1	COMSR STEVENS
2 3 4 5	
3	
4	HINDMARSH ISLAND BRIDGE ROYAL COMMISSION
6	THIRD AN ALGEBRE ADED 1005
7	THURSDAY, 21 SEPTEMBER 1995
8 9	RESUMING 10.28 A.M.
10	
11	
12	all, I am seeking leave to interpose a scientific witness, if I can call him that, an engineering witness,
13	Dr David John Walker. His evidence will be brief. He
14	
15	will tender a report and explain it. And I can't imagine that anything he says will provoke any
16	contention at all. And then the hearing will resume
17	with the cross-examination of Mr Kenny.
18	COMSR: There is some reason for interposing the
19	witness, is there?
20	MR SMITH: Mr Walker is not available any other day
21	but today in the next period. And, unless there is some
22	reason why that couldn't be done now, to suit his
23	convenience, I would like to call him.
24	MR ABBOTT: I am in all in favour of suiting Mr
25	Walker's convenience. May I point out we weren't told
26	that he would be interposed. That is a mild criticism.
27	We do have a lot of material on this issue, most of
28	which we have given to the Royal Commission. I haven't
29	brought my folder down because I thought we were going
30	on with Mr Kenny. I haven't read this paper and I am
31	not sure that I wouldn't want to ask any questions, but
32	I am certainly not in a position do so. I may be able
33	to ask some questions, but I would like to reserve my
34	decision until I have finished it.
35	COMSR: Perhaps at the end of this evidence we
36	might release the witness on the understanding that he
37	will be recalled if required.
38	MR ABBOTT: Is that convenient?

- MR SMITH: Yes, and that can be extended to every
- 2 other counsel.
- Yes, I think it is always a bit unwise COMSR:
- to assume that the witness won't be contentious, Mr
- 5 Smith.
- MR SMITH CALLS 6
- DAVID JOHN WALKER **SWORN**
- 8 **EXAMINATION BY MR SMITH**
- Q. I think you hold and your primary degree you have is one
- 10 of an honours degree in Bachelor of Engineering, which
- 11 you obtained from the Adelaide University in 1976, is
- 12 that correct.
- A. That's correct, yes. 13
- Q. And you have a Masters in Engineering Science, which you 14
- obtained from the Adelaide University in 1981. 15
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And your PhD you obtained in London in 1987, is that so.
- A. That's correct, yes. 18
- 19 Q. And your PhD was on the topic of erosion on sandy
- 20 beaches.
- 21 A. That's right, yes.
- 22 Q. Looking at this document produced to you and headed 23
 - Curriculum Vitae', I think that is your extensive
- 24 curriculum vitae, is it not.
- 25 A. Yes, it is.
- 26 EXHIBIT 151 Curriculum vitae of witness, Dr D.J.
- Walker, tendered by Mr Smith. Admitted. 27
- 28 Q. Over the past ten years or so in your work and studies,
- 29 in particular, I think you have developed a numerical
- 30 model to assist in solving two-dimensional water flow
- 31 problems, is that right.
- 32 A. Yes, I have, yes.
- 33 Q. And that is, of course, a computerised model, is it not.
- 34 A. Yes.
- 35 Q. And its facility is to predict flow patterns, for
- 36 instance, in an area like the Murray Mouth and the area
- 37 of Hindmarsh Island, is that right.
- 38 A. Yes, that's correct.

- Q. I think all that needs to be done is that you need to feed into the computer, as it were, a physical layout, shape, geography, depth of water and the like.
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And some boundary or preconditional information about aflow of water entering the model, is that right.
- 7 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. And the computer will therefore work out for you the, ifyou like, speed and direction of water flow.
- 10 A. Throughout the rest of the area defined in the model, yes.
- 12 Q. On that topic, I think you have provided some assistance to the Commission in terms of what water flow could be
- expected in and about the area of Hindmarsh Island and
- the Murray Mouth bearing in mind a number of
- permutations and combinations of, if you like,
- blockages, etc., in the area.
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. You have provided I think a report to the Commission
 setting all that out. Looking at the report produced to
 you, do you recognise that as your report.
- 22 A. Yes, that's my report.
- 23 EXHIBIT 152 Report from witness, Dr D.J. Walker, 24 dated 18 September 1995, headed `River 25 Murray Lower Lakes Modelling', tendered 26 by Mr Smith. Admitted.
- 27 Q. Do you have a copy of that report.
- 28 A. Yes
- Q. I would like you just to explain it to us. Just one introductory matter: the computer actually grapples with
- a number of equations to come up with its graphic
- 32 illustration, does it not.
- 33 A. Yes.
- Q. They are equations relating to what topics.
- 35 A. Equations relating to the flow of fluid. I guess
- 36 hydrodynamics is the technical term. Essentially, it is
- 37 solving the continuity of flow and the continuity of
- 38 momentum.

- 1 Q. I think in your, report under the heading
- 2 Introduction', you set out what, in effect, was your
- 3 brief in this matter.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. If I can just summarise it, your brief was to
- 6 investigate the flow patterns in the vicinity of
- 7 Hindmarsh Island, with particular reference to the
- 8 proposed bridge site.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. On a number of bases, and they are set out there in the introduction, aren't they.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. First of all, in respect of the channel at the bridge
- site, without the causeway.
- 15 A. Yes, that's right. That gives the initial conditions
- before any works were carried out at the site.
- 17 Q. Then the channel at the bridge site, with the present causeway.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Then tidal flow through the Mouth and lakes area,
- 21 without the barrages in place.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Tidal flow through the Mouth, with the barrages in placeand closed.
- 25 A. Yes.
- Q. And then, finally, river flow through the lakes and out of the Mouth.
- 28 A. Yes.
- 29 Q. Can I take you, first of all, to figure 1 in your
- report, and that is a general representation of
- 31 Hindmarsh Island and Mundoo Island, the Murray Mouth and
- 32 the Goolwa area.
- 33 A. Yes, that's right.
- 34 Q. You have there isolated what you have called the model
- area as embracing the causeway and the Goolwa township area.
- 37 A. That's correct. That was the first part of the
- modelling to look particularly at that area where the

- l proposed bridge site is.
- Q. I take you to figure 2: figure 2 shows the geography of
 the channel in the area of the causeway of the ferry.
- A. Yes.
- Q. The small arrows there, can you tell us what they represent.
- A. Essentially the arrows in the channel show the flow speed and also the flow direction. So, the direction of the arrow shows the way the direction that the flow
- would be travelling. And the length and the size of the arrow gives an indication of the speed.
- 12 Q. We pick up the scale from the bottom there. There is a small arrow and `.5 metres per second'.
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. As one would expect, as the channel widens, the arrows became smaller and therefore the speed of the water is reduced.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Am I correct, looking at that figure, that most of the flow rate is about 1 metre per second.
- 21 A. It is of that order, given the particular input
- conditions that I gave. And it was really just to
- 23 illustrate a typical flow pattern under a particular condition.
- Q. The fixed information that you fed into the computer, as it were, what you have called boundary information.
- 27 A. Yes.
- 28 Q. Was what.
- A. It was an input flow of a certain volume of water passing the start of the channel at the boundary.
- Q. I think your report then falls into four sections, figures 2 to 5, figures 6 to 9, figures 10 to 11 and
- 33 figures 13 and 14.
- 34 A. Yes.
- 35 Q. I ask you then to deal with those. I take you now to
- figures 2 to 5. We are at figure 2 and that is the
- primary model area there, isn't it.
- 38 A. Yes, it is.

- 1 Q. Broadly speaking, what does that show us.
- 2 A. Specifically on figure 2?
- 3 Q. Yes, figure 2.
- 4 A. On figure 2 we have the part of the Goolwa channel, the
- 5 land area on the right is part of Hindmarsh Island, and
- 6 what we see is a fairly regular flow pattern around that
- 7 channel. There are no major obstacles, and the flow is
- 8 progressing in a fairly even and a fairly uniform
- 9 fashion.
- 10 Q. Of course, that is without the causeway.
- 11 A. Yes. So, that is showing the flow pattern that you
- might expect, before there had been any construction at all down in the area.
- 14 Q. One of the boundary preconditions, apart from a flow
- into the system to get your computer starting its work,
- one of the boundary conditions had to be a depth of water, as well.
- 18 A. Yes, it was. I used, in this particular in all of
- these particular simulations, a constant depth based on
- 20 the average depth in the area, as a whole.
- 21 Q. Do you remember what that was.
- 22 A. It was 3 metres.
- Q. As a whole, you took into account the river channel, the lakes.
- 25 A. Yes.
- 26 Q. And the Coorong area.
- 27 A. And the Coorong area. Although, for figures 2 to 5, we
- are only concentrating just on that particular channel area.
- 30 Q. Figure 2 is the channel. It shows the flows, without
- 31 the present causeway in place.
- 32 A. That's right.
- 33 Q. Going to figure 3, it is reverse flow.
- 34 A. Yes, this was just to illustrate the sort of situation
- you might get in the case where tidal flows were
- 36 entering the Mouth and flowing in the opposite direction
- of the Goolwa channel and again just to show the steady
- 38 flow patterns that you might expect.

D.J. WALKER XN (MR SMITH)

Q. Unless there was some rare storm or tidal wave conditions prevailing, that would never be the case in

the Goolwa area, would it.

- 4 A. That would be unlikely, given that the barrages are basically there to prevent that happening.
- 6 Q. Figure 4 shows the influence of the causeway.
- 7 A. Yes, the difference between figure 4 and figure 2 is 8 that the causeway has been modelled by an extra couple 9 of barriers in the correct position. The scale that the
- modelling has been done at means that, in fact, the
- causeway is not represented in fine detail, but for the
- gross picture that we are looking at here just the
- overall view I believe that it is a reasonable
- representation. And the effect is obvious in the
- influence on the uniform flow. It has now been changed
- reasonably dramatically. There is a concentration of
- flow past the causeway and quite a disruption of the flow directions.
- Q. So, the causeway that facilitates the ferry system that operates there is a considerable interference to water flow.
- 22 A. Yes, it is, yes.
- Q. You have done the reverse situation in figure 5, haven't you.
- 25 A. Again, just to complete the picture, yes.
- Q. The next section deals with figures 6 to 9 and I think
- 27 figures 6 to 9 deal with the situation without the
- barrages. That is, what the river flow situation would
- 29 have been prior to the construction of the barrages, is that right.
- 31 A. River and tidal flows. We are talking now about the total flow conditions in the area in general.
- 33 Q. The boundary or the preconditions that you had to build
- into the exercise had to be variable here, did they, to
- account for the tide.
- 36 A. That's correct. In figures 2 to 5, where we are
- essentially looking at a steady flow, a steady situation
- that is not changing. In the figures from 6 to 9, we

- 1 are looking at the tidal flows and this changes
- 2 throughout the day. So, it is necessary to look at a
- 3 number of different points in time, if you want to see
- 4 how the flows are going to be changing, because they
- will be changing all the time as the tide goes in and as the tide comes out again.
- Q. We then move to figures 6 to 9: and could you, looking at figure 6, would you just tell us what that depicts.
- 9 A. Figure 6 is an indication of the general flow pattern
- around the islands, around Hindmarsh Island, around
- Mundoo Island, given a particular point in the tide.
- 12 And, for example, if you have a look at the situation in
- the channel leading to Lake Albert, you can see the
- arrows there, although quite small, indicate flow
- leaving Lake Albert. So, this is at a time in the tide
- cycle when the flows are draining from that particular lake.
- 18 Q. And towards the Murray Mouth.
- 19 A. And towards the Murray Mouth.
- 20 Q. Then we turn to figure 7.
- 21 A. In figure 7, we are slightly later in the day. For
- example, the flows are still leaving Lake Albert,
- 23 although the flows throughout the rest of the area have been reduced slightly.
- Q. So, the tide is starting to change in the Murray Mouth
 area, but there is still a flow out of the lakes system
 towards the Murray Mouth.
- 28 A. Yes, because a lot of these channels are very narrow,
- you tend to get a delay in time with the tide rising and falling. The tide will rise and fall inside the Murray
- Mouth at a different time than it would outside the
- 32 Murray Mouth.
- 33 Q. And figure 8.
- 34 A. Figure 8 I think is it shows much higher velocities
- coming into the Murray Mouth. And you can see
- 36 velocities and therefore flow progressing into Lake
- 37 Alexandrina and flowing around all the islands near the
- 38 Mouth.

- Q. Is Lake Albert still however discharging slightly intoLake Alexandrina.
- A. Yes, it is. So, the constriction there has meant that it is, in fact, quite a way behind what is happening in the rest of the area. Although, by figure 9, Lake
- Albert has stopped draining and would be in the process of starting to fill up again.
- Q. Is this almost at the time of a peak tide entering in through the Murray Mouth.
- 10 A. Lake Albert has probably been has lagged behind so much, it would be a little bit hard to be able to tell that.
- 13 Q. The smaller lake is Lake Albert, just to spell it out, isn't it.
- 15 A. Yes, it is.
- 16 Q. And the bigger lake is Lake Alexandrina.
- 17 A. Lake Alexandrina.
- 18 Q. Figure 10, figures 10 and 11 show dramatically the situation, don't they, with the barrages in operation and in place.
- A. Yes, they do. Essentially the barrages were put in place to reduce the tidal flows that we have seen in the previous figures. The tidal flows coming into Lake
- Alexandrina and to Lake Albert. And, so, this shows
- that the influence of the tide now is only at the Mouth and in the Coorong area and in the small part of the
- Goolwa channel and that there are no tidal flows at all
- in the rest of the lakes area. So that all the flows
- around the islands, Hindmarsh Island, Mundoo Island and
- all the tidal flows in and out of the lakes has now
- 31 ceased completely. And this would have been the
- situation from the time that the barrages were constructed.
- 34 Q. Figure 11 shows that again.
- 35 A. It is just a different point in the tidal cycle.
- Q. Your final contribution at our request I think was just to show the river flows into the lakes system generally
- and I think it is such a large scale depiction that it

2528

KC 34A

- almost the causeway and the barrages don't have a
 major influence, is that the position.
 CONTINUED

- A. It is in this particular situation, we have river flows
- 2 coming down the Murray, and I have assumed in this
- 3 particular case either that the barrages are not there,
- or, if they are there, then they are open to allow this
- 5 river flow to exit from the system out the Murray Mouth.
- Q. What would be the situation, although you haven't depicted it, to close the barrages with the river flow.
- 8 A. If you close the barrages while the river was flowing 9 down?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. It would flood the lakes area, it would flood Goolwa and
- 12 the flow would eventually have to flow over the 13
- Q. So, figures 13 and 14 just show the river flow on that 14
- 15 basis; that is, with the barrages open to allow the 16 river flow to go through.
- 17 A. That's correct. Figure 14 is just an enlargement of one particular area of figure 13. 18
- Q. To turn back then to your brief and your conclusion, I 19 20 think that's your conclusion which is is set out at .2
- 21 of your report, is it.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. As to the barrages, your position is made clear by your
- report that the barrages have then had a massive 24
- 25 influence on the water levels and the flow in the river 26 system and the Murray Mouth area.
- 27 A. Yes. The construction of the barrages would have had a 28 dramatic effect in changing the flows and the water 29 level in the area.
- 30 Q. And the ferry causeway which protrudes out into the
- 31 channel from the island side across to Goolwa has had
- 32 another considerable influence. 33 A. Yes, it has. In a much more localised sense, but, yes.
- 34 Q. I think you have seen diagrams of the Hindmarsh Island 35
- Bridge planned construction.
- 36 A. Yes, I have, yes. I've got a copy, yes.
- Q. I will just make sure I have the same one. Looking at 37
- 38 the document produced, you are looking at a set of four

CJ 34B

- 1 plans.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. From the bridge construction.
- 4 A. Yes, I am.
- 5 Q. I want to ask you some questions about that.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 BUNDLE OF FOUR PLANS TENDERED TO BECOME PART OF EXHIBIT 152
- 8 Q. The bridge in part, at least, it was planned to be built
- on the causeway as the plan shows.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. From your expertise's point of view, would you
- anticipate that bridge piers, as shown in the plan,
- would have any would have what, if any, effect on the
- 14 overall water flow of the river.
- 15 A. They would have a negligible effect on the flow from the
- 16 channel in general. There would be some small localised
- effect; although, from the design and construction point
- of view, this could be limited because any interference
- with the flow would tend to cause local erosion. Part
- of the design would minimise any effect on the flows
- 21 passing by the pier in any way.
- 22 NO FURTHER QUESTIONS
- 23 MR SMITH: I indicate to counsel that if they want
- 24 to reserve their position.
- 25 MR ABBOTT: We would like to reserve our position.
- 26 COMSR: Does anyone else want to put anything to
- 27 me at this stage? Otherwise, I propose to release the
- witness on the understanding that he may be required to
- be recalled at a later stage by any of the parties with
- a particular interest in this matter of your report Mr
- 31 Walker.
- 32 WITNESS RELEASED

- WITNESS CHRISTOPHER KEVIN KENNY CONTINUING
- 2 I think the one outstanding matter is MR SMITH:
- 3 that we intimated that we would obtain copies of Mr
- 4 Kenny's notes to which he refreshed his memory from in
- 5 the course of his evidence. I have done that and I hand
- 6 those out to counsel, although I need to obtain some
- 7 more copies. So perhaps people with the most vigorous
- 8 interest I will give the first copies to them and copy
- 9 some more immediately.
- 10 Which parties are most concerned with COMSR:
- 11 this witness?
- 12 MR TILMOUTH: I am.
- Mr Tilmouth, you are acting for the four 13 COMSR:
- 14 men who are witnesses?
- 15 MR TILMOUTH: Yes, for Victor Wilson, who was
- 16 mentioned quite a few times and to a much lesser extent
- 17 George Trevorrow. I act for both of those men.
- 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR TILMOUTH
- 19 Q. Your statement says that you commenced with Channel 10 since 1989. 20
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. I understand you're currently, am I right, State
- 23 political reporter for Channel 10.
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. Was that a position you have always held since 1989.
- 26 A. Since - no, would have been early 1990 I assumed that 27
- position.
- 28 Q. Obviously, at the first step, this is Channel 10 based 29 in Adelaide.
- 30 A. Yes.
- 31 Q. Is that part of a commonwealth network as well.
- 32 A. Not entirely. The Adelaide, Perth and Canberra stations
- 33 are owned by a separate company from the Brisbane,
- 34 Sydney and Melbourne stations, but we co-operate as a
- 35 network and as a news organisation swapping stories.
- 36 Q. Syndicating stories and the like.
- 37 A. Indeed.
- Q. To take it forward for the moment, the interview with

- Doug Milera of 5 June 1995 at the Appollon at Victor
- 2 Harbor went to air the following day.
- 3 A. Yes, it did.
- 4 Q. Is it correct to say that it went to air on the
- following day on the main 10 news broadcast in the late afternoon, early evening.
- 7 A. 5 o'clock the next day.
- 8 Q. Which is the prime time news spot.
- 9 A. The major one hour news bulletin, yes.
- 10 Q. Can you help us whether it went to air only locally or nationally.
- 12 A. It would have gone to air nationally, although each
- individual station makes their own decision. I would
- have to check back over records to see. Often what
- happens is that some stations run stories and other
- stations cut them back and run shortened versions, et cetera.
- 18 Q. I will come to this in more detail later. I'm trying to
- 19 get the general picture. Obviously this went to air on
- 20 6 June. The raw interview was cut considerably, wasn't it.
- 22 A. As all interviews are when they go to air.
- 23 Q. From reading the papers you appreciate I didn't see
- your evidence am I right in thinking about a minute or so ulimately went to air.
- A. Of Doug Milera speaking, it would have been probably close to a minute, perhaps less.
- 28 Q. I am only concerned with not precise details, but the
- overall. About a minute, roughly anyway.
- 30 A. Yes.
- 31 Q. During the day, I suppose, you and those above you were
- directly involved in making a selection of what would go to air.
- 33 to an
- 34 A. Yes.
- 35 Q. That was part of your task.
- 36 A. That is of any journalist's daily tasks, yes.
- 37 Q. In the end result, what went to air, was that something
- that you were happy with.

