December 5,

Dear Jeffreys,

For the second sdition of <u>Statistical Tables</u> I have been wrestling with the problems you raise on the value of gravity in the light of B.C.Brewn and E.C. Bullard, Roy. Soc. Proc. A. 175, p.113.

These writers give two values for Washington, which they regard as in satisfactory agreement and which average 980.082, and a value for Teadington (d.F.L.) 981.181. They conclude also that in absolute value lotsdam, and in consequence nearly everything else derived from lotsdam, is .017 too high. I should be glad to know if you agree approximately with all this.

Tith respect to the equator, poles and standard value (possibly referring to 45°) the form of statement I should like to be pro forms

Washington 39° 980.082

Teddington 51°25' 981.181

whence

Equator 978.058

Pole . 983.169 and

Standard value (45°) 980.604.

This would be at least intelligible, and I should like to make it approximately true. I presume that Brown and Bullard's values have been reduced to sea level, but they do not mention the latitudes of their stations. Probably you have those of the Bureau of Standards

and the M.P.L. sufficiently accurately, which seems to mean at least as accurate as the nearest minute. I am supposing also that for such a purpose the acceleration is taken to be linearly related with cos² or sin². Without some such convention I do not know what the equatorial and polar values can mean. Revigators at least use such a formula, with an adjustment for height above sea level.

Yours sincerely,