

Post 2.  
After 1



ROUTE FROM STATION (1/2 MILE.)  
ADELAIDE ROAD,  
PARK ROAD,  
PARK LANE.

TELEGRAMS-  
"PHYSICS TEDDINGTON"



TELEPHONE-  
MOLESLEY 1380 (11 LINES)

*The National Physical Laboratory,  
Teddington, Middlesex.*

YOUR REF:	OUR REF:

Jan 27 39

ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO BE ADDRESSED TO THE DIRECTOR.

Dear Fisher

(p. 6)

As to the first point I raised, I think you are correct in what you say, but that in so far as it is a representation of current opinions it is not quite the whole truth, because what I said represents an essential part of the U.P. Your maintaining that there is a possible world without it is absolutely right - mathematically expressed by saying  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

As to what I ventured on free will, you ask what my "I" is. I consider this the whole point of the business, and incidentally why I give up the problem. It is the point that the "subject" is an essential in anyone's own view of the world, and that no scientific theory has any room for the subject. Even if "no system of prior observations could foretell the future" it would not satisfy my subjectivity because I should be left with the feeling that I might foretell the future confidently and then go and do something different!

Yours sincerely  
CG Darwin

