## Investigation of RNA-mediated pathogenic pathways in a Drosophila model of expanded repeat disease

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, June 2010

Clare Louise van Eyk, B.Sc. (Hons.)

School of Molecular and Biomedical Science, Discipline of Genetics

The University of Adelaide

### **Table of Contents**

dex of Figures and Tableseclaration	
Abbreviations	X\
Drosophila nomenclature	XV
Abstract	XIX
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.0 Expanded repeat diseases	1
1.1 Translated repeat diseases	2
1.1.1 Polyglutamine diseases	2
Huntington's disease	3
Spinal bulbar muscular atrophy (SBMA)	3
Dentatorubral-pallidoluysian atrophy (DRPLA)	4
The spinal cerebellar ataxias (SCAs)	4
1.1.2 Pathogenesis and aggregate formation	7
1.1.3 Polyalanine diseases	8
1.2 Untranslated expanded repeat diseases	9
1.2.1 Dominant untranslated expanded repeat diseases	
1.3 One pathogenic pathway or many?	15
1.4 A <i>Drosophila</i> model of polyglutamine and RNA toxicity	17
1.4.1 Expanded CAG and CAA-encoded polyglutamine tracts are toxic	19
1.4.2 Polyleucine peptides show distinct toxicity in the <i>Drosophila</i> eye	22
1.4.3 A closer look at RNA pathogenesis	23
Chapter 2: Materials and Methods	27
2.1 Materials	27
2.2 Methods	34
Chapter 3 – The RNA editing hypothesis	47
3.0 Roles for RNA as a pathogenic agent	47
3.1 RNA editing: roles and consequences	48
3.2 ADAR editing and disease	51
3.3 <i>Drosophila</i> Adar	52

3.4 A role for RNA editing in the dominant expanded repeat diseases?	.53
3.5 Investigation of the effects of altering Adar expression in <i>Drosophila</i> expressing	3
expanded repeat RNA	54
3.6 Investigation of the editing status of ectopically expressed CAG and CAA repea	at
tracts in <i>Drosophila</i>	56
3.7 Investigation of the effect of expression of CAG repeat RNA on editing of	
endogenous Adar editing targets in Drosophila	. 57
3.8 Summary of investigation of RNA editing as a component of CAG repeat RNA	
pathogenesis	.59
Chapter 4: Identifying pathogenic pathways of expanded repeat disease by	
proteomic analysis	61
4.1 Identification of proteomic changes in neuronal cells expressing expanded repetracts	
4.2 Identification of proteins altered in <i>Drosophila</i> expressing rCAG or rCUG repea	
pan-neuronally	66
4.3 Identification of proteins altered in flies expressing rCAG or rCUG repeats compared to rCAA repeats	. 68
4.4 Evidence for involvement of nuclear transport in expanded repeat disease	
pathogenesis	70
4.5 Summary of proteomic changes elicited by expression of CAG and CUG repeat	.ts
in neurons of <i>Drosophila</i>	. 75
Chapter 5: Identifying pathogenic pathways of expanded repeat disease by	
microarray analysis	. 77
5.1 Identification of transcriptional changes in neuronal cells expressing expanded	
repeat tracts: microarray experiment 1	. 78
5.2 Validation of cellular changes by independent microarray experiment: Microarra	-
experiment 2	
5.3 Comparison of microarray experiment 1 and 2	
5.4 Gene ontology analysis of genes altered in rCAG and rCUG repeat-expressing	
flies compared to <i>elav&gt;</i> rCAA flies	
5.5 Gene ontology analysis of genes altered in rCAG and rCUG repeat-expressing	
flies compared to <i>elav&gt;+</i> flies	
5.6 Analysis of genes significantly altered in both microarray experiment 1 and 2	
5.7 Analysis of genes significantly altered in each microarray experiment	.91