- A. In the end result, what went to air was a true and accurate reflection of what Doug Milera said he wanted
- to say, and what he went on to say on camera that evening and what he repeated subsequently.
- Q. As you saw it, at that time anyway, you felt that whatwent to air was -
- 7 A. As I saw it, as I still see it, as Doug Milera saw it and Doug Milera's never said otherwise since.
- 9 Q. What ulimately went to air on the 6th, although it might have been subject to veto by your superiors,
- nevertheless went to air with your full consent and
- without any difficulty between you and them.
- 13 OBJECTION Mr Lovell objects.
- 14 MR LOVELL: What has this to do with Mr Tilmouth's
- clients? What possible relevance has it and how is it
- going to help you to know the decision-making process of Channel 10?
- 18 COMSR: Yes, Mr Tilmouth.
- 19 MR TILMOUTH: I guess it is the witness's perspective 20 of the whole issue.
- 21 MR LOVELL: How is that relevant?
- 22 MR TILMOUTH: What what went to air was with his
- consent or without his consent. It is the overall perspective of the matter.
- 25 COMSR: I suppose I'm not really concerned with
 26 his perspective of it.
- 27 MR TILMOUTH: His whole evidence is replete with his perspective. All the evidence that he gave in the last
- couple of days is full of his reflections and perspectives.
- 30 perspectives.31 MR LOVELL: The
- 31 MR LOVELL: That is not the case, what my friend is
- referring to. This witness has interviewed people, come along, and some of them had the courage to come along
- and give evidence, others haven't. Christopher Kenny's
- perception is not relevant to the Terms of Reference.
- 36 MR TILMOUTH: We can debate this endlessly. His whole
- evidence is full of his opinion about the whole
- question, and including the reliability and so on of the

2 COMSR: Wouldn't it be a matter for me in the final analysis, looking at the whole of the interview,	
4 whatever might be the witness's opinion?	
5 MR TILMOUTH: I agree with that. The fact of the	
6 matter is his opinion and his views about things whi	
7 virtually dominate the whole of his evidence-in-chies	
8 COMSR: They may, and maybe to that extent to	hey
9 won't be very useful to me in the final analysis.	
10 MR TILMOUTH: I join with that. The fact of the	
matter is that it's already in.	11
12 COMSR: That might be so. We are concerned	
the question of relevance as to the matters which tou	ıch
14 your clients.	
15 MR TILMOUTH: That is true. That, in turn, turn	is upon
the interview, particularly of 5 June, and it turns up	On
how one reads both the questions and the answers.	Coula
I help you in this? I have asked the question and, to) ation
an extent, it has been answered by the previous que and answer. For the moment, I don't intend to purs	SHOII
and answer. For the moment, I don't intend to pursuany further than that.	sue It
22 COMSR: I think it will be a matter of	
23 submission in the final analysis.	
24 MR TILMOUTH: I repeat that a lot of this materia	1 ic
25 impressions and views and reoccurs throughout his	11 15
26 evidence-in-chief. It is a question whether you will	1
27 allow the last question.	ı
28 COMSR: Can we repeat the last question?	
29 QUESTION REPHRASED	
30 XXN	
31 Q. You were happy with what went to air the following	ng day
32 A. I said in an answer the other day that I stand by	ng aaj.
everything I have reported and said in this case.	
34 Q. You're first contact in relation to this matter came	
35 through a chance meeting with Mr Ian McLachlan.	
36 A. Yes - no, my first, that was the first contact as far	as
the episode that led me to make contacts with the	-
dissident women who had spoken out. If you look	at the

- evidence, I was investigationing the matter prior to that with no success.
- Q. In terms of chronology, the meeting with Mr McLachlan led you to interview a number of Aboriginal women.
- A. Eventually, the contact Mr McLachlan made with me put me
 in contact with Sue Lawrie who put into contact with
 Dorothy Wilson and Dulcie Wilson.
- 8 Q. And subsequently Bertha Gollan.
- 9 A. Subsequently another Channel 10 first made contact with
 10 Bertha Gollen. I did later on.
- 11 Q. Up until the time that you were contacted on 5 June 12 1995, you had been pursuing this matter with a great 13 deal of interest, hadn't you.
- 14 A. Indeed.
- Q. It was something that perhaps `dominate' is too
 strong, it was a story that you were anxious to pursue
 every impossible avenue.
- A. It was a major story. I had there were many avenues that still needed investigation, there were many people I still wanted to contact and follow up.
- Q. Would it be fair to say that it was your number one item in these months.
- A. There was it was a matter of a couple of weeks and there was the small matter of a State budget which keep me busy for a couple of days, but it was a primary focus for my work, yes.
- Q. As to the stories which had gone to air, stories
 compiled by you before the 6 June interview with Mr
 Milera, hadn't they gone to air.
- 30 A. Yes.
- Q. I don't have the full details, but was there a story on Channel 10 virtually every night about April or May through to early June.
- A. From May the 19th until early June, there would have been a story on more than half the nights, so it would
- be easy to count it out. I think it would be ten
- 37 stories in that period.
- 38 Q. Can you help us whether they are the main story of the

1	evening or an important story.
2	OBJECTION Mr Lovell objects.
3	MR LOVELL: We will be here for days. I fail to
4	see the relevance of this questioning. If Mr Tilmouth
5	would stop dancing around the edges and and get to the
6	points of his cross-examination, otherwise we will be
7	here for days.
8	MR TILMOUTH: I can assure you I won't be here for
9	days because I catch a plane to Brisbane tomorrow.
10	COMSR: But, we don't wish to recapitulate
11	evidence that has been given - and, of course, your
12	cross-examination is supposed to be relevant to the
13	interests of the parties you represent and to the extent
14	that the evidence has touched upon those parties.
15	That's the ambit of the cross-examination. I don't
16	unduly restrict people, but it seems to me that this is
17	a little wide of the mark.
18	MR TILMOUTH: With respect, I haven't read - and if
19	I'm mistaken I stand corrected - anything in the papers
20	relating to this witness which talks about the
21	prominence of the earlier reports had been given as a
22	news item.
23	COMSR: That may be so. How is that relevant to
24	a matter of the evidence as it touches upon your
25	clients?
26	MR TILMOUTH: It shows the degree of interest and
27	involvement of this witness.
28	COMSR: That may well do so.
29	MR TILMOUTH: Perhaps if I recast the question this
30	way, it is only a short subject matter, and we can see
31 32	whether there is any objection.
33	QUESTION WITHDRAWN XXN
33 34	Q. Can I ask you this: You interviewed Dorothy and Dulcie
35	Wilson on 16 May 1995.
36	A. Yes, I did.
37	Q. Obviously as a result of that, an item went to air.
38	A. On 19 May.
50	11. On 17 May.

CJ 34B

- Q. Was that the first item in the news bulletin.
- A. It was the lead story on the news bulletin, both in Adelaide and around the rest of the country.
- Q. Of the stories that you had been involved in putting to air before 6 June 1995, can you help us how many of them 5
- in relation to Hindmarsh Island were lead stories. 6 A. Probably most of them. It was a prominent story.
- 8 COMSR: I think you have established the point
- 9 of that.
- 10 MR TILMOUTH: I'm content with that.
- Q. When the Doug Milera story went to air on 6 June, that 11
- 12 was the lead story too, wasn't it.
- 13 A. It was a lead story because he was the first person who
- was at the crucial Mouth House meeting other than 14
- 15 Dorothy Wilson who confirmed her version of events.
- 16 There are now six people who confirmed that version of
- 17 events. Four of them were at that meeting.
- 18 CONTINUED

- Q. In relation to that interview, you were contacted, as I understand it, by a person at your work.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. The long and short of it was to obtain your mobile
- 5 number so that you could be contacted by Mr Denver.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Am I correct in understanding that you had not met Mr
- 8 Denver before.
- 9 A. I had not met Mr Denver before.
- 10 Q. You knew who he was beforehand, did you.
- 11 A. No, I didn't.
- 12 Q. You didn't know he was involved in the the pro-bridge lobby, so to speak.
- 14 A. No, I didn't. I found out very quickly upon speaking to him.
- 16 Q. He spoke to you and identified himself in that respect.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did he say to you that he was the leader or
- 19 co-ordinator. What were his words exactly.
- 20 OBJECTION Mr Lovell objects.
- 21 MR LOVELL: How does this affect Mr Tilmouth's
- 22 client? Are we going to go through everything that Mr
- 23 Kenny has done?
- 24 MR TILMOUTH: He has already given evidence about this conversation.
- 26 COMSR: We have covered this in evidence. I
- appreciate that you weren't here the other day, but it
- is a topic which has been covered.
- 29 MR TILMOUTH: The whole lead-up to this interview has
- already been given in evidence by Mr Denver.
- 31 COMSR: That is right. That doesn't mean we
- have to recapitulate it. All counsel who are
- representing parties will be permitted to cross-examine
- to the extent that the evidence affects the parties that
- 35 they represent.
- 36 MR TILMOUTH: That is true. But it is also relevant
- 37 to ascertain from this witness the key events which led

RF 34C

1	up to the interviews which have been given by people
2	like Mr Denver.
3	COMSR: Yes, but that has been canvassed in
4	evidence already.
5	MR ABBOTT: Mr Denver wasn't cross-examined. All
6	this is designed to do is to give the 7.30 Report their
7	lead-in for tonight, and allege this witness is biased.
8	That is all it is designed to do.
9	MR TILMOUTH: I reserved the right to cross-examine Mr
0	Denver once this transcript of the interview was
11	revealed.
2	COMSR: No-one is questioning your right to
.3	cross-examine the witness to the extent that the
4	evidence that he has given affects the interests of the
15	witnesses that you propose to call. I wonder if we
6	could perhaps get a little closer to those matters.
7	MR TILMOUTH: We can, but a cross-examination isn't
8	just 'You mentioned this witness and that witness who
9	happens to be my client and I am only going to
20	cross-examine you about that'. A proper
21	cross-examination -
22	COMSR: It may be in a trial, but this is of
22 23 24	course an inquiry into -
24	MR TILMOUTH: A cross-examination must be allowed to
25	be fair and reasonable.
26	COMSR: Yes, you can cross-examine the witness
27	at length concerning the matters which touch upon the
28	interests of your clients.
29	MR TILMOUTH: But he has already given evidence
30	in-chief about this conversation.
31	COMSR: I know he has.
32	MR TILMOUTH: With respect, why cannot I canvass that?
33	COMSR: You do understand that in an inquiry the
34	parties who are represented are permitted to
35	cross-examine on those matters which touch the interests
36	of their client. It is not a trial.
37	MR TILMOUTH: I understand that, but with respect, the
88	perspective which the interview gives is partly

1 determined by the perspective which the interviewer 2 brings into it beforehand. These events I'm talking 3 about now are immediately preceding events, including 4 the conversation with Mr Denver which gave rise to this 5 very interview, upon which this Royal Commission was 6 apparently called. 7 MR LOVELL: Is this the ALRM line coming here? 8 MR TILMOUTH: I object to my learned friend casting 9 aspersions upon me personally. I ask him to withdraw 10 that comment. I will not withdraw it. My friend is 11 MR LOVELL: 12 putting a position that he has no right to put, when he 13 is acting from for the men from the Lower Murray 14 Aboriginal Heritage Committee. If he wants to make a political statement he should reserve it, like other 15 16 people have been doing, for outside the commission, not 17 inside. 18 COMSR: I have indicated that I think you have explored these preliminary matters sufficiently, and if 19 20 we could now move to the question of cross-examination 21 on those matters which directly affect your clients, 22 that is, the area of cross-examination which is 23 appropriate for you and other counsel to pursue. 24 MR TILMOUTH: I will abide by that decision, but may I protest at the ruling? 25 26 COMSR: We would have six counsel exploring those preliminary issues which have already been aired. 27 28 MR TILMOUTH: I don't agree about that, with respect. You weren't here. MR ABBOTT: 30 MR TILMOUTH: No, but I can read transcript, just like 31 you can. 32 MR TILMOUTH: Is the interview of 6 June available for 33 playing? 34 COMSR: What, in full? Are we talking about the 35 total? 36 MR TILMOUTH: 37 COMSR: Wasn't Mr Kenny provided with access to 38 that during the -

RF 34C

38

C.K. KENNY XXN (MR TILMOUTH)

MR TILMOUTH: Yes, and I saw it yesterday afternoon 2 but I would like to ask this witness some questions about it. MR SMITH: We have that tape. 5 Have you marked those portions of the COMSR: 6 tape which affect your clients' interests. 7 MR TILMOUTH: Only by the counter that I looked at the 8 tape on. We were given a transcript of it only this 9 morning, which I haven't had an opportunity to read or 10 correlate at all, still less check or correct. 11 MR SMITH: The transcript which I handed out this 12 morning, is the interview with Milera on 5 June only. 13 MR TILMOUTH: That is what I had in mind. 14 COMSR: If we spend a few moments trying to identify the portions of the tape, it might save us 15 16 sitting through a fairly lengthy tape again. 17 As I said, the only notes I have are MR TILMOUTH: 18 from the counter on which I viewed the tape. I 19 understand there was no other reference which was 20 provided by the commission. I could only do the best I 21 could with the material I had. 22 MR SMITH: That won't provide any problems. CKK2, 23 which is Exhibit 149, is presently sitting right at the 24 beginning of the Milera interview of 5 June. 25 COMSR: How lengthy is this tape? 26 MR SMITH: It is 40 minutes to an hour. 27 COMSR: Is there any way of identifying those 28 sections of the tape which touch upon the interests of 29 Mr Tilmouth's clients? 30 MR SMITH: There are repeated references in the 31 tape to Mr Wilson, for instance, and I think the 32 references start fairly smartly into the tape. 33 I think this witness is well acquainted COMSR: 34 with what is on the tape. I wonder if we can see how 35 far we can get without having to sit through the 40 36 minute tape again. 37 MR TILMOUTH: I must say, I don't think I can

adequately cross-examine by just taking selected lines

- 1 out of the tape. It is the whole content and sequence
- 2 which really matters. I can sit down and read the
- 3 transcript I have been given to try and help, but even
- then I notice it is not correlated to any counter or 4
- 5 anything. Although, it may be the commission's staff 6 can -
- 7 COMSR: If each counsel is going to make a
- 8 similar request of the commission, I foresee that days
- 9 will be spent.
- 10 MR ABBOTT: Maybe we can all do it together. Mr
- 11 Tilmouth can go first and we can ask questions as we go, 12 follow up bit by bit.
- 13 MR SMITH: I think Mr Tilmouth is going to go to a
- 14 section on the tape and ask some questions about it.
- That is what is going to happen. 15
- 16 MR TILMOUTH: That is partly true. It is difficult to
- 17 do without going into sequence and details. Just like
- 18 Mr Abbott did when he was cross-examining Mrs Betty 19 Fisher.
- 20 MR SMITH: I don't think it is proposed that we
- iust sit here dully for 40 minutes. Mr Tilmouth is 21
- 22 going to come to sections and ask some questions about 23
- 24 MR TILMOUTH: That is true, but it is going to take
- some time. It is half a dozen sections. Before I deal 25
- 26 with the tape, could I indicate I would like to ask some
- 27 questions about the notes which were made in the time
- period beforehand, which are Exhibit 145. 28
- 29 XXN
- 30 Q. The notes that I have been provided with as Exhibit 145,
- 31 commence on the first page with the date 5 June 1995.
- 32 A. My original copy doesn't have the date on the top.
- Q. Yours commences just with `Doug Milera'. A. `Doug Milera' and `Victor Wilson'. 33
- 34
- 35 Q. My copy is then marked sequentially in page numbers up
- 36 to p.5. Are those numberings on your original copies.
- 37 A. No, they're not.

- 1 Q. I take it, however, that the relevant parts that you
- 2 referred to in your evidence this week are the five
- pages commencing at `Doug Milera, Victor Wilson', that page and the next four immediately following.
- 5 A. Yes, that's right. I've seen those photocopies. That's the same notes.
- 7 Q. Is it convenient if I refer to pp.1 through 5.
- 8 A. That's fine. I can handle that.
- 9 Q. The evidence you gave the other day in relation to these notes, particularly as it affects Victor Wilson, am I
- correct in saying related to a note which appears about
- halfway down at p.4.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Is it true to say that that's the first mention of
- Victor Wilson, other than the original note at the beginning.
- 17 A. It's the first mention in these notes, I believe.
- 18 Although no, hang on. On the first page `The Lower
- 19 Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee, one day in Murray
- 20 Bridge about Hindmarsh Island. Victor Wilson the
- chairman and me the secretary.' Victor Wilson was
- prominent in Doug's thinking right from the start.
- Q. Staying with that p.1, you have obviously got `Doug
- 24 Milera' there because you are interviewing him.
- 25 A. That's correct.
- Q. What caused you to put the note 'Victor Wilson' under it.
- A. Because Doug had mentioned him to me as being a key character in this.
- 30 Q. Can you remember, in terms of this interview before the
- filming commenced, when it was mentioned by Milera and in what context Victor Wilson came up.
- 33 A. He came up in the context of this original meeting that
- Doug talks about at Murray Bridge where Victor Wilson
- 35 pointed at a map.
- 36 Q. Would you have, in the early stages, been trying just to
- identify a few names that might be relevant for
- 38 follow-up.

- 1 A. As I have explained earlier, there were lots of things I was trying to do in the early stages, and I began taking
- down notes only when Doug requested that I do so.
- 4 Q. So how long were you speaking with Doug before you started actually commencing the notes.
- 6 A. I would suggest 20 minutes to half an hour.
- 7 Q. Your evidence was, I think, that you were with Doug about four hours in all.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Your best estimate is that these five pages of notes relate to a period of approximately two and a half,
- three hours.
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. On that evidence you have just given, about a half hour approximately beforehand was note free, so to speak.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Is it the position then that the note `Doug Milera' and
- 18 'Victor Wilson' were the first notes you put after the
- 19 note-free period.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Your note continues on p.1, as you have indicated, that
- Victor Wilson was identified as Chairman of the Lower
- 23 Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee.
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. Please check, because I may be wrong and it is difficult
- to read the photocopy of your notes, but are we at one
- in thinking that the next mention of Victor Wilson is
- that reference we talked about earlier at p.4.
- 29 A. Yes, that would seem the case.
- 30 Q. My Meyer has just asked me to check something with you.
- Going back to p.1, can you see the words, about two
- 32 thirds of the way down, `so did.'
- 33 A. `I remember that VW and I said that they can take care of that'.
- 35 Q. `VW'.
- 36 A. Yes.
- 37 Q. Being.
- 38 A. Victor Wilson.

- Q. Can you then go to p.4. Would you read your notes without any comment or adornment, as they are word for word in that paragraph which refers to Victor Wilson.
- 4 A. `One day Vic Wilson, the Chairman of the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee, called me in his office
- 6 and showed me an aerial photo of Hindmarsh Island and
- 7 said this (is a woman) is the creation of the
- 8 Ngarrindjeri people and I am going to ring Doreen
- 9 Kartinyeri to explain it to find out about it.'
- 10 Q. Can you read the next note, or does that go onto some other subject matter.
- 12 A. This is Doug speaking directly `I am convinced that
- business blow all wide open because it needs to be done'.
- Q. The note that you have just read is the note in relation to Victor Wilson, if I can put it that way -
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Before the blowing up.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Obviously that is a note, I would assume, of a longer conversation.
- 22 A. Yes, much longer.
- Q. Are you able to help the commissioner at all in relation
- to that note as to when it was that Victor Wilson showed
 Milera the photograph in Wilson's office.
- 26 A. I can't help with a precise date. It was before the
- meeting at the Mouth House, as I understand it, and my
- impression was that it was in the not to distant past
- before that. Perhaps in the area of weeks or months
- 30 before that meeting.
- Q. So we can try and assess that impression, are you able to assist the commissioner with what words that
- impression was gained by you.
- 34 A. Just by talking to Doug and asking him when it happened,
- and he said earlier at Murray Bridge.
- 36 Q. At Murray Bridge. Apparently at Victor Wilson's office
- at Murray Bridge.
- 38 A. Yes, I understand at Kalparran.

30

that name by you.

- Q. But you are unable to help the commissioner, if we use, for example, the meeting at Mouth House as the reference because you have just chosen it, whether Milera was talking days, weeks or even months.
- A. I can't give you any assistance as far as defining aspecific date.
- Q. So far as you knew, it may well have been on the day of the Mouth House meeting or shortly beforehand.
- 9 A. I didn't get the impression it was on the same day, but, in reality, I suppose it could have been.
- Q. To come back to it again, can you give the commissioner
 any of the direct speech upon which the impression you
 speak of was based.
- 14 A. I don't I have my notes and I have the 40 minutes of Doug on tape.
- Q. So you are dependent on your impression now of your notes and the interview that you speak about, the 40 minute interview.
- A. And my broad recollections of that meeting and those discussions, and I recall coming away from that meeting and those discussions without a specific date for that alleged meeting.
- Q. Perhaps I might be able to indicate, for those concerned about it, what I want to put to you in relation to the interview. I am trying to be fair, but I have also been told I have to pick spots. Can I suggest to you, first of all, that in that 40 minute interview, nowhere did Doug Milera volunteer the name of Victor Wilson, and that it was always, if at all, at an express mention of
- A. Doug Milera contacted me for that interview through Kym Denver. He got me down to Victor Harbor. He sat down
- for two and a half hours and spoke to me in great detail
- about what he wanted to tell me. When the light was
- switched on and the camera was rolling on Doug Milera,
- 36 he was nervous. He told me he was worried about losing
- all his friends, his wife, being exiled. In particular,
- 38 he was worried about Victor Wilson who was a very very

2547 RF 34C

1	close friend of his. And I found that during the
2	interview, Doug was reticent to volunteer Victor's name
3	again, and I indeed wanted to press him about where he
4	got his information from. It was my impression that
5	Doug was actually fudging at times to protect Victor
6	Wilson.
7	Q. Putting aside the interview at the moment, is there
8	anything in your notes that you can point to which
9	supports the last answer you've given.
10	MR ABBOTT: P.8 Doug mentions it.
11	MR TILMOUTH: Excuse me. May the witness answer?
12	CONTINUED
13	

- 1 A. I would have thought all of it. That all of this
- details exactly what Doug Milera wanted to say.
- Q. What I am really putting to you is that what, if anything, in the notes supports the view that Doug
- 5 Milera was reticent about mentioning Victor Wilson.
- 6 A. If you go over to page -
- 7 COMSR: I am sorry, I must have misunderstood
- 8 the witness's previous answer. I thought he said that,
- 9 once the cameras started rolling, he was reticent to
- mention his name. That he thought he was fudging. I may have misunderstood it.
- 12 MR TILMOUTH: Yes, I may have misunderstood it too.
- 13 XXN
- 14 Q. Perhaps I can ask you this, in your last few answers,
- were you saying that that reticence was expressed only
- in the recorded interview, or was it expressed earlier
- as well in the time that you took notes.
- 18 A. I said that, once the cameras were rolling and the
- lights were on Doug, he became reticent.
- 20 Q. There was nothing beforehand, so far as you can tell us
- 21 now, that indicated a reticence before the camera was
- rolling to talk about Victor Wilson.
- 23 A. Not a Doug was very well-aware of what he was doing.
- He was worried sick about what he was doing. So, he was nervous and apprehensive about the whole conversation.
- 26 Q. But perhaps I will come back and I think you agree with
- 27 this, if there was any degree of reticence by Doug
- Milera in mentioning the name of Victor Wilson there, it
- is evident for all to see on the tape, isn't it.
- 30 A. Yes.
- 31 Q. That is what you are saying. You are not supporting it
- by any other earlier material.
- 33 A. No, I don't think so. I have one reference here in the
- in my notes, p.4 I think it is. The paragraph above
- 35 'Victor Wilson'. 'I spoke to a person'. There is a
- question mark. Obviously I have put a question mark to
- 37 satisfy myself who that person is. And that is
- 38 explained in the next paragraph. `It was Victor

