

Faculty of Engineering, Computer and Mathematical Sciences SCHOOL OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Ocean acoustic interferometry

DOCTORAL THESIS

12th October 2008

Author: Laura A. Brooks

Supervisor: Assoc. Prof. Anthony C. Zander [†] Co-supervisors: Dr Peter Gerstoft ^{††} Prof. Colin H. Hansen [†] Dr Z. Yong Zhang *

 $^{^{\}dagger}\mbox{School}$ of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Adelaide, Australia

 $^{^{\}dagger\dagger}\mbox{Marine Physical Laboratory, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, USA$

^{*}Defence Science and Technology Organisation, Edinburgh, Australia

NOTE: This figure is included in the print copy of the thesis held in the University of Adelaide Library.

source: "Piled Higher and Deeper" by Jorge Cham - www.phdcomics.com

Doctoral Thesis

Brooks, Laura A.; October 2008 Acoustics, Vibration and Control Group School of Mechanical Engineering The University of Adelaide SA 5005 Australia

Typeset by the author with the LATEX2 ε document preparation system. Printed in Australia.

Copyright © 2008, The University of Adelaide, South Australia.

All rights reserved. No part of this report may be used or reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the university except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews. This thesis is dedicated to Ruth Myra Kinsman

Ab Ovo Usque Ad Mala

Abstract

Information from accurate ocean acoustic Green's function estimations can potentially be used to determine environmental characteristics such as water column and seafloor properties, knowledge of which is beneficial in numerous fields including sedimentology, oil exploration, and defence. Good estimates of acoustic Green's functions between two locations have previously been determined from cross-correlation of sound and vibration in other research fields. There is, however, limited literature that addresses Green's function approximation from cross-correlation of sound in the ocean. The work in this thesis therefore aims to further the understanding of Green's function approximation from cross-correlation of sound recorded at two locations in a shallow water oceanic waveguide, an approach referred to as ocean acoustic interferometry. Both active source and ship dominated ambient noise ocean acoustic interferometry are considered.

A stationary phase argument is used to relate cross-correlations from active sources to the Green's function between hydrophones. A vertical line source, a horizontal line source, and a horizontal hyperbolic source are considered. The theory and simulations are in agreement with related theory presented by others. The advantages and disadvantages of each source configuration are discussed.

Empirical Green's function approximations (EGFAs) were determined from ship dominated ocean noise cross-correlation. Direct and secondary path travel times between hydrophones were determined, and agree well with simulated data. Averaging the cross-correlations between equi-spaced

Abstract

horizontal line array hydrophone pairs is shown to increase the signal-tonoise ratio. Analysis of temporal variations in the cross-correlations confirms that at any one time the signal is generally dominated by one or two sources. Cross-correlations obtained from data recorded during a tropical storm are shown to be clearer than those obtained at other times. This is due to both a reduction in nearby shipping, and an increase in overall sound levels caused by the increased wave action associated with the storm.

Ocean experiments were performed on the New Jersey Shelf. The direct acoustic path of the given ocean environment is shown to be highly sensitive to changes in sound speed profile, making reflection coefficient inversion difficult.

Cross-correlations of experimental data from a source lowered vertically and a source towed horizontally are compared and contrasted with the ship dominated noise cross-correlations, and also with cross-correlations of noise from a stationary ship. The EGFAs and their relationship to simulated Green's functions are explained using theory and simulations.

Two practical applications of ocean noise cross-correlation are also detailed: diagnosis of a multichannel hydrophone array, and array hydrophone self-localisation. Results obtained from active source measurements reveal that signals from several hydrophones, which were recorded on certain channels before a storm, were subsequently recorded on different channels after the storm. Noise cross-correlation of data recorded during the storm show when, and in what manner, these changes took place. Differences in travel times from any given source to hydrophone pairs were consistently less than expected for the assumed geometry. Travel times extracted from day long noise cross-correlations were used in an inversion to estimate array geometry. The resulting curved array geometry provided more consistent acoustic travel times from active noise sources than the assumed straight line geometry.

In summary, the findings documented in this thesis increase the understanding of Green's function approximation from cross-correlation of sound

in the ocean by providing: a theoretical and practical understanding of Green's function estimations for both active sources and passive ship dominated ambient noise; and examples of how the extracted travel times can be applied to practical situations.

