



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

Laminar Flow Control of a Flat Plate Boundary Layer Using Dielectric Barrier Discharge Plasma

BRAD A. GIBSON

School of Mechanical Engineering
The University of Adelaide
South Australia 5005
Australia

*A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of Ph.D. in
Engineering on the 31st of August 2012.*

Ph. D. Thesis

Final version

31st of August 2012

Aerospace, Acoustics and Autonomous Systems Group

School of Mechanical Engineering

The University of Adelaide

South Australia 5005

Australia

Typeset by the author using L^AT_EX.

Printed in Australia.

Copyright © 2012, The University of Adelaide, South Australia.
All right reserved. No part of this report may be used or reproduced in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system without prior written permission of the university except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews.

*To Thomas Alfred Gibson (dec.), Rodney Thomas Gibson, & Benjamin John Chartier;
my three favourite engineers.*

Summary

The drag developed on an object as it moves through a fluid comprises of a number of components arising from various and differing fluid phenomena. For aerodynamic bodies such as aircraft, one of the most dominant components of the total drag force is that arising from shear interactions between the surface of the object and the fluid. In steady, cruise conditions this shear-induced skin friction drag can account for almost 50% of the total drag force on the body and hence this is the reason much interest surrounds the minimisation of this component.

Laminar Flow Control (LFC) is the field of aerodynamics focused on minimising skin friction, or viscous drag. The viscosity of a fluid, and the shear interactions between the layers of fluid and the aerodynamic body give rise to a boundary layer, a region of fluid with diminished fluid velocity and momentum. Laminar Flow Control aims to minimise the momentum deficit within the boundary layer by manipulating the flow within and encouraging favourable flow conditions to exist and be maintained. In essence, Laminar Flow Control attempts to maintain laminar flow within the boundary layer, improving the stability of the flow, delaying the onset of turbulence and the formation of a turbulent boundary layer that develops significantly more drag than an equivalent laminar structure.

A number of techniques exist for controlling and maintaining laminar flow within a boundary layer. Examples include compliant surfaces, acoustic arrays and suction, and all share the common trait of complexity, which to date has limited the application of such systems in the real world. In the search for simpler Laminar Flow Control technology, attention has been turned towards Dielectric Barrier Discharge (DBD) plasma actuators as a possible alternative. Through the formation of a small volume of plasma, these actuators are capable of producing an electrostatic body force that can couple with the surrounding air and bring about a jetting effect without the addition of mass. This jetting effect, if controlled effectively, can potentially favourably augment a boundary layer flow and lead to a delay in transition.

The work discussed in this thesis represents a contribution to the field of DBD-based Laminar Flow Control. The aim was to further investigate the potential of plasma actuators for improving the hydrodynamic stability of a boundary layer and hence contribute to the limited published data pertaining to this field. The research involved the development of a DBD-based LFC system in which plasma actuators were used to augment the most fundamental of boundary layer flows, the flat plate, Blasius-type. By measuring the augmentation to the velocity profile of the boundary layer brought about by the LFC system, the stability of the flow was able to be investigated and hence the feasibility of the technology determined.

The plasma actuators utilised in this research were designed such that control could be achieved over the shape of the induced jetting profile. To minimise adverse interactions with boundary layer flows, the plasma actuators were designed so that the magnitude and position of the maximal induced jetting velocity could be controlled. After consultation of the literature, novel actuators utilising orthogonally arranged electrodes were conceived and tested in a parametric study. Through variation of the distance to which the exposed electrode sat proud above the surface of the actuator, in addition to variation of the applied voltage, it was found that the desired control over the induced jet could be attained, leading to the identification of two mechanisms through which the DBD-based LFC system could be tuned. The details of the design and development of these orthogonal actuators and the effect of the electrode height on the jetting characteristics of the devices can be found in Gibson et al. (2009a) and Gibson et al. (2009b).

After identifying suitable and novel actuator arrangements, a tuning strategy was conceived to hasten the development of the LFC system. Rather than implementing the actuators and measuring the response of the boundary layer to the plasma first, Linear Stability Theory was instead used to identify desirable boundary layer augmentation objectives for the LFC system. Linear Stability Analyses (LSAs) were performed on a number of idealised boundary layer flows, obtained from curve fitting analytical functions to published DBD-augmented boundary layer data, as well as from boundary layer theory. The LSAs were conducted using an Orr-Sommerfeld Equation solver developed as part of this research, which utilises a finite differencing scheme. The outcome of this comparison process was that the developed DBD-based LFC system was used to attempt to augment the boundary layer such that the flow attained an asymptotic suction velocity profile, which would give the boundary layer a limit of stability almost two orders of magnitude greater than that of the base flow, and hence significant robustness to transition.

