

Ultra-High Energy Particle Detection with the Lunar Cherenkov Technique

Clancy William James
BEc, BSc(Hons)



School of Chemistry and Physics,
University of Adelaide

March 27, 2009

Contents

| | |
|--|------------|
| Contents | i |
| List of Figures | iii |
| List of Tables | vi |
| Abstract | ix |
| Disclosure | x |
| Acknowledgements | xi |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Outline of the Thesis | 1 |
| 1.2 Cosmic Rays, Neutrinos, and the Lunar Cherenkov Technique | 3 |
| 2 Simulations of the Parkes Lunar Radio Cherenkov Experiment | 13 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 16 |
| 2.2 Parkes Experiment | 18 |
| 2.3 Simulations | 19 |
| 2.4 Results | 22 |
| 2.5 Conclusions | 24 |
| 3 Isotropic Apertures of Past and Future Experiments | 27 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 29 |
| 3.2 The Lunar Cherenkov Technique | 31 |
| 3.3 Description of Modelling | 32 |
| 3.4 Preliminary Investigation on Secondary Muon and Tau Interactions | 41 |
| 3.5 Observational Phenomenology | 44 |
| 3.6 Effective Aperture of Past and Future Experiments | 48 |
| 3.7 Neutrino Limits from Past Experiments | 49 |
| 3.8 Flux Predictions and Future Experiments | 50 |
| 3.9 UHE Cosmic Ray Detection with the SKA | 56 |
| 3.10 Discussion | 58 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 4 | Directional Dependence | 61 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 63 |
| 4.2 | Lunar Cherenkov Observations | 65 |
| 4.3 | Directional Aperture | 68 |
| 4.4 | Potential Exposure of Future Experiments | 74 |
| 4.5 | Conclusions | 76 |
| 5 | Implementation of a Thinning Algorithm in the ZHS Code | 77 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 79 |
| 5.2 | Preliminaries | 80 |
| 5.3 | Thinning Electromagnetic Showers | 82 |
| 5.4 | Testing Thinning Methods | 92 |
| 5.5 | Model and Parameterisation for Coherent Cherenkov Radiation | 100 |
| 5.6 | Secondary Peaks | 106 |
| 5.7 | Conclusion | 108 |
| 6 | Data Analysis for LUNASKA Lunar Observations with the ATCA | 111 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 111 |
| 6.2 | Description of the Experiment | 112 |
| 6.3 | Dead-time and Efficiency | 120 |
| 6.4 | Dispersive and Sampling Effects | 121 |
| 6.5 | Relative Timing Calibration with Astronomical Point Sources | 128 |
| 6.6 | Sensitivity Calibration | 158 |
| 6.7 | Results | 169 |
| 6.8 | Summary and Conclusion | 187 |
| A | Comparison of Simulation Results using the Same Methods | 191 |
| B | Further Computation of Secondary Muon and Tau Interactions | 195 |
| C | Data Tables from Chapter 6 | 199 |
| | Bibliography | 207 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 1.1 | Huygens' Wavelet illustration of the Cherenkov condition. | 5 |
| 1.2 | Cherenkov radiation from a single particle track. | 6 |
| 1.3 | Coherency condition for Cherenkov radiation. | 7 |
| 1.4 | Comparison of radiation from hadronic and electromagnetic cascades. | 10 |
| 2.1 | Effective aperture of Parkes compared to other experiments. | 23 |
| 2.2 | Limits on a UHE neutrino flux from Parkes. | 24 |
| 3.1 | Small- and large-scale lunar surface roughness. | 34 |
| 3.2 | Cosmic ray and local surface interaction. | 34 |
| 3.3 | Contributions to effective aperture of secondary interactions. | 42 |
| 3.4 | Effects of increasing bandwidth on the effective aperture. | 45 |
| 3.5 | Effects of antenna diameter and pointing position on effective aperture. | 47 |
