also map out the
- 38 celestial bodies. As I've said, sometimes cosmology is

- used just as a convenient term of referring to the map
 of heavenly bodies but, in its more anthropological
 sense, it would be mean an explanation of the model that
 Aboriginal people had of the world that they were part
 of, they were living in, and that cosmology could be
 quite different from, say, a 20th century Australian
- 7 model of our cosmology, which would be quite different.
 8 Q. In the sense that you use cosmology, again can that be something that is a changing concept.
- A. It would change, depending on how I was using it and how I was defining it. In my thesis, I sort of home in on the star charts but, at the same time, I do provide that overview of the total landscape. I've also written a number of papers about it which I could refer you to, if it's necessary.
- Q. No. There are various references in your evidence to
 ethnography. What do you mean when you talk about an
 ethnography, or doing an ethnography.
- 19 A. An ethnography would be a compilation of data that 20 relates to a particular cultural group, and it's often 21 something - it could be used in the context of an 22 anthropologist who would consult a number of other 23 ethnographies and historical sources, and doing their 24 own fieldwork, and then that anthropologist would 25 produce their own ethnography which would have had input 26 from other ethnographies of the same group, and other 27 sources of information, so it's cultural information 28 about a culture, or a subset of a culture, or a group of 29 people in general.
- 30 Q. The phrase or the words `cultural geography', that is particularly referred to in your thesis.
- A. Cultural geography is a subdiscipline that is part of a
 much broader discipline of human geography. Cultural
 geography came into being more or less in the 1950s in
 America, and some of the work of Norman B. Tindale falls
- into that category. It's basically looking at how
- culture, as a entity, relates to landscape, and how
- 38 ultimately there is an interplay between culture and

ethnography.

TN 44C

13

- 1 landscape, a dialectic, whereby changes in the culture
- 2 can be in response to changes in the landscape and vice
- 3 versa, so it's a subdiscipline that focuses on the
- relationship between culture and landscape, but
- 5 landscape could also be sometimes defined more broadly 6 as physical environment.
- 7 Q. When you talked about ethnography - sorry, just 8 backtracking - you suggested that that related to - and 9 I'm paraphrasing here, and correct me if I'm wrong - the 10 obtaining of cultural information about a particular 11 group. Are you talking about obtaining the cultural 12 information in a particular way when one does an
- A. One would want to make sure, if they were receiving 14 ethnographic data from a number of different sources, 15 16 that they had a model of weighing up the relevance of 17 particular bits of data, and perhaps whether some parts 18 of that data are biased, so one would want a model of 19 how to, say, use historic data, and measure that in and 20 add that to contemporary fieldwork, for example and, in
- 21 a sense, that's what my thesis was about, where I was 22 relying a lot on the historical information initially,
- 23 and then blending that with contemporary fieldwork, and 24
- then coming up with, you know, a model of a changing 25 relationship to the landscape from preEuropean past to 26 the present.
- 27 Q. Is the principal source of information, when doing an 28 ethnography, fieldwork and participant observation.
- 29 A. In many areas it has to be. If you're working in the 30 highlands of New Guinea, you can be pretty sure that the 31 historical sources are perhaps going to be a bit
- 32 peripheral to judging kinship and social behaviour of a
- 33 particular group of Melanesians, whereas in other areas
- 34 - and my thesis argues this - where a cultural group has
- 35 been in close proximity and interacting with another
- 36 cultural group for 150 odd years, that that historical
- 37 data is essential in terms of describing the
- 38 relationships over time between those various cultural

- 1 groups. So I would not say, in general, that you could
- 2 say whether producing an ethnography relies on one more
- 3 than the other. I mean some anthropologists who are
- 4 doing an ethnography of some group of people in the
- 5 Middle Ages, for example, are certainly not going to go
- 6 back and do fieldwork so, you know, you rely on what you
- 7 can get and then, hopefully, you develop a model to tell
- 8 the reader what the ethnographic data can tell people,
- 9 tell the anthropologists.
- 10 Q. You've used the phrase `social anthropology' at times.
 11 What do you mean by that.
- 12 A. Well, social anthropology, in a sense, is the type of anthropology that's taught at the University of
- Adelaide, so a lot of the issues that lecturers, and
- publications that come out of that department, are
- focused on would be considered social anthropology.
- 17 Q. I want to know what you mean by it.
- 18 A. Social anthropology would be focusing on relationships
- 19 within a culture. It can mean, in the case of some
- anthropologists, using historical data, it can mean
- focusing on, you know, kinship, social inequality, but all the time linking it back to concerns about culture.
- Q. The phrase I've just noted some phrases, I've gone through various things that you've done, be it in your
- evidence or your report cultural anthropology.
- A. Cultural anthropology, that relates to a rather, you know, narrow band of writing, I think primarily by
- people like Keesing. Through the first chapter in my
- thesis, I sort of sum up the perspectives of a wide
- range of subdisciplines. I suppose there would not be
- 31 much difference, on the surface at least, between a
- 32 cultural geographer in some situations, and a cultural
- anthropologist. When you got down to such, what I'd
- consider 'small fields' like that, you would really have
- to define them by who are the main anthropologists who
- are writing in that particular tradition in that
- 37 particular time.
- 38 Q. You also used, or indeed have been described as, `a

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

1 human geographer'.

2 A. As I have already said, cultural geography is part of 3 human geography, and some of the writing by geographers 4 - such as Buttimer from Europe, that influenced my 5 thesis - were from people who considered themselves more 6 to be phenomenologists within the human geography 7 category, so I guess, well, what I am doing when I refer 8 to myself as a human geographer, is just allowing myself 9 to be a little bit more eclectic and stand back a little 10 from cultural geography. In a sense, I can be 11 considered a social anthropologist, a cultural 12 geographer, a human geographer, I write in material 13 cultural studies, so there are many hats that one could 14 wear, depending on what position one is taking when writing or engaging in some sort of scholarly exchange. 15 16 I could also be considered an historian in the sense 17 that I write for Aboriginal history as a journal, for

example.
Q. Well, you have indeed. When you commenced to give evidence, you were described as anthropologist, human geographer and historian.

22 A. From my memory, it was originally said that I was an 23 anthropologist and historian, and I corrected Mr Smith 24 and said `Well, I can be an historian in some 25 situations', but I prefer to call myself, on the basis 26 of how my PhD thesis was submitted, and bearing in mind 27 my PhD thesis is the most significant thing that I've 28 written, and that was submitted jointly as a social 29 anthropology/cultural geography thesis, so at this 30 stage, officially, I prefer to rest on those 31 definitions, but it's wrong to pigeonhole people working 32 in this area, particularly Aboriginal studies, in any 33

particular category.

Q. Yes. Indeed in your evidence it was put to you this by counsel assisting, `I think you are an historian and anthropologist currently in the employ of the South Australian Museum, is that correct', and you said

38 Rather than as an historian, I would rather classify

- myself an an anthropologist and human geographer, but I do frequently employ the methodology of an historian'.
- 3 A. Yes. I accept that, yes.
- 4 Q. On what basis do you classify yourself as an anthropologist.
- A. As I've just said, the thesis was submitted in social anthropology and cultural geography. My Bachelor of
- Arts work was a double major in social anthropology, and one of my thesis supervisors was a social anthropologist
- no, sorry, two of my three supervisors were social anthropologists.
- 12 Q. Are you a member of any professional body as an anthropologist.
- 14 A. I'm a member of the local Anthropology Society of South
- 15 Australia. I have, in the past, been a member of the
- Australian Anthropology Society. I'm not presently a member of that society.
- 18 Q. When you talk about that, are you talking about the
- Australian Anthropological Society, the professional body of anthropologists.
- 21 A. That's right.
- 22 Q. When were you a member.
- A. It was some years ago. My interests have broadened out, and I ceased paying membership and withdrew.
- 25 Q. Can you tell us how many -
- A. It would be more than three years. I can't specify more.
- 28 Q. At the time that you were a member of the Australian
- Anthropological Society, was that at a time when it was a learned society rather than a professional body.
- 31 A. I'm not quite sure of the distinction between `learned'
- and `professional'.
- Q. Have you made any recent application to become a memberof the Australian Anthropological Society.
- 35 A. No, I haven't.
- 36 Q. You're not bound by the rules and the rules of ethics by
- that society, presumably.
- 38 A. I'm bound by much tighter rules of ethics which would be

1	ones which are imposed within the State Government and
2	the Museum, so there's no - and also in the course of
3	doing my PhD, of course, I was bound by the ethics, the
4	various ethics codes that the university imposes on its
5	students.
6	Q. I'm just talking, at the moment, about your
7	classification of yourself as an anthropologist. My
8	reading of your thesis, for example, is that it was -
9	and I'll give you the page numbers, it might be that you
10	are so familiar with your thesis that you know it off by
11	heart - but you've described it as an exercise in
12	cultural geography.
13	OBJECTION Mr Meyer objects.
1 1	

- Unless there is some distinct direction 14 MR MEYER: that this question is going in, either Ms Pyke puts that Dr Clarke isn't an anthropologist, or he is not an expert, or he is not suitably qualified, rather than a long and detailed charade about membership applications and matters of that nature. 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 CONTINUED

38

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

MS PYKE: I am not sure what the basis of his 2 objection is, or what relevance it is to him. MR MEYER: Relevance and time. And this witness has come along here. MS PYKE: 5 He has asserted himself to be an anthropologist and made 6 criticisms of my client and I would have thought that I 7 was more than entitled to question his professional 8 status, standing and qualifications. It is fundamental. Yes, I suppose there is a limit to how 9 COMSR: 10 far you can take it, though. 11 MR MEYER: If Ms Pyke is going to say this man is 12 not an expert, then let her get on and do so. If she is 13 not going to say that, this is just irrelevant. One or 14 the other. COMSR: 15 She is entitled to examine his 16 qualifications. 17 MR MEYER: 18 COMSR: But, of course, there must be a limit, 19 Mr Meyer. 20 MR MEYER: I have waited for sometime. 21 MS PYKE: Perhaps my friend can wait a little bit 22 longer. 23 This witness has come along and he -24 Yes, he has given me evidence as to his COMSR: 25 qualifications. 26 Now, there is some challenge, is there, to that? 27 MS PYKE: Yes, we simply say this man is not an 28 anthropologist. 29 COMSR: I see, right, you are putting to the 30 witness he is not an anthropologist. 31 A. Can I respond? 32 COMSR: Yes. 33 A. I am curator of Anthropology at the South Australian 34 Museum. I am the senior curator. I have been employed 35 on my anthropological qualifications. My PhD, if you 36 look on the front page, is submitted in social 37 anthropology and either human or cultural geology. I

can remember which. I consider myself an

- anthropologist. The Government who employs me through
- 2 the museum considers me to be an anthropologist. The
- 3 field work techniques that I use and the literature that
- 4 I bring into my thesis has me as a social
- 5 anthropologist. So, I would have thought it was pretty clear.
- 7 XXN
- 8 Q. I am suggesting to you, and let's be quite clear about this, it might be clear to you, but it is certainly not
- clear to us. You are suggesting the fact that you are
- employed in the Anthropology Department of the museum
- makes you an anthropologist.
- A. I am saying, if I wasn't an anthropologist, I wouldn't be employed in the current job that I am doing.
- Q. I don't want to get too far into this, but the fact of the matter is that there is a history in the South
- 17 Australian Museum of nonanthropologists indeed heading 18 the Anthropology Department of the museum.
- 19 A. All of the curators are involved in anthropology. If
- 20 not studying it, have studied it. My present job, and it is in my statement, is the I am employed to run a
- project, the National Registry of Secret Sacred Objects.
- 23 I am constantly dealing with Aboriginal people out in
- the field on those topics. I would not be able to do
- 25 that, I would not be allowed to do that, by our Director
- of the museum, if I did not have qualifications in the area of social anthropology.
- 28 Q. You, I suggest -
- A. The University of Adelaide has given me a PhD in social anthropology and cultural anthropology. The University
- of Adelaide is one of the top-ranked universities in
- 32 Australia. Unless you think that somehow their system,
- you know, that they give away PhDs without regard to
- what discipline they give them away in, then, you know,
- 35 I think that I have pretty well made it obvious that I
- am a social anthropologist. I can call myself other
- 37 things in other situations, but I am primarily employed
- to do social anthropology. And that's what I do.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

1 COMSR: If there is some specific basis on which you challenge that the witness is an anthropologist,

perhaps we can have that put to the witness?

4 MS PYKE: Yes.

5 XXN

6 Q. I simply say that you were never, whilst your thesis was supervised by someone in the Anthropology Department at

the University of Adelaide, you were not enrolled in that Anthropology Department.

10 A. Yes, my PhD candidature has anthropology and geography.

Anthropology first. The fact that my paperwork was

- mainly through the Geography Department I turned up
- once every 6 months to fill in a form I really don't
- think has any bearing. I had to put the paperwork
- through some department. At the time I was enrolling,
- there was not an Anthropology Department. The
- 17 University would not allow me to go through
- Anthropology, so Faye Gale lured me over to Geography,

but insisted that I keep that connection with

- anthropology with Kingsley Garbett as supervisor. Chris
- Anderson, a social anthropologist at the museum, now

director, is my supervisor, as well. So, social

anthropologist has had an enormous impact on my thesis.

24 Q. Let me put this to you quite bluntly: I put it to you

25 that, being enrolled for a higher degree in the

Anthropology Department, doesn't qualify you as an

27 anthropologist and, in particular, in the absence of an honours degree or equivalent in that Department.

- 29 A. I don't know on what basis I am being told that information.
- 31 Q. I am putting that to you: do you agree or disagree.

32 A. I reject that.

33 Q. In fact, you certainly wouldn't qualify for a Fellowship

of the Australian Society of Anthropologists.

- 35 A. I would argue that I would. The fact that I haven't
- been a member of that Society for a few years and
- haven't reapplied I don't think is proof that somehow
- they would not accept me. I have close connections with

- a number of anthropologists who are Fellows of that
 Society and they would have made it quite clear they
- would be quite willing to be referees, should I ever want to return. So, I reject that statement outright.
- Q. It is your understanding that you would qualify for membership or for Fellowship of the Australian
 Anthropological Society.
- 8 A. Should I wish to renew that relationship, yes, but yes, the answer is, yes.
- 10 Q. Do you know what the criteria is for that Society.
- 11 A. Since I am not interested, at this stage, in reapplying, the answer, of course, is no.
- Q. Do you understand that to be, now, the professional body for anthropologists in Australia.
- A. It puts itself forward as a professional body, but not all anthropologists are members of it. I would want to see evidence of what you are saying, that it is somehow a club and, if you are not a member of the club, you are not an anthropologist. I think that is ludicrous to
- suggest that.
 Q. I am not suggesting it is a club, I am suggesting that it is the professional body and the body that
- determines, putting it bluntly, who qualifies as an anthropologist in the professional sense of the word.
- A. No, I doubt whether they would see themselves in the role of tapping people on the shoulder to say `You are now an anthropologist.' The system, from all my experience, doesn't work that way.
- 29 COMSR: Not all engineers are members of engineering bodies.
- 31 MR ABBOTT: Not all lawyers of the Law Society.
- 32 MS PYKE: The other side of that comment is, not everyone who works in a lawyer's office is a lawyer.
- 34 COMSR: Have we exhausted this particular topic?
- 35 XXN
- 36 Q. I just want to ask you about the Anthropology Society of
- 37 South Australia that you talk about being a member of.
- That is what I might term a common interst group, isn't

l it.

- A. Special interest group.
- Q. It is not a professional body. There is no particular
 criteria for membership, other than a proclaimed
 interest in anthropology.
- 6 A. That's correct. I mean, there are a number of anthropologists who are involved in the running of the
- Society. I think one would have to demonstrate that in order to be a council member, for example, that, you
- 10 know, you had some, you know, strong interests,
- professional or otherwise, in anthropology, in order to
- be on the council. But I don't think they have got a
- form, you know, with boxes to tick, in order to get into the Society.
- Q. I am simply putting to you, if I wanted to turn up and become a member of the Anthropological Society, I could.
- 17 A. They couldn't stop you, no.
- 18 Q. It is not a body that has, for example, a code of ethics.
- A. It does have a charter, or a set of rules, but basically that just sets up the interests of the Society.
- Q. Also and I am just getting some of these from your CV
 that you have referred to yourself as being, I think,
 associated or connected with the Institute of Aboriginal
 Torres Strait Islander studies.
- 26 A. That's correct.
- 27 Q. What is your connection with that particular group.
- 28 A. Until recent no, I am still listed there as a member.
- I get the journal, which I have contributed to. I have
- the encyclopaedia that was referred to in evidence
- 31 sometime ago, I was asked and wrote an entry into that
- encyclopaedia. So, I am a heavy user of their library
- facilities whenever I am in Canberra. So, I am part of
- the network. I have successfully got grants from the
- Institute, for example, and managed those grants.
- 36 Q. Would you agree with me if I suggested to you that that
- 37 Institute is an interdisciplinary research institution.
- 38 A. Yes.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

- 1 Q. It is not an anthropological -
- 2 A. Yes, it is interdisciplinary.
- 3 Q. Are there any particular criteria for membership of the

4 Institute or associateship -

- 5 OBJECTION Mr Meyer objects.
- 6 MR MEYER: This is just going far beyond the pale

7 of what is relevant.