The conceived DBD-based LFC system was implemented into a Blasius-

type boundary layer which was formed over the Flat Plate Rig (FPR) designed and developed as part of this research. Initially a single actuator was utilised, positioned just upstream of the location of the critical Reynolds Number (limit of stability) of the flow. Due to the design of the FPR and the actuators utilised, it was possible to study the response of the layer to the plasma with and without a mild suction effect, introduced through a $5mm$ wide slot that was required for operation of the actuator. This mild suction effect was measured to be approximately $4Pa$, and by itself was found to be insufficiently strong enough to augment the flow such that it attained the characteristics of a boundary layer with uniform wall suction. With the FPR, measurements of the velocity profile of the boundary layer with and without flow control were made around the critical Reynolds Number location of the flow ($80000 < Re_x < 120000$), which allowed the changes to the stability of the flow to be studied.

As discussed in Gibson et al. (2012) the initial results of the DBD-based LFC system showed that the plasma was adversely affecting the stability of the flow. Subsequent tuning of the system was therefore performed through variation of the applied voltage of the actuator. From this tuning it was found that an actuator operated with an applied voltage of $19.0kV_{pp}$ (referred to as a *low-voltage* actuator) in conjunction with the mild suction effect, produced boundary layer characteristics akin to those of a flow exposed to uniform wall suction. In addition, an actuator operated with an applied voltage of $21.4kV_{pp}$ (referred to as a *high-voltage* actuator) was found to adversely affect the stability, even more so in the absence of the mild suction effect. The single low-voltage actuator was found to be able to maintain uniform wall suction-like characteristics for $50mm$ beyond the trailing edge of the encapsulated electrode. This finding pertaining to the use of the low-voltage actuator highlighted the potential of a single DBD device to develop uniform wall suction-like characteristics with only a mild suction effect through a single slot, and hence in a less complex fashion than conventional suction systems.

An attempt was made to maintain the favourable benefits of the single, low-voltage actuator by using two such actuators placed in series. However, the effect of this combined double-actuator/suction system differed only slightly from the suction-only system (with two slots instead of one), meaning that in this configuration, the use of the plasma was somewhat superfluous. Hence it could be concluded from the results of the research that a single low-voltage actuator operated in conjunction with a mild suction effect is more effective as a LFC system than a single mild-suction slot, but a combined double-low-voltage actuator/suction system is no better than a simpler and less energy consuming double-mild-suction slot system. It is,

however, anticipated that through the undertaking of future works, utilising additional actuators that have undergone further tuning, a LFC even more effective than the double suction slot system tested in this research will ultimately be developed.

Declarations

Originality

To the best of my knowledge and belief, the material contained within this work, except where due reference has been made, is original and contains no material previously published for the award of any other degree or diploma at another institution.

Permissions

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act, 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Brad A. Gibson

Acknowledgements

The research documented in this thesis is the result of many individuals, who, with their care and support, have guided me through to its completion. To all of you I express my thanks.

To my supervisors, Dr. Maziar Arjomandi and A/Prof. Richard M. Kelso I reserve the first acknowledgements. Throughout my candidature the numerous discussions on history, politics, and life in addition to engineering have made my experience so much more enjoyable. You have also tolerated my numerous motorsport and bicycling fables that would have other lesser men dozing within moments. I thank you both sincerely.

Thanks to all of my friends, of whom there are many, who have supported me throughout my research endeavours. In particular sincere thanks to my office buddies Dorothy Missingham, Ben Chartier, Richie Jones and Mei Cheong. You have all been a constant source of fun, support, information and advice on everything from engineering to fine wine. Without our daily discussions around a hot cup of tea I would not have made it through.

The presentation of this thesis would never have attained any degree of professionalism without the help of Dr. Zebb Prime and Will Robertson. Thank you Zebb for responding to the daily calls to correct my misuse of LaTeX, and to Will, thanks for being a thorough and critical curator of the art of typesetting.