- 1 Wilson.'
- Q. Those notes you just referred to, are they theprerecording notes, are they.
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And that is the one on p.4, immediately before theVictor Wilson paragraph.
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Perhaps to make sure we all read it correctly, perhapsyou can just read it out.
- 10 A. `I spoke to a person', question mark, `who got in
- 11 contact with Doreen Kartinyeri and explained the
- issues.'
- 13 Q. Can I go back then to where I started: the tape is now
- rolling, the film is being made. Can I put it to you
- that at no time did Doug Milera himself name Victor
- Wilson.
- 17 MR ABBOTT: That is just wrong. At p.8 he did.
- 18 A. I haven't got the transcript -
- 19 MR TILMOUTH: I haven't got the transcript either.
- 20 A. But I am confident I think that he mentioned Victor
- Wilson. I recall that he was reticent to do so, though.
- 22 MR ABBOTT: P.2, two-thirds of the way down.
- 23 MR TILMOUTH: I haven't had the opportunity to read this transcript.
- 25 XXN
- 26 Q. Isn't it the position that, originally in an early part
- of the interview, Milera said that he was told something
- by a man in Murray Bridge.
- 29 A. He did, yes.
- 30 Q. Without naming anybody.
- 31 A. Yes, I think that is right.
- 32 MR LOVELL: It might be helpful if Mr Kenny has a
- copy of the transcript of the interview in front of him.
- 34 COMSR: Yes, it might save time.
- 35 MR SMITH: Perhaps that then ought to be tendered.
- I haven't tendered that.
- 37 MR ABBOTT: Yes.
- 38 MR SMITH: Could that be, we have been marking

37

38

MR TILMOUTH:

C.K. KENNY XXN (MR TILMOUTH)

1 exhibits as A of the exhibit. Could you do that in this 2 case, so that the transcript goes with the particular exhibit? COMSR: That is with the video tape, is that 5 what you are suggesting? 6 MR SMITH: It is the video, yes, really it runs 7 with Exhibit 149, which is the raw footage of the 8 interview inter alia with Doug Milera CKK2. 9 So, could I suggest that the transcript then be 10 marked Exhibit 149A? 11 COMSR: No-one wants to be heard on that? 12 MR TILMOUTH: I don't mind that. I just repeat that I 13 haven't had the opportunity to read it. And it hasn't 14 been checked, either. So, subject to those comments. I don't have to deal with the issue of 15 COMSR: 16 whether it is included in that exhibit, at this stage, 17 if you want to reserve your right to object when you 18 have read the transcript. 19 MR TILMOUTH: Correct is probably the better word, if 20 Necessary. 21 COMSR: You are not asking me not to rule on it? 22 MR TILMOUTH: I am not objecting to its tender. I am 23 just asking for the opportunity to make submissions 24 about its correctness. 25 COMSR: The transcript of tape CKK2 will form 26 part of the Exhibit 149 and will be marked A. 27 MR MEYER: I just note, at the bottom of p.5, there 28 is a reference to 'Dorrie Winslow'. I have not heard 29 that. Is that on there? 30 MR LOVELL: Yes, that is on the tape. 31 MR TILMOUTH: If it is convenient, I will try and pick 32 it up from my notes and, when I saw the video yesterday, 33 where it appears in Exhibit 149, if you will be patient 34 with me. 35 COMSR: Perhaps you can tell me, what is the 36 particular reference you are looking for? It may be the

witness is a bit faster at picking it up.

That's right. I have got some notes of

- 1 my own and perhaps I will mention those and try and locate it.
- 3 XXN
- 4 Q. It seemed evident, on looking at the video, that
- occasionally there were cuts or breaks in the questioning.
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. I think your evidence is that the whole conversation took about an hour.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Of course, we don't know exactly how long it is, but it is something shorter.
- 13 A. I have never timed what is on tape.
- 14 Q. Of those breaks, is there any recording, whether it is
- by simple tape or anything else, about what took place when the film was cut.
- 17 A. I can assure you that you have absolutely everything with regards my interviews and discussions.
- 19 Q. I am not suggesting that. What I am suggesting is that, 20 in that hour, not the whole of it was actually filmed.
- 21 A. No, there are batteries last about 20 minutes. Tapes
- last slightly longer. There would have been at least
- one tape change and probably a couple of battery changes.
- Q. At times, we can see that there are an apparently breaks in the film.
- A. Yes, you can see the camera shut down and start up again.
- 29 Q. That is for technical reasons, is it.
- 30 A. That is for technical reasons as much as battery changes.
- Q. What are the notes of the conversation in the meantime,have you got any notes of that at all.
- 34 A. No, the breaks were very minimal.
- 35 Q. Were they.
- 36 A. Yes.
- 37 Q. Did Doug say anything during those breaks at all.
- 38 A. We would have kept chatting, but I don't recall it

- 1 exactly. We would have resumed our conversation in a
- 2 similar manner. I think one of the tape changes is when
- 3 some food arrived.
- 4 Q. The point is, during the breaks, we have got no note or recording of what took place at all.
- 6 A. No.
- 7 MR TILMOUTH: My next note in relation to Mr Wilson is
- 8 there was a question commencing `I want to get to the
- 9 crux of this, by Mr Kenny.
- 10 COMSR: What page are we looking at?
- 11 MR TILMOUTH: I don't know. That is what I am saying.
- 12 COMSR: It is p.7.
- 13 XXN
- 14 Q. Can I take you to that question, at about .8 of that
- page.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Assuming, for the moment, the transcript is accurate -
- by the way, have you seen this transcript before.
- 19 A. No, I haven't.
- 20 Q. So, we are all in the same position.
- 21 A. Yes, we are.
- 22 COMSR: Yes, it was prepared yesterday.
- 23 MR TILMOUTH: I am not being critical. I am just
- establishing whether Mr Kenny has had an opportunity to
- correct it, that's all.
- 26 MR SMITH: We don't need to really put that
- 27 reservation with every section. We can play that
- section of the tape.
- 29 MR TILMOUTH: It is the overall effect I want.
- 30 XXN
- 31 Q. The question is, if this is accurate, `I want to get to
- 32 the crux of the matter. Tell me I want to you to
- answer a very direct question. Do you believe the
- secret women's business is true or is it something that
- has been fabricated in the past year or so? A. I
- believe that the women's story is true.' And then your
- 37 question is 'How can it be true, if Victor Wilson told
- you about it?' So, clearly, there in that context you

- are introducing Victor Wilson, are you not.
- A. No, Victor Wilson has been introduced previously by Doug Milera.
- 4 Q. No, but in that context did -
- 5 A. I am repeating information that Doug Milera has given 6 me.
- Q. But you are getting to, to use your own words, the crux of the matter and you introduce, or, if you like,
- 9 reintroduce, Victor Wilson.
- 10 A. Yes, I reintroduce Victor Wilson.
- 11 Q. But the point is, to this point anyway, apart from the
- reference we have had already on p.5, is that you
- introduced, at this stage, Victor Wilson's name, did you not.
- 15 MR LOVELL: Isn't that the same question again? We 16 Have had `introduced' or `reintroduced'.
- 17 A. Where is the reference on p.5?
- 18 XXN
- 19 Q. On p.5, there was a question about secret women's
- business sorry, I mentioned this to you earlier.
- 21 A. 'I was told by a man'?
- 22 Q. 'I was told by a man.'
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. No mention by Doug Milera of Victor Wilson.
- 25 MR LOVELL: At that time.
- 26 MR TILMOUTH: Of course.
- A. But Doug had left me in no doubt as to who he was referring to.
- 29 XXN
- 30 Q. You see, your evidence is that in this interview which
- was being filmed, there was a reticence to mention
- 32 Victor Wilson's name.
- 33 A. Indeed, in the early part of the interview, I think
- there was a reticence all around by Doug Milera. I
- 35 think it is plain to see.
- 36 Q. You see, what I am putting to you is it is an overall
- thing in this interview that, when it comes to the crux
- of the matter, to employ your phrase, that Victor Wilson

- is never mentioned by Doug. You are the one who comes back to it and employs his name.
- A. Victor Wilson is the has been it is a name given to me by Doug. Victor Wilson has been introduced to me by Doug.
- 6 COMSR
- Q. I think that Mr Tilmouth wants you to draw a distinction between what was taped and the note you took beforehand.
- 9 A. Of course he does.
- 10 Q. As I understand it, what you are saying is, well, he had spoken to you previously -
- 12 A. I don't think that Mr Tilmouth can continue to try and
- deliberately separate what is on tape and what occurred
- in the meetings and what went on tape afterwards. He
- wants to of course he wants to separate all these
- things. I am talking about my discussions with Doug
- 17 Milera, which have been consistent, to this day.
- 18 MR ABBOTT: At any event, the assumption behind the
- 19 question is untrue, because Mr Milera mentions Victor
- Wilson on more than one occasion. On p.8 -
- 21 MR TILMOUTH: I haven't got to those yet.
- 22 COMSR: No, we haven't.
- 23 MR ABBOTT: And at p.20.
- 24 COMSR: That may well be so, Mr Abbott, but I
- 25 think Mr Tilmouth wants the witness to concentrate
- purely on the taped record of interview for these
- 27 questions.
- 28 MR TILMOUTH: I am sorry it has to happen in this way,
- but, as I keep saying, we hadn't had the transcript. I
- only have handwritten notes.
- 31 XXN
- 32 Q. Can I deal with it this way: you will see, at the bottom of p.7 -
- 34 A. Yes.
- 35 Q. The reference to Victor Wilson in relation to the
- women's business. As I understand your evidence, what
- you say to the Commissioner is that the whole tenor of
- the interview was that Doug Milera adopted or agreed

KC 34D

- 1 with the fact that Victor Wilson was involved.
- 2 A. He didn't agree with it, he told me.
- Q. Part of the evidence for that, so to speak, would no doubt you would say would be the very exchange at the
- bottom of p.7 and over to p.8, for example, you say `How
- 6 can it be true, if Victor Wilson told you about it?
- 7 DM', Doug Milera, `Now, all I am saying, I'm saying I believe it is true.'
- 9 A. I would say that is evidence of his reluctance to talk
- about Victor Wilson. My question shows that I have been
- introduced to this information about Victor Wilson and,
- where I am putting it here to Doug, Doug is obviously
- reluctant to buy into it.
- 14 Q. Can I see if I understand your evidence correctly:
- Subject to the reluctance that you speak of, you would
- have the Commissioner believe that, in the end result,
- Doug Milera was clearly naming Victor Wilson as being
- involved.
- 19 A. I would have the Commissioner believe whatever she wants
- 20 to believe from a fair viewing of the tapes, but it is
- 21 my contention that Doug Milera named Victor Wilson
- clearly and precisely and of his own free will.
- 23 MR TILMOUTH: I wonder if we could play that section,
- 24 at this stage, from the `I want to get to the crux of
- 25 the matter'?
- 26 COMSR: Yes.
- 27 VIDEO PLAYED
- 28 CONTINUED

CJ 34E

- TAPE PAUSED
- XXN
- 3 MR TILMOUTH: Have we got a counter on that?
- MR MEYER: It's about 7.20 through the interview.
- 5 COMSR: We have no reliable way of recording
- 6 that.
- XXN
- 8 Q. Proceeding from there. You can see the transcript at the bottom of p.7.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. That you asked the question, the crux question, and he
- 12 replies `I believe that the women's story is true'.
- 13 Correct.
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Then, you say 'How can it be true if Victor Wilson told 15 you about it?'. 16
- 17
- Q. Of course, it's evident, isn't it, that you introduced 18 19 Victor Wilson's name.
- 20 A. On that occasion, I introduced Victor Wilson's name.
- 21 Q. Is it true or fair to say that you're getting that
- 22 question not from anything that has arisen out of the
- 23 film, but arose out of what you were told by Doug Milera 24 earlier.
- 25 A. And what happened was when he said, Doug said that he
- 26 was a man who was told by a man, he told me in the 27 earlier part of the interview that he was told -
- 28 Q. And when Doug was asked as to what he was saying, he put
- 29 a hand up to the camera and said that the women's story
- 30 is true. He put a hand up to the camera in a stop
- 31 motion after you asked the crux question.
- 32 A. It's a stop, a recognition of the camera, a shouldering
- 33 of the camera. It was an interesting hand gesture, I
- 34 thought.
- 35 Q. He then said, without any reference to any men or women
- 36 or any persona `I believe the women's story is true'.
- 37 A. The women's other story is true, yes. Interesting
- 38 choice of words. I asked him about secret women's

- business. He said `The women's story is true'.
- Q. And you wanted to hear the opposite.
- A. I wanted him to tell me what the truth was.
- 4 Q. You wanted to know the names of people involved.
- A. I wanted to know what the truth was and the names, dates
 any information I would possibly get as much
 information as possible.
- Q. Let's go back to the question: How can it be true if
 Victor Wilson told you about it all'. What you were
 told on record was that another man had told him about
 it.
- 12 A. No, my conversation with Doug Milera prior to the 13 interview was very much on the record. He sat with me 14 while I took notes. That is on the record.
- Q. With respect, that is what I put to you when all of this line of questioning commenced some minutes ago, was that you framed that question naming Victor Wilson, not from anything that arose out of your recorded interview, but what was in your notes that you had taken.
- 20 A. Yes. You said `not on the record'. He spoken to me clearly on the record.
- Q. Then his response is `Eh?'. You said `How can it be true if Victor Wilson told you about it?', and the response is `Eh?'. That's right, isn't it. Do you want to see it again.
- A. I can watch it as many times that you'd like. I'll watch the whole interview as many times as you like.
- Q. Let's try and answer the question. He seemed surprised and certainly did not assent to the proposition pregnant in your questioning, did he.
- 31 OBJECTION Mr Lovell objects.
- 32 MR LOVELL: That is asking for a conclusion from
- him. Mr Kenny may have his own view. The person to ask
- that question of is Mr Milera, if he has the courage to
- 35 come along. My friend could ask him exactly what he did
- mean by this particular hand gesture and movement. It
- is not for Mr Kenny to speculate what was in the mind of
- 38 Mr Milera.

38

C.K. KENNY XXN (MR TILMOUTH)

COMSR: I suppose that is so. What someone else 2 might have in their mind -3 MR TILMOUTH: What he is saying throughout this evidence and throughout the threads of his evidence is 5 that Victor Wilson was named by Doug Milera involved in 6 the fabrication of the women's business. 7 COMSR: It is clear the witness said that. 8 MR TILMOUTH: I want to say at the end of this inquiry that the record shows no such thing at all, and I'm 9 10 putting this to him. In my submission, what happens 11 here, amongst other passages, is important. Could we 12 show the gesticulations -13 COMSR: I don't know that you can ask one person what might be in another person's mind without that 14 other person having said that. 15 16 MR TILMOUTH: This witness said it throughout the 17 whole of his evidence that he saw Doug, he was all right 18 and not affected by alcohol and this, that and the 19 other. You can't say that that can be permitted in 20 evidence and not be able to cross-examine on it. 21 MR ABBOTT: That doesn't say what's in his mind. COMSR: What he said is he wasn't affected by 22 23 the alcohol, which was his personal assessment of his 24 state of sobriety. 25 MR TILMOUTH: Could we show that response again? 26 COMSR: You can ask me to draw the inferences. 27 MR TILMOUTH: I would be asking you to say that not 28 only was there not a sense of propportion, there was a 29 negative, there was a matter of surprise and a negative. 30 I think that that is a matter that COMSR: 31 undoubtedly you will be putting to me at some stage, but 32 you cannot ask one witness to say what was in another 33 witness's mind, unless the other witness has given an 34 indication of that himself said something about it. 35 MR TILMOUTH: I can repeat: This witness has been 36 saying that all along. 37 MR LOVELL: I can respond to that - obviously my

friend hasn't read the transcript. If he had, he would

CJ 34E

- have known that that is not the submission. He has made
- 2 a bald allegation from the bar table and it has no basis
- in fact.
- MR TILMOUTH: Could we replay the segment?
- 5 We can re-operate it. COMSR:
- TAPE PLAYED
- TAPE PAUSED
- 8 XXN
- 9 Q. We will try and deal with this quickly. You asked the 10
- question at the bottom of p.7 about Victor Wilson and I 11 put it to you that in your perspective, you got no
- 12 response that involved Victor Wilson and, in fact, Doug
- 13 Milera went off to talk about fairy stories, Hansel and
- 14 Gretal and Jack and the Bean Stalk.
- 15 A. Yes. You have the transcript.
- 16 Q. Then, you came back to Wilson and asked the question 17 that has just been played.
- 18 A. Of course I'm going to come back to Victor Wilson.
- 19 Victor Wilson was explained to me by Doug as having a
- 20 central role. I had it that Victor Wilson had
- 21 fabricated the story from Dorothy Wilson and her version
- 22 of what happened in the Mouth House. These events have
- 23 now been verified by six people, and Doug himself has
- 24 gone on to repeat it time and time again and has yet to 25
 - come up with another version of events.
- 26 Q. I put to you that what happened on this night in terms 27 of the interview - and what I'm putting to you is that
- 28 you started off by mentioning Victor Wilson, you got to
- 29 this point and you came back to it again in the middle 30 of p.8.
- 31 A. I came back to Victor Wilson and at the middle of p.8.
- 32 Q. To try and save everybody time and trouble, it's
- 33 patently obvious that you got the story about that, he
- 34 started to talk about Victor being a Christian and that
- 35 is not an answer to the question.
- A. It's more of Doug's explanation of what is going on.
- 37 Q. The question was: `I want to know' - to paraphrase -
- 38 MR LOVELL: Don't paraphrase.

- 1 XXN
- Q. `I want to know whether it goes back a long way or whether it's something that Victor Wilson or someone else might have made up or got from another tribe', answer `Victor Wilson is a Christian and so is his wife ...' et cetera. There is no direct answer to the question.
- 8 A. It's not a direct answer. Doug is going on to give a more involved answer. This is a complicated and sensitive matter.
- Q. You continue coming back to it for a third time at the
 bottom of p.8, don't you: `How can it be, if there is
 secret women's business and you and Victor Wilson know about it, men aren't supposed to know about it'.
- A. Precisely. It's the question which was raised during the first story on 19 May, why would it be sacred secret business if men are listening to it and talking about it in the presence of a white lawyer? That question remains the crux of the matter.
- Q. What I put to you is that on these occasions, you are
 asking questions that you are not getting what you
 consider responsive answers to.
- A. In the early part of the interview, I think Doug Milera
 was quite reticent to repeat what he made clear previously.
- Q. The dominating feature on the bottom of p.7 through to p.8 and in the answer to p.9 is you coming back repeatedly to the question of Victor Wilson and getting non-responsive answers.
- A. I would have thought the dominating feature was me
 coming back to the main issue, and Victor Wilson happens
 to be a name involved in that.
- Q. It's the only time that you are willing to put up at that stage, isn't it.
- A. He is the key name and remains the key name, which is why you are here.
- Q. What I put it to you is that it is not at all evident what we can actually know and verify on the video.

2 Doug Milera said many times. 3 Q. Sticking to the intrinsic evidence that the video is. That is not evidence of the video at all, is it. 5 **OBJECTION** Mr Abbott objects. 6 MR ABBOTT: I have one issue. I think in view of 7 this cross-examination, the time has come for my learned friend Mr Tilmouth to tell us whether or not his 8 9 instructions from Mr Wilson are what he is putting, the 10 essence of what he is putting; namely, that Victor Wilson never said this to Doug Milera. If his 11 12 instructions are that Victor Wilson did say that to Mr 13 Milera, then this whole line of cross-examination is a 14 waste of time. I think the time has come to him to nail 15 his colours to the mast. 16 COMSR: We don't, as yet, have copies of witness 17 statements, do we? 18 MR TILMOUTH: My instructions are privileged to Mr 19 Abbott. 20 MR ABBOTT: I bet we never get one - and I'm 21 prepared to put money on it, and I'm prepared to bet 22 that Mr Wilson will never turn up. 23 MR TILMOUTH: I've been stopped from making speeches 24 and everybody else has made speeches about that. The fact of the matter is that this Royal Commission was 25 26 called on this basis and you couldn't hang a dead cat on 27 the evidence. 28 MR LOVELL: Where is the evidence? 29 MR TILMOUTH: That is the whole purpose of my

A. It's evident from Dorothy Wilson's evidence and what

- cross-examination to show that it is not two bob. 30
- 31
- MR LOVELL: What are your instructions?
- 32 MR ABBOTT: It has been to be related to what he
- 33 says Wilson says 'Yes, I did tell Doug Milera', and this
- 34 is a waste of time.
- 35 MR LOVELL: My friend is willing to go around the
- 36 outside and he is too afraid to strike. He is not
- 37 willing to put his instructions that they agree with
- 38 what Mr Kenny says.

