Thesis for Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

# A Proposal for Harmonisation of Security of Payment Legislation in the Australian Building and Construction Industry

Jeremy Coggins BSc (Hons), LLM

Law School, Faculty of the Professions, The University of Adelaide June 2012

## **Table of Contents**

				Page
Abstra	ıct			vii
Decla	ration	• • • • • • • • • •		ix
Ackno	wledgen	nent		xi
Public	ations .			xiii
Table	of Abbr	eviation	ns/Terms and Definitions	XV
1	Introd	luction		1
2	ADR a	and Dis	pute Resolution Systems	7
	2.1	The In	terdisciplinary Nature of Dispute Resolution	7
	2.2	The Ri	ise of ADR	8
		2.2.1	The Shortcomings of Litigation	10
		2.2.2	The Advantages of ADR	13
	2.3	Catego	pries of ADR	14
	2.4	The Er	mergence of Dispute Systems Design	16
	2.5	Ury, B	Brett and Goldberg's model for a Dispute Resolution System	18
	2.6	Appro	aches to Resolving Disputes in DSD	19
	2.7	Justice	e, or Fairness, in Dispute Resolution Systems	23
		2.7.1	Distributive Justice	24
		2.7.2	Procedural Justice	25
		2.7.3	Antecedents and Consequences of Procedural Justice	27
		2.7.4	The Importance of Control to Procedural Fairness	29
		2.7.5	Instrumental and Non-Instrumental Theories of Voice	30
		2.7.6	Relational Aspects of Procedural Justice	31
		2.7.7	Leventhal's Theory of Procedural Justice Judgments	32

2.8	Evaluation of Dispute Resolution Systems	33
	2.8.1 Efficiency	34
	2.8.2 Satisfaction	35
	2.8.3 Effect on Relationship	35
	2.8.4 Stability of Outcome	36
	2.8.5 Interrelationship between the Key Evaluative Criteria	36
2.9	The Repeat Player Effect	37
	2.9.1 Playing for Rules	38
	2.9.2 Remedial Legislation and Repeat Players	39
	2.9.3 ADR and the Repeat Player Effect	39
2.10	Summary	40
Dispu	ute Management in the Construction Industry	43
3.1	Disputes in the Construction Industry	43
	3.1.1 The Causes of Construction Disputes	44
	3.1.2 The Nature of Construction Disputes	47
3.2	The Rise of ADR and Dispute Avoidance in the	
	Construction Industry	48
3.3	Negotiation	49
3.4	Arbitration	51
	3.4.1 The Advantages of Arbitration over Litigation	54
	3.4.2 The Need for Arbitration Reform in Australia	55
	3.4.3 The New Uniform Domestic Arbitration Legislation	57
3.5	Mediation and Conciliation	59
3.6	Med-Arb	62
3.7	Expert Determination	63
3.8	Expert Opinion	66
3.9	Mini-Trial	66

3

	3.10	Dispute Avoidance Processes (DAPs)	67
		3.10.1 Relationship Contracting	69
		3.10.2 Dispute Boards (DBs)	73
	3.11	Judicial Review and ADR Awards	77
	3.12	The Legal Concept of Natural Justice or Procedural Fairness	85
		3.12.1 The Rule Against Bias	87
		3.12.2 The Hearing Rule	90
		3.12.3 Breaches of Natural Justice in Construction Arbitration	
		and Expert Determination	93
4	The <b>S</b>	Security of Payment Problem in the Australian Building	
	and (	Construction Industry	99
	4.1	What is the Security of Payment Problem?	99
	4.2	The Evidence for a Security of Payment Problem in Australia	100
	4.3	Reasons for the Security of Payment Problem	102
		4.3.1 The Structure of the Building and Construction Industry	103
		4.3.2 The Risky Financial Environment of the Building and	
		Construction Industry	107
		4.3.3 Payment Provisions in Construction Contracts	109
		4.3.4 Challenging the Valuation of Payment Certificates	117
		4.3.5 Potential Difficulties in Enforcing Progress Payments	121
		4.3.6 The Inefficacy of Traditional Dispute Resolution	
		Procedures	128
	4.4	The Consequences of the Security of Payment Problem	129
	4.5	Summary	130
5	Resp	onses to the Security of Payment Problem	133
	5.1	Options to Improve Security of Payment	133
	5.2	Liens and Charges Legislation	133