- 8 MS PYKE: My friend might think so.
- 9 MR MEYER: I do think so.
- 10 COMSR: I understood we had exhausted this.
- 11 MS PYKE: Yes, that is virtually the last

12 question.

- 13 A. I'm not sure what the entry requirements are. Several
- years ago when I joined, and it was a group that I
- decided to stay connected with, I did have to
- demonstrate some publication record and professional
- interest in the Aboriginal studies area.
- 18 XXN
- 19 Q. You have in your evidence referred to clearly that you
- 20 have worked amongst Aboriginal communities. Is it just
- the Ngarrindjeri communities that you have worked amongst, in your professional capacity, or your -
- A. More with that community, but I have worked throughout
- South Australia with Aboriginal communities. And, over the last few years, I have worked quite a bit in the
- the last few years, I have worked quite a bit in the northern parts of Australia, as well. So, I have sort
- of got quite a good overview outside of the Lower
- 28 Murray
- Q. Do you consider your work or your work history to have been an exclusively as an anthropologist or sometimes as
- been an exclusively as an anthropologist or sometimes as a geographer, a combination of both.
- 32 A. I didn't realise that anthropologists were saw
- themselves as being such a narrow band, but I utilise a
- variety of modes of study. And, as I have said several
- times, one can wear several hats. I am I think it is
- very few anthropologists these days who have only ever
- just studied anthropology. So, I am just a little bit
- confused by that, that question.

- Q. Is there any difference between the methodology, for example, between anthropology and geographers in the context in which you are using the phrase.
- A. There are certain techniques that a geographer would 5 perhaps be more likely to use, but, then again, that is 6 probably because they are conducting a study which the 7 aims of that study would be outside of what most social 8 anthropologists would use. For example, Professor Faye 9 Gale used a lot of quantitative techniques in terms of 10 her field work, which produced a body of data which is 11 very useful for social anthropology, but she used 12 methodology that would have her as a social
- anthropologist, whereas my field work techniques were
- largely steering clear of questionnaires and sort of quantitative techniques. And my techniques were far more akin to mainstream social anthropology.
- Q. You said, and it is in the transcript, in response to a question from counsel assisting `Yes, I suppose I am here, to some extent, representing the anthropology profession.' What was the basis of that assertion, that you are here representing, to some extent, the anthropology profession.
- 23 A. There had been a lot of talk among anthropologists, both 24 within this State and outside this State, that they were 25 frankly appalled by what they believed to be in Deane 26 Fergie's report. And my personal view is that her 27 report has done an immense disservice to Aboriginal 28 people. And, from what I see in the report, it is 29 basically bad anthropology. So, I am here willing to 30 put forward my criticisms as a way of readdressing the 31 balance and to put forward a view that anthropology 32 does have a role in providing objective reports.
- Q. I can accept if you say here that you are here representing your view of whatever. I am asking you, in what way do you purport to be here representing the anthropology profession, as opposed to expressing your view.
- 38 A. I did not, I never said that I had come here to

KC 44D

- represent any particular group or society, as -Q. I put it to you -A. No, I am inserting myself into that role of saying that enough is enough, from the basis of my knowledge of what 5 is going on. I think there is more, there is relevant 6 information that has not come to the surface, in terms 7 of the anthropology that this issue has generated. So, 8 I have inserted myself into that role of saying, well, 9 you know, there needs to be a more clear view. And I 10 would say anyone who would be a practising 11 anthropologist would be able to say, you know, as much 12 the same thing. To be able to put up their hand and 13 say, well, there is other information, there are other 14 perspectives and to critique reports that they may 15 believe are not truthful, or, you know, avoid - you 16 know, avoid the issues. 17 Q. I will get to that.
- 18 COMSR
- 19 Q. Just so I can follow it: you are saying that there is a deep division between the anthropology profession.
- 21 A. No, I am saying that, as an anthropologist, that I have 22 spoken to other anthropologists. There has been some 23 concern expressed over the nature of the Fergie report. 24 Other people are perhaps not in the position like I am 25 as someone who has done anthropological work in the 26 general region to comment. I am taking on that role as 27 someone who has just done a PhD on the region to comment 28 on that. And I think I am quite entitled to.
- 29 XXN
- 30 Q. Let me make this clear: I am not suggesting that you are not entitled to come here and express your views and
- 32 give your evidence about Dr Fergie's report. What I
- join issue with is your assertion that you are here, to
- some extent, representing the anthropology profession.
- 35 And I suggest to you that is a very misleading
- statement, because you have not been authorised by
- anyone to speak on behalf of the anthropology
- 38 profession.

KC 44D

- 1 A. But what who is the anthropology profession?
- Q. You used the phrase, and I read from p.155 of the transcript -
- 4 A. Yes, I used that phrase.
- 5 Q. 'Yes, I suppose I am here, to some extent, representing the anthropology profession.' I simply put to you that
- 7 that is blatantly untrue. You are not here representing
- 8 anyone, other than you, expressing your own personal opinion.
- 10 A. I accept the point that I am mainly, or I am here
- 11 representing my own views, but I still hold that one of
- the reasons that I want to wanted to put forward what
- 13 I consider to be relevant information and to critique
- the Fergie report is the fact that I think and I also
- 15 Said this in the statement I believe that it is my
- opinion that anthropology failed the Aboriginal people
- in relation to this issue. And that I was, by coming
- forward and being critical of the process that was
- undertaken, I have put myself in the position of
- 20 correcting that.
- 21 CONTINUED

RF 44E

- 1 So it was never my intention to say that somehow a club
- of anthropologists got together and said, you know `Go on, Clarke, go and do it'. I have never suggested that
- 4 somehow there were people standing behind me pushing me
- 5 into it. I am here on the basis of my own research and
- 6 my own knowledge. So I take the can for my own opinions.
- 8 Q. I simply suggest to you you are endeavouring to give yourself a status rather more than you possess in terms of the giving of evidence here.
- 11 A. That was not my intention in that statement to make it look as if I was some guru to anthropology.
- 13 Q. Why did you make it.
- A. I made it from the reason I have just stated, that I believe that anthropology, as reflected in the Fergie report, has failed Aboriginal people and that I wanted to re-address that.
- Q. As I say, I am not joining issue with your entitlement
 to make any view you want known for yourself, but to
 purport to be here representing the anthropology
 profession and make the comments that you do about Dr
- Fergie, I say is very misleading.
- A. All of us who appear before the commission as
 anthropologists are representing the anthropology
 profession. I am not suggesting that there was any
 formal reason why I was appearing. I have not stated
- that, as I said, there has been any process whereby I was inserted in here to represent anthropology. So I
- think you have taken an extreme interpretation of that sentence.
- Q. Because I suggest to you you are not even a member of your professional body. There is no basis on which you can make that assertion.
- 34 A. My professional body as it has already been made
- 35 clear, not all anthropologists are a member of that
- professional body. Some anthropologists probably get
- some value for being part of it at certain stages of
- their career. At this stage of my career I've been busy

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

- doing other things and not a member of it. I may be a member of it in the future.
- Q. I want to clarify what you are talking about here at p.155, `Anthropology has let Aboriginal people down in this issue'. Do I take it by that, you are making that particular assertion in regards to Dr Fergie.
- 7 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Is that for the criticisms that you have made of her methodology. Is that your basic criticism of Dr Fergie, or is there some other one.
- 11 A. If you mean methodology by what's in the report, how she got the information, how she interpreted it, I think it
- is probably broader than methodology. Her very

findings, for example.

- Q. In a nutshell, what do you understand Dr Fergie'sfindings to be.
- 17 COMSR: There is nothing confidential about any 18 of Dr Fergie's findings.
- 19 MR MEYER: Her whole report is subject to Section 20 35, I would have thought.
- 21 COMSR: I am a bit concerned about where it is taking us in public hearing.
- 23 XXN
- Q. Is it your perception that Dr Fergie is alleging that
 there is secret sacred women's business associated with
 Hindmarsh Island. Is that, in general, your
- interpretation of the information in her report.
- A. That's the starting point for the report and the finishing point.
- Q. So you, having read Dr Fergie's report carefully, have formed the view that that is what she asserts, that
- there is secret sacred women's business associated with Hindmarsh Island.
- 34 A. There are a number of other things in the report.
- 35 Q. I accept that. I am just dealing with this particular one.
- 37 COMSR: We may have to deal with some of those issues in private hearing.

- 1 XXN
- 2 A. What is the question?
- Q. That it is your interpretation, amongst other things, of Dr Fergie's report, that she alleges there is secret
- sacred women's business associated with HindmarshIsland.
- 7 A. That's correct.
- Q. I simply put it to you at this stage and when we go in
 private session in due course I will come back to it that no such thing is asserted in Dr Fergie's report.
- 11 A. I've got a copy of the report here which I have 12 annotated quite extensively. I think it probably is 13 something that would need a closed session.
- Q. I will get to it in due course. I suggest to you that the assertion of secret sacred women's business is an assertion that has been made in this commission, and indeed by yourself, and frankly is a fabrication by this commission and by you.
- 19 COMSR: Are you talking about the sacred 20 business?
- 21 MS PYKE: The secret sacred women's business 22 associated with Hindmarsh Island I suggest is something 23 that has been fabricated.
- 24 MR ABBOTT: She said it was a fabrication of this commission. Not in this commission, of this commission.
- 26 XXN
- A. I disagree with that. I don't know beyond it is such a strange statement, I don't know how to respond, other than I don't agree with it.
- Q. Outside this commission, or your statement, where have you seen the phrase `secret sacred women's business' in either the Fergie report or the Saunders report.
- 33 COMSR: Or the Terms of Reference, I suppose.
- 34 XXN
- A. Yes, it has been quite heavily used in the media, both print and television, including statements by Aboriginal
- and non-Aboriginal people.
- 38 Q. I suggest to you that it is not a phrase you have seen

RF 44E

- in either the Saunders report or the Fergie report. 2
 - A. Are we talking about phrases? Are we talking about -
- Q. Secret sacred women's business?
- A. Are we down to actual words as they appear in that?
- 5 Order? Are we talking about the actual meaning that 6 the? Content of the report -
- Q. I will get to that in due course. I am simply putting
- 8 to you that nowhere has there been any assertion in the 9 Fergie report or the Saunders report that secret sacred
- 10 women's business associated with Hindmarsh Island 11 exists.
- 12 **OBJECTION** Mr Meyer objects.
- I have got a great difficulty with that. 13 MR MEYER:
- 14 Either Ms Pyke says we are now down to semantics, or we
- 15 are discussing something which is real. One or the
- 16 other.
- 17 MS PYKE: With respect, what is the interest of Mr
- 18 Meyer to object? And the witness is quite capable.
- I will indicate I am interested in this 19 MR KENNY:
- as well. I was curious about his use of definitions and 20
- 21 I would have followed it up.
- 22 So I can follow it. You are putting to COMSR:
- 23 the witness that the phrase `secret sacred women's
- 24 business, nowhere appears in the Fergie report?
- 25 MS PYKE: The phrase, the concept, the mention of
- 26 secret sacred women's business.
- 27 COMSR: I think they are two things. First of 28 all we will deal with the phrase.
- 29 XXN
- 30 A. To answer that properly, of course, I am going to have
- 31 to go through and look for words, but I could offer a
- 32 part answer to that, that is, that in order to extract
- 33 what meaning Aboriginal people, particularly the
- 34 proponent women have been using when they have been
- 35 talking about -
- 36 Q. I am not talking about proponent women. I am talking
- 37 about -

- A. I am offering an explanation of how those terms have 2 come about.
- Q. But I am not asking you about that. I am asking you -
- 4 I ask that he be allowed to finish MR ABBOTT: answering the question. 5
- 6 The question relates to the Fergie MS PYKE: report at the moment.
- 8 MR ABBOTT: And the Saunders report.
- 9 MS PYKE: And the Saunders report.
- 10 COMSR
- 11 Q. The present question is simply this `Do those words
- 12 appear anywhere in those reports. The concepts we can
- 13 deal with later. Just for the purposes of answering
- 14 this question, are you able to say whether or not those 15 particular words appear in either report.
- 16 A. Those particular words in that order?
- 17
- 18 A. Without going through the report, I cannot do that.
- 19 Naturally I haven't memorised the whole report.
- 20 XXN
- 21 Q. I am happy for you to sit here and look through the 22 Saunders report and the Fergie report.
- 23 I don't know how long that is going to COMSR:
- 24 take. Are you asserting to the witness that nowhere
- 25 will he find any such words?
- 26 MS PYKE: Nowhere will he find what he asserts Dr
- 27 Fergie concludes, that there is secret sacred women's 28 business, in the Fergie report.
- 29 There is a difficulty there. Are we MR SMITH:
- 30 talking about the words in conjunction with one another,
- 31 that is, `secret sacred women's business'?
- 32 Yes. I am talking about that, because I MS PYKE:
- 33 have already asked the witness earlier on about that
- 34 particular phrase. He has given a particular meaning
- 35 and a particular definition. I have asked about whether
- 36 that is his conclusion from Dr Fergie's report. I am
- 37 simply putting to him that there is nowhere in Dr

RF 44E

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

- 1 Fergie's report where that phrase appears in any way, 2 shape or form. 3 MR ABBOTT: He has answered that. He said he has to 4 go through the report. Can we get onto something else? 5 MS PYKE: No, I want to continue with this. 6 I think it is best if I get back to that WITNESS: 7 question during one of the breaks. I need time to look 8 at every page and look for that sequence of words. 9 I don't know if we are having a break. MS PYKE: 10 COMSR: How long is it going to take, ten 11 minutes, or will you need longer than that? 12 WITNESS: Ten minutes to look at the report. 13 That's fine. 14 COMSR: You want to pursue that line of 15 questioning now rather than going on with another line 16 and coming back to it after luncheon break? 17 MS PYKE: I think I would rather keep it in 18 context. 19 ADJOURNED 11.45 A.M. RESUMING 12.11 A.M. 20 21 22 Q. Dr Clarke, you have looked at the Fergie and Saunders 23 report during that break, have you. 24 A. That's correct. 25 Q. I want you to understand that my question relates to the 26 particular words and phrase `secret sacred women's 27 business'.
- 28 MR ABBOTT: `Or anything like that' she said. The

29 question that she asked before the break was 'or

30 anything like that'.

31 COMSR: Perhaps we have got a new question. We 32 will deal first of all with this question.

33 XXN

34 Q. My question relates to the phrase `secret sacred women's 35

business'. Do you agree with me that that phrase does

36 not appear in either the Fergie report or the Saunders

37 report.

- 1 MR MEYER: Can we have the Fergie report defined?
- 2 Has Dr Clarke read the secret appendices?
- 3 XXN
- 4 Q. The Fergie report that you have read.
- 5 COMSR: The Fergie report without any secret
- 6 envelopes.
- 7 XXN
- 8 Q. I am just assuming you haven't read the secret
- envelopes. Perhaps that is an incorrect assumption.
- 10 A. Of course not. In answer to those words in that order, that phrase, the answer is no.
- 12 Q. The Saunders report also doesn't use that phrase.
- 13 A. I briefly looked through the Saunders report. I accept
- that it is unlikely that it uses those exact words in
- that order.
- 16 Q. I suggest to you that indeed in Dr Fergie's report, the
- phrase `women's business' is used only once in a very
- non-specific way, that is on p.4 of the report.

 19 A. Do you want me to go back for another 10 minutes to
- 19 A. Do you want me to go back for another 10 minutes to read 20 through the document again to check that?
- 21 Q. Have you got it in front of you.
- 22 A. I have got p.4 in front of me.
- Q. You can see the phrase `women's business' appearing there.
- 25 A. Where on the page is it?
- Q. P.4, about point 11. You see the phrase `Area of women's business'. Do you see it there.
- 28 A. Have I got the same report? Is this p.4?
- 29 Q. Yes, of Dr Fergie's report. It says `Area of women's
- business' at point 11, as I have just counted it.
- 31 A. Yes, I have got `Area of women's business'.
- 32 OBJECTION Mr Abbott objects.
- 33 MR ABBOTT: The questions that were put before the
- break and, if necessary, they can be read out were
- not restricting a search for the words `secret sacred
- women's business, but it was also asserted by Ms Pyke
- that those words, and nothing like them, or words to
- that effect, did not appear in the Fergie report.

RF 44E

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

MS PYKE: With respect to my learned friend, what 2 is his status to maintain this objection? 3 MR ABBOTT: That is a misleading statement. I think the best way to correct that COMSR: 5 might be to seek to ask further questions. 6 Mr Abbott will be able to cross-examine. MS PYKE: 7 MR ABBOTT: I am concerned it will be several days 8 at this rate before I am allowed to ask questions. Dr 9 Clarke is being now, after the break, asked the specific 10 question 'Do the words "secret sacred women's business", 11 in that order, in that sequence occur?' And he has 12 given an answer. But what is the point of all of this 13 questioning, when the phrase that exists is `women's 14 secret knowledge about the significant area of women's 15 business'? 16 MS PYKE: I object to Mr Abbott -17 MR ABBOTT: Hear me out. The phraseology she is referring to, 'women's secret knowledge about the 18 19 significant area of women's business' is exactly the 20 same. 21 COMSR: Mr Abbott, Ms Pyke can put the question 22 as she wishes. 23 MR ABBOTT: But she ought to put it properly, 24 appropriately and fully. What is the point of asking a 25 question about, you see the two words 'women's business' 26 only here and there, without reference to the 27 surrounding words? You can go through any report. I 28 just think it is a misuse of our time. 29 MS PYKE: With respect to Mr Abbott, firstly, what 30 is his status to make the objection? Secondly, there 31 has been a particular phrase and allegation bandied 32 about in this commission, asserted by this witness is 33 the net effect of Dr Fergie's report. Counsel assisting 34 have used this phrase. It has been used persistently 35 and I think it is not at all an unreasonable thing for 36 me to suggest that nowhere does that phrase appear. 37 COMSR: Does that physical phrase appear in that 38 order?