To all of the workshop staff at The School of Mechanical Engineering, thanks for the help, jokes, information and afternoon drinks. You have all been a source of knowledge fun and friendliness, and to you all I express my thanks.

During my research my family has always been by my side. Thanks to my parents Rodney and Sandra Gibson and to my sister Melissa Gibson for being patient with me, loving me and providing me with a warm and safe home.

Finally I save the biggest thanks to my partner Emma Lowe. You, above everyone else have endured the most. You have suffered the ups, the downs,

the enjoyment, the stress, the lack of sleep, the loss of hair, the anecdotes from the lab, the stories from the desk, and even participation in supervisor meetings. This journey has been as much hard work for you as it has been for me and through your love and support we have made it. Words cannot express my thanks and appreciation.

Contents

Summary	iii
Declarations	vii
Acknowledgements	ix
List of Figures	xiv
List of Tables	xxii
Nomenclature	xxiii
Superscripts	xxix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Aims & objectives	3
1.2 Thesis Outline	4
1.3 Publications arising from this thesis	6
1.4 Thesis format	7
2 Boundary Layers and Laminar Flow Control	9
2.1 The physics of boundary layers	9
2.2 Hydrodynamic stability & transition	15
2.3 Brief review of Laminar Flow Control systems	26
2.4 Summary	28
3 Literature Review	29
3.1 DBD plasma: an alternative flow control device	29
3.2 Laminar Flow Control with DBD actuators	32
3.3 Actuator tuning mechanisms for LFC	40
3.4 Consolidation of literature & research objectives	49
4 Solving the Orr-Sommerfeld Equation	53

4.1	Formulating the OSE solver	54
4.2	OSE validation - Curve of neutral stability of the Blasius flow	68
4.3	Summary	81
5	Tuning Guidelines for the Plasma-based Laminar Flow Control System	83
5.1	Orthogonal actuator performance in quiescent air	84
5.2	Solving the OSE for LFC design guidelines	95
5.3	Summary & conclusions	107
6	Experimental Apparatus	109
6.1	Wind tunnel	109
6.2	Flat Plate Rig (FPR)	112
6.3	Plasma actuators	116
6.4	Experimental anemometry	118
6.5	Performance of the FPR	133
6.6	Experimental investigations involving DBD	135
6.7	Summary	143
7	Response of the Laminar Layer to a Single Orthogonal Actuator	145
7.1	Flow response to a single orthogonal actuator	145
7.2	Summary & conclusions	156
8	Refinement of Actuator Operation for Improved Control	157
8.1	Parametric investigation for operation refinement	158
8.2	Application of OSE solver to parametric study results	163
8.3	Flow response to refined actuator operation	166
8.4	Summary & conclusions	175
9	Attempting to Maintain the Stability Improvements	179
9.1	Flow response to double actuator operation	181
9.2	Summary & conclusions	187
10	Conclusions & Future Work	195
10.1	Conclusions	195
10.2	Suggested future work	199
A	Measured Responses of Tripped Layers to the Orthogonal Actuators	205
A.1	Experimental set up	205
A.2	Low Re turbulent layer response to a single orthogonal actuator	209

<i>CONTENTS</i>	xiii
A.3 Summary & conclusions	218
References	219

List of Figures

1.1	Components of drag affecting a typical aircraft in cruise, (adapted from Bertin 2002).	2
2.1	Schematic representation of different flow types in a typical boundary layer undergoing transition (White 1974).	11
2.2	The <i>road map</i> from receptivity to transition (adapted from Saric et al. 2002).	17
2.3	Curve of neutral stability for a flat plate boundary layer (adapted from Schlichting 1933).	20
2.4	Curves of neutral stability for a flat plate boundary layer with pressure gradient augmentation (adapted from Schlichting 1955).	23
3.1	Schematic representation of a DBD actuator and the induced flow developed by the device.	30
3.2	Comparison of DBD actuator with a Glauert wall-jet. DBD results from the author. For the Glauert results the velocity and height are plotted in an equivalent non-dimensional form as discussed by Glauert (1956).	31
3.3	Effect of DBD plasma on the lift coefficient of a NACA 0009 airfoil, $Re_C = 18000$, (adapted from Corke et al. 2002).	33
3.4	Effect of actuator electrode orientation on boundary layer velocity profiles, $Re_x = 40000$ (adapted from Roth et al. 2000). Note that the profiles are plotted using dimensionless coordinates.	34
3.5	DBD actuator influence on a low Reynolds number boundary layer developed over the suction side of a Pak-B-type low pressure turbine blade, $Re_x = 40000$ (adapted from Newcamp 2005).	35
3.6	DBD actuator influence on a flat plate boundary layer with different applied frequencies, at a constant applied voltage $V_{app} = 15.5kV_{pp}$ (adapted from Baughn et al. 2006).	36