	5.2.1 Subcontractors' Charges Act 1974 (Qld)	134
	5.2.2 Contractors Debts Act 1997 (NSW)	138
	5.2.3 Worker's Liens Act 1893 (SA)	140
5.3	Trust Funds	144
5.4	Insolvency Insurance	147
5.5	Builders Licensing Requirements	149
5.6	Codes of Practice	150
5.7	Prequalification Procedures	151
5.8	Proof of Payment	153
5.9	Other Measures to Address the Security of Payment Problem	154
5.10	The Need for Security of Payment Legislation	157
Build	ing and Construction Industry Security of Payment Legislation	159
6.1	Background	159
6.2	Overview of the Key Differences between the Australian	
	Legislative Models	163
6.3	Legislative Objectives	164
6.4	Scope of Coverage	167
6.5	Payment Systems and Adjudication Schemes	171
6.6	Adjudicator Appointment	183
6.7	Adjudicators' Determinations and their Enforcement	188
6.8	Statutory Rights to Appeal Adjudicators' Determinations	196
6.9	No Contracting Out	198
6.10	A Comparative Overview of the Australian and International Legislation.	200
6.11	Freedom of Contract	208

6

7	The l	The Performance of Statutory Adjudication in Australia –			
	A Comparative Review				
	7.1	Review Criteria	11		
	7.2	Usage Data	11		
	7.3	Operational Efficiency	18		
		7.3.1 Adjudication Fees	19		
		7.3.2 Duration of Adjudications	20		
		7.3.3 Administrative Burden Generated by Statutory			
		Adjudication 22	22		
	7.4	User Satisfaction	25		
		7.4.1 Procedural Justice	26		
		7.4.2 Distributive Justice	40		
	7.5	Effect on Relationship	44		
	7.6	Stability of Outcome	45		
		7.6.1 Volume of Litigation	45		
		7.6.2 Judicial Review of Adjudicators' Determinations for			
		Errors of Law	49		
		7.6.3 Judicial Review of Adjudicators' Determinations for			
		Denials of Natural Justice and Lack of Good Faith 25	59		
		7.6.4 Issue Estoppel and Defences under Federal Legislation 26	63		
	7.7	Summary of Findings and the Need for Further Research 20	64		
8	The	ase, and Proposals, for a National Approach	67		
	8.1	The Call for a National Approach	67		
	8.2	The Benefits of a Nationally Unified Approach	69		
		8.2.1 Accessibility 22	70		
		8.2.2 Centralising the Knowledge Bank	70		
		8.2.3 Ease of Operation	71		
		8.2.4 Consistency on Freedom of Contract	71		

	8.2.5 Consistency on Procedures	272
	8.2.6 Consistency on Payment Rights	272
8.3	The Disadvantages of a Nationally Unified Approach	273
8.4	The Options for Achieving National Uniformity	274
8.5	Proposals for Harmonisation	278
	8.5.1 Cole's Draft Commonwealth <i>Building and Construction</i>	
	Industry Security of Payments Bill 2003	278
	8.5.2 Davenport's Proposal for a Dual Process of Adjudication	282
	8.5.3 Bailey's Proposal for a Cap on Claim Amounts	285
8.6	Summary of Findings	286
9 Conc	lusion and a Proposal for Reform	287
9.1	A Summary of the Performance of the Australian Legislation	287
9.2	The Proposed Harmonising Scheme	291
9.3	The Case for the Proposed Harmonising Scheme	294
9.4	The Process of Reform	297
9.5	Evaluating the New Scheme	298
9.6	Concluding Comments	299
Table of Cas	es	303
Table of Stat	tutes	311
Bibliography	y	315

#### Abstract

Over the past twelve years, building and construction industry security of payment legislation has progressively come into force in all the Australian States and Territories. A primary objective of the legislation is to ensure that parties in the industry receive timely and fair payment for construction work carried out and/or related goods and services supplied. In order to achieve this objective, the legislation has focused on removing unfair contractual payment provisions, establishing a default right to progress payments in the absence of such contractual provision, and providing a swift, interim method of dispute resolution for payment claims in the form of an adjudication scheme. As such, a party who claims it is owed money under a construction contract can refer its payment claim to an independent adjudicator for a rapid determination of the amount (if any) due. Such a determination has binding effect, pending any eventual outcome from a more formal dispute resolution process such as litigation or arbitration.

There is a lack of uniformity between the various Australian Acts. Many commentators distinguish two broad Australian legislative models, with key differences as to the scope of disputes covered, and the payment provisions and adjudication schemes prescribed. These inconsistencies have resulted in extra costs to the construction industry due to the unfamiliarity, uncertainty and confusion with security of payment laws and procedures in the various jurisdictions. Furthermore, the cost to the public purse of administering the legislation is exacerbated by the need to educate stakeholders about eight different regimes.

The time is ripe for action to be taken to harmonise the legislation. Accordingly, this thesis proposes a legislative approach which is appropriate for adoption on a nationally uniform basis. The proposed approach is informed by a review, on the available evidence, of the performance of the Australian schemes, and an evaluation of the schemes by reference to a set of criteria drawn from the dispute resolution literature.

#### Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to me and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I acknowledge that copyright of published works referred to by, or contained within, this thesis, as listed in the Bibliography, resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed:

Date:

#### Acknowledgment

I thank Professor Andrew Stewart for the excellent supervision and mentorship he has provided to me throughout the writing of this thesis. I thank Associate Professor Kathleen McEvoy for her valuable guidance, particularly with respect to the alternative dispute resolution sections of this thesis. I thank Robert Fenwick Elliott and Matthew Bell, who reviewed some of the published work emanating from my work on this thesis.

To Shai, Cameron and Mahalia – my beloved family – you have been the source of my motivation and inspiration for the writing of this thesis. Your love and support have kept me going over the past five busy, yet fulfilling, years. This thesis is dedicated to you.

#### **Publications**

Parts of this thesis have been published, or are pending publication, in the following peer reviewed articles

Coggins, J.K., Fenwick Elliott, R., and Bell, M. (2010), 'Towards Harmonisation of Construction Industry Payment Legislation: A Consideration of the Success Afforded by the East and West Coast Models in Australia', *Australasian Journal of Construction Economics & Building*, 10(3), 14-35.

Coggins, J.K. (2011), 'From Disparity to Harmonisation of Construction Industry Payment Legislation in Australia: a Proposal for a Dual Process of Adjudication based upon Size of Progress Payment Claim', *Australasian Journal of Construction Economics & Building*, 11(2), 34-59.

Coggins, J.K. (2011), 'Dispute Resolution in the Australian Construction Industry – Is there hope for Arbitration?', *Building and Construction Law*, 27, 292-304.

Coggins, J.K., and Donohoe, S. (2012), 'A Comparative Review of International Construction Industry Payment Legislation, and Observations from the Australian Experience', *International Construction Law Review*, 29(2), 195.

Coggins, J.K., and Donohoe, S. (2012), 'The Validity of Adjudicators' Determinations containing Errors of Law – a Comparison of Judicial Approaches in England and New South Wales', *International Journal of Law in the Built Environment*, pending publication in 4(2).

Abbreviation/Term	Definition for this Thesis
ABIC MW-1 2003	Australian Building Industry Contract: ABIC MW-1 2003 Major Works Contract
ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics
ACA	Australian Constructors Association
ACT Act	Building and Construction Industry Security of Payment Act 2009 (ACT)
ADR	Alternative Dispute Resolution
ANA	Authorised nominating authority
APCC	Australian Procurement and Construction Council
AS 2124–1992	AS 2124–1992 Australian Standard General Conditions of Contract
AS 2545–1993	AS 2545–1993 Australian Standard Subcontract Conditions
AS 4000–1997	AS 4000–1997 Australian Standard General Conditions of Contract
AS 4300–1995	AS 4300–1995 Australian Standard General Conditions of Contract for Design and Construct
AS 4303–1995	AS 4303–1995 General Conditions of Subcontract for Design and Construct
AS 4901–1998	AS 4901–1998 Australian Standard Subcontract Conditions
AS 4902–2000	AS 4902–2000 Australian Standard General Conditions of Contract for Design and Construct
DAP	Dispute Avoidance Process
DB	Dispute Board
DRB	Dispute review board
DSD	Dispute System Design
East Coast model legislation	Security of payment legislation in NSW, Victoria, Queensland, ACT, SA and Tasmania
IAMA	Institute of Arbitrators and Mediators Australia
NSW Act	Building and Construction Industry Security of Payment Act 1999 (NSW)
NT Act	Construction Contracts (Security of Payments) Act (NT)
NZ Act	Construction Contracts Act 2002 (NZ)
PC-1	Project Contract PC-1, Property Council of Australia

### Table of Abbreviations/Terms and Definitions

QBCIPA	Queensland Building and Construction Industry Payments Agency
QBSA	Queensland Building Services Authority
Queensland Act	Building and Construction Industry Payments Act 2004 (Qld)
Queensland Regulations	Building and Construction Industry Payments Regulation 2004 (Qld)
SA Act	Building and Construction Industry Security of Payment Act 2009 (SA)
Singapore Act	Building and Construction Industry Security of Payment Act 2004 (Singapore)
Tasmanian Act	Building and Construction Industry Security of Payment Act 2009 (Tas)
UK Act	Part II of The Housing Grants, Construction and Regeneration Act 1996
Victorian Act	Building and Construction Industry Security of Payment Act 2002 (Vic)
WA Act	Construction Contracts Act 2004 (WA)
West Coast model legislation	Security of payment legislation in WA and NT