



**Identification and characterization of genetic markers and metabolic pathways controlling net feed efficiency in beef cattle**

**Presented by**

**Madan Bhaskar Naik**

**01/09/2008**

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the award  
of Ph.D. in the Discipline of Agriculture and Animal Science  
at  
The University of Adelaide, Australia**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Table of Contents	ii
Abstract	viii
List of tables	xi
List of figures	xiii
Appendix	xvi
Abbreviations	xvii
Declaration	xxiv
Dedication	xxv
Acknowledgments	xxvi
<b>Chapter 1. Introduction and review of literature</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Evidence for variation in maintenance energy requirements of cattle	2
1.3 Net feed efficiency as a genetic trait	3
1.4 Measurement of feed intake and efficiency	5
1.4.1 Centralised testing facilities	5
1.4.2 On farming testing	6
1.4.3 On pasture	6
1.5 Selection response to high net feed efficiency and its effect on carcass qualities	6
1.5.1 Effect of selection for NFE on carcass traits	6
1.5.2 Selection response for NFE	7
1.5.3 Negative consequences of selection for NFE	8
1.5.4 Major challenges for selection of NFE	8
1.6 NFE QTL experiments	9
1.7 Physiological components affecting feed efficiency	11
1.7.1 Physical activity	11
1.7.2 Digestion and absorption	11
1.7.3 Heat increment of feeding	12
1.7.4 Visceral organs	12
1.7.5 Proton leakage	12
1.7.6 Susceptibility to stress	13
1.7.7 Physiological components and NFE: Conclusion	14
1.8 Molecular mechanisms of energy expenditure and its regulation	15
1.8.1 Coupled energy metabolism reactions	16
1.8.2 Substrate cycles	17

1.8.3	Protease systems and protein turnover	18
1.9	Regulation of energy expenditure and food intake	19
1.9.1	Role of the sympathetic nervous system in energy expenditure	19
1.9.2	Role of the brain in energy expenditure	21
1.9.3	Hormonal regulation of feed intake	22
1.9.3.1	Insulin	23
1.9.3.2	Leptin	24
1.9.4	Hypothalamic regulation of feed intake	25
1.9.4.1	Serotonin	26
1.9.4.2	Dopamine	26
1.9.4.3	Growth hormone	27
1.9.4.4	Somatostatin	27
1.9.4.5	Opioid system	27
1.9.4.6	Other neuropeptides	28
1.10	Satiety signals from the gastrointestinal tract	28
1.10.1	Gastrointestinal mechanoreceptors and chemoreceptors	28
1.10.2	Gastrointestinal hormones	29
1.10.3	Nutrient related signals	30
1.10.3.1	Role of long chain fatty acyl-CoA	31
1.10.3.2	Mammalian Target of Rapamycin pathway	32
1.10.3.3	Hexosamine biosynthesis pathway	32
1.11	Summary	34
1.11.1	Gaps in net feed efficiency research	34
1.11.2	Objectives of the study herein	34
1.11.3	Strategy	35
<b>Chapter 2.</b>	<b>Material and methods</b>	<b>36</b>
2.1	QTL mapping of net feed efficiency in beef cattle	37
2.1.1	Cattle QTL mapping experimental design: Davies mapping herd	37
2.1.2	Net feed efficiency phenotyping	38
2.1.3	Marker linkage maps	39
2.1.4	Microsatellite genotyping	40
2.1.5	QTL analysis	40
2.1.6	Threshold values	41
2.1.7	Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) experiments	41
2.1.7.1	Selection of candidate genes for SNP detection	41
2.1.7.2	Genomic DNA purification	41
2.1.7.3	DNA concentration	41
2.1.7.4	Primer design	41