- MS PYKE: That phrase. Let us not talk about
 order. It is a phrase.
 MR ABBOTT: Then you will get to whether there is
 something to that effect appears.
 MS PYKE: Mr Abbott can conduct his case as he
- 5 MS PYKE: Mr Abbott can conduct his case as he sees fit. I have extended him the courtesy of allowing him to cross-examine as he wishes.
- 8 COMSR: Yes, we will never get ahead with this witness if we keep up this cross-current of discussion.
- 10 Ms Pyke, I think that question has been answered, has it not?
- 12 MS PYKE: Yes.
- 13 XXN
- Q. I suggest to you that the word `sacred' appears in DrFergie's report on only two occasions.
- 16 A. Yes, on p.13 and -
- 17 O. Five times.
- 18 A. P.15.
- 19 MR ABBOTT: And p.16.
- 20 MS PYKE: Five times.
- 21 MR ABBOTT: And p.16, `secret sacred knowledge'.
- The last line, `women's secret sacred knowledge'.
- 23 MS PYKE: Will Mr Abbott decline from giving evidence from the bar table. He can get into the
- witness box if he likes.
- 26 MR ABBOTT: I was just trying to help with the 27 question. I was trying to give the references you have 28 missed.
- 29 MS PYKE: I have to say that this commission frankly gets out of control on account of, without being
- 31 disrespectful, Mr Abbott.
- 32 COMSR: Yes. Ms Pyke is conducting her
- cross-examination in the way that she sees fit, and no
- doubt you will get your opportunity if there are any
- omissions that you wish to remedy.
- 36 MR ABBOTT: Yes, I will have to wait obviously.

- 1 XXN
- Q. Perhaps if you have a general look, I won't take you through the Saunders report, you say you've only had a general look at that.
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 COMSR: You've had `women's' you've had `secret' 7 are you going to deal with `sacred'.
- 8 MS PYKE: I think we have just dealt with sacred.
- 9 XXN
- 10 Q. You are critical in your evidence of the methodology that was employed by Dr Fergie.
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. You propose a particular methodology, and I think it
- starts at p.267 of the transcript, and you set out what
- you say is a methodology for writing a report on
- Aboriginal links to land, because the question put to
- 17 you was this, `If I've got the question correct, you
- want to know', this is what you're saying, `An outline
- or methodology of writing a report on Aboriginal links
- to land', and counsel assisting says `Yes, in a general
- 21 way, what are the sorts of things you would give
- attention to', and then you set out, in quite some
- detail, what you would need to go through. Firstly, can
- I ask you this; are you familiar with the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Protection Act, 1984.
- A. Now this is sorry, this doesn't cover movable cultural property?
- 28 Q. Beg your pardon.
- A. This is not the act that covers movable cultural property?
- 31 Q. I'm just asking you are you familiar with it.
- 32 A. I know that it exists, yes.
- 33 Q. Were you aware that Dr Fergie's report related to a
- declaration to be made by the minister pursuant to that
- 35 act.
- 36 A. Yes, I'm aware that that was the nature of the report.
- 37 Q. Are you aware that, under that act, there are certain
- constraints imposed in terms of times and time frames.

- 1 A. I have no detailed -
- 2 OBJECTION Mr Meyer objects.
- 3 MR MEYER: There are no times and time frames,
- 4 that's a question of law. I would object to any
- 5 suggestion that there are limitations of times and time
- 6 frames in the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander
- 7 Heritage Protection Act. In fact, I would argue very
- 8 strongly to the contrary, in relation to the provision
- 9 of a report by Dr Fergie.
- 10 COMSR: Is there some section of the act you
- want to draw my attention to?
- 12 QUESTION WITHDRAWN
- 13 XXN
- 14 Q. Have you ever been called upon to make any sort of a
- report in support of an application for a declaration
- pursuant to the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander
- 17 Protection Act.
- 18 A. No, I haven't.
- 19 Q. Would you agree with me, in general terms, that the
- 20 methodology that you have proposed as being the
- 21 appropriate methodology for writing a report in relation
- to Aboriginal links to the land, indeed is a detailed
- 23 methodology.
- A. I can't remember precisely all the words I used. I
- doubt whether I would have given it in detail.
- Hopefully I would have said something like that, you
- know, the nature of the methodology used would vary,
- depending on the location and the cultural groups and
- 29 other factors involved in the report, so I don't want to
- 30 come out with anything definitive.
- 31 Q. Would you agree with me, as a general principle, that
- 32 the general degree of enquiry and detail that could be
- incorported in a report would depend upon the time frame
- within which the report had to be prepared.
- 35 A. I'm not sure I entirely agree with that. If one has
- only a small amount of time, then obviously one would be
- less ambitious with the power of the recommendation, the
- statements, the findings of that report. In other

- words, don't go out on a limb.
- 2 Q. But you agree with me that what one can do in a limited period of time is different to what one could do if one had a year or two.
- 5 A. Or 10 years or a hundred years.
- 6 Q. Exactly, so the way that you might go about a report might be different if you had a fortnight than if you 8 had six months.
- 9 A. Depending if the stated aim is one towards assessing the 10 cultural significance, then obviously there would be 11 higher priorities in terms of the types of 12 investigations and fieldwork you would do and, if you 13 have more time, then you collect more data, and you just 14
- sort of broaden the scope, if that's necessary, just to 15 bring in other material that will strengthen, you know, 16
- the initial findings if one was going through a number 17 of drafts, but I would hope that there wouldn't be an
- 18 enormous amount of difference between, say, a report
- 19 done from two weeks' work as distinct from one done in
- 20 three or four months. I think again there would be
- 21 something very wrong with the techniques used if one had 22 to rely on a very lengthy time period to come up with
- 23 accurate results.
- 24 Q. I suggest to you that when you gave your evidence of 25 methodology - and again if you wish to refer to it, I 26 can certainly tell you that it starts at p.267 of the 27 transcript, and goes through certainly to p.274 - you 28 set out various things that you say should be done. I 29 just want to put this to you as a general proposition, 30 that the model that you have formulated in your evidence 31 is not an appropriate model to apply to the writing of a
- 32 report for the purposes of the Aboriginal and Torres 33 Strait Islander Heritage Act.
- 34 A. Well, without having the model in front of me, I would 35 still say that there's a certain scholarly process one
- 36 would go through and if, for example, there are
- 37 constraints, there are ways of still keeping the
- 38 integrity of the report, for example shortage of time,

- 1 then you would hope that some form of peer review would 2 be able to strengthen your findings in order to 3 compensate for having a short amount of time. It may well be that having produced a report for the purposes 5 of the act, that it would have been structured in a way 6 that answers precise questions, but the body of 7 knowledge that was produced through the research would 8 remain unchanged even if its appearance, when it's 9 presented to the minister or the people administering 10 the act, is along the lines where basically they just 11 sort of tick off the points. 12 Q. I suggest to you that in so far as you, in your model, 13 suggest a rather encyclopaedic frame, if I can put it
- Q. I suggest to you that in so far as you, in your model, suggest a rather encyclopaedic frame, if I can put it that way, you make reference to bringing all the literature, genealogies and the like. That's really a frame or a model that is more appropriate, for example, to a land claim, and that it would not be necessary to have the same degree of, for example, genealogy as one would in a land claim for the purposes of a report.
- A. I would disagree there. Genealogies of some level, even 20 21 fairly brief, even if they are basically referred to as 22 in the publications of Doreen Kartinyeri, are necessary 23 for the reader of that report to actually understand who 24 are the people whose interests are being discussed in 25 relation to that bit of land. I mean it goes back to 26 the problem that we were discussing earlier in terms of 27 how do you define culture, how do you define community, 28 and if it takes a fair degree of research to establish 29 who are the people, then it still has to be done. I 30 mean that's crucial particularly if, as you're 31 proposing, there's some need, somewhere along the line, 32 to say `Well, this group of people are different from 33 that group of people in relation to what they do and
- know'. I mean you can't avoid it.

 Q. I'm simply putting to you this; under land claim legislation, where it's necessary to establish continuous link, genealogical enquiry is of far more significance than the frame required under the

7

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

1 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Heritage Act, 2 where one is simply looking at significance according to

Aboriginal tradition.

- A. I think the very fact that family history and 5 genealogical link have played such a role in this 6 commission shows how untrue that statement is.
- Q. Sorry, I was momentarily distracted. Could you just 8 repeat that.
- 9 A. The very fact that kinship and family trees and people's 10 relatives, that data has come into the commission at 11 several points through the evidence given, is ample 12 proof, in my opinion, of the weaknesses of putting 13 forward a report to a minister which doesn't have that, 14 albeit thumbnail, sketch of the community. It's a must.
- Q. I'm not suggesting there shouldn't be perhaps some 15 16 reference, I will get to that in due course, I'm simply 17 putting to you, to the extent that you suggest that 18 there has got to be substantial genealogical 19 information, that that might be far more important in a 20 land claim where one has to look at traditional owners 21 and the like, than it would be under - do you agree or 22 disagree with that.
- 23 A. I disagree with that.
- 24 Q. So you say that there should be as much focus on a 25 report for the purposes of the Aboriginal and Torres 26 Strait Islander Heritage Protection Act on genealogy, as 27 there would be for a native land title where continuous connection of traditional ownership is a major element. 28
- 29 A. I'd hesitate to generalise because it's a fairly, you 30 know, complex field, and naturally reports written for 31 different reasons will have slightly different emphases, 32 but I still say that genealogy would have an important 33 role, particularly in that we are dealing with a 34 community that places a lot of stress on genealogy. I
- 35 mean it has been, and still is, a cornerstone of
- 36 defining Ngarrindjeri people, for example. It just 37 can't be avoided.
- 38 Q. Well, actually, just whilst we are on that topic, how do

4

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

1 you define Ngarrindjeri people.

- A. In its broader sense, they are a group of Aboriginal people that perceive themselves of having very strong
 - family and social historical connections to the Lower
- 5 Murray in particular places within the Lower Murray,
- 6 such as Point McLeay and some of the fringe camps around
- 7 places like Murray Bridge, Tailem Bend and Meningie.
- 8 Ngarrindjeri people don't seem to have much problem in
- 9 defining who Ngarrindjeri people are on that level.
- Q. We'll get to that in a moment. Ngarrindjeri is not
 confined to people who were brought up on Point McLeay,
 for example.
- 13 A. I mean there's a grey area I suppose with other
- 14 Aboriginal people that have come to live on Point
- 15 McLeay, but from outside regions, they marry into a
- 16 Ngarrindjeri family, and their descendants claim to be
- Ngarrindjeri, just through being Aboriginal and growing up in that environment.
- 19 Q. What I was really putting to you is this, to qualify, if
- I could put this; in that general sense, as a
- 21 Ngarrindjeri person, it doesn't mean that you had to
- have been born and bred on Point McLeay, it's a far
- broader concept than that, isn't it.
- 24 A. There are several ways that people can define themselves
- as Ngarrindjeri. In some cases some people can quite
- legitimately be called Ngarrindjeri through family
- connections and yet, for example, have been brought up
- 28 living on Kooniba or Point Pearce or somewhere else.
- 29 There are a variety of ways, but there are sort of
- 30 informal rules which Ngarrindjeri people use to sort of
- 31 negotiate amongst themselves exactly who is in and who
- is out, and it may change through someone's lifetime,
- but Aboriginality is certainly the major aspect, and
- then links to the landscape through family trees coming
- 35 up next.
- 36 Q. Certainly that's right and I use the general sense of
- 37 the word `blood line' is the major way of tracing
- whether one belongs on the Ngarrindjeri tribe.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

- A. It is one major element in that, yes.
- Q. Is what you say this; that other people might see 3 themselves, and indeed be seen in the community, as Ngarrindjeri if they have married into the community.
- 5 A. I mean we are getting into a grey area. Some people who 6 had spent a fair bit of their lifetime in that region 7 could claim that, and it would be acceptable. Other
- 8 people, perhaps other Aboriginal people, would perhaps 9 prefer not to be defined themselves, even though their

10 children might be defined as Ngarrindjeri.

- 11 Q. Wellington, the town of Wellington, Ngarrindjeri people 12 extend as far as Wellington.
- 13 A. This is where there's a bit of a difference, I suppose -14 well, there is a difference between the mapping of what
- 15 we know about preEuropean Lower Murray groups, which
- 16 today we have defined as Ngarrindjeri groups, with where 17 Ngarrindjeri people live today, so in the preEuropean
- 18 period, the exit of the Murray river into Lake
- 19 Alexandrina was a cut-off point. Ethnographies were
- 20 describing another language from people from Wellington
- 21 up-river but, in more historical times, many
- 22 Ngarrindjeri people have moved to Murray Bridge, and
- 23 they have claimed that territory, for want of a better
- 24 way of looking at it, and they have built up a very
- 25 strong historic connection with Murray Bridge, so the
- 26 boundaries are somewhat flexible, if you look at them
- 27 that way. There were various movements in the
- 28 preEuropean period, and some movements in the
- 29 postEuropean period.
- 30 Q. Can I put it this way; there might be some general rules
- 31 or concepts about Ngarrindjeri, and they are by no means 32 black and white - I don't mean that, perhaps I could use
- 33
- a different colour it's a fudged concept at certain
- 34 levels.
- 35 **CONTINUED**

- 1 A. No, I think that is taking it a bit too far. It is a
- 2 concept that people negotiate in a variety of contexts.
- 3 My thesis works on the assumption or not the
- 4 assumption, demonstrates that there is quite a bit of
- 5 continuity among a wide range of peoples. You can trace
- 6 their descent to Lower Murray, about their definition of
- 7 being Ngarrindjeri. Sometimes it would be a definition,
- 8 for example, of people who come back to Raukkan, as they
- 9 call Point McLeay, to bury relatives, for example.
- Various activities like that help to keep the concept of
- 11 Ngarrindjeri fairly tight.
- 12 COMSR
- 13 Q. Is there a heart or a centre or a focus of Ngarrindjeri community.
- 15 A. For a long time it was Raukkan, that is, Point McLeay,
- but there are other Ngarrindjeri families who have built
- up very strong connections to places like the fringe
- camps around some of the towns. And they could, quite
- rightly, argue that they are, for them, more important
- sites, but they would still recognise Point McLeay as
- being a very important place for all Ngarrindjeri people.
- 23 XXN
- Q. In your thesis you provide several definitions, if I can
- 25 put it that way. And I use that in the most general
- sense of the word. And, for example, at p.52 of your
- 27 thesis, you talk about `Ngarrindjeri is a term of
- 28 identity. Aboriginal people who acknowledge a close
- relationship to the Lower Murray region, whether living
- there or not, generally call themselves Ngarrindjeri.'
- 31 A. That is the gist at one level of what I have been
- saying, yes.
- 33 Q. And, at p.63 of your thesis, you said this `I estimate
- that there are between 2 and 3,000 people alive today
- who could define themselves as being Ngarrindjeri by
- virtue of birth or as a direct descent from the Lower
- 37 Murray. Today many of the people who formerly lived at
- Point McLeay still retain a link to that community.

