

ROTHAMSTED EXPERIMENTAL STATION  
HARPENDEN, HERTS

Director:  
SIR JOHN RUSSELL, D.Sc., F.R.S.

STATISTICAL DEPT.  
R. A. FISHER, Sc.D., F.R.S.  
J. WISHART, D.Sc.  
J. O. IRWIN, M.A., M.Sc.

9th. September, 1929.

Dr Sewall Wright,  
Dept. of Zoölogy,  
The University,  
CHICAGO,  
Ill., U.S.A.

Dear Sewall Wright,

Many thanks for your letter of August 28th, which is not only exceedingly interesting in itself, but helps me to understand the larger paper, which I have been puzzling over occasionally to some time.

I have so far published nothing on the diffusion problem, but have in the Press a book on 'The Genetic Theory of Natural Selection', which has part of a chapter on the cohesion of species in relation to the problem of their fission. I think it must be generally true that the ancestry of all individuals of a species is practically the same except for the last 100 or perhaps 10,000 generations, and that a gene frequency gradient is maintained by selection between different parts of a species' range. So that well marked local variations may or may not be incipient species, according as real fission, cessation of diffusion, ultimately supervenes. My discussion of this point is necessarily superficial and

qualitative, but may have some points to interest you.

Haldane has brought up Apotettix and its allies in re the dominance problem, and I fancy that discussion of the group may in the end be fruitful. Do you know Nabours? and if he has, or would be willing to obtain the relative frequencies in nature of his dominant types. I am inclined to suggest that they must be great enough to imply a selective stability of gene ratio due to heterozygous advantage.

By the way, here is a case in which <sup>a</sup> small selective advantage seems to be totally ineffective. Suppose there is only one (haploid) chromosome with no crossing over. Occasionally, advantageous mutations arise giving advantage  $a$ ; it will take something of the order of  $\frac{1}{a}$  generations for one of these to establish itself effectively, and it will fail to do so if in the mean-time a better mutation occurs elsewhere, i.e. in the <sup>rest of</sup> unimproved part of the population, for the improvements have to "queue up" to pass through a single door, and the more valuable press the less valuable aside. If  $vda$  is the frequency of mutation as good as  $a$ , there will be a value of  $a$  (say  $b$ ) given by something like

$$b = \int_0^{\infty} vda$$

such that mutations giving advantage  $> b$  have a reasonable chance, while those giving advantage  $< b$  will certainly fail

to establish themselves.

With several chromosomes and crossing over there are many and wider doors, and I do not know how low the qualification may become, but the point is relevant owing to the small amount of crossing over in Apotettix, Lebistes, Helix etc., all I think, polymorphic forms in nature.

Yours sincerely,

R. A. Fisher