Dr. J. Wishart, School of Agriculture, CAMBRIDGE.

Dear wishart:

Thanks for your letter and notes on the table. I have been putting in some work on the 5% table, and have decided, probably quite unnecessarily, to work on a 7-figure table of the variance ratio, that is, seven decimal places for a framework, n₁ or n₂ = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 20, 30, 60; using the exact formulae, and not relying for this framework on any existing tables, as I know I had great difficulty in using the Incomplete Gamma owing to the wide intervals. Value 11 appears only as a check on the accuracy of the asymptotic interpolation. This programme may take some time and in view of holidays, I may well finish it at University College.

I wonder if the difficulty in the column $n_1=1$ is possibly that the previous figures are more accurate than the interpolates, for interpolation on 24 for n_2 is certainly not good to the seventh decimal. My previous tables were intended specifically to make sure of 4-figure accuracy in the published values of \underline{z} ,

but for the many transformations of this table, it will be certainly worth while to have the three or four extra values accurate. Would you care to co-operate by doing the like for the 1% table? I am enclosing rough copy herewith.

Yours sincerely,