- 1 Virtually all Aboriginal people I have met who claim a
- 2 kinship with Point McLeay define themselves as Ngarrindjeri.'
- A. In some contexts they would define themselves as
- 5 Ngarrindjeri. It is a very powerful, modern culture 6
- 7 Q. And your assessment of 2 on to 3,000 Ngarrindjeri people 8 being alive today, is that still a figure that you
- 9 believe to be accurate. And, I mean, I am not having a
- 10 go at you about it, or anything like that, but that is
- 11 around about the -
- 12 A. Yes, I built on the work of Professor Faye Gale. There
- 13 is a map in the thesis, which puts down her data and my 14
- data. And, from memory, I contrast the population of
- 15 people who live in the Lower Murray with the population
- 16 of total Aboriginal people who perceive themselves as
- 17 having very strong links to the Lower Murray landscape.
- 18 It is very hard, with the imperfect census data that is
- 19 available, to come up with precise figures, but I would
- 20 hold that that, you know, approaching 3,000 would be an 21 accurate figure.
- 22 Q. I won't read this all out to you, but, in your thesis,
- 23 at p.80, you define the area recognised by Brown, Berndt
- and Tindale I am just summarising here you describe 24
- 25 an area along the north banks the Lake Alexandrina, Lake
- 26 Albert, the southern banks of Lake Alexandrina, the
- 27 Coorong and Wellington on the Murray River, the eastern
- 28 banks of Murray Bridge: are they the sorts of areas that 29 we are talking about.
- 30 A. Is this in relation to a map of dialects that I had in 31 my thesis?
- 32 Q. Yes, you talk about the political units - the main
- 33 Ngarrindjeri language, the political units recognised
- 34 by, you talk about Brown, Berndt and Tindale.
- 35 A. That is a result of a compilation. There is not an
- 36 absolute perfect fit with all those sources, but pretty
- 37
- 38 Q. In fact, you have a, what I might call, I'm not sure

- what you would call it, I have referred to it as a
- figure, map that is part of your thesis, figure 2.9, showing the Ngarrindjeri descent groups, or in the
- showing the Ngarrindjeri descent groups, or in their preEuropean period.
- 5 A. Yes, and that was a map that I had drawn up in the latter stages of writing the thesis, just before the
- 7 Berndt Yaraldi volume became available. Rather than
- 8 refer heavily to the Berndt manuscript, I just made
- 9 scanty reference to it in a couple of places through the
- thesis, but did not modify any of the maps, because it -
- although it added a few more descent groups, it didn't overall change the pattern.
- Q. In your various researches and enquiries, this 2 to 3,000 Ngarrindjeri people, are they scattered through
- South Australia, these days.
- 16 A. Again, that map that I referred to earlier does
- graphically demonstrate that. I forget the exact
- proportions. If you have got the figure in front of
- 19 you, you should be able to work that out. I would
- certainly say that there are quite a few Ngarrindjeri
- 21 people who are now living in the Adelaide area and the
- suburbs, particularly to the north and south. But bear
- 23 in mind that it is a population that does move about, so
- families that live in the southern suburbs now may be
- living at Murray Bridge or even Point McLeay sometime in
 the next ten years. It is -
- Q. I suppose what I am putting to you is this, that, in numerical terms, the Ngarrindjeri people are
- comparatively small. I mean, 2 to 3,000 people is not a large -
- 30 large -31 A. In terms of indigenous people in South Australia, it is
- 32 a very large group.
 33 O. Lam just talking generally speaking. Just about
- Q. I am just talking generally speaking. Just about
 indigenous people. It is numerically a small group of
- people, isn't it, 2 to 3,000 people.
- 36 A. I am just not sure what model you are using. There
- would be other groups that would be very much smaller.
- 38 Q. Those 2 or 3,000 people are fairly diversely spread in

- South Australia, aren't they.
- A. No, I wouldn't say that. As I have said, there is a 2
- 3 very strong component of them living in the Lower Murray
- 4 region. There are - there is another component that are
- 5 - live further up the river, but still in very close
- 6 contact with people in the Lower Murray. People living
- 7 in - further up in the riverland. And there are people,
- 8 you know, living around Adelaide. And smaller groups of
- 9 people, again, who have married into families perhaps
- 10 based elsewhere in southern South Australia, but they
- 11 are not - it is not a random distribution, by any means.
- 12 I think there is a very strong pattern there and I refer
- 13 to that pattern in the thesis.
- Q. How many people these days live on Point McLeay. 14
- A. I think roughly or approaching 200 is the figure that 15
- 16 people tend to use down there. I'm not sure exactly
- 17 what it is at this moment that I speak, but of that 18
- 19 Q. Is that one of the larger Ngarrindjeri communities, now.
- A. No, I would say it is well, when you say community it 20
- 21 sort of implies that Point McLeay people don't ever see
- 22 Murray Bridge people, for example, but -
- 23 Q. No, I am not suggesting that -
- 24 A. Ignoring that community in terms of a resident group, 25 residency group, no, it would be smaller, for example,
- 26 than Murray Bridge I imagine. I am sure the ATSIC
- 27 annual reports would have or do have quite good figures 28 on where people are living and in what towns.
- 29 Q. I am just asking you -
- 30 A. Yes.
- 31 Q. On what basis are you telling us it is a substantial -
- 32 A. It is substantial or major and it is probably more major
- 33 in the sense that it is an Aboriginal run community and
- 34 it is predominantly Aboriginal people who live there and
- 35 socialise, interact. Whereas, in a place like Murray
- 36 Bridge, people are spread out, Aboriginal people are
- spread out. 37
- Q. You have talked about the word `tradition' and I think

- 1 you have said earlier that tradition doesn't necessarily
- 2 connote something that goes back hundreds of years.
- 3 A. Or 40,000.
- 4 Q. Or 40,000. It can be a much shorter time frame.
- 5 A. Yes, and I am not implying that, you know, older
- traditions are more valid than newer ones, if there is enough, you know, cultural activity, belief, you know,
- 8 force behind those traditions.
- 9 Q. Just digressing slightly, I omitted to ask you this:
- when did you first obtain a copy of Dr Fergie's report.
- 11 A. It would have been when I when the Commission was announced and I was approached by counsel assisting.
- 13 Q. Can you give me some time frame of that.
- 14 A. It was only months ago. I assume that we are talking
- about early July, but I wouldn't want to be pinned down to it, of this year.
- 17 Q. Of 1995.
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Until then, you hadn't read it.
- 20 A. No, I had got a summary of it from Deane from that, I
- 21 think it was the 11 July 1994 conversation over the
- phone that I have than given evidence to, but, in terms
- of the writing, no.
- Q. What do you say was the summary that Deane gave you on
- 25 11 July 1994 of what was in her report.
- 26 A. I have already given quite a bit of evidence -
- 27 Q. I am just asking you now.
- 28 COMSR: Ms Pyke -
- 29 MS PYKE: I am just talking about the summary of
- 30 the report.
- 31 COMSR: We don't go over evidence that has
- already been given. If there is something new that you
- 33 want to extract -
- 34 MS PYKE: I don't understand the witness to have
- 35 given evidence of Dr Fergie giving a summary of her
- report to him on the 11th.
- 37 COMSR: I thought you just put to him he has
- given quite a bit of evidence in relation to that.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

1 COMSR

Q. What is the situation. Have you given any evidence previously regarding the summary that you were given of

Dr Fergie's report.

5 A. That's correct, yes, I have.

6 XXN

- Q. I put it to you that whilst in your evidence and it starts at p.245 of the -
- 9 COMSR: It is so long ago since the witness has 10 given his evidence, perhaps the best thing would be for 11 him to have the evidence before him.
- 12 MS PYKE: I am simply putting this, unless I have not read the evidence of this witness correctly, I
- didn't understand him to be saying that Dr Fergie gave
- to him a summary of her report. And, if that is what
- he is now saying, I would like him to tell me what Dr Deane Fergie summarised to him.
- 18 COMSR: If he has already told it, I don't think 19 we have got time to -
- 20 MS PYKE: That is what I am saying. I have read 21 the transcript and I can't see where this witness has 22 alleged that Dr Fergie summarised her report to him.
- 23 COMSR: Perhaps if we ask the witness.

24 COMSR

- Q. Can you remember whether you have given evidence to that effect.
- A. It doesn't appear from here that I have given evidence of exactly what is in Dr Fergie's report.
- Q. No, you are being asked, have you given evidence of the summary that Dr Fergie gave you of her report. I
- understood you to say you were given a summary of her report.
- 33 A. I can't find the words `Fergie's report', looking
- through the transcript, so, I would have to say that I
- was given an overview of what had happened leading up
- to, what was it, 11 July, in terms of Dr Fergie's
- 37 meetings which produced the report, but I can't actually
- see that I have referred specifically here to the Fergie

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

report.

2 XXN

- Q. Indeed, I suggest to you that that conversation, at the time that you had that conversation with Dr Fergie, 5 firstly, you hadn't previously discussed with her, prior 6 to that date on 11 July, her report.
- A. That's correct. I had no conversation with her, prior 8
- 9 Q. And I suggest to you that the only information that you 10 had, prior to your discussion with Dr Fergie - you had 11 some information from the media.
- 12 A. Are we talking about prior to that?
- 13 Q. Yes, prior to your conversation with Dr Fergie on 11 14
- A. I mean, there were, sure, the media. But there were 15 16 discussions within the division, including between Dr
- Doreen Kartinyeri and myself. There was discussions I 17
- 18 had with Steve Hemming, Philip Jones, Francesca Alberts.
- 19 I would certainly say that it wasn't just the media.
- 20 There was quite a buzz going on in the division and when
- 21 by chance I got Deane on the phone, I pumped her for as 22 much information as I could get.
- 23 Q. I suggest to you that it wasn't a matter of you pumping 24
- Dr Fergie for as much information as you could get. 25 When you opened the conversation with her, you
- 26 immediately attacked her for the content and conclusion 27 of her report.
- 28 A. No, I think the attack came later in our conversation.
- 29 And, at any rate, you know, Deane and I are quite
- 30 capable of attacking each other without taking it too
- 31 personally. So, I wouldn't say no sooner had I got her
- 32 on the phone I launched into her. I wanted information
- 33 and I offered her advice. Whether she, well, took it or 34 not was up to her, but it was a - it was heated in the
- 35 sense that, you know, both of us were talking with some
- 36 force, but I wouldn't say that, you know, I had launched
- 37 into an all out attack on her, by any means.
- 38 Q. I suggest to you that, even in your own evidence that

- 1 you gave in chief here, that you said that `I put it to
- her', and this is at p.248 of the transcript, 'that I 2
- 3
- thought it was actually a poor claim. That I didn't believe in the existence of the women's business as it
- 5 has been gleaned from the media.' A. That sounds correct. Sorry, what line is that?
- Q. P.248, I think it is, at line about line 33.
- 8 A. Yes, but I am not saying that I picked up the phone and 9 straight away told her that it was a poor claim. I
- 10 mean, there was an exchange going on and I gave her my opinion. 11
- 12 Q. But you agree with me, at that stage, you hadn't read 13 her report.
- A. That's correct. I had not read her report, until some 14 15 months ago.
- 16 Q. Were you aware, at that stage, that she had consulted with a number of women. 17
- A. That's the conversation got on to that topic and I 18
- 19 have already given evidence about that part of it, but
- 20 I was particularly interested in knowing exactly what
- 21 - the, sort of, political dynamics of the production of
- 22 it, because of my scepticism.
- 23 ADJOURNED 1 P.M.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

1 RESUMING 2.24 P.M.

- Q. We were dealing, before lunch, with the conversation on
- 3 11 July between yourself and Dr Fergie. I had asked you
- 4 if you had read Dr Fergie's report at that stage, and
- 5 you said no. You referred to the fact that you believe
- 6 she had given you a summary of what was in her report.
- 7 A. That's correct.
- Q. Had you read the report of Professor Saunders prior to11 July 1994.
- 10 A. No, I hadn't.
- 11 Q. Do you recall how it came to be that you spoke to Dr
- Fergie. Had she telephoned you, or had you -
- 13 A. As I have already said when giving evidence, the phone
- was ringing in another office, it was on Kate Alport's
- desk. I lent over and answered it, and, as it happened,
- it was Deane Fergie, who had obviously been ringing KateAlport, not myself.
- 18 Q. Do you recall Dr Fergie saying to you on that occasion
- when you identified yourself you recall identifying yourself.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. That she had tried to telephone you the preceding weekin relation to the Hindmarsh Island thing, but you
- weren't there.
- 25 A. No, no such thing was ever said.
- Q. Is it the situation that you categorically deny that that was said by Dr Fergie.
- 28 A. That's right.
- Q. Wouldn't be mistaken about it or perhaps you are not recalling it accurately.
- 31 A. No, I can remember being surprised that she hadn't tried
- to contact me. So the answer is that I have perfect
- knowledge that that was never spoken.
- Q. I put it to you that, in fact, Dr Fergie opened the
- conversation with you by telling you that she had tried
- to telephone you the preceding week and that you weren't
- 37 there.

- A. I mean, if it is a major issue, I can go back through my diaries to see where I was, but she did have my home
- 3 phone number, she could have rung me at home because she
- 4 had rung me at home on other occasions, and she could
- also have left a message at the museum, which I would
- have seen coming in either early in the morning or, if I had been out, late at night.
- Q. I was asking about that particular conversation. You simply say that that is just totally untrue, that Dr
 Fergie told you she tried to contact you.
- 11 A. To the best of my knowledge, I would say that's untrue, ves.
- Q. Is it the case that it might have been said and you are not now able to recollect it. There is a difference, isn't there.
- A. If it had been said, it would have affected my memory of what had been said in that I was surprised that I hadn't been approached, informally or otherwise, just to have a chat about it. So had she said she'd been trying to get in contact with me, I would have remembered it.
- Q. I suggest to you that what then happened was that you immediately started to attack Dr Fergie about the issue of Hindmarsh Island and women's business, as you put it.
- A. It would have been the topic of conversation very early on. I think `attack' is too harsh a word. I would have there would have been a challenge and she would have answered it, and we would have a debate would have been going on on the phone, but I had, back then, a type of relationship with Deane where you'd expect those types of arguments and they weren't taken personally.
- Q. I suggest to you that you launched into, what Dr Fergie would describe as, a tirade to her about the issue of women's business and your views about it.
- A. She could possibly have been overwhelmed with the new facts or facts which were new to her that I gave her,
- but I think that's probably a bit strong. She was
- answering me and I was answering her. It wasn't a
- 38 completely one-way conversation.

- **COMSR**
- Q. Would you describe it at least as a vigorous debate. A. Yes, I would, yes.

- 5 Q. I suggest to you what had happened was this: that you
- 6 hadn't read Dr Fergie's report, you hadn't read
- 7 Professor Saunders' report, and you launched into an
- attack upon Dr Fergie about what you thought it was that 8
- 9 she might have said.
- 10 COMSR: The witness has been asked that a few 11 times now. I think he has said by now, on a few
- 12 occasions, that he wouldn't describe it as an attack,
- 13 that that is too strong a word.
- 14
- 15 Q. Whether we describe it as an attack or something else, I 16 suggest to you the net effect was that you, without
- 17 having read Dr Fergie's report or without having read Dr
- 18 Saunders' report, made vigorous criticisms of what you
- 19 considered Dr Fergie had done.
- 20 A. While the discussion was going, of course, Dr Fergie was
- 21 telling me what she had done. I mean, I wasn't - the
- 22 conversation didn't come out of a vacuum. It wasn't a
- 23 one-way conversation. It was a two-way conversation. I
- 24 threw something up, then she answered; she maybe threw
- 25 something up, I answered. It was not as you have just 26 suggested.
- 27 Q. I suggest to you that, in fact, you started the
- 28 discussion about what you believed Dr Fergie's claims
- 29 were, and from the beginning of the conversation told
- 30 her that you didn't believe the women's business claim.
- A. That would be correct. I would have, very early on, 31
- 32 challenged her, and that, in the type of relationship we
- had, would be quite expected. She would have been able 33
- 34 to challenge me on something that I had written or said,
- 35 and it wouldn't have been taken personally.
- 36 Q. What I am putting to you is that you challenged her when
- 37 you hadn't read what she had written.

- A. I challenged her not on the basis of whether the report was correct or not, because I hadn't read it by then. I
- 3 was challenging her on what information she could give
- 4 me, and then what I would then do with that information
- 5 and my overview, through having worked in the Lower
- 6 Murray region for a very very long time. So I don't
- 7 think I have got anything to defend in the sense of the
- 8 way I conducted that phone conversation. It was an
- 9 exchange between two scholars, and I didn't go off
- half-cocked, as you are suggesting, in the sense that I
- hadn't read the reports, because at that stage the
- reports were only just starting to become very relevant,
- because it was only just after the whatever date it
- was just after the July declaration. What I was
- trying to get out of the conversation were and my
- notebook reflects this I was trying to get to the crux
- of what was the nature, even if only in broad terms, of
- the cultural knowledge that was being used, the cultural
- knowledge that I have described as `secret sacred
- women's business', that was used for the declaration.
- 21 Q. All I am putting to you is that you were not embarking
- upon a scholarly exchange, as you would have us believe.
- You were questioning and joining issue with what you believe Dr Fergie had said without, indeed, ascertaining
- from her what she said. You had jumped to conclusions,
- is what I am putting to you.
- A. No, that's not true at all. The conversation was an exchange of information, and I was reacting as the
- information was exchanging.
- 30 COMSR: I think we have explored this and the
- 31 witness has answered that question.
- 32 MS PYKE: Yes, I am moving on.
- 33 XXN
- 34 Q. I put it to you, you said to Dr Fergie along these lines
- We know this is recent invention', talking about the
- women's business.
- 37 A. I wouldn't have used those exact words, but words to
- 38 that effect.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

- 1 Q. You said this to her `We know of particular
- conversations in which Doreen heard things that allowedher to put this story together'.
- 4 A. Sorry, is this my statement you are reading from?
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. Can I have a look at that? I am just wondering about the context.
- Q. I am putting to you that you said to Dr Fergie `We know
 of particular conversations in which Doreen heard things
 that allowed her to put this story together'.
- 11 MR MEYER: The witness has obviously misunderstood.
- He thinks Ms Pyke is quoting from a statement of his

rather than putting a conversation.

- 14 COMSR: I think the witness thinks you are
 15 putting to him something that he has previously given by
 16 way of a statement.
- 17 XXN
- Q. I am not putting that to you. I am putting to you that Dr Fergie says that that is what you said to her `We
- know of particular conversations in which Doreen heard things that allowed her to put this story together'.
- A. It doesn't sound like the way I would have put it. I accept, in principle, that we would have been discussing mechanisms by which information could have come together
- which led in that direction, but it would have been a
- 26 fairly brief part of the conversation.
- Q. Whether it was a brief part or not, do you recall saying something like that to Dr Fergie, that you knew of
- 29 particular conversations in which Doreen had heard
- things that allowed her to put this story together.