CJ 34E

1	COMSR: Perhaps it would assist if I were to
2	know when we might have statements from your witnesses?
2 3	MR TILMOUTH: I'm not involved in this process and I
4	give no undertaking about that. At the moment my
5	submission - I will be frank, my submission to you would
6	be that if this was a court of law, I would say I have
7	no case to answer.
8	COMSR: It is not a court of law.
9	MR TILMOUTH: I understand that. I would say that I
10	have no cross-examination and I would be submitting no
11	case to answer.
12	COMSR: Yes. We are not - in this situation,
13	this is an inquiry where persons are involved and we are
14	looking at them giving evidence as to what occurred.
15	No-one is charged here. There is no suggestion that
16	your clients are charged with anything.
17	MR TILMOUTH: That has been said and I acknowledge and
18	understand that. The question here is the weight to be
19	attributed to what is said by Milera in this interview.
20	Plainly, in my submission, no weight can be attributed
21	to it at all.
22	MR LOVELL: That is a matter of submission in due
23	course.
24	MR TILMOUTH: Maybe in order to put the submissions
25	and in order to be fair, I should, as your Honour would
26	know and Mr Lovell knows and Mr Abbott knows, I'm
27	obliged, out of fairness, to put the key passages.
28	COMSR: You are obliged to put the case.
29	MR ABBOTT: Put the case. Is your case that Mr
30	Wilson didn't say this to Mr Milera?
31	MR TILMOUTH: My friends know well that the rule of
32	Brown v Dunn not only involves putting the case, and
33	this is not a situation of adversity and is irrelevant,
34	it involves the principles of fairness. That if you
35	intend to conduct or make adverse submissions about a
36	witness on a particular subject matter, you're
37	duty-bound to confront him with those materials so that
38	he has an opportunity to answer and to know full well

```
that is precisely what he is doing.
2
    MR LOVELL:
                        There is no evidence to the contrary.
3
    COMSR:
                      Mr Kenny keeps talking about the
4
      statements being forthcoming at some time and not having
5
      enough time to prepare them. I haven't forced the issue
6
      terribly much at this stage, Mr Tilmouth, but some more
7
      definite indication I think is called for at this stage.
8
    MR KENNY:
                        Perhaps I can answer that. The
9
      situation is that we have not been given a statement of
10
       a witness, except - I think with one exception, when
11
       there was a noncontroversial witness. We have not been
12
       given a copy of any witness statements until the witness
13
       is in the box and the witness has tendered the
14
       statement, then we get a copy. I don't see that there
       should be any different rule for my clients in terms of
15
16
       providing statements when we are expected to provide
17
       them earlier.
18
    MR SMITH:
                        That is another topic. The question is:
19
       We have statements from witnesses which we have been
20
       holding for a long period of time. They say to us 'You
21
       are not to release those to people who have not provided
22
       statements until our witnesses get in the witness box'.
23
       So, this is no different from Mr Kenny. Anybody - Mr
24
       Kenny's clients have not provided statements to the
25
       Commission. Anyone else who has not provided a
26
       statement to the Commission did not get the statement of
27
       evidence until the witness was in the box. That's the
28
       rule we have been following on the basis - that is the
29
       rule that has been imposed upon us by the people who -
30
       it hasn't been imposed upon us, the people provided the
31
       statements willingly to assist the Commission and do not
32
       want their statements released to people who haven't
       provided the Commission with the same courtesy.
33
34
    COMSR:
                      Not only that, but the Commission has
35
       said, in effect, that the confidentiality of the
36
       statements will be maintained until such times as the
37
       evidence is given. There is no guarantee that the
38
       confidentiality can be maintained unless people have
```

CJ 34E

1	given undertakings as to the confidentiality.
2	MR KENNY: I have given undertakings as to
3	confidentiality. If there is any suggestion that I
4	haven't, I would like to make it clear that I have.
5	MR ABBOTT: When are we going to get the clients'
6	statements?
7	MR KENNY: I don't think that is a question
8	for Mr Abbott to ask.
9	MR MEYER: I have missed the point whilst listening
10	to all of this. My client, the Chapmans, gave
11	statements to counsel assisting, I think, before the
12	evidence started by whatever was the due date or the
13	amended due date. We haven't been in the position, just
14	in case people think we have, of receiving any
15	statements before any witnesses give their evidence. My
16	position simply is that about the other relevant parties
17	in this matter who have pinned their colours to the
18	mast, Mr Tilmouth and Mr Kenny have said lots of words
19	about fair play in these proceedings.
20	CONTINUED

RF 34F

C.K. KENNY XXN (MR TILMOUTH)

1 The other parties have pinned their colours to the mast 2 before they have heard any of the evidence. The matters 3 which are within the knowledge of Mr Wilson should be pinned to the mast. If he talks about fairness, that's 5 the fair thing to do. His client is obviously very apprehensive about 6 7 pinning his colours to the mast, because I cannot 8 believe that somebody can cross-examine without getting 9 instructions. If you get instructions, the most obvious 10 thing that you must get instructions on is whether or 11 not there was a discussion at Murray Bridge in the terms 12 that Milera has said. That information must be in the 13 possession of Mr Tilmouth or Mr Kenny, because if it is 14 not, he hasn't got the instructions upon which to 15 cross-examine. 16 So there cannot be a difficulty in him pinning his 17 colours to the mast and saying `No, my client never 18 spoke with Milera, it is rubbish' or alternatively `My instructions are he did talk with Milera, and it is not 19 20 rubbish'. Surely he can tell us. 21 MR TILMOUTH: How can that be put to Mr Kenny what he 22 doesn't know. As I have said, and repeat it, the 23 evidence of this interview is worthless. 24 Here we go again. Who is he acting for? MR LOVELL: It has nothing to do with who I am 25 MR TILMOUTH: 26 acting for. In a primary sense. If you are going to make submissions, 27 COMSR: 28 you can make those at the end. 29 MR TILMOUTH: If people aren't going to jump up at the end and say 'You didn't put that to Kenny'. 30 31 COMSR: As I have said, I have had indications 32 from Mr Kenny that he is having problems getting in 33 touch with his witnesses, that there is a difficulty 34 about getting instructions for various reasons, that it 35 is difficult for him to produce the statements. It 36 seems to me though that, given the lapse of time, that must have surely cured the problem to some extent. 37

RF 34F

C.K. KENNY XXN (MR TILMOUTH)

MR TILMOUTH: I am only concerned about 2 cross-examining to establish the weight or lack of 3 weight of what is said to be drawn from this interview. 4 It has got nothing to do with my instructions. There is 5 nothing I can put to Mr Kenny on instructions that he 6 would know about. 7 MR ABBOTT: I renew my objection. We must look at 8 what Mr Tilmouth is doing. He is cross-examining Mr 9 Chris Kenny about an interview he had with Doug Milera, 10 and the clear thrust of the cross-examination is to 11 suggest that Mr Milera did not volunteer the name of his 12 client, Victor Wilson, and that it had to be suggested 13 by Mr Kenny before Mr Milera would adopt or support the 14 view that Victor Wilson gave him, Milera, the 15 information. 16 That line of cross-examination can only be 17 legitimate if my learned friend's instructions are that 18 Mr Wilson did not give Mr Milera that information. If 19 my learned friend has no instructions, he should not be 20 asking the question. If his instructions are that Mr 21 Wilson did give Mr Milera that information, then he is 22 acting improperly. If his instructions are that Mr 23 Wilson did not give Mr Milera that information at Murray 24 Bridge, then where is the statement that says so? 25 MR TILMOUTH: I am afraid Mr Abbott hasn't been 26 listening to me, and I resent the aspersion on my professional responsibilities. I fully know what they 27 28 are. It doesn't become Mr Abbott to make that kind of 29 aspersion in a place like this, especially as he is 30 President of the Bar Association. The point I am making 31 is that the reliability of this interview is entirely 32 free of any instructions that I may or may not have. 33 COMSR: It seems to me that logically it must 34 be. It doesn't necessarily follow that there must be 35 instructions one way or the other to be able to put to 36 the witness that there are, as it were, problems with 37 the tape in itself, problems of consistency or any other 38 problems. I think that you are entitled to

37 38

C.K. KENNY XXN (MR TILMOUTH)

1 cross-examine the witness on these matters, that is, 2 whether the name was volunteered, or whether he pushed the name, or suggested the name. MR ABBOTT: What would be the purpose for getting 5 out of this witness that Mr Milera reluctantly divulged 6 that Victor Wilson was the author of the secret sacred 7 women's business when Mr Tilmouth's instructions were 8 that it was? 9 MR LOVELL: Particularly when it involves an 10 aspersion on Mr Kenny's character and his journalistic 11 ethics. What he is attempting to do is willing to wound 12 but afraid to strike. He is throwing insinuations at Mr 13 Kenny when, for all we know, his client might be 14 agreeing that, yes, that's exactly what did happen. How 15 is attacking the credit of Mr Kenny going to help this 16 commission, when Mr Tilmouth will not nail his colours 17 to the mast about what the true position is? That is 18 what this commission is actually about. 19 Of course there is a difficulty in the COMSR: 20 absence of these statements from your witnesses. 21 MR TILMOUTH: This debate is going around in circles. 22 My friends aren't listening to me. 23 I still think that you are entitled to, COMSR: 24 as it were, test the witness on the interview. 25 MR TILMOUTH: All I am doing is testing what this 26 document means intrinsically. I will try to ask an 27 omnibus question, and try to bring together what I am 28 putting. To a great extent, I agree with your comments 29 earlier, this interview must speak for itself. 30 31 Q. I want to be fair, and if you want to go back and look 32 and check please do. Looking at p.20 of the transcript, 33 such as we have it, can I suggest that in connection 34 with the secret women's business and Victor Wilson's 35 involvement or lack of it, the only time in this 36 interview that Douglas Milera specifically adopted anything that you put to him in relation to Victor

Wilson occurs at p.20, in the middle of the page.

- A. I haven't looked through the transcript, but -
- Q. I was hoping to avoid that.
- A. I won't take exception to the fact that that's where he
- volunteers Victor Wilson's name. It is pretty clear at 5 other times when he is talking about being a man told by
- 6 a man, he knows I know who that man is. He told me who that man is.
- 8 Q. All I am looking at is the intrinsic material in the
- interview. Can I quickly try to point you to passages 9
- that I had in mind. I have already showed you the 10
- 11 bottom of pp.8 and 9, have I not.
- 12 A. 7 and 8, wasn't it?
- Q. Yes, and over to the top of p.9. You agree with that. 13
- A. Yes.
- Q. You mention again Victor Wilson in the middle of p.10. 15
- A. Yes. 16
- 17 Q. You mention him again at p.13, about a third of the way
- 18 down, in relation to fabrication of women's business.
- 19 You see that long question `Doug you said a moment ago 20 you believe the women's business was a fabrication', et
- 21 cetera.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. In the context of that 'Now you say that you first heard
- about it from Victor Wilson', et cetera, and the answer 24
- 25 was 'Me. I am the sole publicator of the whole issue', et cetera. 26
- 27 A. Yes.
- 28 Q. Again, patently obvious you introduced Victor Wilson's 29 name.
- 30 **OBJECTION** Mr Lovell objects.
- 31 MR LOVELL: In the previous passage that my friend
- 32 referred to where Victor Wilson's name was mentioned, Mr
- Milera specifically assented to the proposition that he had been told by Victor Wilson. `You told her after 33
- 34
- Victor Wilson told you?' Answer `Yes, I did'. 35
- 36 MR TILMOUTH: It is a matter for argument.
- I think what Mr Tilmouth is saying is 37 COMSR:
- 38 that on each occasion that Victor Wilson's name was

- introduced, that it was introduced by Mr Kenny.
- MR TILMOUTH: That's right.
- XXN
- A. Are you suggesting I should be referring to him as `that 5 man' or - I've been told his name is Victor Wilson.
- 6 Q. You know that I am suggesting to you that you are 7 repeatedly bringing back to Mr Milera, Victor Wilson's 8 name, and can I suggest to you the next reference is

9 p.17, at the bottom.

- 10 A. That's a matter for the public record that these people 11 were at that meeting.
- 12 Q. P.20, as I said earlier, and this is the long and short
- 13 of all of it, I suggest to you, in this interview, is
- the only specific occasion upon which Doug Milera 14
- actually himself volunteers Victor Wilson's name in the 15 16 context of the women's business.
- A. On video tape, after explaining Victor Wilson's intimate 17 18 involvement in the whole process to me for hours 19 beforehand.
- 20 Q. At the end of that interview, the letter which had been 21 composed, as I understand it, by Mr Denver, with a large
- 22 degree of input by Mr Milera, was apparently typed up by 23 a receptionist.
- 24 A. Written by Mr Denver, I would have said, composed by Mr 25 Milera.
- 26 Q. That has been submitted through you I think, or you've 27 seen it, Exhibit 61. Did you see it that night.
- 28 A. I saw it that night, the typewritten version, and I saw 29 it as an Exhibit the other day.
- 30 Q. Did you retain a copy of it that evening.
- 31 A. No, I didn't.
- 32 Q. Would you agree that that contained no express statement 33
 - in relation to what I would call fabrication itself.
- 34 A. I don't have it in front of me. I think most of us have
- 35 seen it. I point out to you that my initial story, when
- 36 I broke this story on 19 May, had no reference to the
- 37 word 'fabrication' either.

- 1 Q. Did you question Doug at all about the content of this
- letter. Did you put something to him, and say `How come
- you are not saying what you have just told me and I have recorded?'
- 5 A. As I have said before, I was not particularly interested
- 6 in the letter. Doug was keen to fax it off. Kym Denver
- 7 seemed keen that it would be faxed off. I was more
- 8 interested in what Doug was saying to me both on and off camera.
- 10 Q. At all events, you read it and raised no issue with him about it.
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. You read it at the time.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. You raised no issue, as you told us.
- 16 A. No. This letter was obviously going to be made public.
- 17 As a journalist, I was happy to have much more
- information than what would be in any letter that would
- end up in the `Advertiser' or elsewhere.
- Q. Your evidence is that, in the end result, Doug Milera was given, that evening, \$200, all up.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. As I understand the effect of your evidence, simply for
 overnight accommodation.
- 25 A. No. I said I gave him \$100 which I thought would be
- adequate for food and transport the following day. I
 tried to arrange accommodation which wouldn't involve
- any more cash. When I couldn't do that, I gave him another \$100 which would suffice for accommodation.
- another \$100 which would suffice for accommoda Q. All of that was obtained from the automatic teller
- 31 machine at Victor Harbor.
- 32 A. Yes.
- 33 Q. Have you retained the receipt with respect to that.
- 34 OBJECTION Mr Lovell objects on the ground of 35 relevance.
- 36 MR LOVELL: How could this now relate to Mr
- 37 Tilmouth's client, really? Is my friend just being a
- friend of the court and testing the evidence, or has he

- 1 got some specific instructions about this particular 2 matter? MR TILMOUTH: I have a good faith basis for asking the questions. I have a proper basis to ask the questions 5 within the meaning of the appropriate rules. 6 What is that? COMSR: 7 MR TILMOUTH: I am entitled to ask these questions. 8 COMSR: On the face of it, it is a little 9 difficult to see how they relate to the parties you 10 represent, Mr Tilmouth. 11 MR TILMOUTH: Again, they relate to the reliability of 12 this evidence. 13 MR LOVELL: The reliability of what evidence? The tape speaks for itself. If my friend has a problem with 14 Mr Milera, his client is the chairman of the same 15 16 committee that Mr Milera sits on as a secretary, he can 17 ask him. Really, how is it relevant to Mr Tilmouth's 18 client to cross-examine Mr Kenny about the automatic 19 teller machine? 20 COMSR: As I say, I can't, on the face of it, 21 see the relevance, but you say you have the basis. 22 Yes, I do. It was a very inoffensive MR TILMOUTH: 23 question too, by the way, whether he simply kept a 24 record of the transaction. 25 **QUESTION ALLOWED** 26 XXN 27 Q. Did you keep the record of the ATM receipt. 28 A. I don't have any record of it. But I imagine it would 29 be easy to get hold of through the Commonwealth Bank.
- 30 Q. You haven't tried to do that at any time. 31 A. I have no reason to do so. I have been very upfront

32 about what occurred that night.

33 Q. You realised, of course, as is evident from what you 34 have said to the commissioner in days past, that the

35 question of offering any money to Mr Milera had

36 significant problems for you as a journalist.

37 A. What question of - there was no question of offering any 38 money.

35

36

37

38

C.K. KENNY XXN (MR TILMOUTH)

Q. Let me put it this way. 2 I must say, Mr Tilmouth, I am still not COMSR: sure of the basis. You say you have a basis on which to pursue this line of questioning. 5 In my submission, it is very odd that MR TILMOUTH: 6 money was provided after this interview. 7 COMSR: I am sure you can submit that to me. 8 MR TILMOUTH: Again, I understand I am being fair. It 9 would be quite wrong to make a comment at the end of 10 this commission without having put it to the witness. 11 MR ABBOTT: Ask him 'Do you agree?' Put the 12 question `It is very odd that you should supply money'. 13 MR TILMOUTH: I am entitled to cross-examine as I see 14 fit, subject to your ruling. 15 COMSR: Yes, but, I must say that, on the face of it, it doesn't appear to be directly related. If you 16 17 want to make a suggestion to the witness along those 18 lines, but that is as far as it can go. 19 20 Q. You realise that any suggestion of offering money for 21 this interview would be unacceptable in journalistic 22 23 A. That - your choice of words there is very strange: I realise any offer. Who has made an offer? I told you 24 25 that the matter was raised with me before the interview 26 and I closed it off. There is no concern of offer, and 27 no suggestion of any payment for anything. The issue of 28 money was raised for the interview, and I closed it off. 29 Nobody was paid anything for any favours or any duties 30 and nobody was offered anything. I made an arbitrary decision. I said `Here is what I'm giving you so that 31 32 you don't sleep in the gutter tonight'. I'd do the same 33 thing tomorrow for anybody. I am not in the habit of 34 interviewing people at midnight, in strange towns,

leaving them homeless and penniless on the street.

The point is here that the question of payment of money

Q. I tried to ask you that earlier, but I was prevented.

was raised by Milera early on, wasn't it.

- 1 A. It was raised by Milera and Denver. It was raised, as I concur, through Denver by Milera.
- 3 Q. You dismissed it out of hand.
- 4 A. I said `I won't talk about this until the end of the evening'.
- 6 Q. So you put the question of payment to one side.
- 7 A. No, it wasn't a question of payment. It was a question of money.
- 9 Q. What was there, if there wasn't to be a payment.
- 10 A. It was a gift. It was a gift at the end of the night to prevent a man sleeping in the gutter on an empty
- stomach.
- Q. Did you make any inquiry as to whether there was some place or some relative or friend you could take him to
- at the end -
- 16 OBJECTION Mr Lovell objects.
- 17 MR LOVELL: Where is this going? I have yet to see
- a proper basis for it. My friend assured it is in good
- 19 faith. It is not in good faith. And where is he
- 20 getting those instructions from?
- 21 MR TILMOUTH: It is not a question of instructions.
- It is a question of comment.
- 23 COMSR: Yes, it is a question of comment.
- 24 MR TILMOUTH: I have made it perfectly clear where I
- am coming from and on what basis I am putting it.
- 26 MR LOVELL: It is not clear to me.
- 27 MR TILMOUTH: You listen to what I am saying.
- 28 MR LOVELL: I have, and it is still not.
- 29 COMSR: I think it is as far as you can take it.
- 30 MR TILMOUTH: I would like to take it further, but I
- 31 abide your ruling. I take it that would preclude me
- from asking him questions about what he understood the
- ethics of the profession were at that time?
- 34 COMSR: Again, it is hard to see how it is
- directly relevant to the evidence he is giving
- 36 concerning your clients, but I would be interested to
- hear what you say the basis of it would be.

RF 34F

1	MR ABBOTT:	I am happy for him to ask that question.
2	When we get to	the 7.30 Report, we can ask them the same
3	question.	_
4	MR LOVELL:	We are salivating for the rest of the
5	media. Just sal	ivatng.
6	MR ABBOTT:	I wouldn't want to close off the issue
7	of ethics.	
8	COMSR:	It has been suggested you should have an
9	open hand in re	spect of this. Do you wish to ask some
10	questions relati	ing to selectivity of reporting, whether
11		rowned upon in general, and is a
12	practice that sh	ouldn't be followed; questions along
13	those lines?	
14	CONTINUED	

KC 34G

38

the main issue at all.

C.K. KENNY XXN (MR TILMOUTH)

MR TILMOUTH: Mainly just on the question of the money 2 and also on the question of the selective nature of what went to air the following day. Are you going to suggest that is COMSR: 5 unusual, Mr Tilmouth? I am suggesting selective and that the MR TILMOUTH: money was unusual. 8 COMSR: All right. 9 XXN 10 O. Can I come back to where we started -11 MR MEYER: I have a difficulty with that and my 12 difficulty is this: is Mr Tilmouth suggesting that as a 13 result somehow or other by the payment of the sum of 14 \$200 the information that Mr Kenny has obtained from Mr Milera is false? Because, if it is not, this Royal 15 16 Commission is not into journalists' ethics and it is 17 wildly irrelevant. If Mr Tilmouth is going to say 18 Milera made up a false story in the hope of a 19 substantial payment of money, \$200, then let him put it, 20 otherwise it is just irrelevant. And I object, even if 21 my friends don't. I don't want to keep repeating 22 myself, but he can pin his colours to the mast and say 23 is it rubbish or not, what they say. 24 MR TILMOUTH: I have made my point. 25 COMSR: Yes, I don't know whether or not the 26 witness wants the opportunity now, all of this having 27 been -WITNESS: 28 I would very much love the opportunity 29 to speak, given Mr Tilmouth is making these bald 30 assertions, without putting direct questions to me. 31 MR TILMOUTH: I haven't even put a bald assertion, 32 because I haven't been allowed to yet. 33 With respect, you said you were here to WITNESS: 34 dispute the interview. 35 MR TILMOUTH: I don't want to get into a debate 36 either. This is idle. 37 COMSR: I think it is not going to assist me on

KC 34G

C.K. KENNY XXN (MR TILMOUTH) (MS PYKE)

1 MR TILMOUTH: I am going to leave it there, in view of

2 what has taken place.

- 3 MR SMITH: I think that is very unsatisfactory.
- 4 MR TILMOUTH: I raised it, you want to stop me. Now

5 you are blowing hot and cold.

- 6 MR SMITH: I will clear it up.
- 7 COMSR: You will have an opportunity to ask

8 questions at the end.