Statement of Originality

This work contains no material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University of Adelaide Library, being available for both loan and photocopying.

Laura Anne Brooks

Acknowledgements

The author expresses gratitude to her advisors, colleagues, friends, and family, who have provided both academic and emotional support throughout her time as a postgraduate student. This thesis, and more importantly, my growth as an individual, and as an academic, have benefited from your encouragement, assistance, loyalty, and support.

The author is appreciative of the two years spent as a visiting Fulbright scholar at the Marine Physical Laboratory, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, and of the opportunity to participate in sea trials during the Shallow Water 2006 experiments.

The experimental data used in this thesis could not have been obtained without the assistance of Scripps Institution of Oceanography; Applied Research Laboratories, the University of Texas at Austin; Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute; and the captain and crew of R/V *Knorr*, the ship that was used during the experiments.

This work has been supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award; the Defence Science and Technology Organisation; the Australian-American Fulbright Commission (along with Clough Engineering); the Office of Naval Research under Grant No. N00014–05–1–0264; and Scripps Institution of Oceanography, the University of California San Diego.

Contents

A	bstra	nct		i
St	aten	nent of	f Originality	v
A	ckno	wledge	ements	vii
\mathbf{Li}	ist of	Table	s	xii
Li	ist of	Figur	es	xii
1	Intr	oduct	ion	1
	1.1	Gaps	in the literature	4
	1.2	Docur	nent structure	5
2	Lite	erature	e Review	9
	2.1	Backg	round	9
		2.1.1	Propagation of sound in the ocean	10
		2.1.2	Overview of the acoustic wave equation	15
		2.1.3	Overview of the acoustic Green's function \ldots .	16
		2.1.4	Numerical solutions to the wave equation	18
	2.2	Litera	ture review on Green's function extraction	24
		2.2.1	Early research	24
		2.2.2	Uniform homogeneous medium	25
		2.2.3	Interdisciplinary review	28
		2.2.4	Simplified isovelocity ocean waveguide	31

		2.2.5	Green's function extraction in the ocean $\ . \ . \ .$.	32
3	Act	ive So	urce Ocean Acoustic Interferometry Theory	35
	3.1	Vertic	al source column	36
		3.1.1	Stationary phase evaluation	39
		3.1.2	Incorporation of sediment layers	44
		3.1.3	Spurious arrivals	48
		3.1.4	Variations in sound speed profile	50
		3.1.5	Generalised environment	51
		3.1.6	Simulations	52
	3.2	Horizo	ontal straight line towed source	59
	3.3	Horizo	ontal hyperbolic towed source	60
	3.4	Concl	usion	62
4	Exp	erime	ntal Environment	65
	4.1	Overv	iew of experiment $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	66
		4.1.1	Sound speed profiles	69
	4.2	Analy	sis of experimental environment	70
		4.2.1	Effects of ocean sound speed profile \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	72
		4.2.2	Reflection coefficient inversion $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	73
		4.2.3	Sub-bottom arrival time inversion $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	77
		4.2.4	Conclusion	79
5	\mathbf{Shi}	p Dom	inated Ambient Noise Cross-correlation	81
	5.1	Introd	luction	82
	5.2	Theor	y	83
	5.3	Exper	$\operatorname{iment} \ldots \ldots$	86
	5.4	Analy	sis of data preprocessing methods	88
		5.4.1	Removal of main contamination $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	89
		5.4.2	Spectra and coherence $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	90
		5.4.3	Frequency domain normalisation $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	94
		5.4.4	Time domain normalisation	98