2.1.7.5	Optimisation of PCR conditions	42
2.1.7.6	Gel electrophoresis	43
2.1.7.7	Automated DNA sequencing	43
2.1.7.8	Primer extension	44
2.1.7.9	Statistical analysis for fine QTL mapping and SNP Association	45
2.2	Mitochondrial proteomics and enzyme experiments	47
2.2.1	Selection lines	47
2.2.2	Sample collection	48
2.2.3	Isolation of mitochondria from liver and muscle samples	48
2.2.3.1	Mitochondrial preparations from frozen liver samples	48
2.2.3.2	Mitochondrial preparations from frozen muscle samples	49
2.2.4	Experimental animals	49
2.2.4.1	Mitochondrial enzyme studies	49
2.2.4.2	Proteomics studies	50
2.2.5	Protein concentration measurements	52
2.2.6	Assessment of mitochondrial preparations	52
2.2.6.1	Citrate synthase assay	52
2.2.6.2	Electron microscopy	52
2.2.7	Assays of oxidative phosphorylation enzyme complexes	53
2.2.7.1	Complex I activity (NADH ubiquinone:oxidoreductase)	53
2.2.7.2	Complex II activity (succinate:ubiquinone oxidoreductase)	53
2.2.7.3	Complex IV activity: (ferrocytochrome c:oxidoreductase)	54
2.2.7.4	Statistical analyses of enzyme assays	54
2.2.8	Two-dimensional differential gel electrophoresis (2-D DIGE)	54
2.2.8.1	Mitochondrial sample preparation	54
2.2.8.2	Preparation of CyDye fluors	55
2.2.8.3	Labelling with CyDye	55
2.2.8.4	Sample preparations for iso - electric focusing	55
2.2.8.5	Preparation of immobilised pH gradient (IPG) strip for iso-electric focusing (1 <sup>st</sup> dimensional)	55
2.2.8.6	Sample loading for iso-electric focusing (1 <sup>st</sup> dimensional)	55
2.2.8.7	IPG equilibration for second dimension	56
2.2.8.8	Sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)	56
2.2.8.9	DIGE image acquisition	57
2.2.8.10	DIGE image analysis	57
2.2.8.10.1	Spot detection	59
2.2.8.10.2	Background subtraction	59

2.2.8.10.3 Spot Matching	59
2.2.8.10.4 Normalization of spot intensities	60
2.2.8.11 Protein identification	60
2.2.8.11.1 Gel staining and storage	61
2.2.8.11.2 Sample preparation and sample loading	61
2.2.8.11.3 Data acquisition - mass spectrometry (MS)	62
2.2.8.11.4 Tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)	62
2.2.8.11.5 Mass spectrometry data analysis	62
2.3 Acknowledgements of technical assistance	63
<b>Chapter 3. Net feed efficiency QTL mapping in beef cattle and candidate gene identification</b>	<b>65</b>
3.1 Introduction	66
3.2 Background	66
3.3 Research strategy	68
3.4 Results	69
3.4.1 Candidate gene selection	69
3.4.2 Cattle-human comparative mapping	70
3.4.3 QTL fine mapping	72
3.4.4 Initial SNP association study	75
3.4.5 Second SNP association study	77
3.4.6 ParAllele SNP association analysis	78
3.5 Discussion	81
3.5.1 QTL mapping	82
3.5.2 SNP association studies in Jersey x Limousin Population	83
3.5.3 Association study of ParAllele SNPs in the Limousin x Jersey Population	84
3.5.4 Functional significance of candidate genes identified NFE QTL	84
3.5.4.1 BTA1	84
3.5.4.2 BTA20	87
3.5.4.3 BTA11	90
3.5.4.4 BTA8	94
3.6 Summary	97
3.7 Technical issues	98
3.8 Future studies	99