5.7.1 Genes changed in rCAG repeat-expressing flies compared to both	
elav>rCAA and elav>+9	2
5.7.2 Genes changed in rCUG repeat-expressing flies compared to <i>elav&gt;rCAA</i> and elav>+9	
5.7.3 Genes changed in both rCAG and rCUG repeat-expressing flies compare to <i>elav&gt;rCAA</i> 9	
5.7.4 Genes changed in both rCAG and rCUG repeat-expressing flies compare to <i>elav&gt;+</i>	
5.8 Summary of results from microarray analysis10	
Chapter 6: Genetic verification of candidates from microarray analysis10	5
6.1 Modification of translated repeat phenotypes by cytoskeletal and trafficking components	8
6.2 Modification of translated repeat phenotypes by mod(mdg4), mGluRA and	
CG566911	2
6.3 Modification of translated repeat phenotypes by altering levels of <i>mbl</i> and <i>mef2</i> 11	5
6.4 Investigation of sequence-dependent interactions between expanded repeat RNA	A
and Mef2, Mbl and Mod(mdg4) in Drosophila11	7
6.5 Evidence of a role for MBNL1 in expanded repeat disease pathogenesis 11	9
6.6 Summary of results from genetic screen of microarray candidates12	4
Chapter 7: Spinocerebellar ataxia 10: a unique untranslated repeat disease?12	7
7.1 Modelling SCA10 in <i>Drosophila</i> 12	
7.2 Investigation of cellular localisation of expanded rAUUCU repeats13	0
7.3 Identification of transcriptional changes in neuronal cells resulting from	
expression of SCA10 repeats13	2
7.4 Investigation of common transcriptional changes in flies expressing rAUUCU,	
rCAG and rCUG repeats13	4
7.4.1 Common transcriptional changes in <i>Drosophila</i> expressing rCAG, rCUG	
and rAUUCU expanded repeats compared to elav>rCAA13	7
7.4.2 Common transcriptional changes in Drosophila expressing rCAG, rCUG	
and rAUUCU expanded repeats compared to elav>+13	9
7.5 Investigation of a role for the Akt/GSK3- $\beta$ signalling pathway in expanded repea	t
disease pathogenesis14	2

7.5.1 Evidence for alterations to Akt/GSK3- β signalling in the expanded rep	eat
diseases	. 142
7.5.2 Effect of altering expression of Akt and GSK3- β in our <i>Drosophila</i> mod	leb
of expanded repeat disease pathogenesis	. 145
7.6 Validation of an interaction between rAUUCU RNA and mod(mdg4), mbl and	
mef2 in <i>Drosophila</i>	. 149
7.7 Further investigation of a role for MBNL1 in expanded repeat pathogenesis	. 151
7.8 Summary of <i>Drosophila</i> model for SCA10	. 153
Chapter 8: Discussion	. 155
8.1 Summary of results	. 155
8.2 Implications for expanded repeat disease pathogenesis	. 157
8.3 Limitations of the <i>Drosophila</i> model	. 158
8.4 Further Experiments	. 160
Appendices	.163
Appendix A	
Appendix B	. 166
Appendix C	. 209
References	230

# **Index of Figures and Tables**

Chapter 1
Table 1.1: Polyglutamine diseases2
Table 1.2: Dominant untranslated repeat diseases10
Figure 1.1: The structure of the <i>Drosophila</i> eye18
Figure 1.2: Tissue-specific expression in <i>Drosophila</i> using the <i>UAS-GAL4</i> expression
system19
Figure 1.3: A Drosophila system to investigate RNA toxicity as a component of
polyglutamine pathogenesis20
Figure 1.4: Investigation of the effects of expressing polyglutamine in the <i>Drosophila</i> eye21
Figure 1.5: Investigation of the effects of expressing polyleucine in the <i>Drosophila</i> eye23
Figure 1.6: Expression of up to four transgene insertions of rCAG and rCUG repeats
does not disrupt the external structure of the <i>Drosophila</i> eye
Chapter 2
Table 2.1: Lines to drive expression using the UAS-GAL4 system
Table 2.2: Candidate gene lines used in this study33
Chapter 3
Figure 3.1: Proposed outcomes of site-specific and promiscuous RNA editing 50
Figure 3.2: Effect of expression of rCAA, rCUG or rCAG repeats in a heterozygous
Adar null background
Figure 3.3: Effect of reducing Adar levels on <i>Drosophila</i> eye phenotypes elicited by
expression of CAG or CAA-encoded polyglutamine or CUG-encoded polyleucine 56
Figure 3.4: There is no detectable editing of pure CAG or CAA repeats expressed
pan-neuronally in <i>Drosophila</i> 57
Figure 3.5: There is no detectable decrease in editing of normal Adar targets when
rCAG repeat transcripts are expressed throughout the nervous system of Drosophila.
58

