July 11, 1941

Dear Peters,

I hope the enclosed will be clear. My previous estimate had been based on a bad value for sheep, but the general indication is obvious, that the large Sheep-Day interaction requires more frequent sampling of each animal.

Yours sincerely,

Enclosure

inclosure to Dr Pater

The estimated variance after adjustment for pre-treatment counts is 23,035. This is on a whole sheep basis; to put it on the basis of one count unit divide by 27, obtaining 853.15.

The mean square is then comparable with those obtained from Table VII (expanded below)

| | Degrees of freedom | Sum of squares | Mean square |
|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Sheep Days | 17 , 5 | 32841.64 16920.92 | 1931.86 |
| SD | { 1 57 | 12078.16 | 710.48) |
| Counters | 2 | 116.49 | 58.245 |
| CD. | 38 | 314.04 | 31.404 |
| SCD Parallel | 170 648 | 2958.44 6495.67 | 17.403 |

If no previous count had been made, a major source of error in the comparison would consist in the permanent differences in infestation of different sheep. Using the previous counts, however, we may expect it to be more like SD using the component for differences between the counts before and after treatment. As the latter figure involves different treatments, having perhaps a small effect, and does not take account of the actual process of adjustment, we may adopt the former figure 853.15.

Note too that the mean square for CB is actually less than that for SCD, as it mann could not reasonably be, apart from chance fluctuations, so that we may pool these 204 degrees of freedom, giving them the mean square 16.930. Note also that the interaction CD does not affect the comparisons made.

The ingrediants contributing to the actual error of the comparisons are then:

| | Mean aquare | Divisor, no. of unit counts | Equivalent mean square of unit counts |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| S SD CSD Parallels (PCSD) | 853.15 505.12 16.930 10.024 | 27 9 3 | 31.524 56.125 5.643 10.024 |

I understand there is nothing to be gained by counting less than three units, so I will set up the calculations first on the supposition that we can have at will sheep, d days, and o counters.

of the total 31.524 ascribed to sheep, a portion, namely $\frac{1}{3}(56.125) = 18.708$ is due to the interaction 3D. This leaves $\frac{12.816}{8}$ as the contribution to the error variance of mean count due to the limitation in the number of sheep in each group. Similarly of the portion ascribed to 5D a small amount, namely $\frac{1}{3}(5.643) = 1.881$, is due to CDS. This leaves $\frac{54.246}{84}$ as the contribution due to the limited number of samples taken. Finally, using the fixed number of parallels we have $\frac{5.643}{643}$ as the final portion. The costs corresponding to these three items are supposed to be in the ratio s = 180, sd = 10, cds = 3. If we divide each component of variance by the corresponding cost and take the square root, we shall have ideal numbers for minimising the cost at a given precision.

In this case notice at once that the ods component is smaller than the sd component for a higher ratio than 3:10, so that calculation will give ods smaller than ds, which must be interprete as meaning that a single counter is sufficient. If this is

adopted we must replace the two latter components by the single one 54.244+16.93 = 71.174 with a corresponding cost of 13d.

Now 12.816 is .0712, of which the square root is .2668, and 71.174 is 5.4749, of which the square root is 2.3399. Hence sd is 8.77 times as great as g, showing that the number of samples to be taken from each sheep after treatment should be more like 8 than 3, with minimum laboratory work applied to each sample. Once the number of sampling occasions is fixed, the precision will increase simply with the number of sheep, being for 8 occasions 21.713 for as many sheep as are used. Also, of course, the cost increases proportionately with the number of sheep. If the laboratory work on each sheep is fixed, it comes, on these figures, to £25.13.10 per unit of information! Using 9 occasions it is £25.12.11.

July 10, 1941