

CALIBRATING NMR RESPONSE TO CAPILLARY PRESSURE CURVES IN FINE GRAINED LITHOLOGIES: PRETTY HILL FORMATION, OTWAY BASIN

Thesis submitted for the degree of Master of Science (Petroleum Geology & Geophysics)

ALI AL-GHAMDI

Australian School of Petroleum, The University of Adelaide

August 2006

ABSTRACT

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) tools are commonly used in formation evaluation. NMR T2 distribution data have been used by previous authors to build down-hole pseudo capillary pressure curves in reservoir quality rocks. The objective of this study is to generate NMR-derived down-hole pseudo capillary pressure curves in very fine grained lithologies in order to determine whether it is possible to estimate capillary displacement pressures and thereby sealing capacity.

NMR T2 relaxation time distributions of flood plain facies at Redman-1 well in Otway Basin, SE of South Australia, were converted to pseudo capillary pressure curves. The generated curves were compared to mercury injection capillary pressure (MICP) curves. The petrophysical properties and mineralogy of 11 flood plain samples were analyzed using the following measurement techniques: MICP, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), core porosity and permeability, X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), optical microscopy of thin section and X-Ray Fluorescence XRF.

Displacement pressures were calculated from pseudo capillary pressure curves and compared with actual MICP curves at different saturations percentages of non-wetting phase. The best percentage in displacement pressure estimation is the 20% saturation with correlation coefficient of 0.59. Statistically, the correlation coefficient of the 20% saturation is too low for meaningful calibration.

The reason for the lack of robust calibration is related to the actual properties of the rock: the Redman-1 flood plain samples have high iron contents (Fe2O3 content ranges between 5.21-7.16 wt%) with correspondingly increased magnetic susceptibility and elevated internal field gradients. The iron is mainly associated with chlorite and biotite in the samples studied. The NMR T2 response is affected significantly by the internal magnetic field gradient which depends on the magnitude of the

magnetic susceptibility. Surface relaxivity changes and high pore to throat size ratio also contribute to the difference between the two measurements.

Study conclusions are that T2 response in high iron content rock is affected by many factors such as magnetic susceptibility, surface relaxivity and aspect ratio. Therefore, using the NMR response to estimate capillary displacement pressures in iron-rich, fine grained rocks is not recommended. However, further studies in rocks of low magnetic susceptibility might yield more significant correlations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statement of Authenticity Abstract Table of Contents						
			Acknowledgements			
CHAPTE	R ONE: INTRODUCTION					
1.1	Background	1				
1.2	Project Aims	3				
1.3	Structure of the Thesis	4				
СНАРТЕ	R TWO: NMR, CAPILLARITY AND GEOLOGICAL REVIEW					
2.1	NMR Principles	5				
	2.1.1 Physics of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance	6				
	2.1.2 NMR T2 Distribution	7				
	2.1.3 Relaxation Mechanisms	9				
	2.1.3.1 Grain Surface Relaxation	11				
	2.1.3.2 Bulk Fluid Relaxation	13				
	2.1.3.3 Molecular Diffusion Relaxation	13				
	2.1.4 Multi-Exponential Decay	13				
2.2	NMR Application in Formation Evaluation	15				
2.3	NMR Pore Size Distribution					
2.4	Capillary Pressure (Pc)	18				
	2.4.1 Mercury Porosimetry and Pore Size Distribution	18				
	2.4.2 Seal Capacity Determination	21				
2.5	NMR and Displacement Pressure Estimation	22				
2.6	Geological Overview of Penola Trough	25				

CHAPTE	MINERALOGICAL MEASUREMENTS				
3.1	Introduction				
3.2	3.2 Sample Selection and Preparation				
3.3	31				
3.3.1 Mercury Injection Capillary Pressure (MICP)					
	3.3.2 Scanning Electronic Microscopy (SEM)	35			
	3.3.3 Porosity and Permeability Measurements	36			
	3.3.4 Porecast Analysis	38			
3.4	Mineralogical Determination Techniques	39			
	3.4.1 X-Ray Diffraction Analysis (XRD)	39			
	3.4.2 X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF)	40			
	3.4.3 Thin Section Petrology	41			
	3.4.4 Point Counting	42			
CHAPTE	R FOUR: PETROLOGY				
4 1					
4.1	Core Description	43			
4.1	Core Description Detrital Mineralogy	43 44			
	Detrital Mineralogy	44			
	Detrital Mineralogy 4.2.1 Quartz	44 47			
	Detrital Mineralogy 4.2.1 Quartz 4.2.2 Feldspars 4.2.3 Mica 4.2.4 Clays	44 47 47			
	Detrital Mineralogy 4.2.1 Quartz 4.2.2 Feldspars 4.2.3 Mica	44 47 47 52			
	Detrital Mineralogy 4.2.1 Quartz 4.2.2 Feldspars 4.2.3 Mica 4.2.4 Clays	44 47 47 52 54			
	Detrital Mineralogy 4.2.1 Quartz 4.2.2 Feldspars 4.2.3 Mica 4.2.4 Clays 4.2.5 Lithic Grains 4.2.6 Accessory Minerals Authigenic Minerals	44 47 47 52 54 55			
4.2	Detrital Mineralogy 4.2.1 Quartz 4.2.2 Feldspars 4.2.3 Mica 4.2.4 Clays 4.2.5 Lithic Grains 4.2.6 Accessory Minerals	44 47 47 52 54 55 55			
4.2	Detrital Mineralogy 4.2.1 Quartz 4.2.2 Feldspars 4.2.3 Mica 4.2.4 Clays 4.2.5 Lithic Grains 4.2.6 Accessory Minerals Authigenic Minerals	44 47 47 52 54 55 55			

CHAPTER	FIVE:	PETROPHYSICAL PROPERTIES DETERMINATION		
5.1	Introduction			
5.2	Porosity and Permeability Estimation(NMR & Lab)			
5.3 Capillary Pressure and Pore Throat Size Determination				
5.4	T2 Distribution Processing			
5.5	T2 Pseudo Capillary Pressure Curve			
	5.5.1 Corre	ected Cumulative T2 Distribution	70	
	5.5.2 Creat	ting Pseudo Capillary Pressure Curves	74	
5.6	Pseudo Pc	and MICP Curves Calibration	76	
5.7	Estimation of NMR Displacement Pressure			
CHAPTER	SIX: DISC	CUSSION		
6.1	Introducti	on	88	
6.2	Discussion			
CHAPTER	SEVEN:	CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATION	1S	
7.1 Conclusion		ns	98	
7.2	Recommen	dations	100	
REFERENCES				
APPENDIX – A		Mercury Injection Capillary Pressure Data Results	107	
APPENDIX – B		NMR Data Results	130	
APPENDIX – C		XRD Analysis Results	142	
APPENDI		XRF Analysis, Point Counting & Porosity and Permeability Results	150	