# Chapter 4

Figure 4.1: Obtaining <i>Drosophila</i> expressing untranslated repeats pan-neuronally for
microarray and proteomic analysis62
Figure 4.2: Rationale for use of this <i>Drosophila</i> model for investigation of early
changes in expanded repeat disease62
Figure 4.3: Overview of 2D-DIGE experiment procedure and analyses performed64
Figure 4.4: Summary of changes in protein abundance detected in flies expressing
rCAG, rCUG and rCAA RNA when compared to elav>+ control flies65
Figure 4.5: Modification of phenotypes resulting from expression of translated CUG,
CAG and CAA repeats by insertion of a Minos element upstream of DPxr2540-1 or
knocking down expression of Alcohol dehydrogenase68
Figure 4.6: Summary of changes in protein abundance detected when rCAG and
rCUG repeat expressing flies were compared directly to elav>rCAA flies69
Figure 4.7: Co-expression of an RNAi construct targeting Nup62 enhances CAG and
CUG but not CAA eye phenotypes72
Figure 4.8: Overexpression of Nup62 in the <i>Drosophila</i> eye suppresses both
polyglutamine and polyleucine eye phenotypes74
Chapter 5
Figure 5.1: Overview of microarray experiments79
Figure 5.2: comparison of the two elav-GAL4 driver lines used in this study80
Figure 5.3: Overview of total number of genes significantly altered in each microarray
experiment and genes which were significantly altered in both experiments81
Figure 5.4: Gene ontology analysis of genes which were significantly altered in
Drosophila expressing rCAG or rCUG RNA pan-neuronally84
Table 5.1: Common changes for elav>rCAG compared to elav>rCAA in experiment 1
and 288
Table 5.2: Common changes for elav>rCUG compared to elav>rCAA in experiment 1
and 289
Table 5.3: Common changes for elav>rCAG compared to elav>+ in experiment 1 and
290
Table 5.4: Common changes for elav>rCUG compared to elav>+ in experiment 1 and
Table 5.4: Common changes for <i>elav&gt;rCUG</i> compared to <i>elav&gt;+</i> in experiment 1 and 290
·

Table 5.6: Genes of particular interest for <i>elav&gt;rCAG</i> identified in microarray
experiment 2
Table 5.7: Genes of particular interest for <i>elav&gt;rCUG</i> identified in microarray
experiment 194
Table 5.8: Common transcriptional changes in elav>rCAG and elav>rCUG compared
to elav>rCAA in experiment 197
Table 5.9: Common transcriptional changes in elav>rCAG and elav>rCUG flies
compared to elav>rCAA in experiment 299
Table 5.10: Common transcriptional changes in elav>rCAG and elav>rCUG
compared to elav>+ in experiment 1
Table 5.11: Common changes for elav>rCAG and elav>rCUG compared to elav>+ in
experiment 2102
Chapter 6
Figure 6.1: Method to generate <i>Drosophila</i> expressing polyglutamine or polyleucine
in the eye along with an RNAi construct targeting a candidate gene106
Table 6.1: Overview of genes and alleles tested for genetic interaction with expanded
repeats107
Figure 6.2: Effect of altering levels of cytoskeletal and trafficking components on
polyglutamine and polyleucine eye phenotypes in Drosophila111
Figure 6.3: Modification of polyglutamine and polyleucine eye phenotypes by altering
levels of Mod(mdg4), mGluRA and CG5669114
Figure 6.4: Modification of polyglutamine and polyleucine eye phenotypes in
Drosophila by altering levels of Mbl and Mef2117
Figure 6.5: Mod(mdg4) and Mef2 show a sequence-dependent interaction with CUG
repeat RNA in <i>Drosophila</i> 119
Figure 6.6: Co-expression of CUG-encoded polyleucine enhances the MBNL1 eye
phenotype121
Figure 6.7: Overexpression of MBNL1 enhances both CAA and CAG-encoded poly-
glutamine eye phenotypes in <i>Drosophila</i> 122
Figure 6.8: Expression of expanded untranslated CAG, CUG and CAA repeats in
Drosophila overexpressing MBNL1123
Table 6.2 Summary of results from genetic screen of microarray candidates 126