| 3.6 | Effective apertures to UHE neutrinos of past experiments. | 47 |
| 3.7 | Effective apertures to UHE neutrinos of future experiments. | 48 |
| 3.8 | Existing limits on a UHE neutrino flux. | 50 |
| 3.9 | Models of and expected limits on a UHE neutrino flux. | 52 |
| 3.10 | Effective aperture to UHE CR of the SKA AA. | 57 |
| 4.1 | Interaction geometries of neutrinos. | 66 |
| 4.2 | Neutrino mean free path compared to the lunar radius. | 66 |
| 4.3 | Effective aperture per arcmin ² of lunar disk of the Parkes experiment. | 68 |
| 4.4 | Coordinate definitions in the antenna-Moon system. | 69 |
| 4.5 | Normalised effective area of the Parkes experiment to 10 ²² eV neutrinos. | 70 |
| 4.6 | Combined exposure vs. UHE ν arrival direction of Parkes and Goldstone. | 73 |
| 4.7 | Potential exposure of future experiments. | 75 |
| 5.1 | Subdivision of particle tracks in ZHS. | 80 |
| 5.2 | A simple 'doubling' shower under different thinning methods. | 85 |
| 5.3 | Simulated spectrum under approximation A. | 86 |
| 5.4 | Quality of total excess tracklength under the standard algorithm. | 94 |
| 5.5 | Comparison of tracklength quality under two thinning algorithms. | 95 |
| 5.6 | Variation of shower parameters with thinning level. | 97 |
| 5.7 | Tracklength quality in extreme media. | 98 |
| 5.8 | Box model of shower development. | 100 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 5.9 | Comparison of spectra for 100 EeV showers. | 105 |
| 5.10 | Secondary peaks in 100 EeV showers. | 107 |
| 6.1 | Diagram of the signal path at each antenna. | 115 |
| 6.2 | Observed noise-cal pulse and origin-dependent predictions. | 118 |
| 6.3 | Trigger rates and efficiency over May 18 th . | 122 |
| 6.4 | Two spectra used to estimate sampling and dispersive effects. | 125 |
| 6.5 | A simulated coherent pulse sampled at three rates. | 126 |
| 6.6 | Effects of sampling rate on the detected pulse height. | 126 |
| 6.7 | Fraction of signal peak detected as a function of ionospheric dispersion. | 127 |
| 6.8 | Ionospheric influence over our observation periods. | 130 |
| 6.9 | Expected offsets of buffer centres for the sensitivity calibration. | 133 |
| 6.10 | Effects of data manipulation in the correlation program. | 137 |
| 6.11 | Consistency check of correlation times for the 2008 data. | 140 |
| 6.12 | Delay vs UT for two-fold coincident triggers during Feb. 2008. | 148 |
| 6.13 | Delay vs UT for two-fold coincident triggers during May 2008. | 150 |
| 6.14 | Delay vs UT for Three-fold coincident triggers. | 151 |
| 6.15 | Histograms of the change in offsets $\delta\Delta t_{ij}$ for the Feb. '08 run. | 155 |
| 6.16 | Histograms of the arrival directions of 'far-field' events. | 156 |
| 6.17 | Plan of the central area of ATCA. | 158 |
| 6.18 | Predicted positional reconstruction of sample on-site RFI sources. | 159 |
| 6.19 | Reconstructed positions of some near-field events. | 160 |
| 6.20 | Beam power patterns and received lunar emission. | 164 |
| 6.21 | Raw vs cleaned CA05 'nothingness' spectra for May 18 th . | 165 |
| 6.22 | Comparison of $k(\nu)$ between antenna and polarisation channel for May 18 th . | 165 |
| 6.23 | Comparison of calibration functions $k(\nu)$ obtained for the CA01 A channel. | 166 |
| 6.24 | Measured power spectra on all data channels for May 2008. | 167 |
| 6.25 | Measured and fitted calibration functions $k_{1A}(\nu)$ for 2008. | 167 |
| 6.26 | One of the four remaining candidate events from May 2007. | 171 |