3.7	Evolution of shape factor with and without plasma (adapted from Grundmann and Tropea 2007b).	38
3.8	Effect of electrical operating parameters on maximum induced velocity (adapted from Forte et al. 2007).	42
3.9	Effect of applied frequency on maximum induced velocity (adapted from Roth and Dai 2006).	43
3.10	Velocity profiles for a DBD actuator operated at a constant power (37.5W), at various applied frequencies (adapted from Baughn et al. 2006).	43
3.11	Velocity profiles of a DBD actuator for various applied voltages (adapted from Roth and Dai 2006).	45
3.12	The linear approximation of the electric field within a DBD actuator adapted from the work of Shyy et al. (2002).	46
3.13	Space-time lumped-element circuit model for a single-dielectric barrier discharge plasma actuator as discussed by Orlov (2006) and Orlov et al. (2006). The concept is schematically represented in (a) and the equivalent circuit diagram is shown in (b).	48
4.1	Discretisation of the computational region for solution via the box method (adapted from Bradshaw et al. 1981).	56
4.2	Dimensionless velocity profile plot of the Blasius Layer as calculated using the KBM.	78
4.3	Curve of neutral stability (wavenumber) for Blasius Flow	79
4.4	Curve of neutral stability (frequency) for Blasius Flow	79
4.5	Validation of OSE solver with published results from Cebeci and Cousteix (1999).	80
5.1	Critical dimensions of the orthogonal actuators used in the parametric investigation.	86
5.2	Critical dimensions of the parallel actuator used in the parametric investigation.	87
5.3	Plasma produced in quiescent air. Images were taken directly above the respective encapsulated electrodes. Net induced wall-jet velocity u_{jet} is parallel to the page and directed from page bottom to page top.	88
5.4	Suggested plasma particle paths for parallel and orthogonal actuators. It is hypothesised that a stronger electrostatic field produced by the orthogonal actuator creates a more effective pseudo-cathode that forces plasma particles to travel in longer, less direct trajectories.	89

5.5	Dynamic pressure plots obtained from the parametric investigation. (Cubic-spline interpolation used between data points for clarity).	91
5.6	Maximum chordwise velocity results for tested actuators	93
5.7	Chordwise momentum results for studied actuators	94
5.8	Result of analytical curve fitting between assumed DBD-augmented flow and the results of Newcamp (2005) for an actuator operated at $P = 15W$. Error bars show differences between the experimental data and approximated curve fit.	98
5.9	Result of analytical curve fitting between assumed DBD-augmented flow and the results of Newcamp (2005) for an actuator operated at $P = 20W$. Error bars show differences between the experimental data and approximated curve fit.	99
5.10	Result of analytical curve fitting between assumed DBD-augmented flow and the results of Newcamp (2005) for an actuator operated at $P = 25W$. Error bars show differences between the experimental data and approximated curve fit.	100
5.11	Curves of neutral stability (wavenumber) for the assumed DBD-controlled boundary layers.	101
5.12	Curves of neutral stability (frequency) for the assumed DBD-controlled boundary layers.	102
5.13	Curve of neutral stability based on displacement thickness (wavenumber) for Blasius flow.	104
5.14	Curve of neutral stability based on displacement thickness (frequency) for Blasius flow.	104
5.15	Curve of neutral stability based on displacement thickness (wavenumber) for uniform suction.	105
5.16	Curve of neutral stability based on displacement thickness (frequency) for uniform suction.	106
6.1	The KC Wind Tunnel at the University of Adelaide.	110
6.2	Flat Plate Rig (FPR) as installed in the KC Wind Tunnel.	110
6.3	Turbulence intensity measurements for the KC Wind Tunnel.	111
6.4	Dimensions (in <i>mm</i>) of leading edge of experimental flat plate.	114
6.5	Side view of the FPR showing significant dimensions (in <i>mm</i>) of rig.	114
6.6	End view of FPR showing method of pressure gradient adjustment (dimensions in <i>mm</i>).	115
6.7	Pressure gradient over length of FPR.	115