<b>Chapter 4. Assessment of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation enzyme activities in high and low net feed efficiency beef cattle</b>	<b>100</b>
4.1 Introduction	101
4.2 Results	103
4.2.1 Mitochondrial quality analysis	104
4.2.1.1 Protein concentration measurements	104
4.2.1.2 Citrate synthase enzyme activity assay	104
4.2.1.3 Electron microscopy	105
4.2.2 Oxidative phosphorylation complex enzyme activity in high and low NFE animals	106
4.2.3 Regression analysis of complex enzyme activities in high and low NFE Animals	107
4.3 Discussion	110
4.4 Future studies	112
<b>Chapter 5. Mitochondrial protein expression profiling by two-dimensional differential gel electrophoresis (DIGE)</b>	<b>114</b>
5.1 Introduction	115
5.2 Results	116
5.2.1 Differential mitochondrial protein expression in high vs. low net feed efficiency animals	116
5.2.1.1 2-D DIGE experiments on liver samples from Angus cattle (cohort 2005)	116
5.2.1.2 Mass spectrometry results of liver samples from Angus cattle (cohort 2005)	120
5.2.1.3 2-D DIGE experiments on muscle samples from Angus cattle (cohort 2005)	122
5.2.1.4 Mass spectrometry results of muscle samples from Angus cattle (cohort 2005)	126
5.2.1.5 2-D DIGE experiment on liver samples of Angus cattle (cohort 2006)	127
5.2.1.6 Mass spectrometry results of liver samples from Angus cattle (cohort 2006)	131
5.3 Discussion	133
5.3.1 Technical issues	133
5.3.2 Functional significance of differentially expressed proteins	137
5.4 Conclusions	144

<b>Chapter 6. General discussion</b>	<b>144</b>
6.1 NFE QTL from Limousin x Jersey mapping herd	145
6.2 Mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation	149
6.2.1 Consequences of oxidative stress	149
6.2.2 Reductive stress	151
6.2.3 Role of complex I in net feed efficiency	151
6.2.4 Future mitochondrial studies	152
6.3 Nutrient sensing pathways	153
6.3.1 AMPK pathway	153
6.3.1.1 Cross-talk between AMPK and mTOR-PI3K nutrient sensing Pathways	156
6.3.1.2 Conclusion	157
6.3.1.3 Future studies	158
6.3.2 Cross-talk between nutrient sensing pathways and peripheral energy Sensors	158
6.3.2.1 Growth hormone (GH) signal transduction pathway	159
6.3.2.2 Insulin and leptin receptor transduction pathways	161
6.3.2.3 Prolactin and insulin like growth factor-1 receptor transduction Pathways	163
6.3.2.4 Conclusion	164
6.3.2.5 Future studies	165
6.4 Glucose metabolism	165
6.4.1 Glycolytic pathway	165
6.4.2 Hexosamine biosynthesis pathway	167
6.4.3 Conclusion	168
6.4.4 Future studies	169
6.5 Summary	169
6.6 Conclusion	171
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>172</b>
Appendix I: Gastrointestinal peptides regulating food intake	173
Appendix II: Primer extension protocol	175
Appendix III: Chemicals and solutions	180
Appendix tables and figures	xi
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>239</b>

## ABSTRACT

Net feed intake or residual feed intake is the feed intake of an animal after adjustment for its average weight and weight gain while on the feed test. High net feed efficiency (NFE) animals have a low net feed intake, so the aim is to select animals that have high net feed efficiency in order to reduce the 70% expenditure for feed costs. Thus far, very few studies have been undertaken on beef cattle to identify genetic markers for NFE and to understand the molecular genetics of feed intake regulation and energy balance. Therefore, in an attempt to identify genes and metabolic pathways controlling feed efficiency in beef cattle, three different experimental approaches were taken herein: a) linkage and linkage disequilibrium quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping for net feed intake in Limousin x Jersey animals, b) mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation enzyme assays in high and low NFE cattle, and c) 2-dimensional fluorescent gel electrophoresis (DIGE) proteomics analysis of mitochondrial proteins.

For the cattle QTL mapping, the results from a previous trial were utilized. In the trial, a double back-cross design was employed using two extremely divergent *Bos taurus* breeds [Jersey (J) dairy breed and Limousin (L) beef breed]. These breeds are known to differ in many traits including carcass composition, fat colour, marbling, body size, and meat tenderness. Three first cross (F1=X) sires were mated to pure Jersey or pure Limousin cows, creating in total 366 XJ and XL backcross progeny (range 120-156 progeny per sire). The amount of feed consumed each day during the 70-100 day test was recorded electronically for each animal. Feed intake data were processed by calculating the least-square means for each animal over the test period. The data for net feed intake were analysed using a QTL half-sib interval-mapping model. The interval linkage analysis of whole genome detected six suggestive QTL (BTA 1, 6, 8, 9, 16, and 20) segregating for NFE. Of these 6 QTL, 4 NFE QTL (BTA 1, 6, 16, and 20) were homeologous to QTL for NFE observed in full-sib F2 families of mouse selection lines (Fenton 2004). After the NFE data were re-analysed for outliers, a QTL on BTA11 was re-ranked and placed in the top 4 NFE QTL in terms of size of effect and statistical support, whereas the QTL on BTA 6 and BTA 16 had less support. Since the QTL on BTA 9 was not independent of growth, only 4 QTL (BTA 1, 8, 11 and 20) were targeted for further study herein. These NFE QTL were cross-validated in Angus NFE selection line animals in collaboration with