 A. It's possible that I said that, and, if I did say that,
- 32 I would have been referring to the evidence I have
- 33 already given in relation to the kummari, meaning
- pregnant, and Kumarangk, the place name. But my memory
- on that aspect of the conversation is not that good.
- 36 After all, I was intent on getting information off of
- her. So I accept that some of the information that I

- fed back to Deane, you know, my memory may not be 100 per cent on.
- 3 COMSR
- 4 Q. I am not quite clear now whether you say you remember it, or you don't remember it, or you are challenging it.
- 6 A. I don't remember saying those words, no.
- 7 XXN
- Q. In terms of conversations at which Doreen was present, to your knowledge, you have told us just then about the
- one in relation to Kumarangk and pregnancy. Is there any other conversation that you can recall, from your
- knowledge, at which Doreen was present that might have allowed her to put the story together.
- allowed her to put the story together.A. Sorry, which story together?
- 15 Q. In relation to women's business.
- 16 COMSR: The witness has said he doesn't recall
- saying that. On what basis are you now putting to him
- that there were conversations that would allow him to
- make that statement?
- 20 MS PYKE: I understood him to say earlier that he
- recalled a conversation at which Doreen was present and he might have alluded to that.
- 23 XXN
- Q. Is there any other conversation at which you were present.
- 26 A. Sorry, conversation?
- Q. That you believe may have resulted in Doreen forming a view about women's business.
- 29 A. There are a number of conversations that I had with
- 30 Doreen in those early months, and often through what she
- was saying, the stress she put on things, I felt as if I
- was getting a bit of an idea of what was going on.
- There are other examples of things that I had spoken
- with Doreen, which were historical facts that she
- interrupted in terms of building her own model, which,
- in my opinion, I depart from, but they are conversations
- 37 not related to Hindmarsh Island specifically.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

Q. Do you recall Dr Fergie saying to you, words to the effect of, her assessment was not based simply upon

3 Doreen's word.

4 COMSR: This is in that same telephone

5 conversation?

- 6 XXN
- 7 Q. In that same telephone conversation.
- 8 A. I can't remember it being put like that. We certainly spoke of one other woman who was involved in terms of
- being a custodian I would actually extend that to two
- other women that she was talking about were involved.
- That would be Mrs Connie Roberts and Mrs Maggie Jacobs,

if that's the answer you are looking for.

- Q. Do you recall Dr Fergie telling you that 35 women authorised Doreen as their spokesperson on the matter.
- 16 A. Yes, I can remember her saying that, and it would have been at that point I would have challenged that.
- 18 Q. You say you would have or did you.
- 19 A. I would certainly challenge that. Whether it was at that point in the conversation -
- Q. What was your challenge to that when Dr Fergie had said to you that 35 women had authorised Doreen as their spokesperson.
- A. I asked her for a rundown, who they were. In fairness to Deane, she obviously didn't have the report in front

of her, so she didn't get very far down the list. I

- threw up a few names, which she didn't she couldn't
- confirm were on that list, and I suggested that they
- were important people to talk to about it, and then the conversation drifted on to another topic.
- Q. According to your evidence that you gave to counsel assisting in your evidence in-chief, you recalled giving three names to Dr Fergie. One was the daughter of
- 34 Pinkie Mack.
- 35 A. Correct.
- 36 Q. What did you believe to be the relevance of the daughter
- of Pinkie Mack. Why were you mentioning her to Dr
- Fergie.

- 1 A. She was alive back then, of course. She was very well
- 2 regarded in the community. She was, if not the oldest,
- 3 pretty close to the oldest at that particular time. She
- 4 was fairly active, she had been a midwife at one stage
- 5 of her life, and a lot of Aboriginal people remembered
- her for being a midwife. So she would be a natural person. So she was mentioned in that context.
- 8 Q. You offered the name of Dulcie Wilson.
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Why did you select Dulcie Wilson as someone that you believed should be consulted.
- 12 A. She's a very senior person in her own right. She was
- involved in ATSIC for the region and very highly
- regarded in the community, and I think I knew enough of
- her views to think that she would be a good balance. I
- mean, my suggestion of these names was not solely to
- 17 undermine what Deane Fergie put across, but I would
- argue that in this type of report one is obliged to get
- the complete range of views on the issue, and, by
- putting in those names, I was suggesting how she couldgo about doing that.
- Q. You say `a good balance'. Why did you think that DulcieWilson would be a good balance.
- 24 A. She is very level-headed, very highly regarded in the
- community. Also I had been down to Millicent on several
- 26 occasions with Dr Doreen Kartinyeri and Steve Hemming
- when Mrs Dulcie Wilson had challenged Doreen Kartinyeri
- on some of the things that she had put in her books.
- 29 Rather than saying that Mrs Wilson was right and Doreen
- was wrong, I was putting forward those names as a
- 31 balance to enable a greater variety of opinion on the
- 32 matter.
- Q. Were you aware at that stage whether Mrs Wilson had been recently bereaved.
- 35 A. I knew Lindsay Wilson very well, and, yes, naturally, I
- 36 did know
- 37 Q. Were you aware at that stage that she wasn't taking,
- 38 basically, any part in public life.

1	OBJECTION Mr Meyer objects.
2	MR MEYER: That wasn't put by Ms Pyke to Mrs Wilson
3	in her cross-examination. If that proposition is going
4	to be put forward, it should have been put to her.
5	MS PYKE: Mrs Wilson's own evidence was that she
6	had been recently bereaved and she wasn't at that time
7	taking any interest in public life.
8	MR MEYER: But the inference in the question was
9	that she had withdrawn, that suddenly Dr Fergie knew
10	that, therefore, there was no deliberate approach to Mrs
11	Wilson.
12	CONTINUED

TN 44J

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

1 MS PYKE: With respect to Mr Meyer -

- 2 COMSR: I don't read that into it, I simply read
- that Ms Pyke is putting to the witness something that
- 4 came out of the evidence of Mrs Dulcie Wilson. My
- 5 recollection is she said that apart from a couple of
- 6 engagements that she had agreed to some 12 months prior,
- 7 that she really was taking no active part in social life
- 8 at that time.
- 9 MR ABBOTT: That's right.
- 10 XXN
- 11 Q. Were you aware of that.
- 12 A. What's the question?
- 13 Q. Were you aware of that at that time.
- 14 A. I wasn't aware that she had implemented a policy of
- that, if that's the way you want to put it. I had
- 16 corresponded with Mrs Dulcie Wilson through that period,
- and I would say if she was rung up for informal advice,
- that she would have given it. I think an issue as
- important as the issue of women's business, however you
- define that, on Hindmarsh Island, that she would have
- 21 answered it.
- Q. Bertha Gollan, why was it that you mentioned her name toDr Fergie.
- 24 A. She's another senior Ngarrindjeri woman, and although I
- don't know Mrs Gollan anywhere near as well as Mrs
- Dulcie Wilson and the daughter of Pinkie Mack, I was
- aware that she was I'd met her on a few occasions and
- that she was highly regarded in the community, and I
- 29 offered that name.
- 30 Q. From your knowledge of either of these women, had you
- formed the view that they were women who had a good
- 32 knowledge and grounding in Ngarrindjeri history and
- 33 culture.
- 34 A. Sorry, all three women are we talking about?
- 35 Q. No, Dulcie and Bertha.
- 36 A. I would regard that they had excellent knowledge on
- 37 those topics.
- 38 Q. That's both of them, both Dulcie Wilson and Bertha Gollan.

TN 44J

- A. Yes.
- Q. Looking at the report of Dr Fergie, Exhibit 5, and if you turn to the appendix, appendix one.
- A. Is this on p.26?
- 5 Q. Yes, you will see there a list that Dr Fergie compiled.
- 6 I will just deal with Part A of the list in the first
- instance. Rhonda Agius, do you know her.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Is she a Ngarrindjeri woman.
- 10 A. Yes, she is. I'm just trying to think of the family
- 11 tree but yes, she would be a Ngarrindjeri woman.
- 12 Q. Do you know her. Would you consider her to be senior in 13 terms of years.
- 14 A. No, not in terms of years.
- Q. Do you know how old she is. 15
- 16 A. I would guess at about, perhaps approaching 50.
- Q. Veronica Brodie, do you know Veronica Brodie. A. Yes, I do. 17
- 18
- 19 Q. A Ngarrindjeri woman.
- A. Well, lately she's claimed to be Kaurna. There's 20
- 21 newspaper evidence in relation to Port Adelaide camp and
- 22 lakalinyeri homeland movement.
- 23 Q. At any time, have you considered her to be a
- 24 Ngarrindjeri woman from your knowledge, or from what she 25 said to you.
- 26 A. In some connection she would probably claim to be a
- 27 Ngarrindjeri woman but really, because there is some
- 28 variation, you would have to ask her that.
- 29 Q. I'm just asking you of your understanding. I will move
- 30 on to the next one, Kathy Burgermiester, do you know
- 31
- 32 A. Yes, I'm pretty sure I've met her on a couple of
- 33 occasions.
- 34 Q. Do you know her to be a Ngarrindjeri woman.
- 35 A. Again, I thought she had had recently emerged under the
- 36 banner of being a Kaurna woman. I've not ever heard her
- 37 claim, or others claim her to be a Ngarrindjeri woman,
- 38 although I don't discount that is possible.

- 1 Q. Vi Dueschle.
- A. Well, I was surprised to see her name there, because I had been used to considering her and other people,
- considering her to be a Moandik woman from the sort of
 Kingston South-East region.
- Q. So are you saying that you don't understand her to be aNgarrindjeri woman.
- 8 A. Well, that's correct, yes, I don't understand her to be
- 9 a Ngarrindjeri woman, although there are probably
- 10 circumstances, parts of her family tree that she could
- play up if she wanted to be included in such a group,
- but I'm not aware of those links. I've always known of her as being a Moandik woman.
- 14 Q. Is it the situation that if one was looking at
- genealogy, that some people would have connections with various tribal groups.
- 17 A. Well, probably most Ngarrindjeri people would have one 18 or two links outside of the Lower Murray region.
- 19 COMSR: I just wondered, I don't know how many
- 20 names we have got to go, but whether we can ask the
- 21 witness which of them he does consider to be
- 22 Ngarrindjeri, and we might just I'm just thinking of
- the time as much as anything and then we could deal with the others.
- 25 MS PYKE: Let me deal with it this way.
- 26 XXN
- Q. Are there any names on that list that you don't know in some way or other.
- 29 A. There are a few people here that I'm only vaguely aware
- of, because they are much younger than me, but I'm
- aware, through there surname and their parents, that
- they are from Point McLeay or Meningie. There is a few
- people that have grown up at Point McLeay but are
- originally from Point Pearce, other places. There is
- 35 Shirley Piersley, who has got her links down at the
- 36 Kingston Moandik end.
- Q. I'm just asking at this stage whether there are people
- 38 there that you don't know.

TN 44J

- 1 A. Don't know in what sense?
- Q. Is there anyone on that list that you don't know or don't know of.
- 4 A. I mean all of these people I would have met or been aware of. In some cases there are people whose exact family trees I wouldn't know that well.
- Q. Are there any people on that list that you say don't have any connection with the Ngarrindjeri community on any of the criteria that you referred to earlier in your evidence this morning.
- A. Well, the two most notable ones are Shirley Piersley and Vi Deuschle because they are, apart from Mrs Connie Roberts and Doreen Kartinyeri, they are the two women
- who are mentioned in the body of the report, whereas the
- majority of these people are not mentioned, apart from being part of the 35.
- 17 Q. I don't know that I've actually understood the answer.
- 18 A. Perhaps I'll break it down.
- 19 COMSR
- Q. I haven't followed it either. Are there any there that you would say are not Ngarrindjeri women.
- A. Well, I would say Vi Deuschle and Shirley Piersley, from my knowledge of Ngarrindjeri family trees, would appear not to have strong claims to be Ngarrindjeri, although there may be circumstances whereby it's acceptable to have, you know, to come under the Ngarrindjeri banner,
- 27 if you like.
- 28 XXN
- Q. Would you agree with me that in terms of where these women come from to your knowledge, by that I mean where
- 31 they are living now or at the time that we were talking
- 32 about, back in June of 1994, they come from different
- areas, there are different areas represented in that group of women.
- 35 A. Sorry are we talking about the whole group of 35.
- 36 Q. Geographically, yes, in the State of South Australia.
- 37 A. Yes, I would say there are people from several
- locations, including Adelaide and the Lower Murray.

- Q. There are, would you agree with me, a cross-section of age groups in that group of people, ranging from the young, perhaps in their 20s to 30s, through to the 60 pluses.
- 5 A. I'm just trying to work out how many 60 pluses there are. There's a couple, but I would say that it's overbalanced towards younger people rather than older people.
- Q. Indeed, I suggest to you that there is, in fact, a
 balance of age groups there, some being in their 60s,
 some in their 50s, some in their 40s, some in their 30s,
 and a couple who are under 30.
- 13 A. No, I don't think it's a very balanced list,
- particularly in view of, you know, the nature of the discussions and the framing of who can hear and who can't. I think it needed many more people the other
- can't. I think it needed many more people the other side of 60, 65.
- Q. Perhaps you better tell us this, how many of these people on this list do you say are over 60 or thereabouts.
- 21 MR ABBOTT: We have had evidence of this.
- 22 MS PYKE: I'm asking this one because he is the one that is asserting there should be more.
- A. I think Mrs Sheila Goldsmith would be in the category of being over 60, 65. Mrs Margaret Jacobs. Doreen
- Kartinyeri isn't. There's a group of people there that
- are obviously around the 50s mark, which I'm finding
- difficult to push there one way or another. Margaret Roberts, I'm assuming here that would be Mrs Connie
- Roberts, she would be up in that age group.
- 31 COMSR
- 32 Q. Which age group.
- A. The 65 plus. I have difficulty seeing any others there, except there might be one or two more which might just
- 35 get into that category.
- 36 XXN
- 37 Q. In any event, you disagree with my proposition to you,
- that that group of women as outlined in appendix one is

- representational of various age groups of Ngarrindjeri women.
- A. Yes, I would, but had the report detailed exactly who this group were in the sense of how they had been selected and what the process was, then I would be willing to concede that this group of 35 women could
- speak for the roughly one thousand plus Ngarrindjeriwomen alive today.
- 9 Q. What, are you saying you'd need for information about how they were selected.
- 11 A. I think the report would need to satisfy to the reader 12 that this group did, in fact, represent the views of 13 that much larger group, yes.
- Q. Are you suggesting that Dulcie Wilson and Bertha Gollan
 are any more representational of Ngarrindjeri views than
 the women referred to in appendix one.
- 17 A. Appendix one, Group A?
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 A. They would have been they certainly would have added to the representation.
- Q. That wasn't what I asked you. Do you say that they are any more representational of Ngarrindjeri women than the women referred to in the list.
- A. I don't think any two individual women could ever represent the whole large group, unless they had been through some sort of formal election or choosing in the
- sense of the fact that Mrs Dulcie Wilson had been
- 28 elected into her ATSIC position. She would certainly
- give the report much weight, because she had the obvious respect and following of a large number of Ngarrindjeri
- 31 women.
- 32 COMSR
- Q. Is there any process that you're aware of by which the Ngarrindjeri determine who may speak for them on various
- 35 issues.
- 36 A. Well, there is no formal process. There are a number of council meetings and committee meetings, and often there
- is some overlap on the membership, but then often people

TN 44J

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

- 1 will defer to a much older, senior Aboriginal person to 2 at least give advice, even if they were to be actively involved.
- Q. There is no traditional way, it's a process of committee 5
- A. Yes. I mean it's a carry-over from the mission period, 7 the way that Aboriginal people and their affairs have been managed through the 20th century. 8

9 XXN

23

37

10 Q. Are you aware of any process whereby Aboriginal groups 11 elect or authorise someone to be their spokesperson. 12 Have you been present when something like that has been

13 done at a group or a meeting.

- A. I've been at council meetings in Aboriginal communities 14 and, on occasions, people say 'Well, let so and so deal 15 16 with that', but that person who was dealing with it 17 would be expected, at the next council meeting, to answer for his or her actions. I mean I'm not saying 18 19 that I've necessarily got the answers to how one would 20 do a report such as this very quickly and generalise it 21 as something that all Ngarrindjeri women would have 22 supported. I would have argued that such a process so
- quickly just couldn't be done. Q. Putting it bluntly, it would be impossible to do that 24 25 whatever your time frame, I suggest to you.
- 26 A. No. In the museum we're often faced with consultations 27 with communities on a variety of issues to do with 28 resources in our care, and it does take time, but I 29 think the community can come to a consensus, even if 30 it's one whereby it happens mainly by default, that is 31 that there would be a number of meetings inviting a wide 32 range of people, and the people who are interested have 33 turned up and have had input, but the process still 34 documents the fact that other people had opportunity to 35 have input into it. As long as a wide range of people 36 have had the opportunity to have input, then yes. Often

you might have to fall back on just the people that have

38 emerged to take a keener interest in the issue.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

Q. I suggest to you that, in the Ngarrindjeri community, there is certainly a concept of certain people being authorised or having the right to speak on behalf of

4 others.