	5.5	Geom	etric comparisons	105
	5.6	Tempo	oral variations	110
	5.7	Conclu	usion	115
6	Exp	erime	ntal Active Ocean Acoustic Interferometry	117
	6.1	Backg	round \ldots	118
	6.2	Exper	$\operatorname{iment} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots $	123
		6.2.1	Data collection	123
		6.2.2	Data analysis	125
	6.3	Conclu	usion	141
7	Pra	ctical	Applications of Travel Time Estimates	145
	7.1	Introd	uction	145
	7.2	Diagn	osis of channel switching	147
		7.2.1	Analysis of recorded data	147
		7.2.2	Active sources	147
		7.2.3	Ambient noise cross-correlations	150
		7.2.4	$Conclusion \ldots \ldots$	156
	7.3	Array	shape estimation	157
		7.3.1	Inter-hydrophone travel times	158
		7.3.2	Inversion algorithm for array element localisation	158
		7.3.3	Application to data	160
		7.3.4	Conclusion	161
8	Con	clusio	n	163
	8.1	Summ	ary of thesis findings	163
	8.2	Recon	nmendations for future work $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	167
		8.2.1	Effect of various parameters on SNR	168
		8.2.2	Additional source lowering experiments	169
		8.2.3	Experimental hyperbolic source	170
		8.2.4	Cross-correlations at seismic frequencies	171
		8.2.5	Cross-correlations for monitoring gas hydrates $\ . \ . \ .$	173

Re	References		
A	Normalisation for Impedance Changes		
В	Arra	ay Details	191
	B.1	Array geometries	192
		B.1.1 MPL-VLA1 array	192
		B.1.2 SWAMI arrays	194
		B.1.3 Shark array	197
	B.2	Array data acquisition specifications $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	199
\mathbf{C}	The Inversion Process		
D	Pub	lications	203
	D.1	Journal papers	203
	D.2	Refereed conference papers	204
	D.3	Invited talks	204
	D.4	Other conference proceedings	204

List of Tables

5.1	Details of array configurations.	88
B.1	Data acquisition capabilities of the SWAMI arrays, MPL-VLA1	
	array, and Shark array	199

List of Figures

2.1	Absorption of seawater at three temperatures as a function of	
	frequency for salinity of 35 ppt and pH of 8	13
2.2	Bottom reflection loss versus angle	14
2.3	Vibrating sphere in an infinite fluid medium	16
2.4	Cross-correlation of a source impulse in free space	27
2.5	Free-field cross-correlation examples: broadband noise correla-	
	tion function (NCF), derivative of the broadband NCF, filtered	
	(0.05–0.2 Hz) NCF, and derivative of the filtered NCF	28

2.6	Impulse source creates pseudo-diffuse field in a block, which is detected at receivers \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} ; the cross-correlation of the signals is compared to the signal which would be received at \mathbf{y} given an	
	impulse source at \mathbf{x}	29
2.7	Waveguide without losses. (a) Green's function between receivers A and B showing direct, surface reflected, and bottom reflected paths with corresponding Green's function shown to the right. (b)–(c) Surface sources that yield (b) direct path, and (c) direct and single reflected paths, with corresponding cross-correlations shown at the far-right.	31
3.1	Source-receiver geometry and notation	38
3.2	Examples of wave paths that correspond to stationary points	41
3.3	Example of water source and sediment source stationary points that have the same path length to each receiver, and therefore the same path length difference.	46
3.4	The direct and bottom bounce paths are linked via a continuous transition through sediment source configurations.	47
3.5	(a) Waveguide with a downward refracting sound speed profile.(b) Geometry associated with the curved ray paths from the source to each receiver.	50
3.6	Simulated waveguide environments.	53
3.7	The cross-correlations for (a) the isovelocity waveguide, (b) the reflective environment with a sediment, (c) a magnified portion of (b), and (d) the refractive environment, plotted as a function of depth	E
3.8	Two possible sets of ray paths: (a) direct path to B and the bottom bounce path to A ; and (b) a path to B with one water-sediment bounce and a path to A that has two transmissions	00
	through the interface	57

3.9	The difference between the path lengths from the source to receiver A and the source to receiver B as a function of source depth for the ray path geometry of Figure 3.8(b)	59
3.10	Geometrical construct for determining the equation governing the location of point p_n	61
3.11	Hyperbolic source track as viewed from above	62
4.1	(a) Geographic location of the SW06 experimental site on the New Jersey Shelf.(b) Locations of the four hydrophone arrays.	67
4.2	Hydrophone array equipment prior to deployment	68
4.3	Photographs of (a) the ITC-2015 mid-frequency source and (b) the source being controlled using a ship-board winch	69
4.4	SSPs recorded from all CTDs measured from R/V <i>Knorr</i> be- tween August 30 and September 6	71
4.5	MPL-VLA1 array (a) geometry, and (b) SSPs from CTDs 36 and 37.	71
4.6	Modelled pressure amplitude of the 2200 Hz ocean-only field versus range and depth for source depths of (a) 45 m (SSP36), (b) 45 m (SSP37), and (c) 15 m (SSP36). Figures (d)–(g) show the amplitude versus depth at 230 m range using SSP36 and SSP37 for source depths of (d) 15, (e) 25, (f) 45, and (g) 65 m	73
4.7	Match filter of 1500–4500 Hz chirp, recorded on all 16 hydro- phones for a source depth of (a) 15m, and (b) 25m	75
4.8	Match filter of 1500–4500 Hz chirp, recorded on all 16 hydro- phones for a source depth of (a) 35m, and (b) 45m	76
4.9	The square of the difference between the measured bottom and sub-bottom time differences, and the modelled values, summed	
	over all source-hydrophone geometries	78