| 6.27 | Antenna delay of Moon-consistent candidates vs all candidates. | 172 |
| 6.28 | A narrow-band-RFI-dominated three-fold trigger. | 173 |
| 6.29 | A narrow time structure event from February 27 th . | 174 |
| 6.30 | Best by-eye alignment of a candidate event. | 175 |
| 6.31 | Ratio (lunar/terrestrial origin) of candidate pulse heights. | 176 |
| 6.32 | Simulated signal height as a function of STEC. | 177 |
| 6.33 | Effective apperture of LUNASKA ATCA observations. | 179 |
| 6.34 | Limit on an isotropic flux of neutrinos from LUNASKA ATCA observations. | 179 |
| 6.35 | Fractional apertures for each polarisation orientation. | 180 |
| 6.36 | The effect of polarisation orientation for different neutrino spectra. | 181 |
| 6.37 | Effective area to UHE ν as a function of arrival direction. | 182 |
| 6.38 | Effective area of 2008 ATCA observations along a 'slice' profile. | 183 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 6.39 | Exposure of the 2008 LUNASKA ATCA observations. | 185 |
| 6.40 | Combined exposure of UHE ν detection experiments. | 186 |
| A.1 | Comparison with published apertures for GLUE and Kalyazin. | 192 |
| A.2 | Comparison between published and reproduced apertures for LOFAR. | 193 |
| B.1 | Effect of varying y_{\min} on the total aperture and contributions thereto. | 196 |
| B.2 | Fractional contributions to the effective aperture of the SKA dishes. | 197 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 3.1 | Lunar density profiles. | 36 |
| 3.2 | Shower and radio Cherenkov parameters. | 39 |
| 3.3 | Parameters of radio instruments used in the modelling. | 40 |
| 3.4 | Expected yearly neutrino event rates. | 54 |
| 4.1 | Directional properties of past lunar Cherenkov experiments. | 71 |
| 5.1 | Definitions of variables describing excess tracklength. | 92 |
| 5.2 | Variation in shower parameters under different thinning levels. | 99 |
| 5.3 | Fitted shower parameters. | 103 |
| 5.4 | Parameters fitting \bar{k}_L . | 103 |
| 5.5 | Parameters fitting σ_{k_L} . | 104 |
| 6.1 | ATCA antenna configurations. | 113 |
| 6.2 | Maximum trigger rates vs buffer length for 2008. | 120 |
| 6.3 | Efficiency of the 2008 observations. | 122 |
| 6.4 | Calculation of mean experimental efficiency for May 2007. | 122 |
| 6.5 | Interpolated VTEC values during the observations. | 123 |
| 6.6 | Estimated fractions of the peak signal detected during ATCA observations. | 130 |
| 6.7 | Description of symbols. | 131 |
| 6.8 | Cable delays and time offsets for the Feb. '08 observations. | 133 |
| 6.9 | Start times and duration for Feb. 2008 observations. | 142 |
| 6.10 | Start times and duration for May 2008 observations. | 143 |
| 6.11 | Number of two-fold and three-fold coincidences for February 2008. | 145 |
| 6.12 | Number of two-fold and three-fold coincidences for May 2008. | 146 |
| 6.13 | Calibration information gleaned from Figs. 6.12, 6.13, 6.14 only. | 152 |
| 6.14 | Alternative trial offsets for a pulse search. | 160 |
| 6.15 | Parameters for a fit to the beam shape. | 163 |
| 6.16 | Effective RMS electric field strengths per unit bandwidth. | 169 |
| 6.17 | Experimental exposures to UHE neutrinos from Cen A and Sgr A. | 186 |
| C.1 | Calibration times for the 2008 observations. | 200 |
| C.2 | The raw hexadecimal input into the trigger levels. | 201 |
| C.3 | Trigger levels (s.u.) for all three observation runs. | 202 |
| C.4 | Buffer RMS values over the entire bandwidth. | 203 |