- 9 MR SMITH: Yes, what has been done is raised the
- Flag and left in argument. I will leave it until the

end.

12 COMSR: Is there any other party who wishes to

13 cross-examine?

- 14 MS PYKE: Yes, I do.
- 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS PYKE
- 16 Q. You have some academic qualifications.
- 17 A. No, I have never completed either of the two academic

courses I set out to complete.

- 19 Q. But you studied at university for -
- 20 A. I studied at the College of Advanced Education in
- Wildlife and Park Management and I studied at the
- 22 University of South Australia for a Bachelor of Arts and

23 Journalism.

- 24 Q. Did you know Philip Jones or become connected with him
- during the period of time you were at university.
- 26 A. No.
- 27 Q. When did you first meet Philip Jones.
- 28 MR MEYER: What is the relevance of that?
- 29 COMSR: Who is Mr Jones? is that relevant?
- 30 MS PYKE: He has interviewed Mr Jones and we have
- there have been some references to Mr Jones in
- articles, which I will get to, in due course.
- 33 COMSR: This effects the interests of your
- party, does it?
- 35 MS PYKE: Yes, this witness has made some comments
- and allegations about Dr Fergie.
- 37 COMSR: Yes, I appreciate that.
- 38 MS PYKE: And made some other comments and

- 1 observations about Dr Philip Jones. I just want to know
- when he met Mr Philip Jones, whether he is a friend or
- an acquaintance or met him at university. I think they

4 are quite proper questions.

- 5 COMSR: Who is Mr Philip Jones?
- 6 MS PYKE: He is a museum anthropologist and he has given an interview.
- 8 COMSR: You want to know about this?
- 9 XXN
- 10 Q. Would you answer the question now.
- 11 A. I can answer the question now? I met Philip Jones
- probably 18 months, two years ago. I think I met him at
- an Adelaide Review magazine launch.
- 14 Q. After that time, would you put him in the category of friend or acquaintance.
- 16 A. Acquaintance would be stretching it. I have probably
- seen him would have bumped into him maybe three times
- in that two year period, until this until, of course,
- 19 I started seeing him in the Commission and interviewed
- 20 him a couple of weeks before the Commission.
- 21 Q. When you say you saw him three times, were they on social occasions.
- 23 A. I think so, yes.
- Q. And Austin Gough, do you know him as a friend or acquaintance.
- 26 A. Austin Gough. I have never met him. I rang him once.
- 27 Q. Have you interviewed Austin Gough.
- 28 A. I spoke to him on the phone about Deane Fergie.
- 29 Q. When was that.
- 30 A. It was around the period that Austin Gough published a
- 31 piece in the Adelaide Review.
- Q. Do you have any notes of that conversation with AustinGough.
- 34 A. I don't think I do, no. It was very much a casual
- conversation. As I recall, I think it was an attempt to
- 36 get an interview with him, given what he had written in
- 37 the Adelaide Review, but I don't think he was
- 38 forthcoming.

- Q. Do you have any recollection of what the conversation 2 with Austin Gough was about, as it related to Deane Fergie.
- A. He told me about Deane Fergie's background at the
- 5 Adelaide University and her special interest in secret 6 women's matters. And he told me that her original
- 7
- thesis in 1985 caused a lot of controversy at the
- 8 university, because she asked that no men be allowed to 9 read it.
- 10 Q. Doing the best you can, that is the conversation with 11 Austin Gough.
- 12 A. I was very much interested in that. In fact, that's why
- 13 I wanted to interview him. And it is a matter I did
- 14 quite a bit of checking on, because I thought it pointed to where Deane Fergie might be coming from. 15
- 16 Q. Let me get this clear, he told you that there had been 17 some controversy about Deane Fergie's thesis back in
- 18 1985.
- 19 A. As I understand it, she submitted a thesis in 1985 which
- 20 dealt with secret women's matters in a Polynesian
- 21 community. I will have written somewhere the name that
- 22 of thesis. Now, recalling broadly the issues, it did
- 23 cause some concern for the university with either its
- 24 assessment or its lodgement with the library, because
- 25 there was the precondition supposedly or, as I
- 26 understand it, Dr Fergie asked for the precondition that
- 27 men should not be allowed to read this thesis. Have
- 28 access to it.
- 29 Q. What, have access to the whole thesis, or just part of 30
- 31 A. As I said, I am speaking in broad terms. I never ended 32 up doing a story on the issue.
- 33 Q. What else did Austin Gough say to you about Deane 34 Fergie.
- A. That's about it, actually. That he recalled this had 35
- 36 caused a bit of a stir at the university at the time.
- That it raised some issues for them about just how they 37
- 38 should treat such matters.

- Q. Did he tell you whether that had been resolved satisfactorily, at that time.
- A. I don't think he knew in the long-term whether it had been resolved, but I checked with the Barr Smith Library and they said there was a copy of the thesis lodged with the library, but I think it was out at the time.
- Q. Is it fair to say that you haven't yourself looked at the thesis.
- 9 A. No, I haven't, no. It was just one of the many avenues 10 of enquiries I was adopting back in the days when I was 11 investigating this matter.
- Q. You say that that was at around about the time thatAustin Gough's article appeared in the Adelaide Review.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. You have seen various tapes here of the actual items that went to air.
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. The to-air tapes. I just want to ask you a couple of questions generally so that I understand what happens with those items. You were the journalist who had the principal responsibility for investigating and reporting
- principal responsibility for investigating and reporting
 on Hindmarsh Island.
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. We know and I don't want to go through more, at this stage that there were numerous news items that went to air.
- 27 A. That's correct.
- Q. You have seen them on the video tape.
- 29 A. Yes.
- 30 Q. There is what I might call editorial comment by the
- newsreader, from time to time. A headline, if I might put it that way. I don't know what they call it in the
- television business. You know what I mean.
- 34 A. Give me an example, then I will know what you mean.
- 35 Q. Things like `The Hindmarsh Island bridge debacle
- continues today', and a bit of a summary of what is
- coming in the news.
- 38 A. I wouldn't have thought that was comment. I would have

KC 34G

C.K. KENNY XXN (MS PYKE)

- thought that was demonstrable.
- Q. What, you think it is a debacle, do you.
- A. I think most people would agree there has been a debacle going on here for a long while.
- Q. All I am asking you is this, is there a script provided to the newsreader.
- 7 A. Yes, indeed.
- Q. Who writes the script, that is what I am asking you, for those sorts of comments.
- 10 A. Okay, okay. Ordinarily the journalist writing a story
- would write the intro, that is the piece that the
- newsreader reads leading into the story. That, of
- course, would be subject to normal subeditorial
- refinement. A rewrite person, a subeditor, may change
- words or change paragraphs around, often with the
- 15 words of change paragraphs around, often with the consent of the reporter. There are, as you say, little
- headlines and updates and promo lines that might have
- been given by a producer or a subeditor in the newsroom
- relying on the reporter's raw information.
- 20 O. The item that went to air on 19 -
- 21 A. 19 May?
- 22 Q. Yes, there was a comment and I am trying to avoid
- having to play all of this and let's see how we go.
- And I don't have a transcript, which may make it a
- 25 difficulty there was a statement made that `Secret
- women's business which stopped the bridge was first
- 27 raised by men.' Do you recall that being in the news
- 28 item. 29 A. I do.

33

- 30 Q. Were you responsible for that.
- 31 A. I was, indeed.
- 32 Q. I just want to get this clear, because this is the note
 - that I have tried to transcribe. That that was a
- specific note `Secret women's business which stopped the
- 35 bridge was first raised by men.'
- 36 A. I mean, why don't we read the whole sentence? I think
- you will find it says `Claims tonight that the secret
- women's business that stopped the bridge was first

KC 34G

- raised by men.'
- 2 Q. In that, were you endeavouring to give a very short synopsis of what you understood the women, that is, Dorothy and - I think it was you had interviewed -
- 5 A. Dorothy and Dulcie.
- 6 Q. Dorothy and Dulcie.
- A. In that original story I put to air essentially two
- 8 claims that were being made by Dulcie Wilson and Dorothy
- 9 Wilson. They were claims that I had checked out
- 10 thoroughly through a number of sources, both to make
- 11 sure that those women were credible and were speaking
- 12 what they believed to be the truth, and to convince
- 13 myself that what they were saying could actually have
- 14 occurred. Both of those chief allegations made in that
- original report I believe have now been proven, beyond 15
- 16 reasonable doubt, through public information. If you
- 17 want me to go on, I will go on.
- 18 Q. On 20 May 1995, there was a comment - and, to be fair to
- 19 you, I don't know whether it is something that you said
- 20 or the newsreader said - and it says this `Now,
- 21 Aboriginal women allege that Aboriginal men coerced them
- 22 to lie and fabricate the secret women's business to
- 23 block the bridge.'
- 24 A. Where was this from and what date?
- Q. 20 May 1995. 25
- 26 A. On 20 May 1995 it could not have been my report. My 27 initial story mentioned neither the words 'lie',
- 28 `fabrication', `hoax', `scandal', `conspiracy'. No such
- 29 words were used by me. I can't be responsible for what
- 30 other journalists have chosen to call this affair in the 31 ensuing days and weeks.
- 32 Q. Let me ask you this, and I put it to you - and again we
- 33 will play it, if you want to - that was a clear
- 34 statement made on the Channel 10 News, 'Now Aboriginal
- 35 women allege that Aboriginal men coerced them to lie and
- 36 fabricate the secret women's business to block the
- 37 bridge.'
- 38 A. Are you asking me to comment on that?

- Q. Yes, do you think that that, on 20 May 1995, was in anyway an accurate synopsis of what the two women that you had interviewed had told you.
- 4 A. I would never have used those words. Those women did not make those allegations.
- 6 Q. Were you ever shown that video tape of that news, or did you see it.
- A. I have seen, since my original story went to air, I have seen many stories go to air which have got have made many mistakes, both about the original allegations and about the investigations behind them. It continues to this day almost nightly. I can't be held responsible for that, I am afraid, but I will be held responsible
- for that, I am afraid, but I will be held responsible for what I have done.
- Q. I just want to deal with Channel 10. Have I understood
 you correctly, are you saying there have been mistakes
 made by Channel 10 in its reporting of this issue.
- MR LOVELL: Before Mr Kenny answers that question, would my friend like me to list the mistakes by the 7.30
 Report and Channel 7? I mean, what is the relevance of this questioning to her client, really?
- MS PYKE: It is highly relevant, because Mr Kenny has made, in print media and, indeed, as we have seen on the video tapes, serious allegations about Dr Fergie and her role in this.
- MR LOVELL: I might have missed something. I thought he put some questions to Dr Fergie, but there you go. And, in any event, what he said in print has not been a matter of evidence before this Commission.
- 30 MS PYKE: It is. It has been tendered and I will
 31 be cross-examining on it. The Adelaide Review and other
- 32 articles have been tendered.
- 33 COMSR: That can be ascribed to this witness?
- 34 MS PYKE: Yes.
- 35 MR LOVELL: If my friend wants to enter a debate
- with Mr Kenny about his client, I am not going to
- interrupt, but, really, where is that going to get this
- Royal Commission? It really is a pointless exercise,

2583 KC 34G

1	but, if she wishes to go down that path, then she bears
2	the consequences of the answers.
2 3	MS PYKE: I am quite happy to bear the
4	consequences of the answers and pursue them as I see
5	fit.
6	COMSR: Yes, but they still have to be of some
7	assistance to me in the questions that I have to decide,
8	Ms Pyke.
9	You say that this is a necessary foundation, do you,
10	for you to get to ask them?
11	MS PYKE: Yes, because, in due course, I want to
12	ask some very specific questions about what this witness
13	is alleging, whether there are distortions in what he is
14	alleging, because he has, this witness has very clearly
15	criticised and called into question my client's
16	professional integrity and her findings. I am just
17	wanting to find out from him what he is really saying.
18	And, one of the ways to find out what he is really
19	saying is to look at what has been said and look at the
20	interview to see what this witness is saying. And then
21	I have got some basis to put to him questions in
22	relation to my client.
23	COMSR: About your client, I take it?
24	MS PYKE: Yes.
25	
26	
27	,
28	stage, I don't think.
29	CONTINUED

Closed

2591

RF 34J

```
RESUMING 2.21 P.M.
2
                      Before we begin there are a couple of
    COMSR:
3
      matters I would like to draw to your attention. The
4
      first is that counsel should refrain from making
5
      comments to one another or making statements during the
6
      course of the evidence of the witnesses as it is very
7
      confusing to say the least. If counsel have something
8
      to say, would you address the matter to me, and not have
9
      exchanges between one another.
10
         There appear to me to be a number of recurring
11
       occasions on which various counsel have had something to
12
       say concerning the issue of media reporting. Those
13
       matters are not issues that I can deal with. If any
14
       counsel has a problem with those matters, I am not the
15
       appropriate person to hear of it.
16
          I am seeking your co-operation in these matters as
17
       it appears to me the taking of the evidence will be
18
       expedited if it is not subject to the interruptions of
19
       this nature from time to time.
20
          Ms Pyke, I think you were going to specify the
21
       documents or sources or items to which you wish to refer
22
       or draw to the attention of this witness.
23
     MS PYKE:
                        When I say specify, there is obviously
       the bit on the tape. There are also a number of
24
25
       newspaper reports that are Exhibit 67, the bundle of
26
       media reports. The reality is that yours may not be
27
       numbered, but the one that I will be referring to is a
28
       Canberra Times report of Mr Kenny dated 10 June 1995.
29
       That is actually the same as an Adelaide Review article.
30
          In that article, Mr Kenny has put things critical of
31
       Dr Fergie, and makes comments and observations about
32
       her. There is an article in the Adelaide Review
33
       entitled 'White lies' in which there is reference to the
34
       matters. And there are another couple of articles that
       aren't part of that document, but they are dated August
35
36
       1995 and September 1995, again in the Adelaide Review.
37
                      I am trying to find the material which
       you say is critical of your client. Did you say the
38
```

Adelaide Review of July 1995? Yes. I can give you my page number. I 2 MS PYKE: have numbered it p.14. There is not quite so much in that. The main one is the 10 June Canberra Times 5 article, which is the same as the Adelaide Review 6 article. 7 COMSR: I am trying to find the material that 8 you put to the witness before lunch. 9 MS PYKE: What I put before lunch was from the transcript, from the video tape, and the reference I 10 11 gave you to that was the interview with Mr Jones in the 12 raw footage, Exhibit 148. There is no transcript of 13 that. That was the portion that I read out to you, doing the best that I could with what I had noted 14 myself. It is again mainly that Adelaide Review article 15 16 that I have just referred to of July. I think where we 17 stopped was that I was asking the witness what he meant 18 by the word `fabricate'. Yes, I think he had answered that. 19 **COMSR:** 20 WITNESS: Yes. 21 XXN 22 Q. I think you said that that was a term that you'd picked up from other sources, if I can put it that way.

- 23 24
- A. It was a term that I didn't wasn't the first to use.
- 25 It was a term that seemed to encapsulate what the 26 allegations amounted to.
- Q. It is not a term, I suggest to you, that the women 27 28 themselves have used to you.
- 29 A. It is a term that many Ngarrindjeri people have used to 30
- 31 Q. Since 19 May.
- 32 A. Yes.
- 33 Q. Perhaps I should be a bit more specific. It wasn't a
- 34 term that either Dorothy or Dulcie used to you in that
- 35 first interview.
- 36 A. I can't recall precisely without going back to those
- 37 tapes, but it may well be that it's not there.

- 1 Q. But I suggest to you that in your conversations with
- 2 Dorothy on that first interview, you in fact used the
- term `fabrication', that's on 19 May. Do you recall that.
- 5 A. I don't recall it, but it's quite likely.
- 6 Q. I don't have the transcript so I will have to paraphrase
- 7 it. You said something along these lines `For us to be
- 8 able to convince that there has been a fabrication, we
- 9 need to establish it was suggested by men that there was secret women's business'.
- 11 A. I don't recall that. That's from what, the video tape 12 of the raw footage of the Dorothy -
- 13 Q. Raw footage tape, yes. So you have got no recollection.
- A. I can't agree to a specific form of words unless I can see them before me or recall them precisely, but I mean it is all there, it is all in evidence.
- Q. I am putting to you that is the first suggestion as it related to fabrication that came from you, and it was
- directed to Dorothy and Dulcie in your interview of 19 May.
- A. That may well be the case. As I say, I think it is an adequate word to describe what the allegations amount
- 23 to, and I have used it extensively in the media. I
- 24 pointed out to you that I was not the first person to
- use it in the media. I pointed out it was not used in my original report.
- Q. You have written several newspaper articles in addition
 to your reporting on Channel 10.
- 29 A. Yes.
- 30 Q. One of those newspaper articles was in the Canberra Times.
- 32 A. I write a monthly column for the Adelaide Review, and that column in June this year was then on-sold to the
- that column in June this year was then on-sold to the Canberra Times and the Brisbane Courier Mail. The
- 35 article was my monthly column for the Adelaide Review.
- 36 Q. I will be looking at the Canberra Times article of 10
- 37 June 1995 contained in Exhibit 67. Am I right it is the
- 38 identical article.

RF 34J

- 1 A. It is not identical. It is almost identical.
- Q. A bit of editing and paragraphing.
- A. Well, yes, in both the reprints there are a couple of issues that aren't identical to the issue.
- 5 Q. Have you got the Canberra Times with you.
- 6 A. I've got them all here. Refer to whichever you like.
- Q. Referring to the Canberra Times, it is hard to know what you have got, but in my copy there is a column that
- 9 stands on its own, it is the first column. You are
- referring to the so-called dissident women and you say
- this `These are respectable, credible women, whose
- historical and genealogical links to the Ngarrindjeri
- community are stronger than those of the sacred secrets
- promoters'. What was the basis of that.
- 15 A. That was the basis of my reaction to the criticism that
- they had received in the media. They were being
- portrayed by Sandra Saunders and others as women off the
- street, as dogs, as traitors. I was very keen to
- impress upon those people who perhaps have been
- 20 listening to these comments on the ABC and other media
- organisations, that that was not necessarily the case.
- That these women were actually reasonable, credible women.
- 24 Q. You go on, don't you, though to say that their links to
- the Ngarrindjeri community are stronger than those of the sacred secrets promoters.
- 27 A. Yes, I did.
- 28 Q. What is the basis of that assertion.
- 29 A. That's on the basis of where they were born, where they
- were raised, where they spent most of their lives, and
- 31 what they are doing with their lives at the current
- 32 time
- Q. Are you saying that Dorothy and Dulcie, for example, had stronger links than Connie Roberts, Maggie Jacobs.
- 35 A. I am not I was not interested in making very specific
- comparisons about individual people. But, as a group,
- 37 these people were being portrayed by Sandra Saunders and
- others as outcasts, as outsiders. They most definitely

- 1 are not. They have been born and raised in that
- 2 community, and have been active in it throughout. In
- fact, some of the more prominent people in the camp that
- are promoting the secrets, such as Doreen Kartinyeri,
- 5 have experienced extended periods away from the

6 Ngarrindjeri community.

- Q. I simply put to you that in that description there you are endeavouring to establish that the so-called dissident women were more credible and had more connection than the proponent women -
- 11 A. No. What I was doing -
- 12 Q. Became their advocate.
- 13 A. I was impressing a perception that was put by the media
- that they were less credible than the promoters of the
- secrets and were outsiders of the Ngarrindjeri
- 16 community, which is patently not the case and can be demonstrated.
- 18 Q. I am simply putting to you you went to the other extreme, didn't you.
- 20 A. No, I did not. I do not accept that. I put some matters on the record that needed to be put on the
- matters on the record that needed to be put on the record. If you take exception to them, I would like to
- hear about it.
- Q. Just going back to that, I simply suggest to you you have no idea who is more genealogically, more
- historically connected with the Ngarrindjeri community
- amongst the proponents and the dissidents.
- 28 A. Some of the key people I have seen the work of Doreen
- 29 Kartinyeri and others, just to see where they fit into
- the whole community. That was a part of my research in the early days.
- Q. You don't have any qualification with genealogy oranthropology or -
- 34 A. No, and neither does Doreen Kartinyeri.
- 35 Q. The article goes on to say this, about the second column
- 36 It was through her genealogy work based at the South
- Australian Museum that Ms Kartinyeri developed a
- friendship with an anthropologist, Deane Fergie'.

RF 34J

C.K. KENNY XXN (MS PYKE)

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. What is your source of information about that.
- 3 A. Source of my information that they were friends?
- 4 Q. Yes, developed friendship at the South Australian Museum.
- 6 A. I know it to be a fact probably from a number of sources.
- 8 Q. Not the least of which is Dr Fergie's own report.
- 9 A. Probably. I have certainly read Dr Fergie's report.
- 10 Q. When did you read Dr Fergie's report for the first time.
- 11 A. I read it for the first time at around about the time I
- 12 aired my first story. I read the Saunders and Fergie
- report as part of my preparation for that first story.
- 14 It was very important for me to understand that what the
- so-called dissident women were alleging could actually
- possibly have happened, and it wasn't until I read the
- 17 Saunders and Fergie report that I'd realised how in fact
- this series of events could have actually occurred.
- 19 CONTINUED

20

- Q. In your article in the next column you say this `If all of this were based on a fabrication, the win can only be political'.
- 4 A. You are going to have to help me out finding this passage. You don't have the complete article there, do you? You have it cut into sections, do you?
- Q. No, I have just got photocopies of columns. I don't know whether it is actually photocopies of a page or not, I am afraid. On mine it seems to be the third column of the article, if I can put it that way.
- 11 COMSR: In what publication, Ms Pyke?
- 12 MS PYKE: The Canberra Times.
- 13 XXN
- 14 Q. I am only the referring to the Canberra Times article.
- 15 A. I have found the paragraph you are referring to, yes, it is in the second column, if anyone else has the same.
- 17 Q. Yes, 'If all this were based on a fabrication'. You then go on to say that 'Those who have a stake in
- stopping the bridge, those who want to be politically
- correct and those who are just too lazy to properly
- consider the matter, have been keen to dismiss the
- latest controversy.' Were you purporting to make any reference to Dr Fergie in that.
- A. I am not purporting to have any reference to any people except those that I make reference to.
- 26 Q. So, there -
- A. There is a fairly broad sample of people, I would have thought, covered in that sentence.
- Q. Were you intending to include Dr Fergie in that, is sheone of those people.
- 31 A. When I sat down and wrote this in June? Was I thinking 32 of Deane Fergie, when I wrote this?
- 33 Q. Yes.
- A. I can't sensibly answer that. I have mentioned Deane
- Fergie by name where I wanted to mention Deane Fergie.
- Q. You have referred to `The best starting point is the anthropological literature', further down that column.
- 38 A. Yes.