# Chapter 7

Figure 7.1: Constructs generated to model SCA10 pathogenesis in <i>Drosophila</i> 129
Table 7.1: rAUUCU repeat constructs injected into <i>Drosophila</i> 129
Figure 7.2: Expression of up to four transgene insertions of an expanded ATTCT
repeat does not alter the exterior appearance of the Drosophila eye130
Figure 7.3: rAUUCU repeat-containing transcripts form aggregates in a sub-set of
Drosophila cells
Figure 7.4: Gene ontology analysis of transcripts altered in <i>Drosophila</i> expressing
rAUUCU RNA compared to both <i>elav&gt;+</i> and <i>elav&gt;rCAA</i> 135
Table 7.2: Percent of transcripts commonly altered in Drosophila expressing rCAG or
rCUG repeats and rAUUCU repeats pan-neuronally135
Figure 7.5: Comparison of transcripts altered in <i>Drosophila</i> expressing rCAG, rCUG
and rAUUCU repeats pan-neuronally136
Table 7.3: Changes common to elav>rAUUCU, elav>rCAG and elav>rCUG flies
compared to elav>rCAA139
Table 7.4: Changes common to elav>rAUUCU, elav>rCUG and elav>rCAG flies
compared to <i>elav&gt;+</i> 141
Figure 7.6: Alteration to activity of the Akt/GSK3-β signalling pathway can explain a
number of the changes observed in microarray analysis of flies expressing rCAG,
rCUG and rAUUCU repeats in the nervous system143
Figure 7.7: Investigation of a role for the Akt/GSK3-β signalling pathway in
pathogenesis in polyleucine and polyglutamine-expressing Drosophila147
Figure 7.8: Investigation of a role for the Akt/GSK3-β signalling pathway in RNA-
mediated pathogenesis in <i>Drosophila</i> 149
Figure 7.9: Genetic validation of candidates from microarray analysis of <i>Drosophila</i>
expressing rAUUCU RNA151
Figure 7.10: Interaction of rAUUCU repeats with MBNL1 in the <i>Drosophila</i> eye152

### **Declaration**

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Clare van Eyk

### **Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank my supervisor, Rob Richards, for giving me support and advice as well as plenty of independence throughout this project. I am also very grateful to my co-supervisor, Louise O'Keefe, for the many helpful conversations in the fly room and for encouraging me to stay positive. Thanks also to the various people who have assisted in aspects of this project; particularly to Jo Milverton for performing microinjections and to Gareth Price for assistance with the microarray studies.

To all Richards lab and Genetics Discipline members, past and present, thanks for making the lab and the building such a fun and rewarding working environment. Special thanks to Saumya Samaraweera and Amanda Choo, for distracting me when I needed it most, and to Sonia Dayan for always taking the time to chat, even when you had a million things on the go.

I am also grateful to all of my family and friends who have given me love and support in too many ways to mention. In particular, I would like to thank my parents, Helen and Bernie van Eyk, who have always encouraged us to aim high in whatever we choose to do. Also to Simon Wells: for intellectual debate (and occasionally admitting that I'm right).

#### **Abbreviations**

°C: degrees Celsius

%: percentage

μA: microamps

μg: micrograms

μL: microlitre

A: Adenosine

ADAR: Adenosine deaminase acting on RNA

ADD1: Adducin 1

ALS: Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis

AMPA: α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionateglutamate

AR: Androgen receptor

ATP: adenosine triphosphate

BDNF: Brain-derived neurotrophic factor

bp: base pairs

C: cytosine

cDNA: complementary DNA

CLCN-1: Chloride channel 1

CNS: central nervous system

CUG-BP: CUG binding protein

Cy: cyanine

CYFIP2: Cytoplasmic FMR1 interacting protein 2

da: daughterless

DAPI: 4'-6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole

DEPC: diethyl pyrocarbonate

DIGE: differential in-gel electrophoresis

DM: Myotonic dystrophy

DMF: dimethyl formamide

DMPK: Dystrophia myotonica protein kinase

DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid

DRPLA: Dentatorubral-pallidoluysian atrophy

dsRNA: double-stranded RNA

DTT: dithiothreitol

EDTA: ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid elav: embryonic lethal abnormal vision

emPAI: exponentially modified protein abundance index

ESI: electro-spray ionisation

FA: formic acid

FMR1: Fragile X mental retardation 1

FMRP: Fragile X mental retardation protein

FXTAS: Fragile X tremor-ataxia syndrome

G: guanosine

GABA: gamma-aminobutyric acid

GFP: green fluorescent protein

GluCl-α: Glutamate-gated chloride channel α

GluR-B: AMPA receptor subunit B

GMR: glass multimer reporter

GSK3: Glycogen synthase kinase 3

HD: Huntington's disease

HDL-2: Huntington's disease-like-2

hnRNP: Heterogenous ribonucleoprotein

Hr38: Hormone receptor-like in 38

Hts: Hu-li tai shao HTT: Huntingtin

I: inosine

Insc: Inscuteable

IPTG: isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside

IR: Insulin receptor JPH3: Junctophilin-3

kb: kilobase kDa: kilodalton

KLHL1: Kelch-like 1

LB: Luria broth

M: Molar

Mbl: Muscleblind (*Drosophila*)

