January 5, 1939

Dear Kendall.

The statement on page 229-230 is exceedingly compressed, and I have often thought it is not so clear as it was when I first thought of it, which, unfortunately, was on a long railway journey, most of which I spent in verifying that it did give the pattern formulae of pp. 223 onwards, many of which were already known to me. I think probably the best way to reconstruct a clear statement would be to take some particular case and follow through the algebra, identifying at each stage typical expressions of the kinds referred to in my proof. It is, I think, obvious that one can identify the marginal partition at

while the other marginal partitions p, p, of the same partible number will occur in the k product. Probably what needs thinking out clearly

is the separate factors appropriate to each term of the subdivided operation distinguished from factors appropriate to the pattern as a whole.

Yours sincerely