- Q. In your researching of your story, before 19 May, had you this is before 19 May, had you consulted any anthropologist yourself.
- 4 A. I had had some off-the-record discussions with a with some with an anthropologist, just to confirming that there may be some substance to this story and I had read up some of the literature.
- 8 Q. Are you able to tell us who you -
- 9 A. Because it was off-the-record, I don't feel at liberty to say who it was, but it was an anthropologist.
- 11 Q. Are you able to tell us, is it someone that you are aware is going to give evidence in these proceedings.
- 13 A. I am not going to -
- MR LOVELL: I think the answer has been given. I don't think my friend can fairly take it any further,
- because we might get into a physical description next.
- Is it a he or she, tall or short? The answer has been given.
- 19 XXN
- 20 Q. Before 19 May, you had spoken to some anthropologist.
- 21 A. I wanted to make sure, as I have said, many times, that
- 22 my research into this matter was very thorough, before I
- 23 aired the first story. And I wanted to make sure that
- there was, in fact, some anthropological credibility to what was being put forward. And I did at least get that
- 26 assurance from at least one source, off-the-record. It
- is a very sensitive matter, as you would understand, and
- I think that that information has been vindicated since in the public stance as we have seen from a number of
- in the public stance as we have seen from a number of anthropologists before this Commission.
- Q. Before 19 May, did you make any attempt to speak to Dr Deane Fergie.
- 33 A. No, I did not.
- 34 Q. Any reason for that.
- 35 A. Because, firstly, I was working on this story and I
- wanted to put this story together. And I was very well
- aware that the women I was dealing with were quite
- concerned about the treatment they may get from the rest

- of their community, if it were known that they were
- about to speak out. So, I was trying to treat their
- 3 information in great confidence. And I was leaving my
- 4 attempt to get responses, both from the Aboriginal Legal
- 5 Rights Movement, Doreen Kartinyeri and Deane Fergie,
- 6 until very much at the last minute.
- Q. I suggest to you that you didn't endeavour to get any response, certainly from Dr Fergie, until after you put the story to air.
- 10 A. I went straight to the source. I went to Doreen
- 11 Kartinyeri and Sandra Saunders, before I put the story to air.
- 13 Q. I am speaking about Dr Fergie.
- 14 A. I know you are speaking about Dr Fergie, but I am
- telling you that I wanted to get a response from the
- source of the issue. That is, Doreen Kartinyeri and the
- 17 Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement. Deane Fergie wasn't
- then and isn't now prominent, when it comes to the
- 19 actual matter. It is a matter of Aboriginal beliefs,
- 20 not Dean Fergie's beliefs.
- Q. You have been critical, in your statement, of what you say has been Dr Fergie's unwillingness to speak to you.
- 23 A. Indeed, she -
- 24 Q. After the story went to air.
- 25 A. Indeed, I was very keen to speak to her, after the story 26 went to air.
- Q. What I am simply putting to you is that, if, for
- example, your aim was to present a balanced story, why
- didn't you endeavour to speak to Dr Fergie, before it
- went to air. If it was important afterwards, surely it
- 31 was important before.
- A. No, my aim was to present a correct story. I wanted to make sure I had all the relevant facts and I consulted
- 34 Dr Deane Fergie's report in detail.
- 35 Q. But you certainly didn't give her an opportunity to
- express a view, or to consider, before the story went to
- 37 air.
- 38 A. I gave her ample opportunity afterwards to express her

- view and she went running at a million miles an hour.
- 2 Q. I think that really what was happening was that you thought you were on to a good story and I didn't want to get any information from someone who might spoil it.
- 5 A. No, that is ridiculous. The point is that, in dealing 6 with a story like this where there are people with very 7 sensitive and very explosive, I suppose, allegations to
- 8 be made, then their sensibilities need to be taken into
- 9 account. They were not in a position where they wanted 10 Sandra Saunders, Doreen Kartinyeri and others arriving
- 11 on their doorstep, before the story even went to air.
- 12
- It was my duty to make sure that what they were telling 13 me, to the best of my ability, was credible and was, in
- 14 fact, feasible. And I went to great lengths to do that.
- I don't think calling Deane Fergie would have been of 15 16 any assistance, given what she has said to me, since.
- 17 Q. But you say that you had the Fergie report.
- A. Yes. 18
- 19 Q. You had that, before 19 May, or it is 20 May I think it 20 actually went to air, didn't it.
- 21 A. No, it went to air on 19 May. I am not certain when I 22 came into possession of the Fergie report, but it was 23 around that time.
- 24 Q. Where did you get it from.
- A. It was faxed to me by a contact. 25
- 26 Q. Who.
- 27 A. I get a lot of information from a lot of people. I
- 28 don't feel comfortable about divulging who gives it to 29 me, until I get their consent. I am not certain whether
- 30 this person would want the information divulged, but the
- 31 point is, it was Dr Fergie's report and I have read it.
- 32 Any journalist who was interested in the case could get 33 a hold of the Fergie report.
- 34 Q. Before it goes to air, you have consulted with an
- 35 anthropologist you don't wish to name. You have looked
- 36 at the Fergie report you have obtained from a source
- 37 that you don't remember or don't want to name. This
- 38 anthropologist that you consulted, was it the

C.K. KENNY XXN (MS PYKE)

- anthropologist that put you on to the literature, 2
 - including Berndt and Berndt.
- A. No, I was already aware of the Berndt and Berndt work, but the anthropologist gave me a bit more background 5 that there was other literature around, which I would
- 6 find had similar holes in it.
- 7 Q. When your article has the paragraphs continuing `The 8 best starting point is the anthropological literature', 9 down to `The possibility of any secret women's
- business', was that information you got from your 10 11 anthropological source, or is that -
- 12 A. That is a combination of the information from my anthropological source and from my own reading of the 13

14 literature. Are you telling me that is incorrect?

- Q. I am just asking you whether that was your conclusion, 15 16 or from someone else.
- 17 A. My prime aim, when I am writing, or reporting, is to 18 make sure that what I am saying is correct.
- Q. You go on and you say this about Dr Fergie, that she now 19 20 says that Catherine Berndt might have known about the 21 secrets, but decided not to make any reference to them.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. `Yet Dr Fergie has not taken the precaution of 24 consulting Catherine Berndt's field notes in Perth,

25 which could back up her argument, if it had any

- substance.' What was your source of knowledge that Dr 26 Fergie hadn't looked at Catherine Berndt's field notes. 27
- 28 A. I think, by that time, I had actually managed to put it 29 to Dr Fergie.
- 30 Q. Do you think, or -
- 31 A. I think I did. I am certain I would have put it to her,

32 at some stage. Obviously I have received some

- 33 information that that may be the case, but I put it to
- 34 Dr Fergie. You will recall I said I had a very long
- 35 phone conversation with Dr Fergie, where we discussed a
- 36 range of issues.
- Q. Are you saying that that information came from Dr 37
- 38 Fergie, or it might be someone else that offered the

- 1 opinion that she hadn't.
- 2 A. Î am saying you are asking me to recall events, what,
- three months ago? And I can't see specifically, but
- what I am suggesting is, I would have been given some
- 5 information to lead me in pursuit of that matter. And,
- 6 by that stage, I imagine, I believe I would have raised
- 7 it directly with Deane Fergie.
- 8 Q. Have you got notes of your conversation with Dr Fergie.
- 9 A. I said before, I was standing outside the Aboriginal
- 10 Legal Rights Movement speaking on a mobile phone.
- 11 Q. You then go on to say that Dr Fergie you again repeat, 12 or you say that she is a friend of Doreen Kartinyeri.
- And you say she `was specially commissioned by the
- Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement to co-ordinate the
- sacred claim. In other words, she was an advocate
- rather than an investigator.'
- 17 A. Yes, indeed.
- 18 Q. On what basis do you say that `she was an advocate'.
- 19 A. Because she was paid by the Aboriginal Legal Rights
- 20 Movement to put together their proposal regarding the
- sacred secret women's business to go to the Federal
- Government. It was another point that was being ignored
- by the rest of the media. Dr Deane Fergie was being put
- 24 up on various television stations and the like and being
- asked to comment on her view of the fabrication claims
- and of the sacred secret women's business. She was
- being put up as an independent anthropologist. I was
- pointing out that she was not independent, she was paid
- by the Aboriginal Legal Rights Movement to actually
- 30 co-ordinate the claim.
- 31 Q. That is your assessment of it.
- 32 A. I think that is a fact, is it not?
- 33 MS PYKE: Perhaps Mr Abbott has difficulty
- understanding your direction earlier on, but it is not
- actually helpful, nor, indeed, appropriate for sotto
- voce evidence to be given by Mr Abbott.
- 37 MR LOVELL: I will make one that is not sotto voce.
- 38 My friend is falling into the error that people were

36

37

38

COMSR:

MS PYKE:

C.K. KENNY XXN (MS PYKE)

1 falling into this morning. She has cross-examined Mr 2 Kenny about conversations that he may have had on a 3 mobile phone while he was standing outside the ALRM. He was asked did he take any notes about it and she did 5 not put a contrary proposition. Is it my friend's 6 instructions that that bit of the telephone 7 conversation, or that piece of information that appears 8 in Mr Kenny's article is not the fact, or it was never 9 said to him? We are left again with no idea where this 10 cross-examination is going. 11 COMSR: Yes, Ms Pyke hasn't finished her 12 cross-examination. 13 MR LOVELL: But it is just being left. She has left that topic and now she is going on to another one and we 14 have no direct challenge. 15 16 COMSR: Ms Pyke has her own method of 17 cross-examination and -18 MS PYKE: I merely asked the witness in that topic whether he had been told by by Dr Fergie, or from some 19 20 other source. It might be from several sources. I 21 don't know. 22 WITNESS: I told you I was put on to that 23 information by another source and I got that from Dr 24 Fergie. 25 XXN 26 Q. That other source, is that the same anthropologist that you spoke to, before 19 May. 27 28 A. Probably. 29 COMSR: Of course, I suppose, if the witness is 30 not challenged, as to whether or not a conversation took 31 place, I can take it for granted that it is not in 32 issue, as far as you are concerned, Ms Pyke, can I? 33 MS PYKE: As you quite rightly say, I will get back to certain things, in due course. I am just trying 34 to find out from this witness -35

Yes, I appreciate that.

article, that he is getting certain information that is,

It just seems to me that, looking at his

C.K. KENNY XXN (MS PYKE)

putting it bluntly, colouring his view of Dr Fergie as he has written it. And it is - I think it is quite a

3 proper sequence of questions to put.

4 COMSR: I am not suggesting that. I am pointing out, at the end of the day, if I am left in that position, it might be left so that I have to assume it

7 is not under challenge.

- 8 XXN
- Q. The basis of your claim that Dr Fergie was an advocate
 was that she had been commissioned by ALRM to prepare a report.
- 12 A. Indeed, she was paid by the ALRM, commissioned by them to prepare the sacred secrets proposal.
- Q. Are you suggesting by that, or are you seeking to suggest that Dr Fergie somehow or other didn't, in a professional way, form a view and express that view, that, in that sense, she was an advocate.
- A. No, I am suggesting it needed, at that time, to be placed on the public record that the anthropologist we were hearing from, about the sacred secrets business,

was, in fact, the anthropologist who put the claim

- together, not an independent anthropological source, as she was being referred to in the media. At that stage,
- I felt what we needed was some independent scrutiny of this issue. It was clear then and it is clear now.
- Q. You are not alleging any impropriety on Dr Fergie's part.
- A. I have never alleged any impropriety on Dr Fergie's part.
- Q. You have referred to Neale Draper in that particular paragraph. And I am backtracking a little bit there.
- Had you spoken to Dr Draper, before the 10 June 1995 article.
- 34 A. No, I had not.
- 35 Q. You then go on and talk about the at the foot of that
- page, that you talk about Cheryl Saunders prepared the
- 37 report. `Her report deals mainly with information
- gained through a series of meetings that were organised

- 1 for her by two Aboriginal women who were campaigning to
- 2 stop the bridge. Doreen Kartinyeri and Sarah Milera.'
- 3 I ask you, what was your source of information that
- Doreen Kartinyeri and Sarah Milera had organised the 5 meetings with Cheryl Saunders.
- 6 A. I believe the source is the report, itself.
- 7 Q. You say `This group was taken to be representive of
- 8 Ngarrindjeri opinion and beliefs. There was no
- 9 substantial attempt to broaden the net.'
- 10 A. Yes, when I got around to reading the Saunders and
- 11 Fergie reports, what struck me most was the narrow
- 12 sampling of Ngarrindjeri opinion. Until this stage, I
- 13 had realised there was a lot of controversy and a lot of
- 14 doubts about the way this issue had arisen at the end of
- 15 the fight against the bridge. And I had assumed that
- 16 the so-called sacred secrets matter had been
- 17
- investigated thoroughly. I had assumed that there was an adequate assessment. When I read the Saunders report 18
- 19 and the Fergie report, I became - my views changed
- 20 dramatically. It confirmed to me that the sorts of
- 21 things that Dorothy Wilson and Dulcie Wilson were
- 22 telling me could, indeed, be true, because, if you read
- 23 the Saunders report and the Fergie report carefully, you
- 24 will see that there was an extremely narrow sample of
- Ngarrindjeri opinion. Two women essentially got against 25
- 26 30 women and organised one woman to talk to Dr Fergie.
- 27 Q. Have you got any knowledge, yourself, as to whether 28 these 30 odd women were, indeed, people who were
- 29 representative of other women, if I can put it that way.
- 30 A. I think the very fact that we are here today proves they 31 weren't.
- 32 Q. Is that right.
- 33 A. There is a large body of Ngarrindjeri people, no doubt,
- 34 who dispute what they have to say.
- 35 Q. The ten dissident women.
- 36 MR LOVELL: It is an interesting debate, but where
- 37 is it getting us?
- 38 MS PYKE: It is the gentleman who has written the

2606

KC 34K

1	report in the Canbera Times, not me. Surely, if he					
2	wants to go on making the assertions there was no					
3	attempt to broaden the net, that is a severe criticism					
4	and I want to know on what basis he made that					
5	allegation.					
6	MR ABBOTT: I object to my clients being dismissed					
7	by Ms Pyke in terms of `the ten Ngarrindjeri women'.					
8	The fact is, we acting for fourteen and they are					
9	representatives, as I have also said on many occasions,					
10	of a large number of others. There are fourteen, so					
11	far, who have given evidence and statements have been					
12	provided in respect of others. And others can be					
13	called. So, it is not merely ten.					
14	CONTINUED					

- 1 MS PYKE: I point out to the witness the somewhat
- 2 inconsistent approach that he is taking, that from his
- 3 perspective the dissident women are representative of
- the 35 other women and were not -
- 5 WITNESS: Is that a question? Where have I said that they are representative?
- 7 COMSR: I don't know whether that is a question
- 8 at this stage or not. Is that a question?
- 9 MS PYKE: No, the transcript will record the
- witness's answer.
- 11 XXN
- 12 Q. You then go on and say that the group gathered by Miss
- 13 Kartinyeri was that a different group. Did Miss
- 14 Kartinyeri gather a group herself, or is that the same
- group you were talking about earlier that was gathered by her and Sarah Milera.
- 17 A. This is, that is the same group.
- 18 Q. `Was allowed to endorse Sarah as a spokeswoman'. Were you critical of that.
- 20 A. I think this is information which needs to be on the
- 21 public record and which wasn't. It's all gleaned
- directly from the Saunders and Fergie reports which were
- being portrayed in the media and had been portrayed as
- other thorough investigations that were going on. I'm
- 25 pointing out here that you are talking about a small
- 26 group of 35 women endorsing one person as a
- spokesperson, and I stand by it. Those are the facts.
- That is what happened.
- Q. At the time that you did your report on 19 May, how many women had you spoken to.
- 31 A. I had spoken to at least five, which means five times
- more than had spoken to Deane Fergie.
- 33 Q. How do you know that.
- 34 A. You were you have just agreed that one was authorised
- as a spokesperson.
- 36 Q. That doesn't mean she didn't speak to other people.
- 37 That is you how you interpret that, in fact, as one was
- authorised as a spokesperson, she didn't speak to anyone

- else.
- 2 A. I know she spoke to a number of people. The key source is - the one key source is Doreen Kartinyeri.
- Q. I am trying to clarify what has been your belief: that 5 she didn't speak to any of the other 35 women.
- A. It's been my belief she didn't speak with them about the sacred secret women's business.
- 8 Q. The five that you say you spoke to before the 19th was Dorothy, Dulcie - this is before 19 May, not subsequent. 9
- 10 A. Dorothy, Dulcie, Rita, Audrey Dix, Bertha Gollan were on 11 the record. I had spoken directly to Dulcie and 12 Dorothy.
- 13 Q. You personally spoke to two, didn't you, before 19 May.
- 14 A. And I have written statements. I had written statements 15 from five and went on to speak to the others, yes.
- 16 Q. You refer to, you say `In previous years, Aboriginal 17 interests, including women, had be consulted without any women's business emerging'. At that stage, had you 18 19 informed yourself about what consultation there had been

20 with various women and groups previously.

- 21 A. Obviously, I had to some degree. Are you contesting 22 what I have written? I can go through the reports line 23 by line and line by line so that you can see where I got 24 every bit of information.
- 25 COMSR: I suppose the witness is saying has it 26 been challenged? Are you putting to him a contrary 27 version of -
- 28 MS PYKE: I'm simply saying that, asking him what 29 attempt he had made to establish whether that, in fact, 30 was drawn from his source.
- 31 COMSR: I understand that. I'm still not clear 32 whether the witness is being challenged as to the 33 accuracy?
- 34 XXN
- 35 Q. I suggest to you that as you read in the Fergie report
- 36 as to, indeed, one of the issues, there was proper
- 37 consultation.
- 38 A. There is a lot of information to me to suggest there has

C.K. KENNY XXN (MS PYKE)

been adequate consultation. 2 MR MEYER: Consultation of women's business or what? 4 COMSR: Perhaps is there something you want to 5 put to me? 6 MR MEYER: I was trying to pick up the thread of 7 where we are at. If we are talking about consultation 8 into the subject of Professor Saunders' report, then the 9 women's business, I have no objection as that would be 10 proper. If talking about the other consultation, I'm 11 wondering where we are at with that? 12 COMSR: Could you be more specific as to the consultation that you are referring to? 13 14 MS PYKE: I'm referring to the consultation he refers to in his article: `In previous years, Aboriginal 15 16 interests, including women's, have been consulted 17 without any women's business emerging'. That is a 18 general statement. 19 WITNESS: That is a fact. That is on the public record, is it not. 20 21 XXN 22 Q. I'm asking you the question. 23 A. I'm telling you it is a fact. It's a fact that was on 24 the public record at the time and remains on the public 25 record. 26 Q. What is your source of that bit of information. A. What is my source? You want to ask me the source for 27 28 every bit of public information? 29 Q. It might speed things up if you answered the question 30 rather than to ask them. 31 MR LOVELL: Is my friend suggesting that that is not 32 the case? 33 MS PYKE: I went back and said to him earlier as 34 he read in the Fergie report, that was one of the 35 assertions in the Dr Fergie report that there hadn't 36 been proper consultation, certainly with women. That is a different proposition. This 37 MR LOVELL: 38 is an adjective here. We are simply talking about

37

38

C.K. KENNY XXN (MS PYKE)

apples and oranges. 2 Is there a question that you have asked COMSR: that hasn't been answered? MS PYKE: I'll move on. 5 XXN 6 Q. You go on in that article to say this - I don't know 7 which column, I lost the count on that. It is the same 8 article at column 8: `There is no attempt in the Fergie 9 or Saunders reports to explain how Ngarrindjeri 10 ancestors could have worried about an artificial link 11 between the island and the mainland when they had no 12 knowledge of bridges'. 13 A. I can't find that here. That sounds familiar. 14 Q. That, presumably, is a criticism of Dr Fergie. A. No, it's a discussion of the issue. It's a discussion 15 16 of some of the public issues involved in this, some of the obvious questions that are raised. 17 Q. Are you saying that that is a criticism - you are saying 18 19 that there should be some attempt by Dr Fergie to -20 A. I was observing that there was no attempt. 21 Q. You then go on and make claims in what is referred to as 22 your media footnote. You refer to the 7.30 Report `Have 23 become emotional mouthpieces for the Aboriginal Legal 24 Rights Movement, Doreen Kartinyeri and Dr Deane Fergie'. 25 A. Yes. 26 Q. In what way do you suggest that the 7.30 Report is a mouthpiece for Dr Fergie. 27 28 A. I believe that throughout the whole affair, the 7.30 29 Report has adopted the ALRM position since, indeed, 30 before my first piece went to air, and they haven't 31 waivered from that and they had privileged access to 32 Doreen Kartinyeri, Deane Fergie and others and never 33 once have they asked these people any of the probing or 34 pertinent questions that I have been dying to ask them. 35 MS PYKE: It is an implication that there is some 36 suggestion that the 7.30 Report is a mouthpiece for Dr

Fergie and that is frankly not something that is - that

accusation is offensive to Dr Fergie, putting it

- 1 bluntly. She is quite able to speak for herself.
- 2 WITNESS: Do you want a response?
- 3 COMSR: No, that is just a statement. Unless it
- 4 is a question?
- 5 XXN
- 6 Q. You have made some criticism in your statement -
- 7 COMSR: I hope it's not being suggested that at
- 8 some stage I'm going to have to make some sort of a
- 9 judgment on that particular line of questioning?
- 10 MR MEYER: It would be wise.
- 11 MR ABBOTT: There are the Walkley Awards. There
- could be the Stevens Awards.
- 13 MS PYKE: I'm afraid when its alleged by media
- reporting, that lends itself to this sort of thing.
- 15 Certainly wouldn't have been the way that we would go about it.
- 17 XXN
- 18 Q. One of your criticisms of Dr Fergie in your evidence
- and, indeed, your statement was that she wouldn't, as you saw it, give you an interview.
- 21 A. That's been my observation.
- Q. It's a bit more than that. You have chosen to give evidence about it in your statement and in your -
- 24 A. Precisely. I want to put it on the record the lengths I
- have gone to to put this matter to these people who have
- put it all together; the other side, as you say. I've gone to great lengths to get detailed responses from
- Deane Fergie on all of this and it proved very difficult.
- 30 Q. I suggest to you that one of the concerns that Dr Fergie
- had which she expressed to you was that she wanted to
- make sure she got a fair go in the reporting. She had some concerns about your editing, didn't she.
- 34 A. Well, I don't know. I didn't get to speak to her for a
- week. She was on every other media in the town and I
- thought that I had some pertinent questions to ask
- 37 her.
- 38 Q. Is that why you were trying to interview her.