MBNL: Muscleblind-like

MEF: Myocyte enhancing factor

mg: milligrams

mGluRA: metabotropic glutamate receptor A

miRNA: microRNA

MJD: Machado Joseph disease

mL: millilitres mM: millimolar

MQ: MilliQ™ purified water

mRNA: messenger RNA

MS: mass spectrometry

MS/MS: tandem mass spectrometry

MTMR1: Myotubularin-related protein 1

TOR: target of rapamycin

ng: nanograms

NGF: Nerve growth factor

NL IPG: non-linear immobilised pH gradient

NMDAR: N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor

NPC: Nuclear pore complex

dNTP: deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate

NUP: nucleoporin

NUR77: Nuclear receptor 77

OPMD: Oculopharyngeal muscular dystrophy

para: paralytic sodium channel

PBS: phosphate buffered saline

PBST: PBS + Tween

PKR: RNA regulated protein kinase

pmol: picomole

polyQ: polyglutamine

polyL: polyleucine

PP2A: Protein phosphatase 2A

PP2R2B: PP2A regulatory subunit 2B

PSF: Poly-pyrimidine-tract associated splicing factor

Q: glutamine

RISC: RNA-induced silencing complex

RNA: ribonucleic acid

RNAi: RNA interference

ROS: reactive oxygen species

Rp49: Ribosomal protein 49

rpm: revolutions per minute

RyR: Ryanodine receptor

SAP: Shrimp alkaline phosphatase

SBMA: Spinal bulbar muscular atrophy

SCA: Spinocerebellar ataxia

SDS: sodium dodecyl sulphate

SERCA: Sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase

Sgg: Shaggy

siRNA: small interfering RNA

SOC: super-optimal broth with catabolite repression

SSC: saline sodium citrate

T: thymine

TAE: tris-acetate EDTA

TBE: tris-borate EDTA

TBP: TATA-box binding protein

TNNT: Troponin T

TudorSN: Tudor Staphylococcal nuclease

U: uracil

UAS: upstream activation sequence

UTR: untranslated region

**UV**: ultraviolet

V: Volts

VDRC: Vienna Drosophila RNAi Centre

X-gal: 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-b-D-galactopyranoside

YAC: yeast artificial chromosome

### Drosophila nomenclature

Throughout this thesis, *Drosophila* genes are represented by italicised lower-case text (for example "htt"), RNAs are represented by lower-case non-italicised text (for example "htt") and proteins are represented by non-italicised text with a capital first letter (for example "Htt").

#### **Abstract**

Expansion of a repeat sequence beyond a pathogenic range has been identified as the cause of a group of neurodegenerative diseases known as the expanded repeat diseases. Disease-associated repeat tracts have been found both within the coding region of genes, such as the CAG repeat coding for polyglutamine, or within noncoding regions. Despite the identification of the mutation involved in these diseases, the mechanism by which this type of mutation leads to cell death remains unclear. There is a substantial amount of evidence to suggest that RNA-mediated toxicity plays a role in pathogenesis of both the polyglutamine diseases and the untranslated dominant expanded repeat diseases. A common feature of the expanded repeats involved in each of these diseases is the ability of the repeat-containing RNA to form a hairpin secondary structure and therefore it has been predicted that similar mechanisms may be responsible for initiating cellular dysfunction and death in each case. This study uses a *Drosophila* model to investigate the intrinsic, RNA-mediated toxicity of three repeat sequences (CUG, CAG and AUUCU) associated with degeneration in human disease. Using a combination of hypothesis-driven and nonbiased approaches, early changes elicited in response to neuronal expression of these expanded repeat tracts have been investigated. A hypothesis of a role for RNA editing in CAG repeat pathogenesis was explored using this *Drosophila* model. Microarray and proteomic approaches were also utilised to identify pathways which are perturbed by the expression of these repeat sequences. The results described in this thesis demonstrate a degree of sequence- and context-independent toxicity of expanded repeat RNA in this model, suggesting that this kind of effect may also be a component of pathogenesis in the disease situation. Pathways commonly perturbed in response to expression of these RNA species may represent particularly valuable therapeutic targets, since preventing this type of effect could provide positive outcomes in a number of diseases.