21st April, 1952.

Dear Mr Sarhan,

I have your letter of the 8th April.   As you say that
that last observation which I have mentioned to be a sufficient
estimate is biased, I think you may have missed the point that
its distribution in random samples of a finite size is exactly
known, so that whatever one's definition of bias, one can always
adjust the primary estimate so as to obtain an unbiased estimate
with the same precision.

The point, however, is that although in these cases the
theory of large samples does not apply, even approximately,
yet the solution to greatest likelihood has the full properties
of sufficiency and contains the whole of the existing information.

Yours sincerely,