My dear Irwin,

You wrote some time ago about the amount of information relative to the estimated value of the parameter, measuring abundance of species. I remember that at the time the analysis was rather tricky, and I do not suppose that I can actually reproduce the paths that I then took. However, the starting point may be all that you really want.

If a species is observed, the probability that it has been observed r times is given by the truncated negative binomial distribution

we may treat this as involving two parameters k and L, to be estimated from a sample of g individuals. The amount of information sought will be that relevant to k when estimated simultaneously with L. This is, indeed, equivalent to the simultaneous estimation of k and &, for samples of given size S, since

We next, therefore, take out the values of

$$\frac{2}{4 \ln x} \qquad \frac{5}{(1-x)^{-h}-1} + \frac{N}{x} \qquad \frac{5}{(1-x)} \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{(1-x)}} \frac{N}{x} \text{ where}$$

$$\frac{1}{4 \ln x} \qquad \frac{5}{4 \sqrt{1-x}} \qquad \frac{5}{x} \qquad \frac{1}{x} \qquad$$

by operating on the log likelihood for the limiting value k = 0.

To allow for the simultaneous estimation of \underline{x} we must deduct the square of $\underline{a^2 \propto}$

from the value of $-\frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial x^2}$, so that the analytic expression for the amount of information sought comes out as follows

i =
$$\frac{5}{4\eta} \frac{5}{1(1-\alpha)} = \frac{5}{n-2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} \right\} \frac{2^{n}}{n} + \frac{5}{5} \frac{\log^{2}(1-\alpha)}{4\left\{x + \log(1-\alpha)\right\}}$$

which is what I must have tetreted after remaining the S.

Substituting $3c = 1 - e^{-t}$ the three terms present to be semathing?

 $\frac{1}{2}t - \frac{1}{12}t^{2} + \frac{1}{144}t^{3} + 0 \quad t^{4} - \frac{1}{21600}t^{5} + 0 + \frac{1}{42.8}t^{2}$
 $\frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{2}t^{2} + \frac{1}{1670}t^{2} + \frac{1}{12960}t^{2} + \frac{1}{1670.7}t^{2}$
 $\frac{1}{2}t^{2} - \frac{1}{12}t^{3} + \frac{1}{1670}t^{2} + \frac{1}{12960}t^{2} + \frac{1}{1670.7}t^{2}$

12 +2 - 1 +3 + 1 + + 1 +5 - 1 443 I suppose the first ter poor one right, + the Mus may do I do not at all clearly remember by what steps I obtained the numerical values given in the table. It is evidently possible, putting

to obtain expansions in powers of t which are apparently good for the smaller values at the beginning of the table, but I do not think I can have used these expansions in the latter part of the table, where t may exceed 10. I rather fancy that no very tidy asymptotic formula exists for large values of t, or values of t very near to unity. So I feel pretty sure that I was using some effective analytic transformation other than those which have cocurred to me since I received your letter.

Yours sincerely,