Department of Primary Industries (DPI), Victoria by microsatellite linkage mapping. Two of the QTL on BTA 8 and 20 were confirmed and three other minor QTL on BTA 1, 11, and 20 were detected in the Angus animals.

Based on this background information, a comparative genome mapping study was undertaken to identify candidate genes. Using the human and bovine genome Ensembl databases, 205 NFE candidate genes underlying the 4 major QTL regions (BTA 1, 8, 11, and 20) were identified and 61 were sequenced in the mapping F1 Limousin x Jersey mapping sires. In these 61 genes, 308 SNPs were discovered, of which 27 were potentially functional SNPs changing the amino acids. 84 SNPs were selected for genotyping and used for fine mapping the 4 QTL and for SNP association studies with NFE. From the positions of the analyses, the 4 NFE QTL were refined and 27 candidate SNPs in 20 genes showed strong association with NFE in the Limousin x Jersey animals.

A ParAllele whole genome scan with a bovine 10K SNP chip was also performed on a subset of the Angus NFE selection line animals by DPI Victoria. 100 ParAllele SNPs had significant association with NFE in the Angus selection line animals. These ParAllele SNPs were tested in the Limousin x Jersey animals and 16 ParAllele SNPs were significantly associated with NFE. Four of these SNPs were located in the NFE QTL on BTA 1, 11 and 20.

Based on the candidate genes underlying the 4 NFE QTL, 8 potential metabolic pathways contributing to NFE were identified. These metabolic pathways included mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and glucose turnover. Therefore, to determine if these specific pathways are indeed involved in net feed efficiency, oxidative phosphorylation enzyme assays and mitochondrial protein profiling were conducted on progeny from the Angus Trangie NFE selection line animals. Liver and skeletal muscle samples were obtained from extreme high and low NFE animals with an average phenotypic difference of 3 kg net feed intake per day.

Using these liver and muscle samples, mitochondria were prepared and assessed. The mitochondrial preparations were assayed for enzyme activity of 3 complexes (Complex I, II and IV) involved in oxidative phosphorylation. The enzyme activities were measured spectrophotometrically and analysed by regression analysis. The

activity of the liver mitochondrial Complex I was found to be significantly decreased in the high NFE animals compared to the low NFE animals ( $p < 0.0001$ ). The Complex II and IV activities were increased in the high NFE cattle, but the differences were not statistically significant.

Using the mitochondrial preparations, 2-D polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis differential gel electrophoresis (2-D PAGE DIGE) was used to generate a mitochondrial protein profile for the high and low NFE Angus cattle. An ontological analysis based on the differentially expressed proteins ( $>1.5$  fold difference) in the high vs. low NFE cattle unambiguously identified a total of 27 proteins in 6 physiologically different groups. The mitochondria proteomics results also confirmed the involvement of oxidative phosphorylation in net feed intake regulation. Eleven oxidative phosphorylation complex subunit proteins were found to be differentially expressed between the high and low NFE animals. Other differentially expressed proteins included six stress-related proteins, seven energy production and glucose turnover proteins, two protein turnover and nitrogen balance enzymes, and two proteins involved in mitochondrial DNA and protein biosynthesis. Four of the differentially expressed proteins were found in the NFE QTL regions.

The results of these experiments provide a better understanding of the relationship between variation in feed efficiency and cellular energy production mechanisms in beef cattle. The proteomics and mitochondrial enzyme assay results suggest that energy metabolism and homeostasis may not be an efficient process in low NFE cattle. Lastly, a set of candidate SNPs are now available for the further validation as markers for selection of NFE in cattle breeding programs.