21

- 5 A. I'm not sure what you mean by `right'. I mean, there 6 are people who have knowledge that would be considered 7 to be quite pertinent to a certain issue, but I would 8 want more information in terms of what the issue would 9 be in terms of saying, well, that person's got the 10 right. I mean, my experience is that, like all 11 communities, all societies, that there is quite a deal 12 of, you know, politicking on certain issues. And the 13 tall poppie syndrome is such that there is reluctance, 14 you know, to ever let one person have too much say on a particular issue because of some fear that perhaps their 15 16 family would be overfavoured or for a whole variety of
- reasons or maybe that they would favour their particular settlement overly in the decision making processes. So, there is always a lot of care that people take in terms

of issues as they come up, who is going to have more of

a running with that issue, put it that way.

Q. I simply put to you this: that it is not unusual in the
 Ngarrindjeri community for a person to be authorised,
 elected or voted to speak on a particular topic on
 behalf of others.

- A. I would say in terms of, as this report puts forward,
 `all Ngarrindjeri women' I would think that is
 absolutely extraordinary to have one person who has been
 elected to speak for all Ngarrindjeri women on this
 matter. So, I don't know of any other example that
 matches this one.
- Q. What I am simply putting to you and all that is contained in Dr Fergie's report is that the meeting, that meeting on 19 or 20 June, elected a spokesperson and authorised Doreen Kartinyeri.
- A. That meeting of 35, we are not talking about 1,000 odd women, we are talking about 35 have elected Doreen

38 Kartinyeri to speak.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

Q. Yes.

- 2 A. Yes, well that - without more detail in terms of what
- actually happened in the meeting, and I might say that,
- apart from three or four of the people on the list, the 5 rest of the names don't appear at any point in the main
- 6 body of at least what is here. We have just got to
- 7 assume that, you know, there was a show of hands. And, 8 you know, 35 -
- 9 Q. I am not asking to you assume anything, I am just
- 10 putting as a concept to you and please feel free to
- 11 disagree with it if you want to, that, in the
- 12 Ngarrindjeri community, it is not unknown for someone to
- 13 be elected as a spokesperson at a particular meeting or
- 14 a particular event or a gathering.
- For the whole community? 15 COMSR:
- 16 MS PYKE: For the group.
- 17 XXN
- 18 Q. For the whole meeting.
- For the group of 35? 19 COMSR:
- 20 XXN
- 21 Q. For the group in which they find themselves.
- 22 A. For the group of 35 women, it is - it wouldn't be
- 23 unusual for a group of 35 Ngarrindjeri people to elect a
- 24 spokesperson to represent that group, but I know of no
- 25 example of someone being elected to represent all
- 26 Ngarrindjeri people.
- 27 Q. I just want to make sure I am understanding you. Are 28 you saying you don't have a difficulty with the concept
- 29 of a group of 35 people electing someone to speak on
- 30 their behalf, but you have a difficulty with the concept
- 31 of electing someone to speak on behalf of all
- 32 Ngarrindjeri people. Am I understanding you correctly.
- 33 A. Obviously these women did, did elect Doreen Kartinyeri
- 34 to speak for all Ngarrindjeri. What I am staying is,
- 35 outside of this group of 35, obviously that is in
- 36 dispute. So, you talk about - you are using
- 37 'Ngarrindjeri women', on one hand, to mean the 35 and
- 38 then apply it to mean the whole community. That's where

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

I am getting caught up.

- 2 Q. Where do you get the idea or the notion that that
- meeting elected Doreen Kartinyeri to speak on behalf of
- 4 all Ngarrindjeri women as opposed to the women that were
- 5 present at the meeting. Is it something that you have read.
- 7 A. It is implied in the report.
- 8 Q. Where is it implied in the report.
- 9 A. Again, I am going to need time to go through the report if I am asked questions in such detail.
- 11 Q. In your thesis, and this is at p.424, when you were,
- indeed, explaining the concept of I will give the
- context in which you said it When writing my thesis, I
- decided, except in some rare cases where individuals
- were widely known within the Lower Murray community for
- their ownership of certain accounts, all oral sources of
- ethnographic data should remain anonymous.' Then you
- say `Although this appears to be an intellectual
- appropriation on my part.' You then go on to give
- various explanations as to why you have done that and
- you say this `Often there is a perception that only
- certain people in the community have the rights to speak on certain matters.' Do you remember saying that.
- 24 A. Again, I would have to look at the sentence on either side, at least.
- 26 MS PYKE: I am happy if the witness wants to be
- shown his thesis, it has been exhibited, at p.424.
 A. Sorry, what line is this? I am on p.424. Is it right
- 29 towards the bottom?
- 30 XXN
- 31 Q. I have got this just noted in my notes. It is on
- p.424. I can't actually give you the line number.
- 33 MR ABBOTT: Halfway down the page.
- 34 A. Yes, I have got the line. Sorry, what is the question?
- 35 XXN
- 36 Q. I am just putting to you this: it is the situation that
- that does arise, where some people are seen as being
- more appropriate or to have a greater right to speak on

4

- behalf of certain matters than others.
- 2 A. It is more along the lines of people having a fear of ridicule. So, if one was talking about - for example,
 - if we were mapping the sites of buildings, sheds, wells
- 5 and things at a particular fringe camp site, then there
- 6 always, with the informants, there would be some concern
- 7 that they, you know, defer it to someone who had spent a
- 8 bit more time there or was a little bit older, as an
- 9 adult. And, therefore, had better knowledge. It is not
- 10 so much ownership rather than a fear of ridicule. And
- 11 that was the reason why it was made pretty clear to me
- 12 that - from a number of Aboriginal people, that I should
- 13 not set them up by linking their name to particular
- 14 facts that I am - may want to use in the thesis.
- 15 Q. In terms of that group of women referred to in appendix 16 1, para.A, Doreen Kartinyeri was reasonably senior.
- A. Senior in the sense that she had a public service 17
- 18 profile and was very active in Adelaide. Aboriginal 19 affairs, in general. So her personality is such that
- 20 she's, you know, a very strong-minded person. So, I
- 21 would accept that, with a group of such women, that with
- 22 her museum background and publications, of publishing of
- 23 genealogies that - and, you know, with her personality,
- 24 that she would, you know, emerge to be a strong voice in 25 such a group.
- 26 Q. And also her age. I mean, she is approaching 60, isn't 27 she.
- 28 A. I believe she is 60, but I'm not sure of the exact age.
- 29 Yes, well, it is an age where certainly the younger
- 30 women here would have looked up to her, of course.
- 31 Q. Getting back to this conversation with Dr Fergie: you
- 32 have provided to the Commission, which was indeed 33 tendered, certain pages of your notebook. It seems to
- 34 be around 11 July. They are MFIs 8, 9 and 10. I'm not 35 sure which one it is.
- 36 MS PYKE:
- I wonder if the witness might be just
- 37 shown those MFIs?
- 38 Perhaps while we are waiting, Ms Pyke, COMSR:

- 1 have you any idea how long you will be in
- 2 cross-examination?
- 3 MS PYKE: At least this afternoon.
- 4 COMSR: I take it we won't be repeating anything
- 5 that has already been canvassed in the evidence, Ms
- 6 Pyke?
- 7 MS PYKE: One hopes not, but, of course,
- 8 cross-examination often requires one to look at what has
- 9 been said in evidence and test that that is the -
- 10 COMSR: I remind you, of course, we are not here
- 11 to test the witness's memory. We are here to gather
- information.
- 13 MS PYKE: It might be to gather information, but
- this witness has made certain criticisms of my client.
- 15 COMSR: Yes, I certainly intend that you should
- have every opportunity to put to the witness the matters
- involving your client.
- 18 XXN
- 19 Q. Have you got the pages 145.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. On the left-hand side part of your notes seem to read
- this `Ngarrindjeri women elected Doreen Kartinyeri as spokeswoman.'
- 24 A. No, it actually says `35 NG', being short for
- Ngarrindjeri `women elected Doreen Kartinyeri as spokeswoman.'
- 27 Q. And Connie Roberts was ' I can't -
- 28 A. `Hard on any information being made public.'
- 29 Q. And then `Doreen got Deane Fergie to work as an anthropologist.'
- 31 A. That's right, that's an accurate reading.
- 32 Q. Where did that information come from. That latter
- 33 Doreen got Deane Fergie to work as an anthropologist.'
- 34 A. If it didn't if I didn't have that knowledge
- beforehand, it certainly was reinforced through the
- 36 conversation.
- 37 COMSR
- 38 Q. With whom.

- 1 A. With the conversation with Deane Fergie.
- 2 XXN
- Q. What, are you saying that Deane Fergie told you that Doreen had got her to work as an anthropologist.
- A. Words to the effect. I mean words to that effect. I think I have already given in evidence that I am a bit unclear exactly when it was that I heard that Doreen Kartinyeri was working with Deane Fergie. In hindsight, it was probably related to the conversation that I had
- 9 it was probably related to the conversation that I had 10 with Francesca Cubillo-Alberts. I heard her testimony 11 yesterday.
- Q. Let me put it to you that Dr Fergie never suggested to
 you that Doreen had got her to work as an
 anthropologist.
- A. My notes are brief. The notes are written for me to
 sort of develop some idea of what was going on. I can't
 sort of formally deny that or agree with that.
- Q. Does this purport to be, on p.144, a summary of part of what took place in the conversation, or is it just your notes.
- A. The model I use, just to make it easier for you, is I
 always start writing on the right-hand side of the
 double spread. As I am writing it, I frequently feel
 the need to either sum up or insert something else. You
- can see on that page I that I have inserted other
- information. And sometimes I even go back some weeks or
- 27 months later to add in another reference. If I do that,
- I always initial and date the addition. So, the course
- of the notes is to follow the right-hand side and look
- back on the left-hand side in my notebook just for extra
- 31 information. For example, you can see, on p.146, which
- is the left-hand side, I have got extra bits of
- information, which I then arrow in to certain parts of
- the main text. This is pretty much a standard, you
- know, approach of writing in a notebook that at least
- several of us in the museum have. The Tindale journals,
- for example, follow exactly the same model.
- 38 Q. You have in your note on the right-hand on p.145 `Doreen

1	claimed that Hindmarsh Island is a birthing site. From
2	what I can gather, this is on the basis of references I
3	gave her Kumarangk, Hindmarsh Island', and I can't read
4	what then is over on p.147.
5	A. Sorry, where do you lose it? `And the sim', which means
6	similarity `of this place name to the word Kumarangk,
7	which a few Elder Ngarrindjeri women still use to mean
8	pregnant.'
9	CONTINUED

- Q. Where did you gather your information from that Doreen's claims came from the references you gave her because you put `I gather from this' or `I gather this is on the
- basis of'. You must have gathered that from somewhere.
- A. I have already given evidence of the way that came
 about, through talking about my interaction with Dr
 Doreen Kartinyeri. First of all, being approached by
 her to give her information, and I gave her information.
- Then later on when we caught up with each other she drew
- the connection between between Kumarangk and the word kummari. That information I put in the notebook. Then
- the next paragraph is `I spoke to Deane today'. So I
- can I am not suggesting, from the way these notes are
- structured that I have got that from Deane Fergie.

 Q. Is it fair to say you haven't got it from anyone else.
- That is you drawing inferences and conclusions from your conversations with Doreen.
- 18 A. Yes, I have just said that she was the one who drew that connection, so.
- Q. You refer in your notes, and indeed it is contained in your evidence at p.249, where you said `I said that the
- women's business as it relates to Hindmarsh Island is an
- invention of tradition'. Question `Then what did she say'. You said `She said that it was a possibility that
- some aspects of the women's business were an invention
- of tradition. She said that she was aware of the
- 27 literature that I was referring to. However, she said
- that she believed that there was still some basis to the
- women's business.' I suggest to you that Dr Fergie
- didn't suggest to you that some aspects of the women's business were an invention of tradition.
- 32 A. I deny that. That is what was discussed.
- Q. I suggest to you that Dr Fergie never used the phrase women's business'.
- A. Well, I mean, after this length of time, I would have to say I'm not exactly sure the exact words that she would
- have used. I believe she used those words, but beyond
- 38 that -

- 1 COMSR
- 2 Q. Do your notes refer to any such expression.
- 3 XXN
- 4 Q. If you go to p.149 of your notes, you say this `Deane accepts my reinvention of tradition type problems.'
- 6 A. Yes, that's what's written here.
- Q. I suggest to you that Dr Fergie never suggested to you that the women's business was an invention of tradition, and that what she said to you on the topic of tradition, after in fact it was raised by you, was that she
- 11 conceded that tradition can be invented, and that is a
- logical possibility of tradition. In essence, she was
- conceding that tradition could be invented, but it was
- her view that there hadn't been an invention of
- tradition in this particular case.
- A. There are several problems with what you are saying. In the statement here I make it quite clear that she this
- is Deane Fergie still believed there was some basis to the women's business, even though you are disputing
- the women's business, even though you are disputing whether she used those words. I have not said that she
- whether she used those words. I have not said that sh conceded that the whole thing was an invention of
- tradition. However, I am saying that she conceded that
- there was some element of invention of tradition in it.
- 24 And that's what I am referring to in my notes when I say
- Dean accepts my reinvention of tradition typeproblems.'
- Q. You said in your evidence at p.250, line 7, of the transcript, that she had the view that not much work had been done in the region. You were talking about -
- 30 A. Yes, it is on line 5 on this page. That's right, she
- had the view that there had been not much work, and I offered names of people who had worked in the area.
- 33 Q. According to you, you say She came back with the
- statement that in her opinion' the names that you had
- given 'hadn't been working in the feminist
- anthropological tradition and therefore she didn't
- consider that she needed to refer to those works in any

25

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

- major way in order to do the work that she was or had just done in relation to women's business on Hindmarsh Island.'
- 4 A. That's correct.
- Q. I suggest to you that Dr Fergie never used or said words
 to the effect that they hadn't been working in the
 feminist anthropological tradition, and that was not a
- 8 phrase that she used.
- 9 A. I hold that the words that are in here, in my statement, 10 are as close to how I remember what she said. I believe 11 that statement to be true. That's my memory of what she 12 said.
- Q. You agree with me that in the notes that you have in front of you dealing with 11 July, certainly in the copy that I have been given, there is no mention of Dr Fergie referring to a feminist anthropological tradition.
- A. Yes, and that's not surprising, because my notes were primarily aimed at the ethnographic end of our conversation, that is, the bits of information that helped me work on a model that explained to me what was
- going on. So, in hindsight, I should have written more down, but this notebook is primarily for things
- ethnographic, and that's what's in the notebook. So, you are quite right, that actual statement which we are

talking about now is not in the notebook.

- Q. I suggest to you that Dr Fergie didn't tell you that she didn't consider that she needed to refer to those works in that context, that they hadn't been working in the feminist anthropological tradition.
- 30 A. And again I say that that is my memory of what was said.
- Q. I suggest to you that what Dr Fergie said to you was
 that the early material and she was talking about what
 you had suggested to her suffered from a male bias.
 Do you remember her saying something like that to you.
- 35 A. That may well have been said, but I distinctly remember
- the feminist anthropological tradition.
- Q. I just put to you quite bluntly, that is simply not so, that Dr Fergie never ever used that phrase to you.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

- A. And I deny that.
- Q. Do you have any understanding of what is meant by the feminist anthropological tradition. Is it a phrase that you have heard before.
- 5 A. Again, I have been asked that in giving evidence from 6 counsel assisting.
- Q. I am asking you now.
- 8 COMSR: But we cannot go over the whole

9 evidence.

- 10 MS PYKE: The alternative is I find it in the
- 11 evidence and put it to him and take it from there. I
- 12 think it is quicker if he just answers the question.
- 13 MR ABBOTT: Do you have any problem with the answer

14 he has given?

- COMSR: 15 If you are going to put something to the
- 16 witness as a proposition, by all means go ahead and put 17
- 18 XXN
- 19 Q. Is there such a thing as a feminist anthropological
- 20 tradition, as far as you are aware.
- 21 A. In its broad sense, yes.
- 22 Q. Is it your view that Dr Fergie is a feminist 23 anthropologist.
- 24 A. Whether she uses those words -
- 25 Q. I am asking you about your view.
- 26 A. Yes, she would consider herself to be an anthropologist

who looks at feminist issues in her field work, and 27 28

- presumably her writings.
- 29 Q. Are you suggesting that that is something exclusive, or in her work generally at times that might be the case. 30
- 31 A. I would - I am not aware that Dr Fergie has actually
- published an awful lot, but I would say, from my 32
- 33 knowledge, particularly when she was my tutor in
- 34 anthropology way back in the early 1980s, and having sat
- 35 through seminars when she has been discussing papers and
- 36 things, that that's a fair comment from my position.
- 37 Q. You then, at p.251 of the transcript, say this `We never
- 38 got to the point in the discussion of defining secret

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

- 1 sacred women's business or women's business.'
- A. Sorry, what line is this?
- 3 Q. Lines 1 and 2.
- 4 A. Page two hundred and?
- 5 Q. 51.
- 6 A. It starts on p.250.
- Q. Yes, bottom 250 over to 251. I suggest to you that the concept of the secret sacred women's business was not

9 raised in your discussion.