5.1	(a) The relative VLA locations of SWAMI52, SWAMI32, and Shark. (b) SWAMI52 array geometry and hydrophone number- ing system. (c) SSPs near SWAMI52 for August 30 and Septem- ber 6. (d) Wind direction and (e) wind speed from August 31
	to end of September 3
5.2	Spectrograms and time-series of September 2 data: (a) signal dominated by mid-frequency fixed sound sources, (b) clipped signal, and (c) energy dominated by low frequency sources 91
5.3	Spectrograms of signals recorded on H-52, using the entire September 2 data, and coherograms of the data recorded on H-52 and H-40. The average of the individual spectra or coherences
	is plotted to the right of each figure
5.4	Normalised spectra of the September 2 signal recorded on H-40(a) before pre-filtering, and (b)–(e) after pre-filtering.95
5.5	 (a) Cross-correlations between H-52 and all other hydrophones for September 2 data using smoothed-whitening frequency filtering. (b)-(d) EGFA envelopes: (b) with smoothed whitening, (c) with no frequency normalisation, and (d) with no frequency 97
5.6	Preprocessed waveforms for 2.5 s of 20–100 Hz bandpass filtered data from H-40 with normalisation method: (a) none, (c) thresh-
5.7	old clipping, (d) one-bit, (e) RCTVW, and (f) ECTVW 102 Summed cross-correlations and EGFA envelopes for all time normalisation methods for H-52 and (a) H-48 (entire day with 51.32 m horizontal separation), (b) H-8 (entire day with 230 m horizontal separation), and (c) H-8 (10:24 min from 8:30 Z) 103
5.8	 (a) Summed cross-correlations and (b) EGFAs between H-52 and all other hydrophones for September 2. (c) Simulated Green's functions convolved with a 20–100 Hz bandwidth linear source. (d) EGFA envelopes with the simulated travel times between the hydrophones

5.9	September 2 EGFA envelope with respect to: (a) H-34, and (a) H-10	107
5.10	September 2 EGFA envelopes for (a) SWAMI32, with respect to H-30, and (b) Shark, with respect to H-16	108
5.11	(a) Summed cross-correlations over September 2 for Shark hy- drophone pairs separated by 345 m. (b) The median values from (a) overlie a shaded region between which all values lie. (c) Data from the dashed box in (b) are magnified. EGFA envelopes are shown in (d) using cross-correlations between H-52 and all other HLA hydrophones only, and in (e) using the median cross- correlations for all HLA hydrophone pairs	109
5.12	EGFA envelope with respect to: H-52 for (a) August 31, (b) September 1, (c) September 2, and (d) September 3	111
5.13	EGFA envelope for: (a) SWAMI52 H-52 and H-17 (230 m separation), (b) SWAMI32 H-30 and H-15 (200 m separation), and (c) Shark H-16 and H-35 (285 m separation). The envelope of the time gradient of the sum of all cross-correlations is shown at the right of each plot. (d)–(e) 20–100 Hz spectrograms from 3:36:40 Z August 31 for SWAMI52 H-52 and SWAMI32 H-30 respectively. (f) Enlarged view of SWAMI52 EGFA envelope. (g) The envelope of the time gradient of the sum of all cross-correlations for SWAMI52 data, excluding the period 12–24 Z August 31.	112
6.1	Source-receiver geometry and notation	119
6.2	(a) Source and receiver geometries. (b) Plan view of array and source geometries showing where the source is towed and low- ered. (c) SSPs from CTDs 42–44 with assumed SSP for mod- elling overlaid	124