6.8	Formal definition of the origin pertaining to the experimental investigations and region (shaded grey) over which measurements were made (dimensions in <i>mm</i>). The origin is defined as the point parallel to the leading edge and surface of the flat plate.	116
6.9	Schematic of orthogonal actuators used throughout research. Electrodes are shaded in purple, electrical connection bolt is in gold (dimensions in <i>mm</i>).	118
6.10	TSI Inc. IFA 100 CTA system as used throughout this project. . .	121
6.11	Electronic schematic of CTA bridge. The hot wire probe lies between points C & D.	122
6.12	Ideal frequency response of the CTA hot wire probe.	123
6.13	Schematic design of total pressure tubes employed in this work (dimensions in <i>mm</i>).	125
6.14	Error due to displacement. The walls of the tube are shown in grey.	126
6.15	Error due to the presence of the wall. The walls of the tube are shown in grey.	126
6.16	Velocity profile measurements obtained from the FPR, $U_\infty = 5.62\text{m/s}$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3700001/m$ for all x except $x = 263\text{mm}$. For $x = 263\text{mm}$, $U_\infty = 5.32\text{m/s}$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3500001/m$. Derivative information for measured data only.	134
6.17	Contour plot of measurements obtained from the FPR, $U_\infty = 5.62\text{m/s}$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3700001/m$ for all x except $x = 263\text{mm}$. For $x = 263\text{mm}$, $U_\infty = 5.32\text{m/s}$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3500001/m$	135
6.18	Schematic arrangement of plasma actuator and corresponding fluid flow for the case without mild suction. The plasma is shown in purple (dimensions in <i>mm</i>).	138
6.19	Schematic of the establishment of the mild suction effect used in conjunction with the DBD actuators. The plasma is shown in purple.	139
6.20	Schematic arrangement of plasma actuator and corresponding fluid flow for the case with mild suction. The plasma is shown in purple (dimensions in <i>mm</i>).	140
7.1	Velocity profiles for the boundary layer exposed to the mild suction only, $U_\infty = 4.88\text{m/s}$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3400001/m$. Derivative data for controlled case only. 'No Control' case from FPR test shown for indicative reference only.	147
7.2	Velocity contours for the boundary layer exposed to the mild suction only, $U_\infty = 4.88\text{m/s}$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3400001/m$	148

7.3	Velocity profiles with suction, $V_{app} = 22.2kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 4.86m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3400001/m$. Derivative data for controlled case only. 'No Control' case from FPR test shown for indicative reference only. .	149
7.4	Velocity contours with suction, $V_{app} = 22.2kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 4.86m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3400001/m$	150
7.5	Velocity profiles without suction, $V_{app} = 22.2kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 4.86m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3400001/m$. Derivative data for controlled case only. 'No Control' case from FPR test shown for indicative reference only. .	151
7.6	Velocity contours without suction, $V_{app} = 22.2kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 4.86m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3400001/m$	152
7.7	Evolution of displacement thickness for control with mild suction.	152
7.8	Evolution of displacement thickness for control without suction.	153
7.9	Evolution of shape factor for control with mild suction.	154
7.10	Evolution of shape factor for control without suction.	154
8.1	Variation in velocity profiles at $x = 243mm$ due to changes in applied voltage of the DBD actuator, $U_{\infty} = 5.30m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3400001/m$. Derivative data for controlled cases only. 'No Control' case from FPR test shown for indicative reference only.	159
8.2	Effect of applied voltage on displacement thickness (with mild suction).	160
8.3	Effect of applied voltage on shape factor (with mild suction). . .	161
8.4	Comparison between asymptotic suction profile and measured velocity profiles from low-voltage augmentation and mild suction.	162
8.5	Analytical velocity profile fit to experimental data for use in the Linear Stability Analysis.	164
8.6	Curve of neutral stability (frequency) for the low-voltage actuator ($V_{app} = 19.0kV_{pp}$).	165
8.7	Curve of neutral stability (frequency) for the low-voltage actuator ($V_{app} = 19.0kV_{pp}$).	165
8.8	Velocity profiles with suction, $V_{app} = 19.0kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.31m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Derivative data for controlled case only. $x = 243mm$ data from parametric study. 'No Control' case from FPR test shown for indicative reference only.	167
8.9	Velocity contours with suction, $V_{app} = 19.0kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.31m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$	168
8.10	Velocity profiles with suction. $V_{app} = 21.4kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.60m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3700001/m$. Derivative data for controlled case only. $x = 243mm$ data from parametric study. 'No Control' case from FPR test shown for indicative reference only.	169

