

Identifying the cause of cereal yield decline in lucerne
companion cropping systems; and the role of agronomy for
mitigating cereal productivity losses

Robert H. Harris

School of Agriculture, Food and Wine
University of Adelaide
South Australia

Submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy on the 13th of December, 2010

Student Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Robert Harris and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works. I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Robert Harris

Date: 13/12/2010

Harris RH, Hirth JR, Crawford MC, Bellotti WD, Peoples MB and Norng S (2008).

Companion crop performance in relation to annual biomass production, resource supply and subsoil drying. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 59: 1-12.

Harris RH, Hirth JR, Crawford MC, Bellotti WD, Peoples MB and Norng S (2007).

Companion crop performance in the absence and presence of agronomic manipulation.
Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 58, 690-701.

Harris RH, Clune TS, Peoples MB, Swan AD, Bellotti WD, Chen W, Norng S (2007) The importance of in-crop lucerne suppression and nitrogen for cereal companion crops in south-eastern Australia. *Field Crops Research*, **104**, 31-43.

Harris R, Gaydon D, Bellotti B, Unkovich M, Robertson M (2008) Simulating competition between cereal and lucerne grown in mixture. In 'Proceedings of the 14th Australian Agronomy Conference'. (Australian Society of Agronomy: Adelaide)

Harris R, Gaydon D, Bellotti B, Unkovich M, Robertson M (2010) Estimated long-term trends in agronomic strategies for mitigating competition in companion cropping systems. In 'Proceedings of the 15th Australian Agronomy Conference'. (Australian Society of Agronomy: Lincoln, New Zealand).

Acknowledgements

I wish to sincerely thank the Department of Primary Industries Victoria for allowing me to undertake a PhD study during full time employment. I would also like to thank the Cooperative Research Centre for Future Farm Industries and the Grains Research and Development Corporation for funding the research project, and the University of Adelaide for hosting this PhD study.

In addition I would like to extend my gratitude to the following people:

- My many PhD supervisors during the journey; Dr Murray Unkovich, Prof. Gurjeet Gill, Prof. William Bellotti as well as DPI Victorian supervisors, Dr Jeff Hirth, Dr Tim Clune and Dr Robert Belford. Thank you for your invaluable support, input and patience.
- Roy Latta for his vast practical experience with lucerne and his leadership of the CRC's 'High Water Use Farming Systems' project, under which this research was conducted.
- My collaborating farmers who allowed me to conduct field research on their farms: John and Jan Harris (North Boorhaman) and Merrick Kingston (Burraja).
- Don Gaydon and Dr Michael Robertson for their invaluable assistance in showing a very sceptical and reluctant person on how to use APSIM, and how it could 'value add' to existing field research.
- The technical staff who assisted me when I broke my arm and was unable to undertake field work: Ken Wilson and Wayne Dempsey.

ABSTRACT

Integrating perennial plants like lucerne into farming systems has been widely recommended to mimic pre-agricultural native vegetation, to improve year round transpiration and reduce the off-site impacts of agriculture on the surrounding environment. Despite perennial plants providing greater hydrological benefits compared to traditional annual plant based farming systems; integration of lucerne into farming systems remains a challenge. One approach that may enhance the integration is companion cropping, where annual crops are sown directly into an existing lucerne stand. However, past research has shown that this practice can be harmful to the productivity of annual crops, due to competition with lucerne for environmental resources. Yet beyond quantifying the effect on annual crop production, little is understood about what causes the loss of yield. Understanding the underlying mechanisms dictating the performance of annual crops growing with lucerne could help design agronomic strategies that mitigate competition, and improve annual crop productivity; in turn potentially improving industry acceptance and adoption of both lucerne and companion cropping.

In this study, two field experiments showed that competition was apparent early in the growing season prior to cereal stem elongation; when cereal biomass in the presence of lucerne was significantly lower than that of cereal grown in monoculture. Although there were no differences in cereal establishment, companion cereals produced significantly ($P<0.05$) less tillers, spikes, cereal biomass, and consequently grain yield compared with cereals grown in monoculture. Both field experiments showed that fertiliser N could potentially increase companion cereal productivity, and that in-crop lucerne suppression could improve cereal grain quality by reducing lucerne pod contamination. Apart from quantifying the temporal effects of competition between the companion cereal and lucerne and assessing the role of agronomic strategies for mitigating competition, field experiments did not give much insight into what was causing the loss of companion cereal productivity.

Simulation modelling using APSIM (Agricultural Production Systems Simulator) explored competition between the companion cereal and lucerne, and each component's response to resource supply and agronomic intervention over longer periods. APSIM was found to satisfactorily simulate both simultaneous and stand alone wheat and lucerne growth, after comparison with field observed data. Although APSIM tended to deplete soil mineral N more rapidly under lucerne than field observations indicated, necessitating soil mineral N to be constrained within previously measured values in long-term simulations.

