

21 January 1933.

Dr. R.S. Pearson,
Biometric Laboratory,
University College,
Gower Street,
W.C. 1.

Dear Pearson:

Jeffrey's paper was Proc. Roy. Soc., A,
138, pp. 48-55. I think altogether fallacious,
through assuming that the probability of the last
of three observations lying between the other two
should be $1/3$ irrespective of the distance apart
of the two previous observations, and not merely
on the average of all such distances.

The College Authorities certainly have a
difficult position to face, and the division of
subjects may perhaps be their best solution.
To separate them, is, however, rather like undoing
what your father had done so much to do. Still the
responsibility for any such harm must lie with his
successors.

Yours sincerely,