

7 August 1944

Dear Hiddell,

I am sending back your ophthalmological paper, and have had the frequencies re-worked, chiefly because I hate them not to add up right. There is also a mistake in my printed table (Table 9.1.55 of Corbet, Fisher & Williams) which affects one of your tables. The corrected figures are:

$\log_{10} H/S$	$\log_{10} N/A$
0.71	1.14083
0.72	1.15607
0.73	1.17124
0.74	1.18634

I think one could say that the logarithmic series would not be expected to work for your selected group, since the main condition of its working is that there should be a large number of persons in the group tested, each with so small a chance of *of contribution*

writing a paper that they might well not appear in the list at all; and this is probably not true of your energetic original members.

I see, on re-reading your letter of the 27th, that you are now intending the paper to be published in the Annals. You will, however, want to look it all through in view of the new calculations, before sending me the final copy.

Yours sincerely,