2/ be Petrunry 1933.

Dr. W.M. Malisoff, 128 South 49th. Street, Philadelphia, Pa., U.S.A.

Dear Dr. Melisoff:

Thanks for your letter and account of your progress. The list of titles you have already is a most attractive one. In particular I should like to see the paper on Cansality, (though not if my doing so will make any delay) as I have been nibbling at the relation between deterministic and evolutionary notions.

No, I ought not to referee Haldane's study, as I am not yet a good enough genetic mechanist, and it will be the relevance of this side of his argument, I presume, that will most need expert attention.

Of the names you mention I should place Needham very high, in spite of his being so young.

As to a paper from me, it happens that I was approached some months ago, as one is liable to be,

by a woman, who may for aught I know, be a theosophist, but who was editing one of those compound books, relating, I gathered, all too vaguely, to evolution.

Well, I put down a few ideas on a line of thought that was interesting me, and discovered that I had distressed the good lady greatly, because it did not harmonise with the other contributions! I am sending you this very badly constructed essay, not as a contribution offered to your journal, but as the quickest way of showing what was in my mind, on a subject which I should greatly like to try to discuss, and as a possible basis for suggestions as to now severely it should be treated.

Yours sincerely,

For Mod. see mider Mar Mason.