8.11	Velocity contours with suction, $V_{app} = 21.4kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.60m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3700001/m$	170
8.12	Evolution of displacement thickness for configurations with mild suction.	171
8.13	Evolution of shape factor for configurations with mild suction.	172
8.14	Velocity profiles without suction, $V_{app} = 19.0kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.50m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Derivative data for controlled case only. 'No Control' data from FPR test shown for indicative reference only.	174
8.15	Velocity contours without suction, $V_{app} = 19.0kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.50m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$	175
8.16	Velocity profiles without suction, $V_{app} = 21.4kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.51m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3700001/m$. Derivative data for controlled case only. 'No Control' case from FPR test shown for indicative reference only.	176
8.17	Velocity contours without suction, $V_{app} = 21.4kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.51m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3700001/m$	177
8.18	Evolution of displacement thickness for configurations without suction.	177
8.19	Evolution of shape factor for configurations without suction.	178
9.1	Schematic representation of arrangement of double actuators showing critical dimensions (in <i>mm</i>) differing to those of the single actuator.	180
9.2	Velocity contours for the boundary layer exposed to the mild suction only, $U_{\infty} = 5.39m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Derivative data for controlled case only. 'No Control' case from FPR test shown for indicative reference only.	182
9.3	Velocity contours for the boundary layer exposed to the mild suction only, $U_{\infty} = 5.39m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Data linearly interpolated between $x = 263mm$ and $x = 303mm$	183
9.4	Velocity profiles with suction, $V_{app} = 19.0kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.38m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Derivative data for controlled case only. 'No Control' case from FPR test shown for indicative reference only.	184
9.5	Velocity contours with suction, $V_{app} = 19.0kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.38m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Data linearly interpolated between $x = 263mm$ and $x = 303mm$	185
9.6	Velocity profiles with suction, $V_{app} = 21.4kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.36m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Derivative data for controlled case only. 'No Control' case from FPR test shown for indicative reference only.	186

9.7	Velocity contours with suction, $V_{app} = 21.4kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.36m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Data linearly interpolated between $x = 263mm$ and $x = 303mm$	187
9.8	Evolution of displacement thickness for double actuator configurations with mild suction.	188
9.9	Evolution of shape factor for double actuator configurations with mild suction.	189
9.10	Velocity profiles without suction, $V_{app} = 19.0kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.43m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Derivative data for controlled case only. 'No Control' case from FPR test shown for indicative reference only. .	190
9.11	Velocity contours without suction, $V_{app} = 19.0kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.43m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Data linearly interpolated between $x = 263mm$ and $x = 303mm$	191
9.12	Velocity profiles without suction, $V_{app} = 21.4kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.42m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Derivative data for controlled case only. 'No Control' case from FPR test shown for indicative reference only. .	192
9.13	Velocity contours without suction, $V_{app} = 21.4kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.42m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Data linearly interpolated between $x = 263mm$ and $x = 303mm$	193
9.14	Evolution of displacement thickness for double actuator configurations without suction.	193
9.15	Evolution of shape factor for double actuator configurations without suction.	194
A.1	Definition of parameters for sizing of trip wire (adapted from Braslow et al. 1966).	206
A.2	Velocity profile measurements of the tripped boundary layer in the investigation region, $U_{\infty} = 5.56m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3700001/m$. Smoothed cubic splines shown only.	208
A.3	Velocity contours of the tripped boundary layer in the investigation region, $U_{\infty} = 5.56m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3700001/m$	209
A.4	Velocity profiles with suction, $V_{app} = 19.0kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.29m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Smoothed cubic splines shown only.	210
A.5	Velocity profiles with suction, $V_{app} = 21.4kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.29m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Smoothed cubic splines shown only.	211
A.6	Velocity profiles with suction, $V_{app} = 19.0kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.29m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$	212
A.7	Velocity contours with suction, $V_{app} = 21.4kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.29m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$	212