- 1 A. That's what I'm suggesting. It's usually the way that when we don't great a break for an interview, it is
- usual that the people fear speaking to you.
- 4 Q. It may have been that she didn't have the time.
- 5 A. She spoke to the 7.30 Report and the ABC Radio and 6 Channel 7.
- 7 Q. That's perhaps why she didn't have the time to speak to you.
- 9 A. Precisely. She knew that I was the person that
- uncovered the information and did the background work
- and perhaps she knew that I was the first person to
- speak up. She knew that I'd read her report and the
- Saunders' report.
- 14 Q. Beg your pardon.
- 15 A. She knew that I had read the reports and I think she
- knew that the other journalists that she spoke to
- 17 hadn't.
- 18 Q. Are you aware that any attempt had been made to speak to
- 19 Dr Fergie either on the weekend of 20 and 21 May.
- 20 A. I'm not certain.
- Q. Are you aware that Kellie Sloane went to Dr Fergie'soffice on 23 May.
- 23 A. Yes, I am.

33

- Q. Have you had any conversation with Kellie Sloane about her discussions with Dr Fergie that day.
- 26 A. Indeed. She went there because we were having trouble
- securing an interview with Deane Fergie. I was busy on a number of fronts and Kellie was asked to go and she
- phoned her to see if she would talk to her and she
- granted us an interview.
- Q. Did Kellie Sloane tell you that Dr Fergie raised several objections to the way in which Channel 10 had dealt with
 - the issue, and you in particular, up to that point.
- 34 A. I don't recall specifically. I know that she didn't
- grant us an interview. It's more than likely she may
- 36 have said something along those lines.
- 37 Q. I will put to you particularly what Dr Fergie will say
- 38 she said when she had a conversation with Kellie Sloane.

- 1 She said that, put to her that the reason that she was
- 2 not happy to talk to Channel 10 was that it was her view
- 3 that they had schemed to get the most out of the story
- 4 by timing the release of the story for Friday at 5
- 5 o'clock and by not approaching her either before it was
- 6 released or, indeed, even on the weekend. And it was
- 7 her view that you were not interested in any balance in
- 8 the story.
- 9 OBJECTION Mr Lovell objects.
- 10 COMSR: Are you asking whether this witness
- 11 heard that?
- 12 MS PYKE: I'm putting that to him.
- 13 COMSR: That is not a conversation that he was
- privy to.
- 15 XXN
- 16 Q. Did Kellie Sloane tell you that.
- 17 A. I don't recall in those terms.
- 18 Q. Along those lines.
- 19 A. She's got to make up an excuse for not speaking to us.
- 20 She can make up all the allegations she likes. I was
- going to great lengths to speak to her and finding it very hard to speak to her and get her side of the story.
- 23 Q. I put it to you I'm not putting it as a criticism of
- you, but I'm trying to gain from you what Kellie Sloane said to you, this is what Dr Fergie was complaining
- about and why she won't give us an interview.
- 27 A. As I said, I was extremely interested in interviewing Dr
- Fergie and it wasn't as if we were pursuing Deane Fergie for an interview, but we needed to get the answers as
- 30 there were many questions we needed to put to her.
- 31 Q. She also put to Kelly Sloane that they had not sought to
- 32 interview any of the opposing Aboriginal women over the
- weekend and that again was another basis for her view
- that Channel 10 was intent on sensationalising and why
- 35 she was reluctant to speak to you.
- 36 A. You can go on with the malarkey until the cows come
- 37 home. The point is that the story was put together
- after considerable investigation. Doreen Kartinyeri,

- 1 Sandra Saunders and Deane Fergie are clearly on the
- 2 record where their views lie with the sacred secret
- women's business. I was conducting an investigation
- 4 into a group of women who were contesting that view.
- 5 Once I satisfied myself that those allegations were
- 6 credible, we put them to air and went about methodically
- 7 trying to get considered responses from the Aboriginal
- 8 Legal Rights Movement, from Doreen Kartinyeri, from
- 9 Deane Fergie none of whom wanted to speak to us and
- answer any of questions raised in the story. I don't
- know how, but we tried to get together the proponent
- women on that weekend, but they were locked away with
- the 7.30 Report. We saw that on the Monday night and
- that was the pattern established on the Friday night and
- has remained in place ever since.
- 16 Q. I simply was putting to you on the basis that whilst Dr
- 17 Fergie declined to be interviewed at that stage, she
- offered, through Kellie Sloane, particular reasons for
- her reluctance to be interviewed.
- 20 A. I have agreed with that three or four times.
- Q. That is the only question asked of you. Dr Fergie then had, indeed, a telephone call with you on the 25th.
- 23 COMSR
- Q. Do you recall whether there was such a telephone call.
- 25 A. I certainly recall the telephone call and it was late in
- that following week. The precise date I'm not certain of. I think it was the Friday.
- 28 MR ABBOTT: It says Friday the 26th.
- 29 XXN
- 30 Q. I suggest to you in your statement you say Friday, 26th
- but I suggest to you that it was, in fact, Thursday the
- 32 25th when Dr Fergie contacted you.
- 33 A. It could have been either, I can't really say, but that
- is around the time. It was certainly late that week.
- 35 Q. That, I suggest to you, the conversation that Dr Fergie
- had with you at that time, was quite an extensive one.
- 37 A. It was indeed.
- 38 Q. And that it covered the women that she had spoken to,

- and she referred you to the appendix of her report of the meeting at Graham's Castle.
- A. It was an extensive, lively and interesting discussion; exactly the sort of discussion that I wanted to have with her on camera.
- Q. I put it to you that when you telephoned Dr Fergie and she talked to you about in that telephone call, that she referred you to the appendix of her report which listed not only the women at the Graham's Castle meeting, but also the Ngarrindjeri Action Meeting and a number of the others she spoke to by person or by phone.
- A. Well, I have said a number of times I don't have notes of that conversation and I can't talk about the conversation in any detail. But we did discuss many of the pertinent questions that I put to her and she'd mounted her defence to some of the claims that I was putting to her.
- Q. You also asked her why it hadn't been recorded, the issue of the secret women's business, why it hasn't been recorded by other anthropologists, and she had a fairly lengthy discussion with you about transmission of knowledge; do you recall that.
- A. That would be correct. Those are the sorts of things we discussed, as I say, the things I would like to discuss with her on camera, and eventually did in a very shortened fashion a few days later.
- Q. I suggest to you at the end of that telephone
 conversation, Dr Fergie used words to the effect of `You see, none of that is amenable to a ten second grab'. Do you recall her saying anything like that.
- A. I recall her saying things along those lines. She's
 interested in seeing, if she could grab a four or five
 minute grab of the program to put her views across.
- Q. You asked her whether she could suggest a different kind of a format. She then put to you indeed as you put in your evidence `What about some unedited time?', and you said that you would put it to Grant Hedding, your
- boss and get back to her.

- A. It was left open. I told her it was unlikely, and it is certainly something I would have enjoyed doing. It is probably something we could have got away with doing on the Monday after the story, but by this stage she had given her 10 second grabs to Channel 7 and the ABC, and it would have been very difficult for us to then come up with her for 5 minutes a week later.
- Q. I suggest to you what happened was there was a discussion, she said it is not available for a 10 second grab, put a suggestion to you, you said you would go to your boss, Grant Hedding, and get back to her, and I suggest what happened was that you never got back to her until the event in the precincts of Doreen Kartinyeri's doctorate.
- 15 A. Precisely. That's been my evidence in my statement, 16 that the matter was left open, and it happened a couple 17 of days later I saw her in the foyer and took the 18 opportunity to throw a couple of questions at her.
- Q. I suggest to you that when you went up to Dr Fergie at the ceremony at the university, that you went up to her and said to her `Dr Fergie, why won't you speak to us?'

 That doesn't appear on the raw footage.
- A. We've got the raw footage. I'm happy to go with what's on the raw footage. I don't know what happened in the three seconds preceding that.
- Q. Do you remember that after the interview that we saw on
 the raw footage, Dr Fergie took you to task and alleged
 that you'd been unethical.
- A. Dr Fergie got very angry after that interview, yes, but, you know, as well she might. She obviously didn't want to be interviewed by me. She was trying to avoid it.
- As a journalist, sometimes you need to be aggressive and make sure you get to speak to the people you need to
- make sure you get to speak to the people you need to speak to, and she was a key player. I needed to speak
- speak to, and sile was a key player. I needed to speak
- 35 to her. I needed to put those questions to her to be
- fair, not only to the public, but to be fair to her.
- There were criticisms of her work and they needed to be
- 38 put to her.

- Q. I suggest to you that Dr Fergie suggested to you that you were unethical, when she'd last spoken to you she had not refused to be interviewed by you, that you had gone off to consult with your employer and you'd
- 5 undertaken to get back to her.
- A. I'm not certain I undertook to get back to her or she
 undertook to get back to me. The matter was left open.
 It doesn't really matter. The point was she was there
 and I was able to interview her. She did say she was in
- and I was able to interview her. She did say she was a rip-roaring hurry to get off to a lecture, but I
- noticed she hung around for about half an hour after the interview then.
- Q. I am simply putting to you the suggestion implicit in your evidence and your statement that Dr Fergie wasn't co-operating with you, is quite misleading and that
- indeed she had spoken to you, she had spoken to Kellie, she had expressed her concerns and was waiting to hear
- 17 she had expressed her concerns and was wa 18 from you.
- A. I don't think it is misleading at all. I think that
 Deane Fergie has been unco-operative since the story
 went to air, and she is one of many. In my efforts to
- go into detailed questioning with all those who have
- been involved in this matter, they have, to a person, been unco-operative.
- Q. There was a conversation with Dr Fergie on 30 May, and
 that, I think, you allude to in your interview with Mr
 Philip Jones about Dr Fergie's thesis.
- 28 A. Yes
- Q. Where did your information come from about Dr Fergie's thesis, having sealed parts of it.
- 31 A. I'm not certain. To be honest, so many pieces of
- information, especially during this period, were coming
- in to me. Some were being phoned through anonymously,
- some were off the record, some were from people who I
- knew and wanted to stay out of the issue. You know full
- well that there are a lot of people involved in this
- issue and a lot of people have small snippets of
- 38 knowledge concerning this issue. I don't know where

- that came from originally, but I did check it out and it 2 certainly checked out. As you say, I discussed it with
- 3 Deane Fergie herself. I mentioned in earlier evidence that I discussed it with Austin Gough.
- 5 Q. Do you recall that Dr Fergie told you that there were 6 restrictions that she had requested in respect of the 7 lodgement of her thesis in the Barr Smith Library.
- 8
- 9 Q. And she said because of the cultural sensitivity of the 10 material and the request of tabar women that she not 11 divulge the material, she asked that the thesis not be 12 on loan to men.
- 13 A. That is the sort of thing we discussed, yes.
- Q. She told you she had had a male supervisor and that one 14 of her examiners had been a man. 15
- 16 A. She told me many things, yes, and other people were 17 telling me some things which were slightly
- 18 contradictory. It is an issue that I never really got
- 19 to the bottom of, that I never actually reported on for
- 20 television because other events took precedence. But it
- 21 was something I'd merely begun to investigate, and there
- 22 certainly was, as you say, some truth in what I was 23 hearing.
- 24 Q. Did she tell you that her request had been denied.
- 25 A. Yes, but I think - again, this is I matter I'd only
- 26 begun to investigate. When you say the request had been
- 27 denied, I think you are talking about an extended
- 28 period. I think this was a controversy, as I understand
- 29 it, that was kicked around university committees for 30 some time.
- 31 Q. In this article of the Canberra Times on 10 June 1995,
- 32 you said this about Dr Fergie in column 4 `Dr Fergie's
- 33 thesis was submitted at Adelaide University with the
- 34 instruction that it should be read by women only - so
- 35 she has staked her career on confidential female
- 36 matters'. What was the basis of that conclusion, that
- 37
- Dr Fergie has staked her career on confidential female
- 38 matters.

- 1 A. It is her expertise it is the area of her expertise,
- it is the area of her thesis, it is the area upon which
- 3 she has staked her claim in the anthropological world.
- 4 Q. Are you aware of any other work that Dr Fergie has done.
- 5 A. Not a great deal, no.
- 6 Q. So that comment is just based upon her thesis.
- 7 A. It is a comment, as you say. It is a comment about the
- area of her expertise, and I believe that unless you can tell me otherwise, I believe it is an accurate
- reflection of her work. It is where her particular area of expertise lies, is it not?
- 12 Q. You are the one who made the comment in your article, Mr
- Kenny. I am simply asking you whether the basis for
- that comment, and you have answered the question, is Dr
- 15 Fergie's thesis, and that's the basis on which you've
- been prepared to make that assertion.
- 17 A. That basis and, I think, if you add to that, the recent
- Fergie report on the sacred secret business of Hindmarsh
- 19 Island. You have two fairly significant pieces of work
- 20 relating to secret women's business.
- 21 Q. That's a different thing to allege that she staked her
- career on confidential female matters, isn't it.
- 23 A. I think, on balance, if you look at her career, I would
- argue that it is a prominent feature of her career.
- Q. You just told me that you really don't know much elseabout her career, do you.
- A. I know a little bit about it. I've tried to make myself as aware of it as possible.
- 29 Q. Are you aware that she has done substantial work in
- Northern communities with men and women.
- 31 A. Yes.
- 32 Q. In the raw footage, Exhibit 148, you talk about the
- women's business. You remember the exchange that you
- 34 had with Philip Jones.
- 35 A. Yes.
- 36 Q. You say that Dr Fergie, in your belief, is central to
- 37 the way it all came up, that she didn't investigate
- thoroughly. You also say this `She may have been

- central in shaping the way in which it arose'. What did 2 you mean by that.
- A. I meant exactly what it says. I think she may be central.
- 5 Q. Could you explain. Perhaps I am being a bit obtuse. I 6 don't really know what you mean by that.
- 7 A. What you are doing here is bringing up off-camera 8 conversations I have had with other people. They are 9 not for publication, they are not the sort of things I
- 10 would have written in television reports, would have 11 written in articles. You choose to bring them in the
- 12 public domain in this way, that's fine, but it is
- 13 definitely my personal opinion, given my viewing of all
- 14 the facts at the time, that there is a lot here to be
- 15 investigated, that is very interesting, and that, yes,
- 16 Deane Fergie appears to have been central in all of
- 17 this. The more I was checking the facts out, the more I
- 18 was speaking to people, I thought it was becoming clear
- 19 that perhaps Deane Fergie's involvement may not have
- 20 begun just as being brought in as an investigator of the
- 21 so-called sacred secrets business. She may have
- 22 actually discussed the matter previously with Doreen
- 23 Kartinyeri. It seemed to be a matter worth considering,
- 24 a matter worth checking out, a matter worth asking
- 25 questions about. As you can see, what you are talking
- 26 about though is my comments about that off camera, off 27
- the record, if you like. I wasn't saying it publicly. 28 Q. Are you suggesting that anyone has told you that Dr 29 Fergie had discussions with Doreen Kartinyeri about 30 secret women's business prior to her meeting at the -
- 31 A. No. I am suggesting that this was an area at that stage
- 32 in my investigations that was intriguing, and that I
- 33 would like to check out just how far back her
- 34 involvement began. When did she become involved? When
- 35 was she first commissioned by the ALRM? There were
- issues there that I wanted to continue to probe.
- 37 Q. You are not suggesting that anything has come to light

C.K. KENNY XXN (MS PYKE)

- indicating that Dr Fergie has some agenda preceding her -
- A. I am not suggesting that, because I never I ceased my
 investigations. The past couple of months I haven't
 been probing this story any longer. I have been sitting

6 back waiting to give my evidence as a witness.

- 7 MR MEYER: I want to avoid some confusion that 8 might have arisen out of that question and answer 9 because of the culminating questions that my friend
- because of the culminating questions that my friendasked. She inserted the word `agenda' and I think the
- word `agenda' is a very different situation from the
- previous line of questions which suggested some sort of
- knowledge or speaking to Doreen Kartinyeri. I don't
- 14 know whether my friend intended to add the extra nuance
- by inserting the word `agenda', or whether it is merely
- a question of any previous discussion with Mrs
- 17 Kartinyeri.
- 18 COMSR: You are saying that it is ambiguous?
- 19 MR MEYER: Yes. It is not helpful in that it
- suddenly changed emphasis. That is all.
- 21 MS PYKE: I used the word `agenda' and that's the question I wanted to ask.
- 23 MR MEYER: If that was deliberately used, that is 24 all right.
- 25 XXN
- Q. So you are not suggesting you found any information or
 got any information that there was any other agenda of
 Dr Fergie.
- A. I'm suggesting that it is an area I was intrigued about at the time, and I remain intrigued about, but I haven't
- been investigating any more. The inquiry, this inquiry
- is doing the investigating.
- 33 Q. I am trying to get to the basis of whether you are
- suggesting that Dr Fergie has behaved, in any way, in an
- improper way with some agenda or something hidden that
- we don't know about that's coloured her report. Let me
- put it bluntly to you.

C.K. KENNY XXN (MS PYKE) (MR MEYER)

A. In none of my reports, nor in any of my articles, have I tried to suggest that Deane Fergie has done anything

improper. I have been critical of her report, I

- 4 suppose, in its thoroughness, but I have not suggested
- 5 any impropriety. What you are doing, as I said, you are
- 6 referring to something that was a casual off-camera
- 7 comment. It is the sort of thing I wouldn't have made

8 publicly at the time. It is there because, in the

- 9 interests of openness of this inquiry, I have provided
- every frame of footage to do with those interviews. No,
- I am not suggesting that Deane Fergie has done anything improper, but it does remain an area that interests me.
- improper, but it does remain an area that interests me.