- 10 A. Again, I can't remember the exact words. They are the words that I understood the description of what she was
- putting forward. That's my way of summing up the
- conversation. Whether she used `secret women's
- business', `sacred women's business', `women's business
- which is secret', 'women's business that can't be known
- by men' I mean, the permutations are endless. So
- surely I have got the right to, rather than come up with
- one of 50 possible terms she used, to actually state in
- my statement how I remembered it in terms of the meaning that she was conveying.
- Q. I simply put it to you that that was never suggested byDr Fergie to you.
- 23 A. What, those words?
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. Or the actual meaning of those words?
- Q. Let's ask you what you consider the meaning of those words to be. What do you mean by secret sacred -
- 28 A. I have given evidence today -
- 29 Q. Let me ask you again. What do you mean by secret sacred women's business.
- 31 COMSR: Are you asking him what he means, or
- what he understood from the conversation?
- 33 MS PYKE: I understand him to be saying that's his
- interpretation of what was said in this conversation
- with Dr Fergie on that day. So I just want to pinpoint
- exactly what he meant by that in the context of that
- 37 conversation.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

COMSR

- 2 Q. What was there in that conversation that caused you to write those words down as a shorthand way of recording
- 5 A. That there was sacred knowledge that could only be known 6 by women, was only known by women, and that it was
- 7 therefore secret, that this knowledge was immensely
- 8 powerful, and there is a whole protocol involved,
- 9 therefore, discussing it in terms of anthropologists,
- 10 Aboriginal people, the works.
- 11
- 12 Q. Are you saying that's what Dr Fergie said to you in this 13 conversation.
- 14 A. That's what I understood her to be saying, and, again, I don't know the exact words she meant. She could have 15
- 16 used any of 50 different permutations of those words, as
- 17 she has several times in her report varied exactly the
- 18 way that she describes it.
- Q. You had your conversation with Dr Fergie. You have 19 20 since read her report.
- 21 A. It is possible that I read the report after I had
- 22 written my statement. I can't remember in the order of 23 which -
- 24 Q. Is your understanding of what Dr Fergie said to you back
- 25 in July 1994, consistent with what you have read in her 26
- report. In essence, are you satisfied that you
- 27 understood what Dr Fergie was saying in July 1994 is the 28 same thing that she has said in her report.
- 29 A. Yes. Most of the things that I noted down, most things,
- 30 that is, that I can remember as I am sitting here, are
- 31 reflected in the report. So the report still had a lot
- 32 of surprises for me, but what I put down in the notebook 33 by and large is reflected in the report.
- 34 Q. The conclusion or inference that you have drawn that has
- 35 led you to use the phrase `secret sacred women's
- 36 business' as your summary of what Dr Fergie has been on
- 37 about, if I can put it in the colloquially, is it fair
- 38 to say that your perception is that Dr Fergie has

- described a body of knowledge or belief that is gender 2 exclusive.
- A. Sorry, you have to run that past me again. You mean corpus of knowledge.
- 5 Q. Body of knowledge, corpus. I don't really mind whether 6 you use the Latin or the English.
- A. Sorry, could you ask that question again?
- Q. Yes. When you say that you have drawn the conclusion 8 9 from what Dr Fergie has said to you, that she is talking 10 about secret sacred women's business, do you interpret
- 11 her to be saying that there is a basic cultural division
- 12 which is gender exclusive in terms of a body of
- 13 knowledge. 14 A. Yes, she is implying that, in a sense, there are two
- 15 separate communities. I might add, that is one of the
- 16 reasons I keep using `secret sacred women's business',
- 17 because it is such a confusing thing if I adopt any
- 18 other approach, in that people won't know whether I am
- 19 talking about avoidance beliefs that may be just women's
- 20 business or may be just secret women's business. In 21 order to make it quite clear what I am talking about, I
- 22
- have had to adopt 'secret sacred women's business'. So
- 23 I think it is a bit unfair to criticise myself and
- 24 others for doing that, because we are trying to - or I'm
- 25 trying to be as clear as possible what it is every time
- 26 women's business is mentioned. If I let it slip or had
- 27 variations of it, there would be an extra two or three
- days of questions from you actually asking me what I 28
- 29 meant when I said in my statement, or giving evidence.
- 30 So I am forced to keep on using `secret sacred women's
- 31 business' to make it quite clear what it is that I'm
- 32 talking about.
- 33 Q. Let me put it bluntly. What we understand you to be
- 34 saying is this: That secret sacred women's business
- 35 connotes a fundamental and gender exclusive cultural
- 36 division in respect of the ceremony and knowledge of a
- 37 society. Have I understood that correctly.

3630

RF 44L

- A. Are you asking me whether that's my interpretation, or
 is that my interpretation of what Deane is interpreting?
 CONTINUED

- Q. The difficulty I have is this; you have used the phrase secret sacred women's business', not Dr Fergie. You've agreed with that.
- 4 COMSR: Not in exactly that sequence.
- 5 MS PYKE: That phrase. I have a bit of difficulty
- 6 with this. That is a particular phrase used
- 7 consistently throughout this commission. It's a
- 8 particular phrase, it features in this witness's
- 9 evidence, it features in his statement.
- 10 COMSR: I think he has explained the basis, now we're trying to clarify it.
- 12 MS PYKE: I'm trying to clarify. `We', as in Dr
- Fergie her instructions to me are `Look, I think this
- is what Dr Clarke is saying', and I just want to make
- sure that this is indeed what you mean by secret sacred
- women's business, as you've interpreted it.
- A. Sorry, because it's not my model of secret sacred women's business in terms of the Lower Murray, I'm rejecting -
- 20 XXN
- Q. No, I'm not asking you for your model. You have interpreted Dr Fergie as saying there is secret sacred women's business. I'm just trying to work out, because that's not a phrase that Dr Fergie has used, what you're actually saying.
- A. She is saying, from my understanding, that there is a corpus of knowledge that is owned by women and restricted to women. It's knowledge that women engage
- in separately from men. Now she doesn't make it clear whether there is any overlap between that and a presumed
- 31 opposite, which is secret sacred men's business. Her
- report is strangely absent in terms of putting her body
- of knowledge, however she defines it I believe that
- 34 she varies the way that she defines it in terms of
- words, if we're going to pick up on semantics she
- doesn't actually put that into a constellation of how it
- 37 sits with other knowledge that would be regarded in any
- way as secret, creating the cosmos, whatever, so it's a

- 1 fundamental problem with her report that, in a sense,
- you're asking me to in effect tidy up for her in the report.
- 4 Q. Let me assure you, I'm not asking you to tidy up
- 5 anything. I'm just asking where you have leaped to the
- 6 secret sacred women's business from Dr Fergie's report,
- because it's a phrase that doesn't feature in her
- 8 report, I'm endeavouring to find out.
- 9 COMSR: Are you asking the witness how he came
- to that phrase from Dr Fergie's report?
- 11 MS PYKE: What he means by it.
- 12 A. I thought I just answered it.
- 13 XXN
- 14 Q. Can I ask you this, following on from something else
- that you said; is it your belief that if there is secret
- sacred women's business, Ngarrindjeri women's business,
- there must be the corollary that there must be secret
- sacred men's business.
- 19 A. It's a logic that I would reject had I got to that
- stage, but one would, that could be and this is as far
- as I'll go this could be an assumption of someone
- reading that report, but I certainly would not push that to anyone.
- 24 Q. I know you don't agree with it, but it's basically your
- interpretation that Dr Fergie's report has this gender division of exclusive knowledge.
- 27 A. It would be appear to have that, yes.
- 28 COMSR
- 29 Q. Does it go so far as not only is it exclusive knowledge,
- but the fact of there being such knowledge is
- 31 confidential to only a few persons.
- 32 A. Yes. There's quite a bit in the Fergie report about who
- can know the knowledge, whether a white anthropologist
- can sit in on the meeting that discuss the knowledge.
- There is quite a bit of discussion about who has access
- to that knowledge and, from that discussion, I think my
- 37 conclusion is fair.

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

- 1 XXN
- 2 Q. It's your view, isn't it, that what really is happening
- 3 is that there's been what's called the Central
- 4 Australian well, I'll quote to you exactly what you've
- 5 said in your evidence. You said this, 'I had put
- 6 forward a model of the Central Australian influence upon
- 7 Doreen Kartinyeri, and mentioned to her the influence
- 8 that Doreen had on her through her extensive connection
- 9 with people from the Ooldea West Coast region of South Australia'.
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. So this is where you have the concept that there's been some importation of western desert concepts.
- 14 A. Yes. She's appropriated them in terms of the Lower15 Murray region.
- Q. What do you see as being the western desert influence or concept that has been imported.
- 18 A. The separation of cultural knowledge into the dichotomy of male versus female. I mean there are other aspects
- to that in terms of people from northern areas being
- 21 associated with the issue, the Hindmarsh Island issue.
- Q. I just want to understand this; when you talk about the dichotomy, what are you talking about in so far as the western desert is concerned.
- A. This is an area where I could offend people in thewestern desert.
- 27 COMSR: In that case, as a matter of fact, the
 - terms of the authorisation we currently have would cover
- 29 revealing any matters contrary to s.35, but extended
- 30 beyond the issues -
- 31 MR ÅBBOTT: I think the question is what do you mean by dichotomy. It means division. XXN.
- 33 Q. In that context, what I'm trying to understand from you
- is this; are you saying in the Central Australian or
- western desert influence, there is a clear division
- 36 between male and female traditions, practices and
- 37 beliefs.

28

38 A. There are clear divisions in some aspects of their

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

society, and particularly the ceremonial, but I wouldn't want to go any further because there is a lot of

3 sensitivity with western desert groups.

Q. I don't want the detail, I just want the concept of the
 aspects of those divisions. Is what you're saying this;
 there is secret sacred women's business in the central

desert, and secret sacred men's business.

- 8 A. And secret sacred business in general.
 9 Q. You say that Doreen Kartinyeri has extensive connections with the West Coast region of South Australia.
- 11 A. Is that a question?
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. What page?
- 14 COMSR: Are we going to cover some new issue

now, are we?

- 16 MS PYKE: Yes.
- 17 COMSR: We are not just going to recapitulate

18 evidence.

19 MS PYKE: No.

20 XXN

- Q. You refer to the fact that Doreen, in your view, has
 some West Coast of South Australia influences on her.
- A. I've said that in my statement. I mean I'm not disputing that, but I don't want to say that I've said

something that turns out that I haven't. Have you got a line number that I can refer to?

- 27 Q. It's on p.251.
- 28 A. I mean I can see, yes, as I've already said, the
- influences that Doreen had on her through her extensive contact with the Ooldea people from the west coast

31 region of South Australia.

- Q. Are you suggesting that Doreen has in some way been responsible for the importing of this secret sacred
- women's business concept into Ngarrindjeri culture.
- 35 A. It's a strong possibility.
- 36 Q. Upon what do you base that.
- 37 A. From my personal knowledge of Doreen, I can think of

other occasions whereby she's reacted to things in a way

- 1 that appeared more consistent with a west coast, western
- 2 desert model of separating people in terms of male and
- female business. There are a couple of quite good
- examples, but I'd almost certainly offend western desert people because I'd have to refer to -
- 6 COMSR
- 7 Q. I don't want you to do that.
- 8 A. That's the difficulty that I have.
- 9 Q. As I say, I don't think in the terms of the authorisation would cover that.
- 11 A. I can say this; in the past, Doreen has asked me for
- information on certain things, photocopies, and I posted
- them up there, and she had to get her partner to check
- through them before she could look at them.
- 15 XXN
- 16 Q. You see I'm just interested, in particular, in this
- secret sacred women's business concept. Is there anything that Doreen said to you that causes you to
- think that she had -
- 20 A. She had mentioned this category of knowledge in relation
- 21 to activities that were going on where she was staying
- in the Mid North, and she was coming into contact with
- 23 quite a few people from the northern parts of the State
- while she was living up there, still working for the
- 25 museum.
- 26 COMSR: Is this an area of knowledge which is
- sensitive? If we get into areas of knowledge which are
- sensitive, then of course the appropriate thing is to
- let me know, or to defer discussing them until we are in
- 30 closed session.
- 31 XXN
- 32 Q. I don't want to go into the detail, but is what you're
- saying this; because Doreen has mixed or had connections
- with people from Central Australia and is aware of
- 35 secret sacred women's business and secret sacred
- business generally in Central Australia, that that means
- 37 that that has influenced her to construct the same model
- 38 for the Ngarrindjeri people.

- A. I think it's been a strong influence on her existing models for sure. I'm not saying one replaces the other, I mean culture is not like that.
- Q. I'm simply putting this to you; you've really got no
 idea, have you, you're just guessing because Doreen has
 got a connection with the central desert that has caused
 her to construct a model.
- 8 A. It would be before the Hindmarsh Island issue, it had 9 been the influence of her central Australian - sorry, 10 the Central Australian influence on Doreen had been a 11 topic of conversation that I'd had with other people, 12 this is before the Hindmarsh Island issue, and I can 13 think of other examples which to me pretty well 14 demonstrate that that is more than likely what was going 15 on, so I did have an idea of what was going on.
- Q. I suggest to you it's a substantial quantum leap to say that someone has a knowledge of something because they are moving within a community.
- A. I just said her practice in the sense of how she was relating to things was also I mean I'm not talking just about knowledge, I'm talking about her practice of how she dealt with certain incidents, things that were being sent up, so it's not just knowledge, her practice was confirming that for me.
- 25 Q. You say this, and again this is in your conversation with Dr -
- 27 COMSR: You've covered an area that I'm not quite certain how one should deal with it. There is the mechanism where we can move into private session.
- 30 MS PYKE: I'm not asking for any specifics or any 31 details, I'm just dealing with general concepts, and I 32 understand the witness has not endeavoured to give any 33 specifics or details. I think it's common knowledge 34 that there are traditions in Central Australia which
- would be termed secret and sacred and gender exclusive. 36 COMSR: In any event, I think that the witness
- has covered the point that he thinks that there is a
- process of importation, if I could put it that way. I

- don't know how you'd phrase it, Dr Clarke.
- 2 XXN
- Q. I'm simply putting to Dr Clarke that there is really no basis for his conclusion in that regard.
- 5 A. I've just given evidence to suggest there is quite a bit 6 of basis to that.
- 7 Q. In your notes at p.148 -
- 8 MR ABBOTT: Could the transcript record in some way
- 9 that Dr Clarke is unwilling, indeed in open session or
- perhaps at all, to give details, so it's not hanging up
- there. I don't want the comment made that he just made
- a bald assertion, Dr. Clarke made it clear that it's
- 13 not a bald assertion.
- 14 MS PYKE: I'm happy to go into closed session for
- Dr Clarke to explain the basis on which he says Doreen
- 16 Kartinyeri has imported Ngarrindjeri traditions by
- 17 giving particular examples, in particular with reference
- to secret sacred women's business.
- 19 MR SMITH: There is a problem with us doing that.
- 20 S.35 doesn't embrace a discussion of Aboriginal culture
- 21 other than in the Lower River Murray.
- 22 COMSR: The authorisation only applies in
- 23 respect of Ngarrindjeri.
- 24 MS PYKE: That's the difficulty that's been
- asserted by this witness, that there's been an
- importation from the western desert or the central
- desert, and I'm not able to test what he says.
- 28 CONTINUED

1	He is making a specific allegation and we are left not
2	being able to do anything about it.
2	MR ABBOTT: Have you got instructions that that is
4	not so?
5	COMSR: Yes.
6	MR ABBOTT: I mean, it seems to me that he makes
7	this comment. If it is not anthropologically sound,
8	then presumably Ms Pyke could say so, but I fail to see
9	how she could otherwise challenge it. If she could say
0	no anthropologist would say that, because is is not
1	anthroplogically sound, but to say that you are going to
2	refute the suggestion that Doreen Kartinyeri has
13	imported, presumes you would have instructions from
14	Doreen Kartinyeri, which she hasn't got.
5	COMSR: Yes, there is that difficulty, of
6	course, you are supposed to be cross-examining on the
7	matters that directly affect your -
8	MS PYKE: My client is directly affected. She has
9	said to Dr Clarke that she does not believe that that is
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	the case. Dr Clarke is making an assertion. My client
21	doesn't accept it, doesn't believe it to be so, but, if
22	Dr Clarke - I am entitled to test the basis of his
23	assertion, because he is saying `Look, I have said to Dr
24	Fergie that, as far as I am concerned, Dr Fergie's in
25	error. That what we have is Doreen Kartinyeri importing
26	a model from the western dessert, Central Australia,
27	into Ngarrindjeri culture. Therefore you, Dr Fergie,
28	are wrong.' That is clearly what his evidence is.
29	Surely I can contest that?
30	COMSR: Yes, you can't test it under the terms
31	of the authorisation I have.
32	MS PYKE: Yes, I know.
33	COMSR: By delving into specifics of the culture
34	of other areas.
35	MS PYKE: And I suggest that that highlights one
36	of the deficiencies of this Royal Commission. It is
37	easy to get up there and make assertions and allegations
88	about beliefs of the proponent women and to criticise my