A.8	Velocity profiles without suction, $V_{app} = 19.0kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.36m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Smoothed cubic splines shown only.	214
A.9	Velocity profiles without suction, $V_{app} = 21.4kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.36m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$. Smoothed cubic splines shown only.	215
A.10	Velocity contours without suction, $V_{app} = 19.0kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.36m/s$, $\frac{Re_x}{x} = 3600001/m$	216
A.11	Velocity contours without suction, $V_{app} = 21.4kV_{pp}$, $U_{\infty} = 5.36m/s$	216
A.12	Evolution of shape factor for the tripped boundary layer.	217

List of Tables

4.1	Comparison of calculated values of f with published data from Schlichting (1955).	76
4.2	Comparison of calculated values of f' with published data from Schlichting (1955).	77
4.3	Comparison of calculated values of f'' with published data from Schlichting (1955).	77
5.1	Comparison of actuator parameters used in the parametric study and the work of Forte et al. (2007).	92
5.2	Curve fitting coefficients used to approximate the DBD-augmented velocity profiles.	99
5.3	Comparison of critical Reynolds number for different, idealised controlled flows.	102
5.4	Comparison of critical Reynolds number between Blasius flow and a boundary layer with uniform suction.	106
6.1	Results of pressure measurement convergence study for measurements inside boundary layer ($x = 120mm, y = 0.700mm$).	131
6.2	Results of pressure measurement convergence study for measurements just beyond boundary layer edge ($x = 120mm, y = 5.725mm$).	132
6.3	Normalised-Root-mean-square-Deviations between measurements from FPR and Blasius theory.	136
6.4	Shape factor values obtained from FPR.	136

Nomenclature

Symbols

Greek

- α Velocity disturbance wavenumber, angle of attack
- Γ FDS variable
- Δ FDS variable, incremental change
- δ Boundary layer thickness, FDS variable, incremental change
- δ_w Streamline displacement due to presence of pressure tube close to a wall
- δ^* Boundary layer displacement thickness
- ϵ Apparent shift in probe position
- ϵ Dielectric coefficient
- η Non-dimensional distance normal to wall
- θ Boundary layer momentum thickness
- Λ Pohlhausen shape factor
- λ Velocity disturbance wavelength
- λ_D Debye length
- μ Dynamic viscosity
- ν Kinematic viscosity
- ζ Suction parameter, arbitrary variable
- ρ Fluid density

- ρ_c Charge number density
- σ Standard deviation
- Φ Discretised velocity disturbance amplitude
- ϕ Velocity disturbance amplitude
- φ Electric potential
- χ Ratio of electrode width to electrode length
- ψ Stream function
- ω Velocity disturbance circular frequency
- $\tilde{\omega}$ FDS variable

Roman

- A FDS variable
- a Plasma breakdown length perpendicular to actuator surface, arbitrary Falkner-Skan variable, arbitrary polynomial coefficient, super-ellipse major radius, local speed of sound
- B FDS variable
- b Plasma breakdown length parallel to actuator surface, arbitrary Falkner-Skan variable, arbitrary polynomial coefficient, electrode span-wise length, super-ellipse minor radius, cavity width
- C Capacitance, FDS variable
- C_p Pressure coefficient
- c Velocity disturbance propagation velocity, arbitrary polynomial coefficient
- \tilde{c} FDS variable
- c_l Airfoil lift coefficient
- D Diode
- d Separation distance between electrodes, pressure tube outside diameter, cavity depth

- E Electric field strength
- E_0 Peak electric field strength, Output voltage of hot wire circuit
- E_b Breakdown electric field strength
- e exponential e
- e_c Electron charge
- *err* Error
- *exp* exponential e
- f Body force, applied frequency, measurement frequency, plasma body force, arbitrary Falkner-Skan variable, arbitrary Glauert wall jet variable
- G arbitrary variable
- g Arbitrary Falkner-Skan variable, arbitrary function, acceleration due to gravity
- H Boundary layer shape factor, Investigation height boundary
- h Discretisation distance (y -direction),
- I Length of electrical network (plasma actuator), Gain of current-boosting amplifier (hot wire circuit)
- I_0 Output current of hot wire circuit
- i Squareroot of negative one
- J Number of points in the y -direction
- K Gain of voltage-boosting amplifier (hot wire circuit)
- k_1 Electric field gradient (x -direction)
- k_2 Electric field gradient (y -direction)
- k Discretisation distance (x -direction), Trip wire diameter
- k Discretisation distance (x -direction), Trip wire diameter
- L Electrode chordwise length, left-hand side
- \ln Natural logarithm