Simulations showed that companion cereals were frequently sown into drier soil profiles, due to soil water extraction by lucerne over the preceding summer/autumn period, compared with monoculture cereals sown after the summer/autumn fallow. Competition for soil water appeared the major contributing factor to companion cereal performance, and simulated data predicted that companion cereals had to rely solely on in-crop rainfall. Therefore companion cropping in low rainfall environments where growing season rainfall (April to October) is less than 350 mm, or in environments where crops rely heavily on stored soil water at sowing for subsequent production, would be unsuitable for reliable grain production from companion cropping.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1. Introduction.....	10
References	13
Chapter 2. Review of Literature	16
<i>Introducing the concept of companion cropping.....</i>	16
<i>The potential role of companion cropping in high rainfall temperate cropping systems of south eastern Australia.....</i>	17
<i>Companion cropping can lead to competition for resources between different plant species</i>	23
<i>Current understanding of the impact of lucerne growth on associated temperate agricultural crops.....</i>	26
<i>Could simulation modelling using APSIM improve knowledge of lucerne and annual crop interactions and help design agronomic strategies to mitigate competition?.....</i>	32
Conclusion.....	35
References	36
Chapter 3. Companion crop performance in relation to annual biomass production, resource supply and subsoil drying.....	43
Co-author declarations.....	44
Abstract	48
Introduction	49
Materials and Methods	50
<i>Experimental site</i>	50
<i>Paddock history</i>	51
<i>Experimental design</i>	51
<i>Lucerne removal</i>	51
<i>Crop establishment, in-crop weed control and stubble management.....</i>	52
<i>N management</i>	52
<i>Water application to individual plots</i>	53
<i>Irrigation scheduling</i>	53
<i>Biomass measurements.....</i>	53
Results	57
<i>Rainfall</i>	57
<i>Lucerne and cereal biomass</i>	58
<i>Productivity of the cereal's grown with and without lucerne</i>	59
<i>The impact of additional N</i>	61
<i>The impact of additional water.....</i>	66
<i>Soil water distribution</i>	69
Discussion	72
<i>The role of companion cropping for improving rainfall utilisation and biomass production.....</i>	72
<i>What is the likely resource or resources contributing to this negative expression in companion crop grain yield?.....</i>	74
<i>Does companion cropping compromise lucerne's capacity to dry subsoils?</i>	77
Conclusion.....	77
Acknowledgments	78
References	78
Chapter 4. Companion crop performance in the absence and presence of agronomic manipulation.....	82
Co-author declarations.....	83
Abstract	88
Introduction	89
Materials and Methods	91
<i>Experimental site</i>	91
<i>Paddock history</i>	91

<i>Experimental design</i>	92
<i>Lucerne removal</i>	92
<i>Soil sample collection and preparation for mineral N analysis</i>	93
<i>Crop establishment</i>	93
<i>In-crop lucerne suppression</i>	94
<i>N management</i>	94
<i>Biomass measurements</i>	94
<i>Crop population measurements</i>	94
<i>Lucerne population measurements</i>	95
<i>Grain harvest measurements</i>	95
<i>Cereal grain quality measurements</i>	95
<i>Soil water measurements</i>	95
<i>Calibration of the neutron moisture meter</i>	96
<i>Chemical analysis</i>	96
<i>Statistical analysis</i>	96
Results	97
<i>Rainfall</i>	97
<i>Lucerne populations</i>	97
<i>Autumn soil mineral N</i>	100
<i>Cereal populations</i>	100
<i>The impact of crop type (wheat and barley) and additional N</i>	102
<i>Lucerne and cereal biomass</i>	105
<i>Cereal grain production and quality</i>	106
<i>Soil water distribution over time and depth</i>	110
Discussion	112
<i>Companion cropping effects on lucerne and cereal production in the absence of agronomic manipulation</i>	112
<i>Impact of companion cropping on soil water contents</i>	118
<i>On-farm implications</i>	119
<i>Disclaimer</i>	121
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	121
<i>References</i>	121
Chapter 5. Modelling simultaneous cereal and lucerne growth on the Riverine Plains	125
<i>Co-author declarations</i>	126
<i>Abstract</i>	130
<i>Introduction</i>	131
<i>Material and Methods</i>	133
<i>Experimental data</i>	133
<i>Simulation model</i>	134
<i>Model parameterisation</i>	135
<i>Management logic and modifications to the lucerne and weed modules</i>	141
<i>Statistical analysis</i>	144
<i>Results</i>	146
<i>Simulated and observed cereal production in the presence and absence of lucerne</i>	146
<i>Simulated and observed lucerne production in the presence and absence of a cereal crop</i>	150
<i>Simulated and observed soil mineral N and soil water content under cereal and lucerne grown separately and in mixture</i>	152
<i>Discussion</i>	158
<i>Evaluation of APSIM for simulating companion cropping</i>	158
<i>Evaluation of APSIM for simulating cereal and lucerne production in grown monoculture</i>	161
<i>Calibration of APSIM using field measured soil water and soil mineral N data</i>	162
Conclusion	163
Acknowledgements	164

References	164
Chapter 6. Estimated long-term trends in agronomic strategies for mitigating competition in companion cropping systems on the Riverine Plains	169
Co-author declarations.....	170
<i>Abstract</i>	174
Introduction	175
Materials and Methods	177
<i>Simulation model</i>	177
<i>Model parameterisation</i>	178
Results	180
<i>Long-term performance of companion cropping in comparison with cereal monoculture</i>	180
<i>Long-term response of companion cropping to lucerne suppression</i>	184
<i>Long-term response of companion cropping to different rates and timing of N application</i>	189
<i>Long-term effect of GSR on agronomic strategies for mitigating competition</i>	189
<i>Interaction between pre-crop lucerne suppression and N application</i>	190
Discussion	192
<i>Why are the yields of companion cereals lower than cereal grown in monoculture?</i>	192
<i>Defining the circumstances under which lucerne suppression and N fertiliser can mitigate competition</i>	194
Conclusion.....	195
Acknowledgements	196
References	196
Chapter 7. Overarching Discussion.....	199
Conclusion.....	213
References	214