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| C.5 | Buffer RMS values over the nominal bandwidth. | 203 |
| C.6 | Calibration data for the observation periods in February and May 2008. | 204 |
| C.7 | Fitted values of $k(\nu)$. | 205 |
| C.8 | Effective thresholds over all data channels for all observation periods. | 206 |

Abstract

The lunar Cherenkov technique is a promising method to resolve the mystery of the origin of the highest energy particles in nature, the ultra-high energy (UHE) cosmic rays. By pointing Earth-based radio-telescopes at the Moon to look for the characteristic nanosecond pulses of radio-waves produced when a UHE particle interacts in the Moon's outer layers, either the cosmic rays (CR) themselves, or their elusive counterparts, the UHE neutrinos, may be detected. The LUNASKA collaboration aims to develop both the theory and practice of the lunar Cherenkov technique in order to utilise the full sensitivity of the next generation of giant radio telescope arrays in searching for these extreme particles. My PhD project, undertaken as part of the collaboration, explores three key aspects of the technique.

In the first three chapters, I describe a Monte Carlo simulation I wrote to model the full range of lunar Cherenkov experiments. Using the code, I proceed to calculate the aperture to, and resulting limits on, a UHE neutrino flux from the Parkes lunar Cherenkov experiment, and to highlight a pre-existing discrepancy between existing simulation programs. An expanded version of the simulation is then used to determine the sensitivity of past and future lunar Cherenkov experiments to UHE neutrinos, and also the expected event rates for a range of models of UHE CR production. Limits on the aperture of the Square Kilometre Array (SKA) to UHE CR are also calculated. The directional dependence of both the instantaneous sensitivity and time-integrated exposure of the aforementioned experiments is also calculated. Combined, these results point the way towards an optimal way utilisation of a giant radio-array such as the SKA in detecting UHE particles.

The next section describes my work towards developing accurate parameterisations of the coherent Cherenkov radiation produced by UHE showers as expected in the lunar regolith. I describe a 'thinning' algorithm which was implemented into a pre-existing electromagnetic shower code, and the extensive measures taken to check its veracity. Using the code, a new parameterisation for radiation from electromagnetic showers is developed, accurate for the first time up to UHE energies. The existence of secondary peaks in the radiation spectrum is predicted, and their significance for detection experiments discussed.

Finally, I present the data analysis from three runs of LUNASKA's on-going observation program at the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA). The unusual nature of the experiment required both new methods and hardware to be developed, and I focus on the timing and sensitivity calibrations. The loss of sensitivity from finite-sampling of the electric field is modelled for the first time. Timing and dispersive constraints are used to determine that no pulses of lunar origin were detected, and I use my simulation software to calculate limits on an UHE neutrino flux from the experiment.

Disclosure

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below) resides with the copyright holders of those works.

C.W. James, R.M. Crocker, R.D. Ekers, T.H. Hankins, J.D. O'Sullivan, R.J. Protheroe, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, **59**; (2007) 1037-1041. ©2007 The Authors. Journal compilation ©2007 RAS.

C.W. James, R.J. Protheroe, *Astroparticle Physics* **52** (2009) 318-332. ©2008 Elsevier B.V.

C. W. James (candidate)

R. J. Protheroe (primary supervisor)

R. D. Ekers (co-supervisor)

Acknowledgements

First thanks goes to my supervisor, Ray, for sticking with me over both an Honours and a PhD project – and thank you most of all for your confidence and trust.

To my friend Jaime – it’s been fantastic working with you, even if the only neutrinos we saw came from Bimbadgen Estate’s ’06 merlot.

Thanks to Tanja and Roland, who provided both good advice and good friendship; also to my office-mate Victor, who kept me sane by having nothing to do with this work what-so-ever.

To my fellow lunatics, Rebecca, Chris, Paul, and Justin: I enjoyed working with you all, even when things went wrong at 03:00 am.

Throughout my PhD, I have been the fortunate recipient of a Ferry Scholarship, and I would like to thank both the Trustees for granting the award, and especially the late Cedric Ferry for bequeathing it.

Thanks to my parents, Chris and Bob, for your love and support.

To the irresistible force of Ron: your expertise, supervision, and general life-coaching have been invaluable. Thanks for corrupting me into a radio astronomer – I hope you’re happy!

Finally, to everyone I’ve met and worked with: the crew at USC, the radio types at the ATNF, the (mostly now former) Swinburne people, and everyone who at various times has graced level 4 of the Oliphant Wing, Physics Building, University of Adelaide: thank you all. I’ve enjoyed every minute.