 MR LOVELL: Before Ms Pyke sits down, she said
- earlier she was going to come back to some of these
- matters in the article. That hasn't happened. Just to
- make it clear that obviously everything that Mr Kenny has written is unchallenged.
- 18 COMSR: I put to Ms Pyke that if they weren't
- 19 challenged that I could then assume that they weren't 20 matters which were in issue.
- 21 MR LOVELL: She did tell you she was going to come back to it. That hasn't happened.
- 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MY MEYER
- Q. Would you look, please, at Exhibit 145, that's the handwritten notes. I think you have got the original notes.
- 27 A. The Milera notes, yes.
- 28 Q. I apologise I have a little difficulty with your
- 29 writing. Can you read for me from the 7th line at the
- bottom of the first page. I now know that says `I
- remember that VW because you confirmed that to Mr
- 32 Tilmouth. Can you read the rest of that page.
- 33 A. Those notes say `I remember that Victor Wilson and I
- said that they can take care of it. That includes that
- consultation from Binalong has taken place with the
- 36 Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage Committee and the
- 37 Raukkan arm of the' I think it is `Ngarrindjeri
- Lands Progress Association, the NLPA give it to Henry

C.K. KENNY XXN (MR MEYER)

- George and Jean Rankine. They did negotiate with 2 Binalong. All Aboriginal people were negotiated with.'
- Q. That's the end of that reference. That goes on to something to do with the union on the next page.
- 5 A. Off to the union, yes.
- Q. The word 'did' is underlined. Why.
- A. That's just obviously an emphasis that Doug was making 8 at the time. I have underlined `all' in the next line 9 as well. Doug was very keen, a number of times, to 10 stress that negotiations had taken place.
- 11 Q. Is that the negotiations tied to the consultation that's 12 referred to in the fifth to last line, consultation with
- 13 Binalong.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Henry, I take it, is Henry Rankine.
- 16 A. Yes, Henry Rankine, George Trevorrow and Jean Rankine. 17 In effect, Doug is telling me that he and Victor handed
- 18 that task over to those three people.
- 19 Q. Did you get a time span on when Doug was saying that the 20 negotiations and consultation had taken place with 21 Binalong.
- 22 A. There's no specific time, but he was referring to the 23 early days. He was referring quite a way back, which I gather we'd be talking 89, 90. Something of that order. 24
- 25
- Q. Who did you understand Binalong to be.A. The developers, the Chapmans, the marina developers. 26
- 27 Q. The company involved with the development.
- 28
- 29 Q. In the information that Doug Milera had given you, did 30 he end up telling you that in fact consultation had
- 31 taken place with the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage
- 32 Committee through their nominated people.
- 33 A. He was very keen to tell me that. It was an issue on 34 that night that I wasn't particularly interested in. It
- 35 was one of the ones - the points he was very keen for me 36
- to get down. **CONTINUED** 37

38

C.K. KENNY XXN (MR MEYER)

- Q. On p.2, five lines from the bottom of p.2, can you read those five lines for me.
- 3 A. Yes, 'We were getting fed stories by Friends of
- 4 Kumarangk that lots of skeletons being found. Went to
- 5 marina with Draper. He showed me where interred. I was satisfied.'
- Q. Did you have any understanding as to who was meant byThe Friends of Kumarangk.
- 9 A. Yes, I understand that to be the anti bridge group,
- 10 conservation group, that is at Goolwa.
- 11 Q. Are they, to your understanding, Aboriginal people, or nonAboriginal people.
- 13 A. My understanding is he is referring to the white people, 14 the nonAboriginal people in that group.
- 15 Q. Did he name any, in particular, what I am getting at is,
- did he indicate the name of any people who had said that there were lots of skeletons being found.
- 18 A. Actually, most of that evening, I don't recall Doug
- 19 naming anyone, in particular, from Friends of Kumarangk.
- It is difficult for me, because they are names I am
- aware of from my other involvement with that group. To
- the best of my recollection, Doug always referred to
- them as `Friends of Kumarangk', rather than as people.
- Q. He ends up saying he was satisfied, along with Dr
- Draper, as to what he observed at the marina.
- 26 A. Yes.
- 27 Q. Have you ever met a Mrs Betty Fisher.
- 28 A. I don't believe I have ever met her, no.
- 29 Q. Have you seen her picture on the television.
- 30 A. I have seen her picture on the television. I have seen her in here.
- 32 Q. You know what Mrs Fisher looks like.
- 33 A. Yes.
- 34 Q. Did you see Mrs Fisher at Mrs Kartinyeri's doctorate
- 35 ceremony.
- 36 A. I can't recall.
- 37 Q. In relation to the tapes that you have supplied to us,
- just so I understand the technicalities of this

C.K. KENNY XXN (MR MEYER) (MR ABBOTT)

- television stuff, are you saying to us that we have got all of your raw or wild footage, or whatever it might be called, that you have ever made, that you know of, in relation to your interviews.
- A. There would be bits and pieces that aren't there, undoubtedly. But, to the best that we have been able to pull together, everything, every significant interview has been kept. And we have kept every tape. And we have handed over every bit of vision on those tapes, as I said before, including bits that we would never
- normally see go to air, when it is conversations after an interview, etc.
- 13 Q. Including the embarrassing bits, warts and all.
- A. Including the embarrassing bits and the stand ups and whatever, but we decided it was better just to hand everything over. I think -
- Q. By `embarrassing', I mean where you have seven attempts to say something, for example, and that sort of stuff.
- A. Precisely. And also the conversations after interviews and whatever, I felt a bit uncomfortable about handing over, because these are conversations with people we
- were interviewing normally under the assumption that it
- is not going to air. But we thought, in the interests
- of completeness, we would hand over everything, so that
- the Commission could view the spirit and the demeanour in which all this work was done. The only interview
- that, to my knowledge, by way of completeness, we
- haven't been able to track down the complete, original
- version was that interview with Sandra Saunders, on 19
- 30 May, the day the very first story was aired. The
- 31 original camera tape from that somehow didn't get kept.
- 32 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR ABBOTT
- Q. Do you have copies of your articles in the AdelaideReview.
- 35 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. You wrote one article in the Adelaide Review of June,one in July and one in August this year.
- 38 A. Yes.

- Q. The one in June is the one that Ms Pyke has referred to, which was repeated in the Canberra Times.
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Turning to the one in June, Ms Pyke asked you about a passage in the Adelaide Review article, also in the
- 6 Canberra Times, about my clients, which begins apropos
- of my clients `These are respectable, credible women,
- 8 whose historical and genealogical links to the
- 9 Ngarrindjeri community are stronger than those of the
- sacred secrets promoters. Prominent among them is
- Dorothy Wilson, whose accounts are particularly
- insighful, because she was inovlved in some crucial
- meetings, when the claims were being organised.' You
- then go on to deal with other Ngarrindjeri women for
- whom I act. And you conclude by saying apropos of
- Bertha Gollan `Bertha's seniority was recently
- 17 recognised through her election to the Ngarrindjeri
- 18 Heritage Committee'. You learnt that from her, or from
- other source, or from both, from both directions.
- 20 A. No, Bertha told me about that.
- Q. In essence, what you have put in this article about my client is a distillation of what they told you in face-to-face interviews.
- A. It is a distillation of what they told me and what I was able to ascertain, through reading and speaking to other people. And it was also a reaction to some of the
- comments that were being thrown around in the public arena, at the time, which was giving, I think, a very
- 29 misleading impression of these women.
- 30 Q. Do I discern, from what you have said, that, when you
- initially heard of the claims by Dorothy Wilson and
- others, you were, if not sceptical, at least resistant
- to accepting the claims, at face value, without a degree of investigation.
- 35 A. I treated them with a high degree of scepticism. I was
- well-aware that this was a situation where people could
- be trying to sell someone a pup. And I was not going to
- lead myself down that sort of dead-end path.

- Q. When you described my clients as `respectable, credible women', is that on the basis of you conducting checks, independently of just interviewing them.
- 4 A. Indeed. It is based on my face-to-face encounters with them and on my discussion about them with other people.
 6 And, in fact, observing what positions they have held, in the communities in which they live.
- 8 Q. Without going into great detail, would you tell us what other independent checks you have conducted.
- 10 A. I have chatted with various other people in the
 11 Aboriginal community. I have spoken to Sue Lawrie and
- her mother, who were involved in introducing me to these
- women. I have even discussed, with various contacts,
- people who have dealt with these some of these women
- in the workplace. I have checked out many of the facts they have told me about their involvement in Aboriginal groups and church groups and community groups.
- 18 Q. Indeed, have you found that anything they have told you doesn't check out.
- A. There is nothing that any of these women have ever told me that has not checked out.
- Q. You told this Commission that you spoke with Dorothy
 Wilson initially as a result of Mr Ian McLachlan
 contacting you. Do you remember telling us that.
- 25 A. Yes.
- Q. Subsequently, you met with Sue Lawrie. And you then spoke, again, to some of my clients, did you not.
- A. After Ian McLachlan put me in contact with Sue Lawrie and Sue Lawrie introduced me to the women, I have been in constant contact with your clients. At least, I was
- in almost daily contact with them, while I was working on the story and developing various angles on the story
- on the story and developing various angles on the story.
 We have seen less of each other in the ensuing in the
- We have seen less of each other in the ensuing in the past couple of months.
- Q. In so far as it may be suggested, by people who don't know any better, that my clients were somehow
- 37 manipulated by politicians, such as McLachlan or a woman
- involved in politics, such as Sue Lawrie, did you ever

- 1 see anything to suggest that any of my clients had any 2 political line that they were taking.
- A. If I had ever seen anything like that, I would have ceased reporting the story. It was what I was on the 5 look out for, from the very first day, because of the 6 fact that Ian McLachlan had put me in contact with these 7 women. I have kept my eyes open for that, from day 1. 8 They are very strong-minded, independent women.
- 9 Q. May we take it, then, that the fact that Ian McLachlan 10 was the person who initiated your contact was not a plus 11 for my clients.
- 12 A. No, if your clients had come directly me to, I suppose 13 there would have been perhaps just that smaller degree 14 of scepticism. The fact that it came through Ian 15 McLachlan, who obviously had a political interest in the 16 issue, made me doubly sceptical, right at the outset.
- 17 Q. And keen to investigate, at every possible opportunity, 18 the possibility of whether or not there were any 19 political links between any of my clients and 20 politicians, of any stripe.
- 21 A. Indeed. I was on the look out for that, from the 22 outset. And, as I say, I have never seen any indication 23 that there has been any political motive whatsoever.
- 24 Q. Nor, indeed, even the contact you have told us about, 25 any contact between my clients and persons in the 26 political arena.
- 27 A. As far as I am aware, they have no contact with politicians, that I know of. And certainly my contact 28 29 with McLachlan and Lawrie finished months and months 30 ago. They were virtually just a catalyst for getting me 31 in touch with these women.
- 32 Q. Can I go back to the article in the Review, which dealt 33 with, amongst other things, Dr Fergie. You say in the 34 article referring to `A World That Was' and the fact
- 35 that, and I am paraphrasing, that in `A World That Was' 36 there was a great deal of detail about Hindmarsh Island
- 37
- and sites, but, with reference to none of the sites, was
- 38 there any reference to the possibility of secret women's

- business. You go on to say `Against this background, Dr
- 2 Fergie now says that Catherine Berndt might have known
- 3 about the secrets, but decided not to make any reference
- 4 to them.' Where did that come from.
- 5 A. That came from the interview that I eventually got with
- 6 Dr Fergie, in the foyer of the Festival Theatre. And I
- 7 put to her the proposition that there have been
- 8 respected female anthropologists who have studied the
- 9 Ngarrindjeri people and haven't recorded any of these
- details. And her response was that they may have
- actually found them and decided not to record them.
- 12 Q. Has anyone, so far, to your knowledge, and I include Dr
- Fergie in the term `anyone', suggested that the Berndts
- did record, or have recorded anything in relation to
- secret sacred women's business on Hindmarsh Island.
- 16 A. No, not at all.
- 17 Q. Have you checked up, as to where the Berndts' field notes are. You say they are in Perth.
- 19 A. I believe that is correct, that they are in Perth.
- 20 Q. You go on to describe Dr Fergie as a friend of Doreen
- 21 Kartinyeri's. Was that based on something more than
- seeing her at the induction of Doreen Kartinyeri with
- 23 her honorary doctorate at the university.
- 24 A. As I have said earlier, I had been told by a number of
- sources that they were good friends and, of course, that
- was made evident at that doctorate ceremony.
 Q. You rely upon anecdotal information, from a number of
- 28 sources. 29 A. Yes.
- 30 Q. Did you learn that they had worked together at the
- 31 museum.
- 32 A. I knew that they had worked together there, yes.
- 33 Q. You mention in this article Nanna Laura who is described
- and I will continue to describe her as the daughter of
- 35 Pinkie Mack.
- 36 A. Yes.
- 37 Q. You had heard, I think, from sources associated with the
- 38 ALRM, that they had documentation from the daughter of

- 1 Pinkie Mack.
- 2 A. Yes, this was this is a matter that I tried to
- 3 investigate earlier in the year, before long before
- 4 Ian McLachlan contacted me. And I ended up getting in
- 5 contact with Dorothy and Dulcie. I didn't get very far
- 6 in investigating it then, but there were public
- statements saying that there was a document, a document
- 8 had been procured from the daughter of Pinkie Mack. But
- 9 I believe now that that document never has been made public.
- 11 Q. You have never seen it.
- 12 A. I have never seen it, no.
- 13 Q. You are not able to help us, as to whether it exists or doesn't exist.
- 15 A. As I understand it, I think in the 7.30 Report interview
- Sandra Saunders says that such a document exists, but I
- 17 can't confirm that.
- 18 Q. You may or may not be aware that Mr Easdown, in one of
- 19 his articles, refers to information imparted to him by
- 20 Doreen Kartinyeri refers to it as being a statutory
- 21 declaration.
- 22 A. That is the sort of document it has been suggested it
- 23 is, but I have never seen the document, so I can't
- 24 confirm that it exists.
- 25 Q. You say in your article, apropos of this issue, that
- 26 Sandra Saunders and the ALRM attempted to discredit
- 27 this letter,' that is the letter that was read out in
- 28 Parliament `by saying that the daughter of Pinkie Mack
- was tricked into signing it. The Elder's
- 30 signature' that is the daughter of Pinkie Mack's
- 31 signature `was then procured on a second document
- denying that she had denied the so-called sacred
- secrets. The ALRM now says that the daughter of Pinkie
- Mack's position is that the issue of women's business
- should never be discussed.' Did that information come
- directly from the ALRM to you and, if so, from whom.
- 37 A. Sandra Saunders told me, in the foyer of the ALRM,
- during one of our exchanges when I raised that letter

- 1 with her. She said that Nanna Laura now says that. She 2 did not say that Nanna Laura says there is sacred secret
- women's business. She says that Nanna Laura says the whole issue should not be discussed.
- 5 Q. The article that was published in the Adelaide Review in 6 the June number was, I think it is fair to say, critical 7 of the ALRM. And, in part, critical of the proponents of the sacred secret women's business. 8
- 9 A. Yes, it was. It raised a lot of issues that I believed 10 weren't being raised in the public arena. By this 11 stage, I had raised the initial stories of the
- 12 allegations from the so-called dissident women. And the
- 13 public reaction, through the media, in particular,
- 14 through the 7.30 Report, had been very fulsome and very
- 15 wide of the mark, when it came to the substance of the
- 16 allegations. And this article was an attempt to get
- 17 some of the detail on to the record. And that is some
- 18 sort of assessment of what the Fergie and Saunders
- 19 report actually did lock on to. And an attempted
- 20 assessment of what the ALRM and Deane Fergie had 21 provided us, by way of explanation.
- 22 Q. Did you obtain, or was there any response to the 23 article.
- 24 A. This particular article, in the Adelaide Review?
- 25
- Q. Yes.A. There have been all sorts of responses to me. I don't 26 27 know whether I can narrow them down, in this particular 28 article, or the Channel 10 reports.
- 29 Q. Tell us of some of the responses, or some of the events 30 that occurred, after the publication of this article, in 31 June 1995.
- 32 A. I mean, I can't think of anything, in particular. I
- 33 have received a lot of threats. I have received a lot
- 34 of abuse. I have received a lot of vilification in the
- 35 media. The women that I have been dealing with have
- 36 been attacked by Ngarrindjeri people. It has been
- 37 pretty well full-on. I think everybody has seen that,
- 38 over the last few months. There has been street

KC 34N

- theatre. There has been marches down the street. Your clinets have been referred to as `traitors'. And I am thrown into a similar class of human being.

 Q. The next article that you wrote in the Adelaide Review, in July 1995, was after, I think, you had had the interview with Mr Rigney.

 CONTINUED

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You said `Despite the fact that ATSIC opposes the Royal
- Commission, Mr Rigney explained the Hindmarsh Island
- claim will confirm everything that Dorothy and Dulcie
 will alleged in my original report'. That is a report
- 6 reference to your June report.
- 7 A. No, the original May 19th Channel 10 report.
- 8 Q. You go on to say 'No wonder Mrs Kartinyeri in the ALRM
- 9 and Sandra Saunders are furious with him'. Where did
- you obtain the information, if you did obtain it, that
- 11 Ms Kartinyeri and Sandra Saunders were furious with Mr 12 Rigney.
- 13 A. Yet again, I have many sources to this story. There was
- a period when I was given the information from within
- the ALRM and I'm well satisfied that Miss Kartinyeri and
- Sandra Saunders were very angry at Matt Rigney after he
- made those comments; and that was verified from a couple of sources.
- 19 Q. You're aware, are you not, that Mr Rigney went into
- 20 print shortly after your interviews were reported in the
- Advertiser and elsewhere and I'm referring to the Advertiser.
- 23 A. I think the very next day.
- 24 Q. 30 June at the Australian, 30 June, which is our Exhibit
- 25 67, which is the media file.
- 26 A. Yes.
- 27 Q. I would like to put these articles to you. Looking at
- the documents produced, this is the article in the
- Australian by John Kerin. It mentions your interview with Mr Rigney.
- 31 A. It was Leslie John's interview with Mr Rigney.
- 32 Q. Yes. It goes on to say `The report claimed Mr Rigney
- has confirmed by the Lower Murray Aboriginal Heritage
- Committee, to Doug Milera three weeks ago that he made
- up secret women's business to stop the bridge. Mr
- Rigney told the Australian yesterday that he could not
- possibly confirm Mr Milera's version of events because
- he was not at the meeting. He said he was simply giving

CJ 340

- 1 his view how there could be an explanation for Mr
- 2 Milera's belief he'd made up the women's business. He
- 3 believed that Mr Milera told the women what the map
- looked like, in the sense that it had just dawned on
- 5 him, but knowledge of it pre-dated the meeting'. What 6 do you say to that.
- 7 A. I think that is getting to the real hub of what this
- 8 inquiry is all about. As I repeated in earlier evidence 9
- this morning, the critical allegation that was made by 10
- Dorothy Wilson in that 19 May news report was that so 11 called sacred secret women's business was relayed to her
- 12
- and a group of other Aboriginal women by Aboriginal men
- 13 in the presence of a white man.
- 14 Q. Mr Wooley.
- A. Mr Wooley. Since that time, the Doug Milera interview 15
- 16 was the first corroborative evidence of that meeting in
- 17 the Mouth House and supported entirely what Dorothy
- 18 Wilson said. The following day, Sarah Milera, who was 19
- also at that meeting, gave evidence and she has done
- 20 so a number of times to me - agreeing that that is what 21 did, in fact, occur. Matt Rigney's interview which
- 22 followed my non-recorded interview with him a few days
- 23 earlier was the first high level on the record
- 24 agreement, if you like, that this version of events
- 25 could actually have happened. I found I had a very
- 26 fascinating shift. Matt Rigney was trying still to deny
- 27 that there had be any fabrication, but was agreeing now
- 28 this version of events had occurred, there had been a
- 29 group of men and women together in the shack discussing
- 30 sacred secret women's business and pointing to a map.
- 31 And, therefore, while Mr Rigney was not keen to join the
- 32 dissident camp and say there has been a fabrication, he
- 33 was trying to explain that, yes, this had occurred, but
- 34 it was all okay. Since that time on 7 July in Adelaide,
- 35 Doreen Kartinyeri gave an interview in Victoria Square,
- 36 an interview which every television station in this city
- 37 filmed. She made similar comments to Matt Rigney
- 38 agreeing - and this is a person who was at that meeting

C.K. KENNY XXN (MR ABBOTT)

- 1 agreeing that men had pointed at a map and discussed
- 2 it with the women and that that's okay, that is not a
- 3 fabrication. That interview did not go to air on
- 4 Channel 9, 7 or the ABC ever. I was unaware of it
- 5 initially. It wasn't there. And we put it to air a few
- 6 days later. That interview with Doreen Kartinyeri
- 7 remains on the shelves of the other television stations
- 8 in this State. And two days ago in an interview down
- 9 the road from here when Sandra Saunders sought to
- 10 comment on the Doug Milera interview, she also failed to
- 11 contest the main allegation from Milera and Dorothy
- Wilson in the uncut camera tapes that all television
- stations now have and Sandra Saunders did not dispute
- the version of events that Doug Milera referred to in
- 15 that interview; that is, with the men pointing at a map
- and teling the women what it would look like and that would be sacred.
- 18 Q. Does Channel 10, to your knowledge, have a tape of the
- 19 Doreen Kartinyeri interview that you have spoken of.
- 20 A. I've got one with me in my bag here now.
- 21 Q. I ask that you produce it and we can play it.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 COMSR
- Q. Is there anything else on it. It is no good my finding out -
- A. I point out in the same vein that ABC Radio National had an interview on the weekend, it was replayed three
- times, an interview with Doreen Kartinyeri where she is
- even more specific about being there and agreeing to a series of events.
- 31 XXN
- 32 O. Is that on the tape as well.
- 33 A. No, I don't have that. You would have to ask the ABC.
- Q. Do you have the Sandra Saunders' interview.
- 35 A. The Sandra Saunders and Doreen Kartinyeri interviews are here.
- 37 MR ABBOTT: I ask that they be played.

38

2636

CJ 34O

- **COMSR**
- Q. Are they the only material on those tapes.
- A. They are the copies of the full interviews.
- Q. I think the time -
- A. The Kartinyeri interview goes probably less than five 5
- minutes and the Sandra Saunders interview is a rambling, 6
- contradictory 15 minutes.
- Q. You mean it lasts for 15 minutes. 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 MR ABBOTT: I ask that we hear them. If we have
- them in order of the chronology: the Doreen Kartinyeri 11
- 12 interview first.
- 13 TAPE OF THE DOREEN KARTINYERI INTERVIEW PLAYED
- 14 XXN
- 15 Q. What is the date of that.
- 16 Å. 7 July.17 TAPE OF THE SANDRA SAUNDERS INTERVIEW PLAYED
- 18 CONTINUED

RF 34P

1	COMSR: It appeared from that that Mrs Saunders						
2	was not present at the meeting at Mouth House and cannot						
3	really give any evidence as to that. I am just a little						
4	unclear as to what it is suggested that that tape						
5	deduces.						
6	MR ABBOTT: I will tell you the relevance of it.						
7	This is the woman who describes my clients as traitors						
8	because of the events they have recounted, in particular						
9	Dorrie Wilson, the events she has recounted about the						
10	Mouth House, yet wasn't there and doesn't in any way						
11	challenge my client's version. That is what this tape						
12	is about.						
13	MR MEYER: In my submission, it is relevant. It						
14	may be that we need some bits of the transcript, and you						
15	will apply what weight is necessary, but I think for the						
16	time being it is a relevant exhibit.						
17	EXHIBIT 153 Video tape of interview with Dr Doreen						
18	Kartinyeri on 7 July 1995 tendered by						
19	Mr Smith. Admitted.						
20	EXHIBIT 154 Video tape of interview with Sandra						
21	Saunders on 19 September 1995 tendered						
22	by Mr Smith. Admitted						
23	MR SMITH: The witnesses tomorrow are Steven Palyga						
24	and Wendy Chapman, when we have completed Mr Kenny.						
25	ADJOURNED 4.33 P.M. TO FRIDAY, 22 SEPTEMBER 1995 AT 10.15 A.M.						