xvi

6.3	EGFA envelopes between H-30 and all other hydrophones for: (a) source lowered vertically, (b) source towed horizontally, (c) noise generated by R/V <i>Knorr</i> during the source lowering, and (d) ship dominated ambient noise	127
6.4	Times corresponding to the direct path arrival EGFA envelope peaks of Figure 6.3 as a function of hydrophone number: (a) source lowering, (b) towed source, (c) stationary ship, (d+) causal ship dominated ambient noise, and (d-) acausal ship dom- inated ambient noise	128
6.5	Source lowering. (a) Source (far left) is lowered from 9.8–60 m, and signals are recorded on H-5 and H-30. (b)–(g) Source- receiver geometry and stationary point paths for (b) direct, (d) surface, and (f) surface-bottom paths between the two hydro- phones	130
6.6	Cross-correlated data versus source depth: (a) experimental, (b) simulated, and (c) extract of simulated data	132
6.7	View of part of the simulated cross-correlations showing the direct path to H-30 and bottom reflected path to H-5 surface convergence point (a), and the four sets of paths that converge to this point as the source moves towards the surface (b)–(e)	133
6.8	Sum of the H-5 and H-30 cross-correlations from the source low- ering experiment is compared to the simulated cross-correlations and the simulated source shaded Green's function	134
6.9	Cross-correlated towed source data, (a) experimental and (b) simulated, as a function of range from H-30.	137
6.10	Source-receiver geometry and stationary point paths for (a) di- rect path, (b) surface reflection path, and (c) surface-bottom reflection path. (d)–(f) Stationary phase geometries that yield spurious arrivals.	138
		xvii

6.11	Sum of the H-5 and H-30 cross-correlations from the towed source experiment is compared to the simulated summed cross- correlations and the simulated source shaded H-5–H-30 Green's function.	139
6.12	Cross-correlations of H-30 and H-5 data from the stationary ship as a function of time during the source lowering event. The sum of the cross-correlations is shown underneath.	140
6.13	Short time cross-correlations of 6 minutes and 24 seconds for H- 30 and H-5 ship dominated ambient noise data as a function of time of September 2. The sum of the entire day's cross- correlations is shown underneath.	142
7.1	(a) Array geometry. (b)–(d) Schematics showing the connections between hydrophones and channels: (b) at deployment, (c) after the first switch, and (d) after the second switch	148
7.2	Envelope of the signal recorded on each channel (a) before the storm, and (b) after the storm. (c) Signal envelope from after the storm with channels re-sorted.	149
7.3	Short time cross-correlations between channel 13 and all other channels from the time period surrounding the first switch (a) 7:07:37 Z and (b) 7:14:01 Z, and from the time period surrounding the second switch (c) 12:08:40 Z and (d) 12:15:05 Z. (e)-(g) 20 s long cross-correlations at 12:14 Z for times (e) 20-40 s, (f) 30-50 s, and (g) 40-60 s	152
7.4	(a)–(c) Cross-correlations between channel 13 and all other channels after re-sorting the channels, plotted as a function of distance from hydrophone 13: (a) before, (b) between, and (c) after the switches. (d)–(f) Normalised envelopes of the cross-correlation function time-derivatives: (d) before, (e) between,	
	and (t) after the switches. \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	155

xviii

7.5	Arrival time as a function of distance from the first HLA ele-
	ment, from (a) before the first switch, (b) after one channel has
	switched, and (c) after both channels have switched 156
7.6	Array element locations: a priori and a posteriori results from
	non-linear least squares travel-time inversion
8.1	Mid-frequency SWAMI32 towed source tracks: straight, hyper-
	bolic path 1, and hyperbolic path 2
8.2	Hyperbolic tracks were achieved with dynamic ship positioning. 172
8.3	Locations of fixed sound sources and hydrophone arrays 173
8.4	Location of Mississippi Canyon Block 118
8.5	Gas hydrate sea-floor observatory in Mississippi Canyon Block
	118
B.1	MPL-VLA1 mooring diagram
B.2	SWAMI32 mooring diagram
B.3	SWAMI52 mooring diagram
B.4	Shark mooring diagram
C.1	The inversion process