- M Momentum
- m Arbitrary Falkner-Skan variable
- N Maximum number, number of points in the x -direction
- n Number, amplification factor
- P Arbitrary point, static pressure
- q Dynamic pressure
- R Resistance, right-hand side
- Re Reynolds number
- r Variable set (matrix equation)
- \tilde{r} FDS variable
- s Arbitrary variable
- t Time, thickness
- Tu Turbulence intensity
- U Arbitrary variable
- U_∞ Freestream velocity
- u Mean velocity, local x -direction velocity
- V Velocity vector, applied voltage
- v Local y -direction velocity
- v_0 Uniform suction velocity
- w Local z -direction velocity
- x x -direction (chordwise), boundary layer development length, parallel to wall
- y y -direction, normal to wall
- z z -direction (spanwise), height above the Earth's surface

Math

- ∞ Limit at infinity

Subscripts

Greek

- δ Based on boundary layer thickness
- δ^* Based on boundary layer displacement thickness

Roman

- *a* Pertaining to air, arbitrary resistance
- *abs* Absolute (error)
- *app* Applied to actuators
- *b* Body, pertaining to the backward-going discharge cycle, balance (hot wire bridge)
- *Blasius* Pertaining to Blasius flow
- *C* Based on chord length
- *c* Pertaining to a charge, arbitrary resistance
- *Calculated* Pertaining to calculated data
- *Cold* At cold temperatures
- *Control* Controlled
- *corrected* Corrected measurement value
- *crit* Critical (point of stability)
- *d* Pertaining to the dielectric, based on pressure tube outside diameter
- *e* Edge
- *elec* Pertaining to the electrodes
- *encapsulated* Pertaining to the encapsulated electrode
- *exp* Distance to which exposed electrode sits proud above surface
- *exposed* Pertaining to the exposed electrode
- *f* Pertaining to the forward-going discharge cycle

- *fit* Pertaining to a fitted curve
- *h* Horizontal (x) direction, voltage overshoot
- *i* Imaginary component, output of CTA bridge, i^{th} element
- *instrument* Pertaining to the instrument
- *j* Due to plasma suction
- *jet* Jet
- *k* Based on trip wire diameter
- *L* Based on non-dimensional length item *LE* Pertaining to the leading edge
- *max* Maximal
- *measured* Pertaining to measured data
- *N* Pertaining to the maximum number *N*
- *n* Pertaining to the number *n*
- *Op* Operating (hot wire bridge)
- *Published* Pertaining to published data
- *pp* Peak-to-Peak
- *qi* Offset (voltage)
- *r* Real component
- *rel* Relative (error)
- *S* Arbitrary point of interest
- *scatter* Scatter (measurements)
- *spatial* Spatial
- *t* Eddy viscosity
- *Tot* Total
- *tr* Transition
- *v* Pertaining to the vertical (y) direction

- w Due to the wall, hot wire
- x Pertaining to the x -direction
- y Pertaining to the y -direction

Mathematical

- ∞ In the freestream
- 0 At the wall

Superscripts

- \rightarrow Vector quantity
- $'$ Differentiation with respect to the normal direction
- $\acute{}$ Fluctuating quantity
- \star Non-dimensional
- $\dot{}$ Derivative with respect to time

Acronyms

- AC Alternating Current
- CTA Constant Temperature Anemometry
- DBD Dielectric Barrier Discharge
- DC Direct Current
- DE Direct Equation
- DOC Direct Operating Costs
- FDS Finite Differencing Scheme
- FPR Flat Plate Rig
- ID Internal Diameter
- KBM Keller's Box Method
- LFC Laminar Flow Control

- LSA Linear Stability Analysis
- LST Linear Stability Theory
- NRMSD Normalised-Root-Mean-Square-Deviations
- OD Outside (External) Diameter
- OHR Over Heat Ratio
- OSE Orr-Sommerfeld Equation
- PDE Partial Differential Equation
- PDF Portable Document Format
- RSE Rayleigh Stability Equation
- TS Tollmien Schlichting
- ZPG Zero Pressure Gradient