1	client and her report and I can't delve into one of the					
2	prime bases that this witness says justifies his					
3	criticisms.					
4	MR ABBOTT: Won't this be a matter for submissions?					
5	COMSR: Yes, I think so.					
6	MR ABBOTT: At the issues joined between Dr Fergie					
7	and Dr Clarke. He has his view. He is unable to give					
8	chapter and verse, because of the s.35 restriction, if					
9	he wanted to. Therefore, his evidence is not tested, to					
10	that extent and no doubt Ms Pyke will make a submission.					
11	COMSR: Yes.					
12	MS PYKE: I am just suggesting that it is a					
13	glaring gap in my capacity to properly represent my					
14	client's interest.					
15	COMSR: You can put what your client's					
16	instructions are to the witness and get that far.					
17	MR ABBOTT: All I can say about that is it is no					
18	more glaring than the gap we are going to have when we					
19	can't delve into the envelopes.					
20	MR SMITH: My learned friend could explore with Dr					
21	Clarke the instances. All that Dr Clarke cannot do and					
22	this Commission cannot hear is evidence that or					
23	information that the divulgence of which would be a					
24	breach of Aboriginal tradition in another area other					
25	than the Lower River Murray, that is the restriction,					
26	but, beyond that, the matter can be explored.					
27	COMSR: Yes, but the nature of it is such that I					
28	think that we would of necessity have to explore that in					
29	private session in case we find ourselves in difficulty.					
30	MR SMITH: We are not permitted to even get into					
31	difficulty. We have got no s.35 authority which permits					
32	us to explore information the divulgence of which would					
33	be a breach of Aboriginal tradition from another area					
34	than the Lower River Murray and that is no fault of					
35	this Commission.					
36	MR ABBOTT: And that would mean that Dr Clarke					
37	presumably couldn't give any examples of Dr Kartinyeri's					
38	behaviour which inferentially one might then use to say					

- this represents or is relevant to secret behaviour in another community.
- 3 MR SMITH: It is really a peripheral matter, I would have thought.
- 5 MS PYKE: It is not peripheral. It is a clear assertion as to one of his criticisms of Dr Fergie. It is not peripheral.
- 8 XXN
- 9 Q. Again getting back to this conversation, I suggest to you that, in addition to telling you that Doreen
- 11 Kartinyeri was one of the women who had the knowledge,
- 12 Dr Fergie mentioned to you that Connie Roberts was one
- of the custodians of the knowledge. Do you remember -
- 14 A. That is Mrs Margaret Roberts on the list, yes, we did speak about her.
- 16 Q. Connie Roberts, I suggest to you -
- 17 A. We would have used -
- 18 COMSR: The witness just said that.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 XXN
- 21 Q. You said it was Maggie in the list, but you agree -
- A. No, it is Margaret Roberts on the list I believe. That is her formal name.
- 24 Q. I suggest to you that Dr Fergie said to you that Connie
- Roberts was one of the custodians and you said to Dr
- Fergie that was unlikely, because Doreen and Connie
- were, in effect, in antagonistic positions in the
- 28 context of Ngarrindjeri culture.
- A. I have got no memory of saying that and also I can't think of a conflict which would have put those two at
- 31 opposite ends. So, I deny that, that I said anything
- 32 like that.
- Q. Any suggestion that you have suggested that Doreen and Connie have some antagonistic position in the
- application of Ngarrindjeri culture is quite wrong.
- 36 A. Again, I can't think of a single example where those two
- people have ever had any sort of acknowledged argument,
- so I would have to say no, that is a fault of the

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

memory. 2 Q. Presumably then you wouldn't recall Dr Fergie going on 3 to say to you that indeed that fact or that position that you had put to her was evidence to confirm her 5 judgment rather than disconfirm it. 6 **OBJECTION** Mr Abbott objects. 7 You wouldn't recall' implies that that MR ABBOTT: was said. The question is wrong. You can ask the witness `Did Dr Fergie say that?'. But suggesting `You 8 9 10 won't remember this when I put it to you', is a -You got that answer. 11 COMSR: 12 MS PYKE: He says that the first proposition 13 wasn't put. 14 COMSR: It wasn't put, therefore, logically, the 15 next wouldn't follow. 16 MS PYKE: I will rephrase it, if Mr Abbott wants 17 me to. 18 XXN 19 Q. I put it to you, do you recall Dr Fergie saying 20 something to the effect that that was evidence to 21 confirm her judgment rather than disconfirm it. That 22 is, the difference in position between Doreen and 23 Connie.

- A. Sorry, I still can't understand. What confirms what? I have said that there was no I can I have no memory of talking about any animosity between the two. Now, what is it that -
- Q. Do you have any memory of Dr Fergie making comments to you about Doreen and Connie and their positions
 vis-a-vis one another confirming her judgment rather than disconfirming it.
- 32 A. I have got no memory of there being a discussion between the relationship between those two people.
- Q. Can you recall in that conversation that you had with Dr Fergie on the telephone that she said to you something
- 36 to the effect of that the process of authorisation that
- 37 she had observed was an important test of whether
- something could be considered a tradition.

- 1 A. That was a topic discussed, but it wasn't framed in that
- 2 manner. I think I have already given evidence towards
- what how it was framed. And it was I was questioning
- 4 the validity of the group decision in relation to what
- 5 was being said and in terms of Hindmarsh Island and she
- 6 replied along the lines of, as long as she had 35
- 7 Ngarrindjeri signatures, signatures from Ngarrindjeri
- 8 women, that was enough.
- 9 Q. Can you remember her saying something to the effect of that that authorisation process was an important test of
- whether something could be considered a tradition.
- 12 A. No, I have no memory of it being put in those precise terms, no.
- 14 Q. Do you recall Dr Fergie saying to you in that
- 15 conversation that there had been significant
- disagreement about whether or not to disclose the
- knowledge and that there was no questioning of the
- authenticity of the material.
- 19 A. No, I can't remember that aspect. That aspect became
- clear when I eventually was in a position to read the Fergie report.
- Q. In terms of that particular conversation that we are talking about -
- 24 A. No, I can't remember.
- 25 Q. So it might have been said, you just don't -
- 26 A. I doubt whether it was said.
- 27 Q. Why do you doubt that.
- 28 A. Because I have no memory of it and it would have been
- important information in terms of me getting an idea of what was going on.
- 31 Q. Your note at p.144 refers to and it is one I quoted to
- 32 you earlier `35 Ngarrindjeri women elected Doreen
- 33 Kartinyeri as spokeswoman. Connie Roberts was hard on
- any info being made public.' Do you agree with me that
- 35 certainly seems to indicate that there was some
- difference in position between Connie and Doreen, at
- 37 least on that topic.
- 38 A. No, when I was writing it I certainly didn't write it as

- 1 if there was an argument about that. You know, Connie
- 2 Roberts sorry, Mrs Margaret Roberts had a position
- 3 where she was from the information I received, that
- 4 she had a position that was hard on any information
- 5 being made public, as I say there. And, you know,
- 6 Doreen was spokeswoman on that issue. I mean, the two
- 7 statements are not necessarily against each other to the
- 8 extent that it implies that Doreen wanted to do one
- 9 thing and Mrs Roberts wanted to do another. I mean, a spokeswoman to whom?
- 11 Q. I am just reading from your notes.
- 12 A. No, I am questioning the, you know, the validity of a
- suggestion that my notes suggest that Doreen Kartinyeri
- and Connie Roberts were arguing. I mean, I can't see
- 15 how one necessarily means the other.
- Q. You have made reference in your evidence in relation to yourself as having an informal -
- 18 COMSR
- 19 Q. Might this matter well be something you are a bit
- sensitive about.
- 21 A. It could be.
- 22 MS PYKE: Perhaps the time for sensitivity has
- passed. It might have been appropriate at the it was
- said in open session, at p.151 of the transcript.
- 25 MR ABBOTT: It is line 26.
- 26 A. I have got p.151, yes.
- 27 MR ABBOTT: Line 26.
- 28 XXN
- 29 Q. You said this -
- 30 MR ABBOTT: I don't think it should be read out.
- 31 A. Yes, if it is line 26, that is an area which there would
- be or I can demonstrate quite a bit of sensitivity in
- relation to even fairly recent contact including a short
- 34 field trip I had to Central Australia. So, it was made
- quite clear to me that, from Aboriginal representatives
- outside of this State, that they did not appreciate any
- 37 reference to on-going projects in relation to the topic
- of this answer. So, any discussion on this (INDICATES)

1	is most certainly going to offend Aboriginal people in
2	Central Australia.

- **COMSR**
- Q. Are you saying that that is information which should be suppressed, because of its sensitivity.

 A. Yes, it came this information came up during the cross-examination of Mrs Fisher and counsel assisting corrected her interpretation of what I said, but any sort of variation from what is said here is likely to once again cause offence in Central Australia.

- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 CONTINUED

RF 440

- 1 Q. As it appears there, is it offensive?
- 2 A. It was offensive and that fact was relayed to me. Even
- 3 though it has the appearance of being publicly available
- 4 information, in the context of it being given in this
- 5 highly formal setting, it did offend Aboriginal people
- 6 in Central Australia and that factor -
- 7 Q. What I am trying to elicit is would any further publication of it be offensive to them.
- 9 A. I believe it would.
- 10 Q. That is what I am asking, whether you are suggesting
- that that should now been suppressed from publication to
- prevent any further dissemination of the information on
- the basis that it is confidential and would offend the
- sensibilities of the people concerned.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 MR SMITH: I would apply for you to do that, since
- this matter has arisen. I was aware that it caused
- problems before. It lay dormant until now. I was
- 19 content to leave it there.
- 20 COMSR: What page and what lines are we now
- 21 suggesting?
- 22 MS PYKE: It is p.151, lines 23 to 32.
- 23 COMSR
- 24 Q. Does that cover it.
- 25 A. Yes, it does.
- 26 MR ABBOTT: You should also suppress from
- publication the discussion about this today, because we
- wouldn't want the discussion today not suppressed and those lines suppressed.
- 30 COMSR: I will make an order then suppressing
- from publication, lines 23 to 32 inclusive of p.151 of
- the evidence, and any discussion or reference to those
- matters arising from today's transcript.
- 34 MS PYKE: If I want to ask any questions about
- 35 that, it will be in closed session?
- 36 COMSR: Yes. There are a few matters that you
- will have to clear up in closed session. Unfortunately,
- the nature of this inquiry is such that it is necessary

RF 440

- 1 to make these suppression orders from time to time.
- 2 There have been an unusual large number of suppression
- orders in the course of this hearing, but it arises from the nature of the hearing.
- 5 XXN
- 6 Q. In answer to a question from counsel assisting, this was
- again in the context of methodology, the question was
- 8 asked at p.271 of the transcript `Do traditional owners,
- 9 bearing in mind that there is linkages with land that 10 are being reported upon, is it necessary to establish
- 11
- some contact with tradition owners. A. It would be'.
- 12 As a result of your various researches, do you have a
- 13 view about who the traditional owners are of the
- 14 Ngarrindjeri people in relation to -
- A. My answer is in relation to many parts of Australia. In 15
- 16 terms of the Lower Murray, there are a few places of
- 17 historic importance that particular families would
- 18 consider that they had most say about. In terms of
- 19 mythological traditions, there are one or two fragments
- 20 of myths that were - one or two Aboriginal people own in
- 21 the sense that they say that their version is the
- 22 correct version. I am thinking in relation to quite
- 23 lengthy cross-examination that I had in terms of the
- 24 Thukabi, the Turtle Dreaming, that aspect was covered -
- 25 and, I am sorry, I have forgotten the original question.
- 26 Q. You were answering that question in terms of methodology
- 27 about the way in which you would go about preparing that
- 28 report. I understood your answer to that question to be
- 29 that it was necessary to establish some contact with
- 30 traditional owners, and I understood that was in the
- 31 context of the concept of women's business or secret
- 32 sacred women's business. My question to you is this.
- 33 A. Yes.
- 34 Q. Have you got any thought about who the traditional
- 35 owners might be.
- 36 A. As I say here, there are still anthropological records
- 37 such as the ones the museum hold which still indicate
- 38 possible owners in the present day community. So there

part of the landscape.

RF 440

21

38

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

1 is quite a heavy reliance, so I am told, even with many 2 land claims, in terms of archival information that 3 relates to family trees. There is also contemporary field work, which I also go on to say here `There is 5 also contemporary field work of establishing those 6 traditional owners'. So there is another level of data. 7 I mean, they may produce quite a bit of conflicting 8 information, but that conflicting information in itself 9 may be indicative of other processes going on. So I am 10 not suggesting for every square centimetre of the Lower 11 Murray there is a traditional owner that you could get 12 to by going through anthropological records and asking 13 people, but there are certain places, through anthropological records in particular, or mainly in the 14 15 case of the Lower Murray, that could be traced to 16 particular individuals from last century. One could 17 work out who the descendants are of that particular 18 individual, and come up with a list of names of people 19 who, through the anthropological records at least, could 20 demonstrate a link, however distant, to that particular

- Q. To get back to what I asked, you have got no particular
 view yourself about who the traditional owners of
 Hindmarsh Island -
- 25 A. I was involved as an informant for Rob Lucas' report, 26 and I suggested some family surnames of people who, 27 through knowledge of history, we can place very early on 28 in that general sort of Goolwa/Hindmarsh Island clayton 29 vicinity, and those names appear in that report. From 30 memory, the names of Rankine, Walker, Sumner, and 31 possibly one of the Rigneys, although the family name 32 does not come from that area. But there are those names 33 in the Lucas report. They were names that both Steve 34 Hemming and I would have - or did suggest to Rob Lucas, 35 that if that was to go that way, they were the families 36 to begin with. There may well be other families, 37 particularly with intermarriage over 150 years odd. It

could well be that even 30 or 40 per cent of

RF 440

P.A. CLARKE XXN (MS PYKE)

1 Ngarrindjeri people would have some link to one of those 2 families, and therefore could demonstrate some link to 3 that landscape, however diffuse. So it becomes a 4 problem when there was a pre-European model or process 5 whereby people gained their country, and then we come to 6 the mission phase of the community and their descent 7 patterns are along European lines, and then we sort of 8 change. So, in a sense, if one was to use that approach 9 in the Lower Murray, they would be trying to mesh a 10 European descent system into a pre-European one. But 11 even so, I think it would be justified in terms of 12 negotiating - consulting with the Aboriginal community 13 about a particular part of the landscape. Are you able to give some indication how 14 COMSR: much longer you are likely to be, what areas you propose 15 16 to -I have got a few areas, some of the 17 MS PYKE: 18 literature and various bits and pieces to put. I would 19 say probably the morning. 20 The morning? What witnesses have we? COMSR: 21 MR SMITH: Philip Jones tomorrow. 22 I have got some difficulty pressing on, MS PYKE: 23 due to other commitments and so has Dr Fergie. 24 COMSR: Could you give some indication of what 25 particular areas? 26 MS PYKE: Some of the matters in relation to 27 issues raised in Berndt and Berndt. Certainly some of 28 the other publications. I have abbreviated it somewhat. 29 Reputations don't come cheaply, and we are here to -30 **COMSR:** I appreciate that. I am wondering how 31 much of it is a matter that we have already traversed in 32 the witness's evidence. I do not want to recapitulate 33 that. I take it you are putting new matters to him. 34 Putting new matters, but also putting, MS PYKE: 35 to a degree, my instructions. I don't want to be in the 36 unfortunate position of when Dr Fergie gives evidence -37 as I am told there is a summons on the way -38 COMSR: Let me say this, where professional

RF 44O

1	witnesses are concerned and they have requested, as					
2	professional witnesses frequently do, that they be					
2	served with a subpoena - and it is understandable that					
4	they do so because it is a process that is a form of					
5	protection for them - if we are asked to do so here the					
6	commission will certainly issue a subpoena, and we have					
7	been asked by the professional witnesses to do so. It					
8	is the usual course of practice.					
9	MS PYKE: As I said to you all along, Dr Fergie is					
10	not in a position to attend here without a summons.					
11	COMSR: That is understandable, most					
12	professional witnesses take that point of view. I must					
13	admit, for a long time I resisted the issue of any					
14	summons at all, but I have been persuaded that, as it is					
15	the usual and acceptable course certainly in the courts,					
16	it is the most usual course to pursue, that,					
17	notwithstanding, my earlier reluctance, where a					
18	professional witness indicates that they wish a subpoena					
19	to issue, I consider it is appropriate to do so. I					
20	suppose it is much the same with witnesses from the					
21	media. It is quite usual that they request a subpoena					
22	to attend. That is another thing that is done in the					
23	normal course of events.					
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	MS PYKE: To be blunt about it, there has been					
25	heaps of time wasted for heaps of reasons. It might					
26	seem to some of us a rather substantial amount of the					
27	evidence seems highly irrelevant, but that is not for us					
28	to determine. All I can say from my client's					
29	perspective, we don't wish to be truncated, abbreviated,					
30	told that we have to hurry up.					
31	COMSR: I would agree with that, certainly. It					
32	is just that I want to get some appreciation of what is					
33	involved so that we know how to arrange the witnesses.					
34	MS PYKE: I would have said the morning, but one					
35	of the benefits of course is one can always try to cut					
36	it down overnight					

3650

RF 44O

- COMSR: If there are direct questions that you want to put to the witness, perhaps it is appropriate to put them straight to him ADJOURNED 4.35 P.M. TO FRIDAY, 13 OCTOBER 1995 AT 10 A.M.
- 2 3 4